FINAL

SCA-Policy TC Teleconference

10 Dec 2007

Chair

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
fred carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Dale Moburg	Axway Software	Group Member
Michael Rowley	BEA Systems, Inc.	Group Member
Tom Rutt	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eisaku Nishiyama	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Michael Beisiegel	IBM	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Kaanu Joshi	Patni Computer Systems Ltd.	Group Member
Sanjay Patil	SAP AG*	Group Member
Vladislav Bezrukov	SAP AG*	Group Member

Tai-Hsing Cha   TIBCO Software Inc.   Group Member

Contents

Resolutions

Resolution: 1. Minutes of Policy TC meeting of 3rd December accepted.

Resolution: 2. OSOA SCA Transaction Policy Specification contributed by IBM is accepted by the SCA Policy TC

Actions

Action item: 20071210-01 Chairs must get errata material contributed

Action item: 20071210-02 Chairs must get any open issues against that contribution also contributed to the OASIS Policy TC

Action item: 20071210-03 Build a proposal for conformance targets - Ashok & Martin.

Action Item: 20071210-04 Action to add examples to Issue 15. Also try to address the operation & method artifacts. Ashok.

Action Item: 20071210-05 Prepare the formal change text as a proposal for an update to the spec. Mike Edwards.

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Appointment of minute taker – Mike Edwards

3. Agenda bashing

4. Vote to accept minutes from previous meeting
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200712/msg00003.html

5. Action Item Followups:

a. 20071126-01: Editors to prepare Committee Draft

b. 20071126-02: Mike R - Proposal for Issue 38

6. Face to Face Meeting in California, Jan 22-25, 2008
Hosted by Oracle at Redwood Shores facility - more details to follow

7. Receipt of the OSOA Transaction Policy Specification
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200712/msg00005.html

a. Action: Chairs to transfer OSOA Issues from JIRA

b. Action: Chairs to transfer Errata as an issue

c. Should we fold this spec into a Policy FW working draft?

8. New Issues:

9. Conformance Targets
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200711/msg00021.html
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/opencsa-liaison/200711/msg00002.html
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/opencsa-liaison/200711/msg00003.html

10. Issues
a. Issue 40: Fix SCA Policy schema complex types for Qualifier and PolicySet
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200711/msg00039.html
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-40

b. Issue 15 - External Policy Attachment - Malhotra
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200711/msg00034.html

c. Issue 18 - Default Qualifier - A host of many

http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-18
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200711/msg00049.html

11. AOB

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

Agenda accepted as-is.

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Bob Freund: Moves to accept the minutes for 3rd December meeting.
Michael Rowley: seconds
Agreed unanimously

Resolution: 1. Minutes of Policy TC meeting of 3rd December accepted.

(Item 5) Action Item Followups:

a. 20071126-01: Editors to prepare and post Committee Draft #1

Not complete. Action remains with Ashok Malhotra.

b. 20071126-02: Michael Rowley to work up a proposal for Issue 38

Michael Rowley asks the question as to why the existing specification is not clear. Can we close the issue without new text being required?
Dave Booz takes the view that there are some people unhappy with the current text
Michael Rowley suggests closing the Issue with no action
Dave Booz adds this issue to Item 8

(Item 6) TC F2F Plans, January 22-25

Ashok asks if there are any comments in relation to this.
Mike Edwards asks how the agenda will be prepared for the F2F
Ashok indicates that he will work with Dave to create an initial agenda to be considered on the TC call early in the New Year

(Item 7) Receipt of the OSOA SCA Transaction Policy Specification

This has been contributed by IBM - does it require contributions from other OSOA members?
Mike Edwards moves to accept the contribution
Michael Beisiegel seconds
Accepted unanimously

<p style="color:red; text-align:center; font-weight:bold">Resolution: 2. OSOA SCA Transaction Policy Specification contributed by IBM is accepted by the SCA Policy TC</p>

Action item: 20071210-01 Chairs must get errata material contributed
Action item: 20071210-02 Chairs must get any open issues against that contribution also contributed to the OASIS Policy TC

Dave Booz - asks whether this document should be folded into the main Policy specification

Martin Chapman: If it is separate, then it gets its own lifecycle and review process. Might be possible to have separate physical documents but for there to be one logical specification, handled as a single entity from an OASIS process viewpoint.

No further action at this point - bring back in later meeting

(Item 8) New Issues

There are no new issues.

(Item 9) Conformance Targets

Discussion of what is needed beyond a) SCA Artifacts and b) SCA runtime. "SCA Runtime" may be too large and "amorphous".
Michael Rowley thinks that there may be different aspects / parts to the runtime.
Martin Chapman thinks that there may be different kinds of runtime with varying capabilities - not sure how this may be described - deployment aspects vs execution of artifacts, for example.
Dave Booz asks for a volunteer to build a proposal

Action item: 20071210-03 Build a proposal for conformance targets - Ashok & Martin.

(Item 10) Open Issues

Issue #40: Fix SCA Policy schema complex types for Qualifier and PolicySet

No proposal on the table.

Issue #15 - External Policy Attachment

Ashok explains the proposal, as made in the email:
http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200711/msg00034.html

Mike Edwards: The XPath expression - is it meant to point to one particular place in one SCDL artifact or to many places?
Ashok: It is meant to apply to multiple places

Michael Rowley: Some of us think that the XPath multiple target identification is a good thing.

Mike Edwards: I'd really like to see examples of the use of this for the major usecases

Mike Edwards: What are the intents being used for in the <requires/> elements?

Ashok: These intents are applied (externally) to the target elements (as identified by the XPath) ...and the effect is the same as attaching those intents directly on the target elements

Dave Booz: So does XPath expression take into account both Hierarchies - SCDL hierarchy and Implementation hierarchy?

Ashok: Only applies to the SCDL

Dave Booz: PolicySets can include the various Policy elements - they are included here as well, which sounds like this new idea circumvents the PolicySet functionality

Ashok: Well, there is an issue open for this capability...regarding the need for external attachment

Dave Booz: We have open issues for operation and message level attachments. Can this proposal solve those issues - without the need for <operation/> elements?...ie do the attachment by reaching down in this XPath way down through the interface

Ashok: Yes, it looks like it could be used to do that, at least for WSDL interfaces

Action Item: 20071210-04 Action to add examples to Issue 15. Also try to address the operation & method artifacts. Ashok.

Issue #38 - Improve description of the overrides available to the two different hierarchies in SCA

Michael Rowley moves to close with no action

No second - motion fails.

Michael Rowley - Policy spec makes use of the XML hierarchy and describes the defaulting down the XML hierarchy. Other form of hierarchy is described in the Assembly spec - where components are implemented via composites which forms another type of hierarchy. The latter hierarchy does have policy implications - intents can be added or further qualified by the second hierarchy. There is no place that brings these together

Mike Edwards: I believe that some explanatory text is definitely required

Action Item: 20071210-05 Prepare the formal change text as a proposal for an update to the spec. Mike Edwards.

AOB

None

Next meeting 17th December 2007

Close of Business