

**FINAL****SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****01 June 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

**Scribe**

Mike Edwards

**Attendees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Status</b>
Fred Carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">Resolutions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Actions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Agenda.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 6) Public Review status.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 7) Action Items.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 8) New issues.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 9) Blocking Issues.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 10) Testing Discussions.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-9003.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90004.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90006.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90007.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90008.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90009.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90009B.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90010.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90011A.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90011B.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90012.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90013.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90014A.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90015.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90016.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">POL-TA-90017.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">AOB.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>

### **Resolutions**

**Minutes of Policy TC meeting of May 18th are accepted**

### **Actions**

ACTION 20090601-01: Mike E to produce words for POL-TA-90007

ACTION 20090601-02: Dave to reconsider POL90014 and make recommendations

### **Agenda**

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from May 18 2009

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00038.html>

5. TC Administrivia:

a. Recording issue status - 7 Open

6. PRD status update

a. No issues from PR comment list

b. PR status- Public Review started on April 24, ends June 23.

7. ACTION ITEMS:

a. 2009-05-04-1 status=pending owner="DaveB" Consider POL-40010 further for addition TA or modify

8. New Issues

a. None

9. Blocking Issue Discussion

None

10. Testing Discussion

a. Review latest pass at test assertion examples

Ashok Update: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00040.html>

11. Additional Issue Discussion

a. Policy content in the Assembly spec:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00003.html>

b. ISSUE 73: XPath samples are invalid by syntax

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-73>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00039.html>

c. ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-76>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200904/msg00015.html>

12. AOB

a. straggler roll

**(Item 3) Agenda Bashing**

No changes.

#### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Minutes from 18<sup>th</sup> May 2009

Minutes are accepted without changes

**Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of May 18th are accepted**

#### ***(Item 5) TC Administrivia***

7 open issues

#### ***(Item 6) Public Review status***

No new public comments received

#### ***(Item 7) Action Items***

2009-05-04-1 status=pending owner="DaveB" Consider POL-40010 further for addition TA or modify

#### ***(Item 8) New issues***

No new issues

#### ***(Item 9) Blocking Issues***

No blocking issues

#### ***(Item 10) Testing Discussions***

See SCA\_Policy\_Test\_Assertions\_May-19-2009.doc

#### **POL-TA-9003**

Mike E: I don't like the target being "SCA Runtime" - that is a general statement, but it applies here

Mike E: Here the target is really a service implementation - and the check is that the service implementation is running under a global transaction when it executes a service operation

#### **POL-TA-90004**

Ashok: Same change from SCA Runtime as the target to the service implementation executing a service operation

Ashok: This is the same as 90003 but for local transaction rather than globale

#### **POL-TA-90006**

EricW: I'm still not sure you cannot test the runtime, but I don't have any specific comments...

EricW: I think it's a much bigger discussion

Mike E: Test need to deal in specific measureable things

Dave: I'd prefer this bigger discuss to go in the Wednesday call

Ashok: I'd prefer to change the target of this one to a service implementation

Eric: OK

### **POL-TA-90007**

Mike: I'm confused by the layout here  
Need to clarify the scenario

**ACTION 20090601-01: Mike E to produce words for POL-TA-90007**

### **POL-TA-90008**

Dave: I think that the pre-req is that the component invokes the reference that is marked @OneWay  
- and that the message is not sent until the client component transaction commits

### **POL-TA-90009**

Ashok: OK, the target is a component that has a reference marked with @transactedOneWay

### **POL-TA-90009B**

Target is component with a reference marked as @transactedOneWay  
prerequisite is that the Binding used for not support transactional message sending

### **POL-TA-90010**

Here the target is a component with a service marked @transactedOneWay

### **POL-TA-90011A**

Mike E: Is there a positive version of this one? ie component with service marked @transactedOneWay - Predicate: Component is configured to run under a global transaction

Ashok: I didn't want to lose the negative test that checks for the error  
- we can write a positive test as well  
Ashok will add a positive test

### **POL-TA-90011B**

Ashok: Should have positive & negative versions of this TA

### **POL-TA-90012**

Dave: word this similar to TA-90008  
The source has a typo - should be POL90012

### **POL-TA-90013**

Again, should be like TA-90008

### **POL-TA-90014A**

Ashok: Don't follow what is going on here

Dave: Trying to deal with the case of a component marked transactional but with a service marked immediateOneWay

- service operations received on that service will proceed irrespective of transactional state

Dave: Should we split the spec statement POL90014 into multiple statements?

**ACTION 20090601-02: Dave to reconsider POL90014 and make recommendations**

**POL-TA-90015**

Mike E: I dont follow the Predicate - should it not say that the service invocation must take place under the (propagated) client transaction?

Ashok: Agreed

Dave Booz: i just fell off the telecon

**POL-TA-90016**

Mike: Should say that the binding is incapable of TRANSMITTING a transaction context

Dave: No, it is correct to say "receiving" in this context

**POL-TA-90017**

Ashok: What happens when messages arrive at the component service?

Dave: So this is about the context that is used to execute the service operations - the messages from the client are received and processed, but they don't execute under the propagated client transaction

Predicate - service component operations do not run under the client propagated transaction

**AOB**

None

Next meeting 8th June

Close of Business