

***DRAFT******SCA-Policy TC Teleconference******24 Mar 2008******Chair***

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

***Scribe***

Mike Edwards

***Attendees***

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Status</b>
Fred Carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Michael Rowley	BEA Systems, Inc.	Group Member
Jacques Durand	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Tom Rutt	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eisaku Nishiyama	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Michael Beisiegel	IBM	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Kaanu Joshi	Patni Computer Systems Ltd.	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## **Contents**

Resolutions.....	2
Actions .....	2
Agenda .....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing .....	3
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC .....	3
(Item 5) Action Items .....	3
(Item 6) New Issues .....	4
(Item 7) Existing Issues .....	4
ISSUE 15: External Attachment of Policy.....	4
Issue 42: InfoSet for policySet/@appliesTo.....	5
AOB .....	5

## **Resolutions**

**Resolution: Minutes of meetings for March 17<sup>th</sup> are accepted without change.**

## **Actions**

**20080324-01: Ashok will rework the proposal for Issue 15 along the lines agreed.**

## **Agenda**

1. Roll call

2. Appointment of minute taker: Mike Edwards

3. Agenda bashing

4. Vote to accept minutes from March 17 meeting

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00016.html>

5. ACTION ITEMS

a. 20080303-01: Mike E to rework Issue 38 proposal to remove rule 3.

b. 20080303-02: Mike E to raise new issue for implementation intents when composites used as an implementation.

c. 20080310-03: Dave B to create formal proposal for Issue 26

DONE: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00019.html>

d. Mike R to create a proposal for issue 42

e. 20080317-01: Ashok to send alternate proposal for issue 15.

Done: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00015.html>

## 6. New Issues

### 7. Issues

#### a. ISSUE 15: External Attachment of Policy

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200802/msg00023.html>

the document is in this email:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200802/msg00012.html>

#### b. Issue 38: Overrides in the SCA implementation hierarchy

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200802/msg00029.html>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200801/msg00019.html>

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-38>

#### c. ISSUE 26: Schema validateable authorization policy

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-26>

#### d. Issue 42: InfoSet for policySet/@appliesTo

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200712/msg00038.html>

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-42>

#### e. Issue 43: Use of intents from component type in policySet algorithm

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-43>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00008.html>

## 8. AOB

### 9. Any additions to the roll?

### ***(Item 3) Agenda Bashing***

No changes.

### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Minutes of March 17<sup>th</sup> posted to the list.

**Resolution: Minutes of meetings for March 17<sup>th</sup> are accepted without change.**

### ***(Item 5) Action Items***

20080303-01: Mike E to rework Issue 38 proposal to remove rule 3.

b. 20080303-02: Mike E to raise new issue for implementation intents when composites used as an implementation.

c. 20080310-03: Dave B to create formal proposal for Issue 26

DONE: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00019.html>

d. Mike R to create a proposal for issue 42

e. 20080317-01: Ashok to send alternate proposal for issue 15.

Done: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00015.html>

### ***(Item 6) New Issues***

None

### ***(Item 7) Existing Issues***

#### **ISSUE 15: External Attachment of Policy**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200802/msg00023.html>

the document is in this email:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200802/msg00012.html>

Ashok outlines his latest proposal document, as in this email:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00015.html>

Michael R queries whether the 3rd usecase example does what it claims to do - it applies the policySet to potentially other bindings other than binding.ws.

Ashok agrees that there is a problem

One possible solution is to repeat the binding lower in the infoSet. Another alternative is to have the portType as a child of the binding - results in policySets appearing in multiple places in the final result.

Ashok asks the question as to whether the binding and portType elements should be modified to more closely reflect their place in the WSDL schema - ie should binding and interface be peer elements?

Anish: we have to be careful about the distinction between wsdl 1.1 and wsdl 2.0 wrt bindings. WSDL 2.0 bindings can be independent of the interface (portType)

Comparison of these approaches with WSDL 1.1 - noted that it differs for WSDL 2.0

Ashok asks for which approach should we look at to make progress. Following was decided:

To create the infoSet, for the <interface> elements that are siblings of <binding> elements copy them as children of each binding element. In the copied interface only the contents of the portType are included.

**[20080324-01: Ashok will rework the proposal for Issue 15 along the lines agreed.](#)**

## **Issue 42: Info set for policySet/@appliesTo**

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200712/msg00038.html>

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-42>

Discussion concerning the meaning of Issue 42.

Michael R - this is covering the "pull" usecase - where Issue 15 deals with the "push" usecase. The idea is that @appliesTo could be used for some of the complex usecases for which the "push" mechanism is intended.

Dave Booz: there is also a presumption that the push model might override or ignore intents that might be present.

Discuss further on the email list...

## **AOB**

None.

Next meeting 31st March 2008

Close of Business