

FINAL**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****31 Mar 2008****Chair**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

| Name | Company | Status |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| fred carter | AmberPoint | Group Member |
| Dale Moberg | Axway Software* | Group Member |
| Michael Rowley | BEA Systems, Inc. | Group Member |
| Tom Rutt | Fujitsu Limited* | Group Member |
| Robert Freund | Hitachi, Ltd. | Group Member |
| Eisaku Nishiyama | Hitachi, Ltd. | Group Member |
| Eric Wells | Hitachi, Ltd. | Group Member |
| Michael Beisiegel | IBM | Group Member |
| David Booz | IBM | Group Member |
| Mike Edwards | IBM | Group Member |
| Anish Karmarkar | Oracle Corporation | Group Member |
| Rich Levinson | Oracle Corporation | Group Member |
| Ashok Malhotra | Oracle Corporation | Group Member |
| Vladislav Bezrukov | SAP AG* | Group Member |
| Fabian Ritzmann | Sun Microsystems | Group Member |
| Tai-Hsing Cha | TIBCO Software Inc. | Group Member |
| Murty Gurajada | TIBCO Software Inc. | Group Member |
| Pundalik Kudapkar | TIBCO Software Inc. | Group Member |

Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| Resolutions..... | 2 |
| Actions | 2 |
| Agenda | 2 |
| (Item 3) Agenda Bashing | 3 |
| (Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC | 3 |
| (Item 5) Action Items | 4 |
| (Item 6) New Issues | 4 |
| Issue 49 - Handling of Implementation Intents in the Implementation Hierarchy is not described | 4 |
| (Item 7) Existing Issues | 4 |
| ISSUE 15: External Attachment of Policy..... | 4 |
| (Item 8) Discussion of PolicySet Usecase | 5 |
| AOB | 6 |

Resolutions

**Resolution: Minutes of meetings for March 24th are
accepted without change.**

Resolution: Issue 49 is moved to open state.

Actions

**Action 20080331-01: (Dave B) Take an action to invent appropriate
wording for the sentence dealing with the structure of generated @uri
values.**

**Action 20080331-02: (Dave B) Takes an action either to find an existing
issue for Capabilities or to raise a new one.**

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Appointment of minute taker: Mike Edwards

3. Agenda bashing

4. Vote to accept minutes from March 24 meeting

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00020.html>

5. ACTION ITEMS

a. 20080303-01: Mike E to rework Issue 38 proposal to remove rule 3.

DONE: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00024.html>

b. 20080303-02: Mike E to raise new issue for implementation intents when composites used as an implementation.

DONE: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00025.html>

c. 20080317-01 and 20080324-01: Ashok to send alternate proposal for issue 15.

DONE: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00023.html>

6. New Issues

a. Issue 49 - Handling of Implementation Intents in the ImplementationHierarchy is not described

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00025.html>

7. Issues

a. ISSUE 15: External Attachment of Policy

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15>

The latest proposal is attached to::

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00023.html>

b. Issue 38: Overrides in the SCA implementation hierarchy

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-38>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00024.html>

c. ISSUE 26: Schema validateable authorization policy

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-26>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00019.html>

d. Issue 42: InfoSet for policySet/@appliesTo

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200712/msg00038.html>

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-42>

e. Issue 43: Use of intents from component type in policySet algorithm

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-43>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00008.html>

8. AOB

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

Ashok: Email which was sent to the list with a WS-Policy example – I would like us to spend some time on that.

Dave: Add that at the end of the meeting.

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes of March 24th posted to the list.

Michael Rowley: I'd like to request that the agenda contain a URL to the minutes on the OASIS site, please.

<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-policy/download.php/27686/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202008-03-24.pdf> for this week!

Resolution: Minutes of meetings for March 24th are accepted without change.

(Item 5) Action Items

All previous action items are complete.

(Item 6) New Issues

Issue 49 - Handling of Implementation Intents in the Implementation Hierarchy is not described

Mike E describes the new issue.

Mike E moves to accept the new issue

Michael R seconds

Accepted without objection

Resolution: Issue 49 is moved to open state.

(Item 7) Existing Issues

ISSUE 15: External Attachment of Policy

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15>

The latest proposal is attached to::

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00023.html>

Ashok outlines the changes in the latest proposal document.

Addressing the questions in the V3 document:

Mike E describes the issue with losing the @autowire attribute

Michael R takes the view that it will be much more common to attach policy on the final resolved wire (or wire target) than to apply it to something which is not yet resolved

Mike E suggests leaving the @autowire attribute on the references in the infoSet

Michael R suggests that the infoSet actually only has the @autowire on the references which are so affected (typically it cascades down from say the containing composite)

General agreement on this point - @autowire=true is placed onto each reference to which it applies - IN ADDITION to the target information
This is a change to first bullet under 2.

Dave Booz: need to make it clear that the info set is a deployment view and therefore the info set only changes when SCA artifacts are deployed or undeployed
- agreed

Mike E: How does having the @uri attributes on the (post process) components help?
(Comment #4)

Michael R - having the @uri enables naming individual component instances in a global sense, if you need to attach specific policy to a given component instance. It CAN be done with pure XPath, but it looks ugly.

Mike E: My concern is that there are more differences between the original and post-process info set - causing people to have to remember both

Dave B: Does the generated @uri contain names of composites - as bullet 4 says?

Michael R: No it does not.

Dave B: So can we change the words to make that clear?

Ashok: "a component whose implementation is a composite (macro composites)"

Anish: "implementation.composite components"

Ashok: Change to fourth bullet of 2.

Action 20080331-01: (Dave B) Take an action to invent appropriate wording for the sentence dealing with the structure of generated @uri values.

Dave B: 5th comment can be dropped as there is an Assembly issue open on this topic.

Michael R: Need to be clear that ALL of the information in the component type of an implementation is explicitly included into the component information (except where overridden).

Ashok: But component type never appears on its own

Michael R: We never got a response to the question "Is this proposal a good idea?" (irrespective of the details)

Dave B: From our perspective, we don't have a better alternative to propose for this functionality. But it is relatively complex, which is a concern.

(Item 8) Discussion of PolicySet Usecase

Informal discussion of the example raised by Ashok in the email:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00029.html>

Consider a policySet that includes/references the following Policy

```
<wsp:Policy Name="combined assertions">
  <wsp:ExactlyOne>
    <wsp:All>
      <!-- security assertion -->
      <!-- rm assertion ->
    </wsp:All>
    <wsp:All>
      <!-- security assertion -->
    </wsp:All>
  </wsp:ExactlyOne>
</wsp:Policy>
```

What should its @provides say?

Michael R: @provides="securityintent" and nothing for reliable messaging
- since there is no guarantee that you will get the reliable messaging piece. Note that it is the DEPLOYER is providing options here. This relates closely to the concept of "capabilities", that have been hinted at in various discussions.

Ashok has some more examples, which he will send to the mailing list

Michael R: Do we have an issue for Capabilities?

Action 20080331-02: (Dave B) Takes an action either to find an existing issue for Capabilities or to raise a new one.

AOB

None.

Next meeting 7th April 2008
Close of Business