

***DRAFT******SCA-Policy TC Teleconference******28 Apr 2008******Chair***

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

***Scribe***

Mike Edwards

***Attendees***

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Status</b>
fred carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Michael Rowley	BEA Systems, Inc.	Group Member
Jacques Durand	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Kaanu Joshi	Patni Computer Systems Ltd.	Group Member
Vladislav Bezrukov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Sanjay Patil	SAP AG*	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## **Contents**

Resolutions.....	2
Actions .....	2
Agenda .....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing .....	3
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC .....	4
(Item 5) Action Items .....	4
(Item 6) Artifact URI Proposal .....	4
(Item 6) New Issues .....	4
(Item 7) Existing Issues .....	5
Issue 15 External Policy Attachment.....	5
AOB .....	6

## **Resolutions**

**Resolution: Minutes of TC meeting of 21<sup>st</sup> April are accepted**

**Resolution: The Artifact URI proposal as defined in the Liaison committee's recommendation ArtifactURI\_v3.doc is accepted unanimously.**

## **Actions**

### **Agenda**

1. Roll call
2. Appointment of minute taker: Mike Edwards
3. Agenda bashing
4. Vote to accept minutes from April 21, 2008 meeting  
<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-policy/download.php/28039/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202008-04-21.pdf>
5. ACTION ITEMS
  - a. Action 20080421-01: (Ashok, Mike E) Produce writeups of section 4.10 based on the 2 different approaches to push vs pull mechanisms in response to Issue 15
6. Artifact URI proposal
  - a. <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00041.html>
  - b. action on editors to adjust to this new proposal

7. FYI - Assembly Issue 39:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00039.html>

8. New Issues

a. None

9. Issues

a. Issue 15 - External Policy Attachment

InfoSet proposal:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00012.html>

Address WSDL interfaces via IRI:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00040.html>

Level set issue progress:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00042.html>

b. Issue 49: Handling of Implementation Intents in the Implementation Hierarchy is not described. We need a proposal.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-49>

c. Issue 33: The ability to express capabilities via intents.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-33>

d. ISSUE 26: Schema validateable authorization policy

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-26>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00019.html>

e. Issue 42: InfoSet for policySet/@appliesTo

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200712/msg00038.html>

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-42>

f. Issue 43: Use of intents from component type in policySet algorithm

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-43>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200803/msg00008.html>

10. AOB

11. Any additions to the roll?

**(Item 3) Agenda Bashing**

No changes

#### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Minutes of TC meeting of 21<sup>st</sup> April

**Resolution: Minutes of TC meeting of 21<sup>st</sup> April are accepted**

#### ***(Item 5) Action Items***

a. Action 20080421-01: (Ashok, Mike E) Produce writeups of section 4.10 based on the 2 different approaches to push vs pull mechanisms in response to Issue 15  
Still outstanding.

#### ***(Item 6) Artifact URI Proposal***

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00041.html>

As agreed by the Liaison committee

Ashok: Example: This Version for SCA Assembly CD 01 Rev 2 prose specification  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-assembly/sca-assembly-1.1-spec-cd01-rev2.pdf>

Ashok: Latest Version Filename Format: sca-[productName]-[versionNum]-[docType].[ext]

Dave B outlines the proposal

Ashok: Example: Latest Version for SCA Assembly prose specification  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-assembly/sca-assembly-1.1-spec.pdf>

Anish - discusses the differences between the proposal and Policy current practice

Anish moves to accept the Liaison committee's proposal as contained in  
ArtifactURI\_v3.doc  
Mike E seconds

Accepted Unanimously

**Resolution: The Artifact URI proposal as defined in the Liaison committee's recommendation ArtifactURI\_v3.doc is accepted unanimously.**

#### ***(Item 6) New Issues***

None

## ***(Item 7) Existing Issues***

### **Issue 15 External Policy Attachment**

InfoSet proposal:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00012.html>

Address WSDL interfaces via IRI:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00040.html>

Level set issue progress:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200804/msg00042.html>

Dave B points out the level-set email and asks if there are any further matters that need to be factored into the resolution plan.

Dave B asks if external attachment used to apply bindings is within the scope of the Policy TC

Michael R indicates that it should be regarded as in scope as a kind of "Policy" decision

Ashok - sounds like a slippery slope - could you add a new service, for example

Michael R - it is really those sorts of things that are the province of the deployer

Michael R - think capabilities should also be factored into the discussion

(Mike E thought - capabilities can be derived in external attachment by examining 2 ends of a wire and adjusting policy to fit )

Ashok - exact XML structure also needs pinning down too

(Michael R) URIs should also be considered - they are in the deployer's province.

RI Discussion point - as posted to the list by Ashok

Ashok outlines the material covered in the email

Anish: WSDL 1.1 element identifier:

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/NOTE-wsdl11elementidentifiers-20070720/>

Michael R - how does the IRI proposal fit in with the XPath based scheme currently proposed

Ashok - the IRIs could be contained within some form of XPath function, for example

Dave - will update the material in the list and post to the email list - hope discussion will follow on the list.

## ***AOB***

Next meeting 5th May 2008  
Close of Business