

FINAL**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****01 June 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
Fred Carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Table of Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions.....	2
Agenda.....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....	3
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....	4
(Item 5) TC Administritivia.....	4
(Item 6) Public Review status.....	4
(Item 7) Action Items.....	4
(Item 8) New issues.....	4
(Item 9) Blocking Issues.....	4
(Item 10) Testing Discussions.....	4
POL-TA-9003	4
POL-TA-90004	4
POL-TA-90006	4
POL-TA-90007	5
POL-TA-90008	5
POL-TA-90009	5
POL-TA-90009B	5
POL-TA-90010	5
POL-TA-90011A	5
POL-TA-90011B	5
POL-TA-90012	5
POL-TA-90013	5
POL-TA-90014A	5
POL-TA-90015	6
POL-TA-90016	6
POL-TA-90017	6
AOB.....	6

Resolutions

Minutes of Policy TC meeting of May 18th are accepted

Actions

ACTION 20090601-01: Mike E to produce words for POL-TA-90007

ACTION 20090601-02: Dave to reconsider POL90014 and make recommendations

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from May 18 2009

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00038.html>

5. TC Administrivia:

a. Recording issue status - 7 Open

6. PRD status update

a. No issues from PR comment list

b. PR status- Public Review started on April 24, ends June 23.

7. ACTION ITEMS:

a. 2009-05-04-1 status=pending owner="DaveB" Consider POL-40010 further for addition TA or modify

8. New Issues

a. None

9. Blocking Issue Discussion

None

10. Testing Discussion

a. Review latest pass at test assertion examples

Ashok Update: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00040.html>

11. Additional Issue Discussion

a. Policy content in the Assembly spec:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00003.html>

b. ISSUE 73: XPath samples are invalid by syntax

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-73>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00039.html>

c. ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-76>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200904/msg00015.html>

12. AOB

a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

No changes.

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes from 18th May 2009

Minutes are accepted without changes

Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of May 18th are accepted

(Item 5) TC Administrivia

7 open issues

(Item 6) Public Review status

No new public comments received

(Item 7) Action Items

2009-05-04-1 status=pending owner="DaveB" Consider POL-40010 further for addition TA or modify

(Item 8) New issues

No new issues

(Item 9) Blocking Issues

No blocking issues

(Item 10) Testing Discussions

See SCA_Policy_Test_Assertions_May-19-2009.doc

POL-TA-9003

Mike E: I don't like the target being "SCA Runtime" - that is a general statement, but it applies here

Mike E: Here the target is really a service implementation - and the check is that the service implementation is running under a global transaction when it executes a service operation

POL-TA-90004

Ashok: Same change from SCA Runtime as the target to the service implementation executing a service operation

Ashok: This is the same as 90003 but for local transaction rather than globale

POL-TA-90006

EricW: I'm still not sure you cannot test the runtime, but I don't have any specific comments...

EricW: I think it's a much bigger discussion

Mike E: Test need to deal in specific measureable things

Dave: I'd prefer this bigger discuss to go in the Wednesday call

Ashok: I'd prefer to change the target of this one to a service implementation

Eric: OK

POL-TA-90007

Mike: I'm confused by the layout here
Need to clarify the scenario

ACTION 20090601-01: Mike E to produce words for POL-TA-90007

POL-TA-90008

Dave: I think that the pre-req is that the component invokes the reference that is marked @OneWay
- and that the message is not sent until the client component transaction commits

POL-TA-90009

Ashok: OK, the target is a component that has a reference marked with @transactedOneWay

POL-TA-90009B

Target is component with a reference marked as @transactedOneWay
prerequisite is that the Binding used for not support transactional message sending

POL-TA-90010

Here the target is a component with a service marked @transactedOneWay

POL-TA-90011A

Mike E: Is there a positive version of this one? ie component with service marked @transactedOneWay - Predicate: Component is configured to run under a global transaction

Ashok: I didn't want to lose the negative test that checks for the error
- we can write a positive test as well
Ashok will add a positive test

POL-TA-90011B

Ashok: Should have positive & negative versions of this TA

POL-TA-90012

Dave: word this similar to TA-90008
The source has a typo - should be POL90012

POL-TA-90013

Again, should be like TA-90008

POL-TA-90014A

Ashok: Don't follow what is going on here
Dave: Trying to deal with the case of a component marked transactional but with a service marked immediateOneWay

- service operations received on that service will proceed irrespective of transactional state

Dave: Should we split the spec statement POL90014 into multiple statements?

ACTION 20090601-02: Dave to reconsider POL90014 and make recommendations

POL-TA-90015

Mike E: I don't follow the Predicate - should it not say that the service invocation must take place under the (propagated) client transaction?

Ashok: Agreed

Dave Booz: I just fell off the telecon

POL-TA-90016

Mike: Should say that the binding is incapable of TRANSMITTING a transaction context

Dave: No, it is correct to say "receiving" in this context

POL-TA-90017

Ashok: What happens when messages arrive at the component service?

Dave: So this is about the context that is used to execute the service operations - the messages from the client are received and processed, but they don't execute under the propagated client transaction

Predicate - service component operations do not run under the client propagated transaction

AOB

None

Next meeting 8th June

Close of Business