

**FINAL****SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****28 July 2008****Chair**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

**Scribe**

Mike Edwards

**Attendees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Status</b>
fred carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Tom Rutt	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Kaanu Joshi	Patni Computer Systems Ltd.	Group Member
Sanjay Patil	SAP AG*	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## **Contents**

Resolutions.....	2
Actions .....	2
Agenda .....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing .....	4
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC .....	4
(Item 5) TC Meeting Logistics .....	4
(Item 6) Schedule for Work Completion .....	4
(Item 7) Action Items .....	5
(Item 7) New Issues .....	5
(Item 8) Existing Issues .....	5
Issue 15 External Policy Attachment.....	5
AOB .....	6

## **Resolutions**

**Resolution: Minutes of TC meeting of 21st July are accepted**  
**Resolution: Aug 4<sup>th</sup> TC meeting is cancelled**  
**Resolution: Dates for next F2F meeting are moved to October 2/3**

## **Actions**

**Action 20080728-01: Mike Edwards to raise a new issue to deal with the question of multiple PolicySets attached to one element in the SCDL**

## **Agenda**

1. Roll call
2. Appointment of minute taker: Mike Edwards
3. Agenda bashing
4. Vote to accept minutes from July 21, 2008 meeting  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00036.html>
5. TC Logistics:
  - a. August 4 telecon is cancelled
  - b. Next f2f meeting Set 30, Oct 1. Hosted by Hitachi in Boston, MA
  - c. Can we switch dates with the Assembly TC and move the f2f to Oct 2,3 ?

## 6. Schedule for completion of work

### a. reference email sent by Anish

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00041.html>

## 7. ACTION ITEMS

a. Anish and Sanjay to write up a proposal for using External Attachment as the ONLY way to attach policies and bindings.

b. Sanjay to write a proposal for issue 49 based on using External Attachment.

c. Ashok to provide non-normative text to close issue 53

d. Dave Booz to provide proposal for issue 33

## 8. New Issues

### 9. Issues

#### a. Issue 15 External Policy Attachment.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00005.html>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00037.html>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00038.html>

#### b. Issues 52 and 55

Policy algorithm gets required intents from what interfaces definitions/declarations?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-52>

Clarify the handling of intents

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-55>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00015.html>

#### c. Issue 42: Infoset for policySet/@appliesTo

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200712/msg00038.html>

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-42>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200806/msg00021.html>

#### d. Issue 23 Policy attachment at the message level

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-23>

Depends on Issue 15

#### e. Remove element from specification

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-58>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00003.html>

#### f. Issue 54: Wire validation rules have changed

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-54>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200806/msg00017.html>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200806/msg00018.html>

#### g. ISSUE 52: Policy algorithm gets required intents from what interfaces definitions/declarations?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-52>

h. Issue 49: Handling of Implementation Intents in the Implementation Hierarchy is not described. We need a proposal.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-49>

Pending action.

i. Issue 33: The ability to express capabilities via intents.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-33>

j. Issue 53: How do we tell what a policySet provides?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-53>

10. Discuss next CD dates (target issue content)

11. AOB

12. Any additions to the roll?

### ***(Item 3) Agenda Bashing***

No changes

### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Minutes of TC meeting of 21st July

**Resolution: Minutes of TC meeting of 21st July are accepted**

### ***(Item 5) TC Meeting Logistics***

August 4<sup>th</sup> meeting is cancelled

**Resolution: Aug 4<sup>th</sup> TC meeting is cancelled**

F2F meeting of Sept 30<sup>th</sup> – agreed to switch the 2 day meeting with that of the Assembly F2F at the request of the Assembly TC – so the dates are now 2/3 October.

**Resolution: Dates for next F2F meeting are moved to October 2/3**

### ***(Item 6) Schedule for Work Completion***

Dave B discusses that he & Ashok will work on a detailed schedule for future work, with points for things to be done.

Martin: Note that a "higher bar for issues" does not extend to stuff raised as a result of public review

### **(Item 7) Action Items**

- a. Anish and Sanjay to write up a proposal for using External Attachment as the ONLY way to attach policies and bindings.
- b. Sanjay to write a proposal for issue 49 based on using External Attachment.
- c. Ashok to provide non-normative text to close issue 53
- d. Dave Booz to provide proposal for issue 33

No progress on any of these issues.

### **(Item 7) New Issues**

None

### **(Item 8) Existing Issues**

#### **Issue 15 External Policy Attachment.**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15>  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00005.html>  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00037.html>  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200807/msg00038.html>

Mike Edwards continues with the proposal document  
(Issue15\_Alternative\_Proposal\_03.doc) from Line 227 "Inheritance of Policy Sets from Ancestor Elements"

Ashok queries the usefulness of having both @appliesTo and @attachTo (lines 114 - 126)

Sanjay - do you really need <policyAttachment/> as well as @attachTo within the PolicySet?

Dave B: I think you need both attachment mechanisms, for simple usecases, @attachTo in the policy set is OK, but for complex cases, it makes the PolicySet less reusable - separating the attachment makes it more flexible and reusable

Sanjay - it does not say that @attachTo on <PolicyAttachment/> overrides the @attachTo in the referenced PolicySet

Mike E: OK, point noted

Dave B: I think that @attachTo within a policy set does not scale for the complex cases - that requires a separate <PolicyAttachment/> element

Ashok: (lines 242 - 279) Ws-Policy discussed this problem but ended up not doing anything about it

Sanjay: Why are we worrying about the possibility of two or more PolicySets clashing - just let the policy administrator sort it out by using appropriate Xpath expressions for attachment

Mike E: Yes, that is one possible valid approach - just puts more of a burden on the administrators

Ashok: Should we raise this question of multiple PolicySets as a separate Issue?

**Action 20080728-01: Mike Edwards to raise a new issue to deal with the question of multiple PolicySets attached to one element in the SCDL**

Mike E: Line 280 - XPath Functions

Ashok - Michael Rowley raised this question originally

Ashok: WSDL has a WSDL fragment scheme that allows identification of Operations and Messages - we could use the here

Reached the end of the document (line 349)

Ashok: We should go over the proposal again next time - we're not ready to vote on it yet, I don't think

**AOB**

Next meeting 11<sup>th</sup> Aug  
Close of Business