

FINAL**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****19 January 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
fred carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Michael Beisiegel	IBM	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Sila Kissuu	IBM	Group Member
Meeraj Kunnumpurath	Individual	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Sanjay Patil	SAP AG*	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Fabian Ritzmann	Sun Microsystems	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions	2
Agenda	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing	3
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC	3
(Item 5) TC Logistics.....	3
(Item 6) Action Items.....	4
(Item 7) New Issues	4
Issue 64 Policy Specification is missing formal definitions of the various Intents	4
Issue 65 Where can interaction intents be attached? Where can implementation intents be attached?.....	5
Issue 66: Tighten XML Schema for Intent Definition.....	5
(Item 8) Existing Issues	5
ISSUE-62: RFC2119 text updates	5
Issue Status Reporting - for Liaison Committee.....	6
AOB	6

Resolutions

Resolution: Issue 64 is opened

Resolution: Issue 65 is opened

Resolution: Issue 66 is opened

Formatted
Numbering

Actions

None

Agenda

1. Roll call
2. Confirm minute taker, Mike Edwards
3. Agenda bashing
4. Meeting Minutes
Vote to accept minutes from Jan 12, 2009 meeting
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00019.html>
5. TC Logistics:
 - a. Recording issue status - 5 Open
 - b. F2F proposal - Jan 28,29 at Oracle in Redwood Shores
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200812/msg00000.html>
6. ACTION ITEMS

20081124-01: Rich L & Ashok - Build the detailed proposal for the resolution of Issue 57

20090105-01: Dave B to write up spec text for Issue 33 based on latest proposal

20090112-01: (Mike E) Raise an Issue regarding lack of formal XML definition of Intents defined in the Policy spec.

20090112-02: (Dave B) To formally respond to liaison committee with the text held in the email referenced above.

DONE

20090112-02: (Ashok) Raise an issue regarding the places where interaction intents can be attached

7. New Issues

None

8. Issue Discussion

a. ISSUE-62: RFC2119 text updates

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-62>

Proposal:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00018.html>

b. ISSUE-33: Capabilities

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-33>

Proposal:

c. ISSUE 57: Fine grain authorization intent

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-57>

Proposal:

9. AOB

a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Jan 12th minutes.

Action items for last meeting all had year 2008 in them - need to update these

Need to add Fabian Ritzmann to the roll

- leave approval of the minutes to next week

(Item 5) TC Logistics

There are 3 Open Issues

(Item 6) Action Items

20081124-01: Rich L & Ashok - Build the detailed proposal for the resolution of Issue 57
Target for F2F

20090105-01: Dave B to write up spec text for Issue 33 based on latest proposal
Outstanding

20090112-01: (Mike E) Raise an Issue regarding lack of formal XML definition of
Intents defined in the Policy spec.

Outstanding

d. 20090112-02: (Dave B) To formally respond to liaison committee with the text held in
the email referenced above.

DONE

e. 20090112-02: (Ashok) Raise an issue regarding the places where
interaction intents can be attached

Outstanding

Discussion relating to email relating to action item 20090112-02:

Dave Booz: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00024.html>

Discussion of the distinction between the term "attach" and the term "appliesTo"

attach = where something is logically attached in the SCDL structure

apply = the element(s) in the SCDL structure to which the policy intents are meant to
apply to, irrespective of where they are attached (ie "flow down" the hierarchy)

So; implementation intents CAN apply to bindings if the idea is to affect the way in
which those bindings operate

Dave Booz: email with reference to usage of impl intents applying to a binding:

Dave Booz: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00027.html>

Ashok - should not allow implementation intents to be attached to <service/> <binding/>
<reference/>

Ashok - Should we open an issue for this?

Dave: Yes

Sanjay: Did we ever have an implementation intent for logging / auditing?

Ashok: No - only ever used as examples - no formal intents for that...

(Item 7) New Issues

Issue 64 Policy Specification is missing formal definitions of the various Intents

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-64>

Description:

The SCA Policy specification describes a series of Intents. However, there are no formal
XML definitions of these Intents. There should be full XML definitions of every Intent
defined in the SCA Policy specification.

Mike E moves to open Issue 64

Ashok seconds

Mike E: eg

<definitions xmlns="http://www.osoa.org/xmlns/sca/1.0" >

```
<intent name="atLeastOnce" appliesTo="sca:binding">
<description>
This intent is used to indicate that a message sent
by a client is always delivered to the component.
</description>
</intent>
```

...they would look something like that

Motion is accepted - Issue 64 is opened.

Resolution: Issue 64 is opened

Issue 65 Where can interaction intents be attached? Where can implementation intents be attached?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-65>

Ashok moves to Open Issue 65

Sanjay seconds

Motion is accepted. Issue 65 is opened.

Resolution: Issue 65 is opened

Issue 66: Tighten XML Schema for Intent Definition

Dave Booz: <http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-66>

Ashok explains the rationale for Issue 66

Ashok moves to Open Issue 66

Sanjay seconds

Motion is accepted - Issue 66 is opened.

Resolution: Issue 66 is opened

(Item 8) Existing Issues

ISSUE-62: RFC2119 text updates

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-62>

Proposal:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00018.html>

Dave: I have reviewed it and I have some changes that are needed, but have not yet had time to do the updates

Ashok: This issue would be a good item for the F2F

Dave: Look at CD01-Rev13

...there is a chapter on Reliability policy - Ch 8 in Rev13

... there are no normative statements in that chapter

Mike E: that section has the word "guarantees" all over it - isn't that equivalent to "MUST"

Issue Status Reporting - for Liaison Committee

6 open issues
3 opened today
0 closed today

AOB

Next meeting 28th Jan F2F
Close of Business