Member Submission

# Resource Shape 2.0

# W3C Member Submission 20 December 2013

#### **This Version:**

http://www.w3.org/Submission/2013/SUBM-shapes-20131220/

### Latest Version:

http://www.w3.org/Submission/shapes/

### Author:

Arthur Ryman, IBM Corporation

Copyright © IBM Corporation 2013. This document is available under the <u>W3C Document License</u>. See the <u>W3C Intellectual Rights Notice and Legal Disclaimers</u> for additional information.

# Abstract

This document defines a high-level RDF vocabulary for specifying the *shape* of RDF resources. The shape of an RDF resource is a description of the set of triples it is expected to contain and the integrity constraints those triples are required to satisfy. Applications of shapes include validating RDF data, documenting RDF APIs, and providing metadata to tools, such as form and query builders, that handle RDF data.

# Status of This Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A

#### list of current W3C publications can be found in the W3C technical reports index at http://www.w3.org/TR/.

This document describes the Resource Shape specification which originated at Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration [OSLC]. It is also related to the Linked Data Basic Profile [LDBP] which also originated at OSLC. This document describes the "as-is" OSLC Resource Shape 2.0 [OSLC Resource Shape 2.0] specification, and recommends some extensions. It is being submitted to W3C so that it can inform the development of a future W3C Shape specification.

By publishing this document, W3C acknowledges that the <u>Submitting Members</u> have made a formal Submission request to W3C for discussion. Publication of this document by W3C indicates no endorsement of its content by W3C, nor that W3C has, is, or will be allocating any resources to the issues addressed by it. This document is not the product of a chartered W3C group, but is published as potential input to the <u>W3C Process</u>. A <u>W3C Team Comment</u> has been published in conjunction with this Member Submission. Publication of acknowledged Member Submissions at the W3C site is one of the benefits of <u>W3C Membership</u>. Please consult the requirements associated with Member Submissions of <u>section 3.3 of the W3C Patent Policy</u>. Please consult the complete <u>list of acknowledged W3C Member Submissions</u>.

## **Table of Contents**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Use Cases
  - 2.1 Describing RDF APIs
  - 2.2 Describing RDF Datasets
  - 2.3 Describing Indexable Data
- 3. Requirements
- 4. Conformance
  - 4.1 Conventions Used in This Document
- 5. Shape Resources
  - 5.1 Overview
  - 5.2 Associating and Applying Shapes
  - 5.3 A Running Example of Shape Resources
- 6. Vocabulary
  - 6.1 Terminology
  - 6.2 Property Tables
  - 6.3 oslc:instanceShape Property
  - 6.4 <u>oslc:resourceShape Property</u>
  - 6.5 dcterms:description Property
  - 6.6 dcterms:title Property

6.7 oslc:ResourceShape Class 6.8 oslc:describes Property 6.9 oslc:property Property 6.10 oslc:Property Class 6.11 oslc:allowedValue Property 6.12 oslc:allowedValues Property 6.13 oslc:defaultValue Property 6.14 oslc:hidden Property 6.15 oslc:isMemberProperty Property 6.16 oslc:name Property 6.17 oslc:maxSize Property 6.18 oslc:occurs Property 6.19 oslc:propertyDefinition Property 6.20 oslc:range Property 6.21 oslc:readOnly Property 6.22 oslc:representation Property 6.23 oslc:valueShape Property 6.24 oslc:valueType Property 6.25 oslc:AllowedValues Class 7. Recommended Extensions 7.1 ext: Extensions Vocabulary 7.2 Inverse Properties 7.3 Disconnected Graphs 7.4 Datatype Facets 7.5 Extending Shapes 7.6 Linked Data Platform Containers 7.7 SPARQL Semantics A. References A.1 Normative References A.2 Informative References **B.** Acknowledgements

# 1. Introduction

Linked Data has proved to be an effective way to look up and navigate information at web scale. It is also emerging as a compelling

architectural basis for web applications [<u>RW Linked Data</u>]. The principles for designing Linked Data web applications are currently being elaborated by the W3C Linked Data Platform Working Group [<u>LDPWG</u>].

RDF is at the core of Linked Data. The design principles for Linked Data [Linked Data Design Issues] include the following rule:

When someone looks up a URI, provide useful information, using the standards (RDF\*, SPARQL)

This emergence of RDF as an important application development technology for Linked Data and other domains has brought to light a serious gap in the associated technology stack, namely the absence of a way to describe the integrity constraints imposed by an application on the RDF documents it processes. Here the term "integrity constraint" denotes any characteristic of data required by or enforced by an application. Common examples of integrity constraints include the mandatory presence of properties, the cardinality of relations, and restrictions on the allowed values of properties.

Well-established programming technologies such as relational databases, object-oriented programming languages, and XML provide schemas or type definitions that encode integrity constraints. Programmers coming to RDF expect a similar mechanism, and frequently start to use RDFS or OWL for this purpose. However, this is a misapplication of those technologies.

It is well-known that the semantics of RDFS and OWL are defined in terms of inference rules, not constraints. Reasoners will infer new triples in an attempt to satisfy RDFS or OWL ontologies. Sometimes this is exactly what a programmer wants, but if errors are present in the data, then the inferred triples will be nonsense and, worse, they may mask the presence of errors.

This document describes the Resource Shape specification, a high-level RDF vocabulary for describing the *shape* of RDF resources. Here the term "RDF resource" is used to denote a resource that has an RDF document among its set of available representations. The term "shape" is used because it is often useful to visualize an RDF document as a topological object, namely as a graph consisting of nodes interconnected by arcs. Throughout this document the terms "RDF resource", "RDF document", and "RDF graph" are used more or less interchangeably albeit somewhat imprecisely.

The shape of an RDF graph includes both a description of its expected contents (properties, types) within some operational context (e.g. GET, POST) and the integrity constraints that must be satisfied by the contents in that context.

This document begins with a brief discussion of the use cases and requirements that the Resource Shape specification addresses. It then describes all aspects of the current specification in detail. Finally, it concludes with some recommendations for extensions based on implementation experience.

# 2. Use Cases

This section briefly describes the main use cases for an RDF graph shape language. For a more complete discussion of this topic, refer to the W3C RDF Validation Workshop [RDF-VAL].

# 2.1 Describing RDF APIs

Any application that provides programmatic services should also provide application programming interface (API) documentation to programmers so that they can consume those services. Applications that process RDF, including Linked Data web applications, are no different than other applications in this respect.

Although API documentation is normally directed towards human programmers, there are also important use cases where other programs need to understand the API. For example, consider a generic form-building tool that can generate a user interface for creating or updating resources. Such a tool needs to understand the expected contents of the resource so it can generate a user interface. It also needs to understand the applicable integrity constraints so it can validate user input before sending it to the service provider. Furthermore, the service provide may apply different constraints for creation versus update operations.

# 2.2 Describing RDF Datasets

Users must understand the contents of an RDF dataset in order to write SPARQL queries. Understanding the integrity constraints can help users write better SPARQL queries. For example, if a property is known to always be present, then there is no need to wrap it in an OPTIONAL clause.

Query building tools can take advantage of shape information. For example, consider a query builder that allows the user to define a query that filters results by selecting allowed values from a list. In principle, the query builder could dynamically query the dataset to determine the list of values present. However, such a query could be slow if the dataset was large. In addition, only those values present at the time of the query would appear in the list. In contrast, if the query builder had access to shape information, then it could avoid the potentially expensive query and present the user with the complete list of allowed values, whether or not they were actually present in the dataset at that time.

# 2.3 Describing Indexable Data

Resource indexers may be looking for certain types of structured data. For example, a web crawler might be indexing product descriptions and pricing for a marketplace application. The web crawler could provide a shape to describe the data it is looking for. Web application developers would then be able to provide that information in the web pages of their commerce site and thereby have their sites included in the index.

# 3. Requirements

This section describes some high-level requirements for an RDF resource shape language. For simplicity, members of a shape language are referred to as shape resources. It is to be understood that the validity and meaning of a shape resource depends on any other shape resources it is linked to.

- A shape language must be able to express the most commonly occurring aspects of RDF resources in high-level terms, using concepts that are familiar to developers. Developers must be able to both understand existing shapes and author new ones.
- A shape resource must be processable using readily available RDF technologies. These include RDF parsers and SPARQL processors.
- The constraints defined by a shape resource must be verifiable using commonly available technologies, with acceptable performance. The performance criteria depend on the use case. For example, a tool checking user input must respond quickly. A tool validating an entire dataset may run as a batch job, but should complete within a reasonable amount of time (e.g. hours, not years).
- A shape language must be extensible so that application-specific aspects of resources can be expressed. A trade-off of expressiveness at the expense of ease-of-understanding is acceptable.

# 4. Conformance

Conformance is defined in accordance with the use of the words MUST, MUST NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, MAY and RECOMMENDED as described in RFC 2119 [RFC 2119].

# 4.1 Conventions Used in This Document

Sample resource representations are provided in text/turtle format [TURTLE].

The following common URI prefixes are used throughout this specification:

@prefix	dcterms:	<http: dc="" purl.org="" terms=""></http:> .
@prefix	ex:	<http: example.org=""></http:> .
@prefix	ext:	<http: example.org="" extension#="">.</http:>
@prefix	ldp:	<http: ldp#="" ns="" www.w3.org="">.</http:>
@prefix	oslc:	<pre><http: core#="" ns="" open-services.net="">.</http:></pre>
@prefix	oslc_cm:	<http: cm#="" ns="" open-services.net="">.</http:>

@prefix	rdf:	<pre><http: 02="" 1999="" 22-rdf-syntax-ns#="" www.w3.org="">.</http:></pre>
@prefix	rdfs:	<pre><http: 01="" 2000="" rdf-schema#="" www.w3.org="">.</http:></pre>
@prefix	xsd:	<pre><http: 2001="" www.w3.org="" xmlschema#="">.</http:></pre>

Listing 1. Commonly used URI prefixes.

# 5. Shape Resources

This section describes the Resource Shape 2.0 specification [OSLC Resource Shape 2.0] (aka the Shapes specification) which is part of the OSLC Core 2.0 specification [OSLC Core 2.0]. The Shapes specification defines:

- the contents and meaning of shape resources, and
- · how to associate shape resources with resources and services

## 5.1 Overview

A resource shape is a resource that describes the contents of, and constraints on, the RDF representation of other resources. A shape resource itself has an RDF representation which uses the terms defined by the oslc: vocabulary. The term "shape resource" or simply "shape" is sometimes used as shorthand for the more verbose phrase "the RDF representation of a shape resource" where this can lead to no confusion. The following sections describe all of the RDF vocabulary terms used in shape resources.

The Shapes specification is based on a simple conceptual model of resources that works well in practice, but is somewhat biased towards the view that the RDF representation of a resource looks like a set of property-value pairs on that resource. The Shapes specification works well when the resource being described appears as a subject node in its RDF graph and all other nodes are connected to the resource node by a path consisting of one or more properties. Each property-value pair is represented by a triple in which the subject is the resource, the predicate is the property, and the object is the value. The value may be either a literal or a resource. When the object is a resource, that resource may itself be described by another shape. Thus the Shapes specification is powerful enough to describe complex graphs. Although the Shapes specification works well in practice, it cannot describe arbritary RDF graphs. This limitation is discussed below in <u>Recommended Extensions</u>.

The following diagram summarizes the main concepts and relations used in this specification:



Figure 1. Diagram of main concepts and relations.

In this diagram the boxes represent resource types and the arrows represent the relations between them that are defined by the Shapes specification. The two boxes on the left represent external types of resources that use shapes. The other three boxes represent the resource types that are defined by the Shapes specification.

The box labelled "Resource Shape" represents a shape. A shape is a resource of rdf:type <u>oslc:ResourceShape</u>. A shape describes a set of resources. A shape is basically a set of defined properties that any resource of that shape is expected to contain. A shape contains one or more defined properties.

The box labelled "Property" represents a defined property. A defined property is resource of rdf:type <u>oslc:Property</u>. The arrow labelled "property" represents the containment relation between a shape and its defined properties. The predicate of this relation is <u>oslc:property</u>.

Each <u>oslc:Property</u> resource has a set of properties that describe the defined property and the constraints on its use within any resource of the given shape. These include a description of the values of the defined property and its occurrence within the resource. The value of a defined property may be a literal, a resource, or either. If the value of a defined property is a resource, then defined property may refer to another <u>oslc:ResourceShape</u> resource that describes the value resource. This relation is depicted by the arrow labelled "valueShape". The predicate of this relation is <u>oslc:valueShape</u>.

The value of a defined property may be constrained to take one of an allowed set of values. In some cases, the allowed set of values may be large and be used in many shapes. In this case it is useful to put the allowed values in a separate resource so they can be easily reused. The box labelled "Allowed Values" represents a resource of rdf:type <u>oslc:AllowedValues</u>. The arrow labelled "allowedValues" represents the relation between a defined property and its set of allowed values. The predicate of this relation is <u>oslc:allowedValues</u>.

A REST [REST] service may describe aspects of its interface contract using shapes. For example, a REST service may provide a URI where new resources can be created via HTTP POST. This service could describe the expected contents of POST request bodies using shapes. Similarly, a REST service may provide a URI that represents a container of resources and could describe those resources using shapes. The box labelled "any service" represents any REST service description. The arrow labelled "resourceShape" represents the predicate <u>oslc:resourceShape</u> which is a property of the service description resource.

Similarly, any resource can describe its own contents by linking to a shape resource. The box labelled "any resource" represents any resource. The arrow labelled "instanceShape" represents the predicate <u>oslc:instanceShape</u> which is a property of the resource.

# 5.2 Associating and Applying Shapes

This specification defines three ways to associate shapes with a resource, namely using <u>oslc:instanceShape</u>, <u>oslc:resourceShape</u>, and <u>oslc:valueShape</u>. Other specifications MAY define additional mechanisms. In general, the relation between shapes and resources is many-to-many. Given a resource R there MAY be zero or more shapes S associated with it.

Not all shapes associated with a resource are necessarily applicable to it. Let S be associated with R. S is said to *apply* to R in the following two cases:

#### **Case 1: Generic Shape**

S applies to R when S has no <u>oslc:describes</u> properties, in which case it is a generic shape and applies to any associated resource.

#### **Case 2: Typed Shape**

S applies to R when S is linked via oslc:describes to a rdf:type T and R has rdf:type T.

If no shapes are associated with a resource then there are no implied constraints on that resource.

If one or more shapes are associated with a resource then at least one of those SHOULD be applicable to that resource. If no associated shape applies to a resource then this SHOULD normally be interpreted as an error condition.

If exactly one shape applies to a resource then that resource SHOULD satisfy all the constraints defined by that shape.

If more than one shape applies to a resource then that resource SHOULD satisfy all the constraints defined by all the shapes (AND semantics). However, the specification for a service description MAY define alternate semantics. For example, a service MAY require that the resource satisfy the constraints defined by at least one of the shapes (OR semantics).

# 5.3 A Running Example of Shape Resources

This section presents a simple running example to illustrate the Shapes specification. For more examples, refer to [Linked Data Interfaces], [Shapes-LDOW2013], and [Shapes-RDF-VAL].

Consider a simple bug tracking service in which each bug has just two properties: a summary and status. The summary is required, but the status is optional. The summary is given by the property <u>dcterms:title</u>, and the status by oslc\_cm:status. The oslc\_cm:status is constrained to take one of the values "Submitted", "InProgress", or "Done". The RDF type of a bug is oslc\_cm:ChangeRequest.

### 5.3.1 Example of a Valid Bug

The following listing shows the RDF representation of the bug http://example.com/bugs/1 which satisfies the constraints defined by the bug tracking service:

Listing 2. http://example.com/bugs/1

### 5.3.2 Example of an Invalid Bug

The following listing shows the RDF representation of the bug http://example.com/bugs/2 which violates the constraints since its oslc\_cm:status property has two values:

Listing 3. http://example.com/bugs/2

### 5.3.3 Example of a Shape for Bugs

We can represent the constraints defined by the bug tracking service using the shape resource http://example.com/shape/oslcchange-request which has the following RDF representation:

```
@prefix dcterms: <http://purl.org/dc/terms/> .
@prefix oslc: <http://open-services.net/ns/core#> .
@prefix oslc_cm: <http://open-services.net/ns/cm#> .
@prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
@base <http://example.com/shape/> .
<oslc-change-request> a oslc:ResourceShape ;
        dcterms:title "Creation shape of OSLC Change Request"^^rdf:XMLLiteral ;
        oslc:describes oslc_cm:ChangeRequest ;
        oslc:property
                <oslc-change-request#dcterms-title> ,
                <oslc-change-request#oslc_cm-status> .
<oslc-change-request#dcterms-title> a oslc:Property ;
        oslc:propertyDefinition dcterms:title ;
        oslc:name "title" ;
        oslc:occurs oslc:Exactly-one .
<oslc-change-request#oslc_cm-status> a oslc:Property ;
        oslc:propertyDefinition oslc cm:status ;
        oslc:name "status" ;
        oslc:occurs oslc:Zero-or-one ;
```

oslc:allowedValues <status-allowed-values> .

Listing 4. http://example.com/shape/oslc-change-request

The RDF representation contains one <u>oslc:ResourceShape</u> resource and two <u>oslc:Property</u> resources. The <u>oslc:ResourceShape</u> resource contains a short description of the shape using <u>dcterms:title</u>, gives the RDF type of the resource being described using <u>oslc:describes</u>, and lists the two properties contained in the resource being described using <u>oslc:property</u>.

The contained properties, <u>dcterms:title</u> and oslc\_cm:status are described in <u>oslc:Property</u> resources. Each <u>oslc:Property</u> resource specifies the URI of the RDF property it is defining using <u>oslc:propertyDefinition</u>, and the occurrence of that property using <u>oslc:occurs</u>. The occurrence of each property is specified using the terms <u>oslc:Exactly-one</u> and <u>oslc:Zero-or-one</u> which indicate that the properties are both single-valued. <u>dcterms:title</u> is required and oslc\_cm:status is optional.

In this example, only the occurrence and allowed values constraints are used. The Shapes specification provides for properties to be constrained by value type, range, and several other aspects.

### 5.3.4 Example of Allowed Values for Status

The definition of oslc\_cm:status refers to http://example.com/shape/status-allowed-values using <u>oslc:allowedValues</u>. That resource has the rdf:type <u>oslc:AllowedValues</u>. It specifies the allowed values of the oslc\_cm:status property. It has the following RDF representation:

```
@prefix oslc: <http://open-services.net/ns/core#> .
```

Listing 5. http://example.com/shape/status-allowed-values

# 6. Vocabulary

The Shapes vocabulary consists of the following terms defined by the oslc: vocabulary:

#### Classes

AllowedValues | Property | ResourceShape

#### **Properties**

<u>allowedValue</u> | <u>allowedValues</u> | <u>defaultValue</u> | <u>describes</u> | <u>hidden</u> | <u>instanceShape</u> | <u>isMemberProperty</u> | <u>name</u> | <u>maxSize</u> | <u>occurs</u> | <u>property</u> | <u>propertyDefinition</u> | <u>readOnly</u> | <u>representation</u> | <u>resourceShape</u> | <u>valueShape</u> | <u>valueType</u>

Individuals

Any | AnyResource | Either | Exactly-one | Inline | LocalResource | One-or-many | Reference | Resource | Zero-or-many | Zero-or-one

In addition, shape resources use the following terms from Dublin Core [Dublin Core]: dcterms:description and dcterms:title.

# 6.1 Terminology

This specification uses the following terminology:

#### applicability

Given a set of shapes that are associated with a described resource in a given context, only those shapes that match the type of the described resource are applicable to it. Refer to the description of the property <u>oslc:describes</u> for the type matching rules.

#### association

This specification defines two contexts in which a shape may become associated with a described resource. The described resource itself may link to a shape using the property <u>oslc:instanceShape</u>, or the described resource may be the request to or response from a REST service whose service description links to a shape using the property <u>oslc:resourceShape</u>. More than one shape may become associated with a described resource in a given context. Not all the associated shapes are necessarily applicable. Refer to the definition of applicability above.

### datatype property

A datatype property is a defined property that takes literal values.

### defined property

A defined property is a property, such as dcterm:description or oslc\_cm:status, of a described resource that is defined by some shape applicable to the described resource in a given context. A shape is linked to its defined properties using the property <u>oslc:property</u>. A described resource type might have a given defined property in some contexts but not in others. For example, the body of a POST request might have fewer defined properties than the created resource.

#### described resource

A described resource is the resource whose RDF graph is being described by a shape.

### document

A representation of a resource. For example, an RDF document may represent a resource.

### graph

An RDF document may be regarded as a directed, labelled multigraph, or, simply, a graph, in which each triple of the document corresponds to an arc of the graph. The source of the arc is the subject its triple The target of the arc is the object of its triple. The label of the arc is the predicate of its triple.

### object property

An object property is a defined property that links to a resource, referred to as the object resource.

### object resource

The object resource is the resource that is linked to by an object property.

### shape

A resource of type oslc:ResourceShape that describes the contents of and constraints on some set of described resources.

# 6.2 Property Tables

Property tables are used below to describe the resources defined by the Shapes specification, namely <u>oslc:ResourceShape</u>, <u>oslc:Property</u>, and <u>oslc:AllowedValues</u>. A property table is a tabular depiction of a subset of the information that can be specified using shapes. Each table describes one type of resource. Each row describes one property of the resource. Each column describes some aspect of the properties. The columns have the following meanings:

### Property

The compact IRI [IRI] of the defined property being described in the current row of the table. This corresponds to the <u>oslc:propertyDefinition</u> property.

### Occurs

The number of times the defined property may occur. This corresponds to the <u>oslc:occurs</u> property. This property may have one of the values "1", "1 or many", "0 or many", or "0 or 1". These values correspond to <u>oslc:Exactly-one</u>, <u>oslc:One-or-many</u>, <u>oslc:Zero-or-many</u>, and <u>oslc:Zero-or-one</u>.

### Туре

The type of the values of the defined property. This corresponds to the <u>oslc:valueType</u> property. A defined property that takes literal values is called a *datatype property*. A defined property that links to another resource is called an *object property*. The

resource linked to is called the *object resource*. For datatype properties, this property gives the datatype URI of the literal values. For object properties, this property specifies whether the object resource has a URI (Resource), is a blank node (LocalResource), or is any resource (AnyResource). These values correspond to <u>oslc:Resource</u>, <u>oslc:LocalResource</u>, and <u>oslc:AnyResource</u>.

#### Representation

For object properties, the location of the representation of the object resource. This corresponds to the <u>oslc:representation</u> property. The representation of the object resource may be contained in the same document as the described resource (Inline), or it may be contained in a remote document (Reference), or it may be either (Either). These values correspond to <u>oslc:Inline</u>, <u>oslc:Reference</u>, and <u>oslc:Either</u>.

#### Range

For object properties, the allowed rdf:type(s) of the object resources. This corresponds to the <u>oslc:range</u> property. This value may be Any if any type is allowed. The value Any corresponds to <u>oslc:Any</u>.

#### Summary

The summary of the defined property. This corresponds to the dcterms:title property.

# 6.3 oslc:instanceShape Property

The oslc:instanceShape property is used to link any described resource with a shape resource that describes its contents.

# 6.4 oslc:resourceShape Property

The oslc:resourceShape property is used to link an application service description with a shape resource that describes some aspect of the service's API contract. A service description MAY be linked with zero or more shapes.

For example, in OSLC a resource that accepts POST requests to create new resources is referred to as a *creation factory*. The service description for a creation factory may link to one or more shape resources that describe the bodies of POST requests.

# 6.5 dcterms:description Property

dcterms:description is used to provide a description of <u>oslc:Property</u> resources. Its value SHOULD be a literal of type rdf:XMLLiteral that is valid content for an XHTML <div> element. If the value contains no XML markup then it MAY be represented as a plain text literal or xsd:string.

## 6.6 dcterms:title Property

dcterms:title is used to provide a summary of <u>oslc:ResourceShape</u> and <u>oslc:Property</u> resources. Its value SHOULD be a literal of type rdf:XMLLiteral that is valid content for an XHTML <span> element. If the value contains no XML markup then it MAY be represented as a plain text literal or xsd:string.

# 6.7 oslc:ResourceShape Class

oslc:ResourceShape is the rdf:type of shape resources. A shape resource describes the contents of and constraints on some set of described resources. The set of described resources described by a shape may be defined directly via an <u>oslc:instanceShape</u> link, indirectly via an <u>oslc:resourceShape</u> link, or by some other mechanism. The following table summarizes the properties of shape resources:

PROPERTY	OCCURS	TYPE	REP.	RANGE	SUMMARY
dcterms:title	0 or 1	XMLLiteral	n/a	n/a	The summary of this shape.
oslc:describes	0 or many	Resource	Reference	n/a	The described resource types that this shape applies to.
oslc:property	0 or many	Resource	Inline	oslc:Property	The defined properties that are expected to be contained in the described resources associated with this shape.

Table 1: oslc:ResourceShape Properties

# 6.8 oslc:describes Property

oslc:describes is used to list the types of the described resources associated with this shape. Suppose that shape S is associated with described resource R, e.g. via an <u>oslc:resourceShape</u> or <u>oslc:instanceShape</u> link. If shape S describes type T and described resource R has type T then S describes the contents of and constraints on R.

For example, a creation factory may be able to create many different types of resources. The description of a given type of resource is specified by the associated shape resources that contain an <u>oslc:describes</u> link to that type.

# 6.9 oslc:property Property

oslc:property is used to list the defined properties that are expected to be contained in described resources associated with this shape. The object of this property MUST be an <u>oslc:Property</u> resource whose representation is contained in the shape document.

If a described resource contains a property described by some <u>oslc:Property</u> resource, then a REST service is expected to process that property in some useful way as defined by the service's API contract. If there is no matching <u>oslc:Property</u> resource then the behavior of the service is undefined.

# 6.10 oslc:Property Class

An oslc:Property resource describes a defined property. It specifies the name, description, summary, occurrence, value type, allowed values, and several other aspects of the defined property.

A service MUST honor all the constraints specified by the applicable shapes. For example, any GET response must satisfy all the constraints expressed in the applicable shapes. However, a service MAY impose additional constraints. For example, some constraints may not be expressible using the shape vocabulary, in which case POST or PUT requests may still fail even though all the constraints expressed in the applicable shapes are satisfied.

PROPERTY	OCCURS	TYPE	REP.	RANGE	SUMMARY
dcterms:description	0 or 1	XMLLiteral	n/a	n/a	The description of the defined property.
dcterms:title	0 or 1	XMLLiteral	n/a	n/a	The summary of the defined property.
oslc:allowedValue	0 or many	specifed by oslc:valueType	n/a	n/a	An allowed value of the defined property.
oslc:allowedValues	0 or 1	Resource	Reference	oslc:AllowedValues	The resource containing a set of allowed values of the defined property.
oslc:defaultValue	0 or 1	specified by oslc:valueType	n/a	n/a	The default value of the defined property.
oslc:hidden	0 or 1	Boolean	n/a	n/a	A hint that the defined

Table 2: oslc:Property Properties

					property is not normally displayed by a user interface.
oslc:isMemberProperty	0 or 1	Boolean	n/a	n/a	If true then the described resource is a container and the defined property is used for container membership.
oslc:name	1	String	n/a	n/a	The local name of the defined property.
oslc:maxSize	0 or 1	Integer	n/a	n/a	For string datatype properties, the maximum number of characters.
oslc:occurs	1	Resource	Reference	n/a	The number of times the defined property may occur.
oslc:propertyDefinition	1	Resource	Reference	n/a	The URI of the defined property.
oslc:range	0 or many	Resource	Reference	n/a	For object properties, an allowed object resource type.
oslc:readOnly	0 or 1	Boolean	n/a	n/a	If true then the defined property cannot be directly writen by clients.
oslc:representation	0 or 1	Resource	Reference	n/a	For object properties, how the object resource is represented in the representation of the described resource.
oslc:valueShape	0 or many	Resource	Reference	oslc:ResourceShape	For object properties, the URI of a shape resource that describes the object

					resource.
oslc:valueType	0 or 1	Resource	Reference	n/a	The type of values of the defined property.

## 6.11 oslc:allowedValue Property

oslc:allowedValue is used to specify an allowed value of the defined property. The object of this property SHOULD be compatible with the type specified by the <u>oslc:valueType</u> property if present. An <u>oslc:Property</u> resource MAY contain one or more oslc:allowedValue properties and an optional <u>oslc:allowedValues</u> property which links to an <u>oslc:AllowedValues</u> resource. The complete set of allowed values is the union of all the values specified directly in the <u>oslc:Property</u> resource and the linked <u>oslc:AllowedValues</u> resource.

## 6.12 oslc:allowedValues Property

oslc:allowedValues specifies a link to an <u>oslc:AllowedValues</u> resource which defines a set of allowed values for the defined property. See <u>oslc:allowedValue</u> for a description of how the complete set of allowed values is defined.

# 6.13 oslc:defaultValue Property

oslc:defaultValue specifies the default value for the defined property. The object of this property SHOULD be compatible with the type specified by the <u>oslc:valueType</u> property if present.

A default value is normally used when creating resources. A service SHOULD use the default value to provide a value for a property if none is provided in the creation request.

A default value MAY be used by consumers of a described resource if the defined property is not present in the representation of the described resource. This mechanism is useful if a service introduces a new defined property but does not update all pre-existing described resources.

# 6.14 oslc:hidden Property

If present and true, oslc:hidden is used to indicate that the defined property is not normally presented to users. A consumer of the described resource SHOULD NOT display hidden defined properties to normal users. It MAY display hidden defined properties to administrative users.

# 6.15 oslc:isMemberProperty Property

If the oslc:isMemberProperty is present and true then the defined property is a container membership property similar to rdfs:member. The described resource is the container and the object resources are its members.

For example, OSLC Query Capabilities are query services that behave like containers for other resources. A defined property for which oslc:isMemberProperty is true links the container to its member resources.

The recent Linked Data Platform specification [LDP] elaborates the concept of resource container. It is therefore desirable to evolve the Shapes specification to align with the LDP concept of container membership. This topic is discussed below in Linked Data Platform Containers.

## 6.16 oslc:name Property

oslc:name is used to specify the local name of the defined property. This is normally the portion of the defined property URI (see <u>oslc:propertyDefinition</u>) that follows the last hash (#) or slash (/).

# 6.17 oslc:maxSize Property

For datatype properties whose type is xsd:string, oslc:maxSize specifies the maximum number of characters in the defined property value. The absence of oslc:maxSize indicates that either there is no maximum size or that the maximum size is specified some other way.

# 6.18 oslc:occurs Property

oslc:occurs is used to specify the number of times that the defined property may occur. The value of this property MUST be one of the following individuals:

#### oslc:Exactly-one

The defined property MUST occur exactly once. It is required and single-valued.

#### oslc:One-or-many

The defined property MUST occur at least once. It is required and multi-valued.

### oslc:Zero-or-many

The defined property MAY occur any number of times. It is optional and multi-valued.

#### oslc:Zero-or-one

The defined property MUST occur no more than once. It is optional and single-valued.

# 6.19 oslc:propertyDefinition Property

oslc:propertyDefinition is used to specify the URI of the the defined property.

# 6.20 oslc:range Property

oslc:range MUST NOT be used with datatype properties. It MAY be used with object properties. For object properties, oslc:range is used to specify an allowed rdf:type of the object resource. The value of this property MAY be any rdf:type URI or the following individual:

#### oslc:Any

This value specifies that there is no constraint on the type of the object resource.

# 6.21 oslc:readOnly Property

If present and true, oslc:readOnly is used to specify that the value of defined property is managed by the service, i.e. that it is read-only. It cannot be directly modified by consumers of the service. Services SHOULD ignore attempts to modify read-only properties, but MAY fail such requests. If a service ignores an attempt to modify a read-only property then it SHOULD NOT do so silently. A service MAY use the HTTP Warning header or some other means to indicate that the attempt to modify a read-only property has been ignored.

In this context, modification means a change in any object of the triples associated with the defined property. For example, a GET request followed by a PUT request would not modify the triples. A service MUST NOT interpret a PUT request that does not modify the triples associated with the defined property as a violation of the oslc:readOnly constraint.

Examples of read-only properties include creation and modification timestamps, the identity of who created or modified the described resource, and properties computed from the values of other properties.

When modifying a resource, it is natural for a consumer to first retrieve its current representation using a GET request. This request will return read-only properties along with read-write properties. If a service fails PUT requests that contain read-only properties then

consumers will have to remove all read-only properties before submitting PUT requests. The behavior of ignoring read-only properties in PUT requests is therefore more convenient for consumers. Similarly, when copying a resource, a consumer would GET it first. It is therefore more convenient for consumers if the service ignores read-only properties also in POST requests.

# 6.22 oslc:representation Property

For object properties, oslc:representation is used to specify how the object resource is represented. The value of oslc:representation MUST be one of the following individuals:

#### oslc:Either

There is no constraint on the representation of the object resource.

#### oslc:Inline

The representation of the object resource MUST be present in the representation of the described resource.

#### oslc:Reference

The representation of the object resource MUST NOT be present in the representation of the described resource.

# 6.23 oslc:valueShape Property

For object properties, oslc:valueShape is used to specify a link to resource shape that describes the object resource.

# 6.24 oslc:valueType Property

### 6.23.1 Literal Value Types

For datatype properties, oslc:valueType specifies the literal value type. It MUST be one of the following individuals:

### rdf:XMLLiteral

An XML fragment.

#### xsd:boolean

A boolean.

### xsd:dateTime

A date-time.

#### xsd:decimal

A decimal number.

### xsd:double

A double precision floating point number.

### xsd:float

A single precision floating point number.

### xsd:integer

An integer.

### xsd:string

A string.

### 6.24.1 Resource Value Types

For object properties, oslc:valueType specifies how the object resource is identified. It MUST be one of the following individuals:

### oslc:AnyResource

The object resource MUST be identified with either a URI or a blank node.

### oslc:LocalResource

The object resource MUST be identified with a blank node. The term "local resource" is used because the scope of identifier is local to the representation.

### oslc:Resource

The object resource MUST be identified with a URI.

# 6.25 oslc:AllowedValues Class

An oslc:AllowedValues resource defines a set of allowed values for a defined property. This type of resource is useful when defined properties take values in large sets of standard values that are used in multiple types of resources. For example, a list of standard country codes could be stored in an oslc:AllowedValues resource.

PROPERTY	OCCURS	ТҮРЕ	REP.	RANGE	SUMMARY
oslc:allowedValue	1 or many	specified by <u>oslc:valueType</u>	n/a	n/a	An allowed value of a defined property.

Table 3: oslc:AllowedValues Properties

# 7. Recommended Extensions

This section describes some recommended extensions that have been identified through experience gained with using the Shapes specification in practice.

# 7.1 ext: Extensions Vocabulary

In the following sections the prefix ext: denotes the vocabulary URI for extensions. An actual vocabulary URI has not been assigned yet since it is anticipated that the future development of this specification will take place at W3C.

The implicit assumption that the described resource appears as a subject node works well when the described resource is implemented using common programming technologies. Most programming languages have the concept of a structure, record, or class which naturally maps to a resource. The fields of the structure, record, or class naturally map to property-value pairs which become triples in RDF. However, this assumption fails to capture the full generality of RDF in two important cases: inverse properties and disconnected graphs. The following section describes the inverse property case. The section after that describes the disconnected graph case.

# 7.2 Inverse Properties

In some cases the best RDF representation of a property-value pair may reuse a pre-existing property in which the described resource is the object and the property value is the subject. The reuse of properties is a best practice for enabling data interoperability. The fact that a pre-existing property might have the opposite direction should not be used as a justification for the creation of a new inverse property. In fact, the existence of both inverse and direct properties makes writing efficient queries more difficult since both the inverse and the direct property must be included in the query.

For example, suppose we are describing test cases and want to express the relations between test cases and the requirements that they validate. Further suppose that there is a pre-existing vocabulary for requirements that defines the property ex:isValidatedBy which asserts that the subject is validated by the object. In this case there is no need to define the inverse property ex:validates. Instead the representation of test case resources should use ex:isValidatedBy with the test case as the object and the requirement

as the subject. This situation cannot be described by the current Shapes specification.

### 7.2.1 ext:isInverseProperty Property

A simple fix for this limitation is to introduce a new optional, single-valued, boolean datatype property on <u>oslc:Property</u> resources, e.g. ext:isInverseProperty. If present and true then the defined property is used in the opposite direction, i.e. the described resource is the object and the object resource is the subject.

# 7.3 Disconnected Graphs

There is no requirement for a resource to be present as either the subject or object of any triple in its RDF representation. In practice the resource will appear as the subject in triples that describe the metadata of the resource, e.g. using terms from the Dublin Core [DublinCore] vocabulary. However, the resource may include other triples that are unrelated to the resource.

For example, consider birth certificates. A birth certificate is an information resource that contains statements about real-world objects, namely people. Consider a birth certificate for John Doe, and suppose that the URI of the birth certificate is http://example.org/birthcerticate/johndoe. Further suppose that John Doe is identified by the URI http://example.org/id/person /johndoe. John Doe's birth certification might contain the following triples:

```
<http://example.org/birthcertificate> dcterms:created "2013-10-31T10:42Z"^^xsd:dateTime .
<http://example.org/id/person/johndoe> a foaf:Person ;
foaf:name "John Doe" ,
foaf:birthday "10-29" .
```

Listing 6. A disconnected graph.

The current Shapes specification is incapable of describing the birth certificate resource since the node for the person http://example.org/id/person/johndoe is disconnected from the node for birth certificate http://example.org/birthcertificate/johndoe. To work around this limitation, a resource designer is forced to introduce another triple as follows:

<http://example.org/birthcertificate> dcterms:created "2013-10-31T10:42Z"^^xsd:dateTime ;
 foaf:primaryTopic <http://example.org/id/person/johndoe> .
<http://example.org/id/person/johndoe> a foaf:Person ;
 foaf:name "John Doe" ,
 foaf:birthday "10-29" .

Listing 7. A connected graph.

Adding another triple to connect the graph works in this simple example, and, arguably, might be a better design. However, this approach may not scale to more complex graphs. Furthermore, the shape author may not have the ability to modify the resource design. It is therefore desirable to remove this limitation.

### 7.3.1 ext:NodeShape Class

One design for handling disconnected nodes is to introduce new resources that describe them. Let ext:NodeShape be the rdf:type of a described node within the graph. The object of an oslc:valueShape property could be a resource of rdf:type either oslc:ResourceShape or ext:NodeShape.

### 7.3.2 ext:nodeShape Property

Let ext:nodeShape define the containment relation between a resource of rdf:type oslc:ResourceShape and a resource of rdf:type ext:NodeShape. A resource of rdf:type ext:NodeShape would itself be a shape except that it would not have ext:nodeShape properties, i.e. all disconnected nodes would be described at the top level of the graph.

# 7.4 Datatype Facets

The current specification only allows the use of a small number of XML Schema datatypes. In practice, it is often necessary to further limit the set of allowed values. The XML Schema Datatypes specification [XML Schema Datatypes] defines a set of constraining facets which can be used to further restrict datatypes.

For example, suppose it is required to restrict the decimal property ex:price to two digits of fractional precision. In XML Schema, this requirement can be satified through the use of the xsd:fractionDigits facet as follows:

<xsd:element name="price">

Listing 8. XML Schema xsd:fractionDigits facet.

A straightforward way to extend the current Shapes specification is to allow XML Schema constraining facets as properties of datatype properties. The presence of a facet property would be defined as being semantically equivalent to using a restriction of the base datatype specified by <u>oslc:valueType</u>. The above ex:price example would be expressed as follows:

```
<#ex-price> a oslc:Property ;
    oslc:propertyDefinition ex:price ;
    oslc:name "price" ;
    oslc:occurs oslc:Exactly-one ;
    oslc:valueType xsd:decimal ;
    xsd:fractionDigits 2 .
```

Listing 9. ex:price restricted using the xsd:fractionDigits facet.

Here is the complete list of XML Schema constraining facets as defined in 4.3 Constraining Facets [XML Schema Datatypes]:

#### xsd:length

Specifies the exact number of characters in a string value. The string value MUST contain the specified exact number of characters.

#### xsd:minLength

Specifies the minimum number of characters in a string value. The string value MUST contain at least the specified minimum number of characters.

#### xsd:maxLength

Specifies the maximum number of characters in a string value. The string value MUST contain at most the specified maximum number of characters. Equivalent to using <u>oslc:maxSize</u>.

### xsd:pattern

Specifies a regular expression for a string value. The string value MUST match the regular expression.

This facet bears further discussion. First, is XSD regular expression language identical to that used in the SPARQL regex() function? SPARQL uses the fn:matches() function which is defined in XQuery 1.0 and XPath 2.0 [XQuery and XPath]. Second, the SPARQL regex() function accepts flags as an optional third argument. An additional property, ext:patternFlags, should be used to specify these flags.

#### xsd:enumeration

Specifies set of allowed values. The value MUST be contained in the specified set of allowed values. Not suitable for Shapes since it introduces complex XSD syntax and is redundant with <u>oslc:allowedValue</u>. Use <u>oslc:allowedValue</u> instead.

#### xsd:whiteSpace

Constrains how whitespace is handled in a string value. Not applicable to Shapes.

#### xsd:maxInclusive

Specifies the inclusive maximum for a numeric value. The numeric value must be less than or equal to the specified maximum value.

#### xsd:maxExclusive

Specifies the exclusive maximum value for a numeric value. The numeric value must be less than the specified maximum value.

#### xsd:minExclusive

Specifies the exclusive minimum value for a numeric value. The numeric value must be greater than the specified minimum value.

#### xsd:minInclusive

Specifies the inclusive minimum value for a numeric value. The numeric value must be greater than or equal to the specified minimum value.

### xsd:totalDigits

Specifies the total number of digits in a decimal value. The decimal value MUST contain at most the specified total number of digits.

### xsd:fractionDigits

Specifies the number of digits in the fractional part of a decimal value. The decimal value MUST contain at most the specified number of digits in its fractional part.

# 7.5 Extending Shapes

RDF specifications often support an open content model. For example, a bug tracking specification may define some base

properties, such as severity and priority, but allow implementations to add custom properties, such as security impact and estimate cost to fix.

Now suppose an implementation of some base specification provides a shape resource that describes the extended resource design. With the current Shapes specification, all of the defined properties of the base shape would have to be copied into the extended shape. Clearly, it would simplify maintenance of shapes if the extended shape could link to the base shape and only define the custom properties.

### 7.5.1 ext:extendsShape Property

The ext:extendsShape property links an extended shape to a base shape, e.g. a base specification shape. The meaning of this link is that all of the content of the base shape is effectively copied into the extended shape. The explicit content of the extended shape would override the copied content of the base shape. However, can should be taken to avoid violating the integrity constraints of the base shape. Any resource that is valid with respect to the extended shape SHOULD also be valid with respect to the base shape. Validation with respect to the base shape SHOULD ignore the custom properties.

# 7.6 Linked Data Platform Containers

The recent Linked Data Platform [LDP] specification defines resource containers. A resource container can describe itself using several properties, including ldp:membershipPredicate which identifies the property used for container membership.

The oslc:isMemberProperty property was introduced for the benefit of query building tools and has little to do with RDF validation. Given that the LDP specification now serves the purpose of describing containers, it seems reasonable to deprecate the use of oslc:isMemberProperty.

## 7.7 SPARQL Semantics

This specification uses natural language to define the semantics of shape resources. In many cases, the semantics could be expressed using SPARQL queries [SPARQL 1.1]. Using SPARQL would both improve the precision of the specification and provide a starting point for reference implementations and test suites. The use of SPARQL in this context does not imply any restriction on the choice of other implementation technologies.

Given a shape and a described resource, it is of interest to determine if the described resource satisfies the constraints defined by the shape. Each constraint must be checked. If any fails, then the described resource does not satisify the shape.

Many of the constraints can be expressed as SPARQL ASK queries. These queries return a true/false result. A query that returns true if the constraint is satisfied is called an *assertion*. A query that returns false if a constraint is satisfied is called an *exception*. Depending on the constraint it may be easier to express it one way or another. In addition, if a constraint is violated, then it is of interest to know how it is violated. A query that explains why a query is violated is called a *diagnostic*. Diagnostics can be expressed as SPARQL SELECT or SPARQL CONSTRUCT queries. If all assertions return true, all exceptions return false, and all diagnostics return nothing, then the described resource satisifies the constraints.

For example, suppose we create an RDF dataset for the simple bug tracking described above. Each bug is stored as a named graph in the dataset. Consider the occurrence constraint on the oslc\_cm:status property. This constraint states that the oslc\_cm:status property occurs at most once in each bug. The following listing contains a SPARQL diagnostic query that returns all bugs that violate this occurrence constraint, along with the actual occurrence count:

```
prefix oslc_cm: <http://open-services.net/ns/cm#>
select ?bug (count(?status) as ?status_count)
where {
        graph ?bug {
            ?bug a oslc_cm:ChangeRequest ;
                oslc_cm:status ?status
        }
}
group by ?bug
having (?status_count > 1)
```

Listing 10. A diagnostic SPARQL query for an oslc:Zero-or-one occurrence constraint.

# A. References

# A.1 Normative References

CURIE

CURIE Syntax 1.0, Mark Birbeck and Shane McCarron, W3C Working Group Note, 16 December 2010.

#### **Dublin Core**

DCMI Metadata Terms, Dublin Core Metadata Initiative.

#### IRI

RFC 3987: Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs), M. Duerst and M. Suignard, IETF, January 2005.

#### LDBP

Linked Data Basic Profile 1.0, Martin Nally, Steve Speicher, John Arwe, and Arnaud Le Hors, W3C Member Submission, 26 March 2012.

#### LDP

Linked Data Platform 1.0, Steve Speicher, John Arwe, and Ashok Malhotra, W3C Last Call Working Draft, 30 July 2013.

#### OSLC Core 2.0

Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration Core Specification Version 2.0, Dave Johnson and Steve Speicher, OSLC, 2010.

#### **OSLC Resource Shape 2.0**

<u>Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration Core Specification Version 2.0 Appendix A: Common Properties,</u> oslc:ResourceShape Resource, Dave Johnson, OSLC, 2010.

#### **RFC 2119**

RFC 2119: Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, S. Bradner, IETF, March 1997.

#### SPARQL 1.1

SPARQL 1.1 Query Language, Steve Harris and Andy Seaborne, W3C Recommendation, 21 March 2013.

#### TURTLE

<u>*Turtle - Terse RDF Triple Language*</u>, David Beckett, Tim Berners-Lee, Eric Prud'hommeaux, and Gavin Carothers, W3C Candidate Recommendation, 19 February 2013.

#### XML Schema Datatypes

XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition, Paul V. Biron and Ashok Malhotra, W3C Recommendation, 28 October 2004.

#### XQuery and XPath

<u>XQuery 1.0 and XPath 2.0 Functions and Operators (Second Edition)</u>, Ashok Malhotra, Jim Melton, Norman Walsh, and Michael Kay, W3C Recommendation, 14 December 2010.

# A.2 Informative References

### LDPWG

Linked Data Platform Working Group, W3C.

#### Linked Data Design Issues

Linked Data Design Issues, Tim Berners-Lee, W3C, 27 July 2006.

#### **Linked Data Interfaces**

Linked Data Interfaces: Define REST API contracts for RDF resource representations, Arthur Ryman, IBM developerWorks, 19 March 2013.

### OSLC

Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration.

### **RDF-VAL**

W3C RDF Validation Workshop, Cambridge, MA, USA, 10-11 September 2013.

### REST

<u>Representational State Transfer (REST)</u>, Chapter 5 of Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures, Ph. D. Dissertation, University of California, Irvine, Roy Thomas Fielding, 2000.

### **RW Linked Data**

<u>Read-Write Linked Data</u>, Berners-Lee, W3C, August 2009.

### Shapes-LDOW2013

OSLC Resource Shape: A language for defining constraints on Linked Data, Arthur G. Ryman, Arnaud J. Le Hors, and Steve Speicher, Linked Data on the Web (LDOW2103), Rio de Janeiro, 14 May 2013. Brazil.

### Shapes-RDF-VAL

<u>OSLC Resource Shape: A Linked Data Constraint Language</u>, Achille Fokoue and Arthur Ryman, W3C RDF Validation Workshop, Cambridge, MA, USA, 11 September 2013.

# B. Acknowledgements

Additional Contributors:

The OSLC Resource Shape specification was initially developed by the OSLC Reporting Workgroup under the leadership of Tack Tong (IBM), with major contributions from Arthur Ryman (IBM) and Martin Nally (IBM). Members of OSLC workgroups found shapes to be applicable to other aspects of OSLC and so the specification was subsequently integrated into the OSLC Core specification by Dave Johnson (IBM).

Anamitra Bhattacharyya (IBM) provided valuable feedback based on implementation experience and raised the requirement for <u>Datatype Facets</u>. Steve Speicher (IBM) and John Arwe (IBM) raised the requirement for <u>Extending Shapes</u>.

**Reviewers:** 

The following people carefully reviewed drafts of this document, provided corrections, and suggested many valuable improvements:

- John Arwe (IBM)
- Nick Crossley (IBM)
- Miguel Esteban Gutiérrez (Universidad Politécnica de Madrid)
- Arnaud Les Hors (IBM)
- Eric Prud'hommeaux (W3C)
- Steve Speicher (IBM)