

DRAFT***SCA-Policy TC Teleconference******30 March 2009******Chairs***

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
fred carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Jeff Mischkinsky	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions	2
Agenda	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing	3
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC	3
(Item 5) TC Logistics	4
(Item 6) CD02 and PRD Status Update	4
(Item 7) Action Items.....	4
(Item 8) New issues	4
(Item 9) Testing Discussion	4
AOB	5
Issue Status Reporting - for Liaison Committee.....	5

Resolutions

**Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of March 23rd
are accepted**

Actions

**Action 20090330-01: (Dave) Raise an issue against POL30011 that the
wording is ambiguous**

**Action 20090330-02: (Dave) Raise an issue against POL30013 to remove
the comment "Qualified intents are a subset of their parent qualifiable
intent." which is more a comment**

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from Mar 23 2009

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00020.html>

5. TC Logistics:

a. Recording issue status - 3 Open

b. Easter Holiday - April 13

6. CD02 and PRD status update

a. Updated documents sent to Mary as per Mar 9 telecon. Projected date to begin PR is approximately April 6.

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00019.html>

7. ACTION ITEMS: None

8. New Issues

None

9. Testing Discussion

a. Review latest pass at test assertion examples

Latest document: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00027.html>

Latest discussion: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00040.html>

10. Issue Discussion

a. ISSUE 72: Nesting should be removed from Qualifer XSD type

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-72>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00029.html>

b. ISSUE 73: XPath samples are invalid by syntax

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-73>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00030.html>

c. ISSUE 74: What does @requires and/or @policySets mean on properties

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-74>

11. AOB

a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

Ashok: Eric sent out a document relating to Testing this morning. We have to decide which one to look at.

I will send out a consolidated document next week.

Dave: Eric's is the one linked in the second link under Item 9

Dave: Testing has a higher priority at the moment.

No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes from 23rd March 2009

Minutes are accepted without changes

**Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of March 23rd
are accepted**

(Item 5) TC Logistics

a) 3 Open Issues

b) Easter holiday

April 13

Leave the April 13th call as scheduled.

(Item 6) CD02 and PRD Status Update

Projected PR publication date is April 6

(Item 7) Action Items

None

(Item 8) New issues

None

(Item 9) Testing Discussion

Review Eric's version of the document found here:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00040.html>

PolicyTests-EJW.doc

POL-TA-30001

Eric: No idea how to test this one.

Simon H: There may be examples in the Bindings spec which do show where there are conflicts - I will do some investigation and post to the list

POL-TA-30004

Eric: I think that there is an issue with the normative statement about the @default attribute of an <intent/> - I will raise this.

POL-TA-30006

Mike: I'm confused by the prerequisite statement - <intent/> with @requires IS a profile intent, but the target is a "profile intent"

Ashok: How about removing the word "profile" from the predicate and prerequisite fields and add explanation in parentheses in the target description

Ashok: I'll do that update

Eric: Same will apply to POL-TA-30007

POL-TA-30007

Mike: I think the target is not an SCA Runtime, rather it is the element with a profile intent attached to it - the problem then reduces to writing a test that probes what (atomic) intents apply to that element - and I can envisage a (complex) testcase that can do that.

Eric: OK, will change the target

Skip POL-TA-30008 (affected by outstanding issue)
Also skip POL-TA-30010

POL-TA-30011

Eric: The spec is unclear here on whether ALL the intents listed in the @provides attribute must be satisfied

Dave: Yes, this needs an issue

Action 20090330-01: (Dave) Raise an issue against POL30011 that the wording is ambiguous

POL-TA-30013

Action 20090330-02: (Dave) Raise an issue against POL30013 to remove the comment "Qualified intents are a subset of their parent qualifiable intent." which is more a comment

POL-TA-30015

POL-TA-30016

POL-TA-30017

Dave: We need to update POL-TA-30002 to talk about QNames of intents also.

Eric: That means we need an issue for the spec

Dave: No - the spec also talks about QNames

POL-TA-30018

<Discussion about whether there should be a normative statement relating to the XPath expression having to identify a valid SCA element>

- Restart the discussion at POL-TA-30020 -

Eric - we may want to skip 20, 21, 22 as they are affected by Issue 72

AOB

None

Issue Status Reporting - for Liaison Committee

3 open issues

0 opened today

0 closed today

Next meeting 6th April

Close of Business