The subcommittee met on July 16th as a follow-up to two topics from the July 10th ECF TC call:

1. Whether ReserveCourtDate should remain asynchronous
2. Timing of scheduling

## Topic 1: Whether ReserveCourtDate should remain asynchronous

This is a topic that Tyler put on the agenda for the July 10th call. The subcommittee recommends the following:

* Make no changes to the existing ECF 5 scheduling operations
* Add a new synchronous RequestCourtDate operation for obtaining available scheduling options

## Topic 2: Timing of Scheduling

This is a topic that Todd Vincent posed during the July 10th call during the discussion of Topic 1 above. The subcommittee believes there are three valid use cases for scheduling, likely to vary by implementor and/or jurisdictional requirements. The subcommittee recommends guidance for each use case, as follows:

### Use Case #1: Scheduling to occur prior to filing

Scheduling MUST result in some form of an identifier/receipt number which MUST be provided within the ReviewFiling request.

### Use Case #2: Scheduling to occur as part of filing

In this scenario, the implementor is not utilizing ReserveCourtDate, therefore no additional guidance is necessary.

### Use Case #3: Scheduling to occur after filing

A filing identifier MUST be provided within the ReserveCourtDate request.