

DRAFT**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****20 July 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Table of Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions.....	2
Agenda.....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....	4
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....	4
(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....	4
(Item 6) Public Review status.....	4
(Item 7) Action Items.....	4
(Item 8) New Issues.....	4
 ISSUE 100: POL30020 is incorrect	4
 ISSUE 101: EJB Binding spec (SCA-J TC) has defined a new intent - EJB	5
(Item 9) Existing issues.....	5
(Item 10) Testing discussion.....	5
AOB.....	6

Resolutions

Issue 100 is opened.

Issue 101 is opened.

Actions

ACTION 20090727-01: Dave to raise an issue against the Policy spec to deal with the inconsistency relating to the support of intents

ACTION 20090727-02: Dave to remove the 2nd prereq from POL-TA-80001 & POL-TA-80002 & POL-TA-80003

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from July 20 2009

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33461/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-07-20.pdf>

5. TC Administrivia:

a. Recording issue status - 15 Open

6. PRD status update

a. 9 issues from PR 01 comment list that need responses

7. ACTION ITEMS:

a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95

b. 20090720-01: status=done; Rich & Ashok to create some clarifying words for intents, qualified intents and their meaning

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00051.html>

c. 20090720-02: status=done; Ashok to raise an issue against POL30020 and its implied requirement for an intentMap if there is a qualifiable intent

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100>

ACTION 20090720-03: Mike to add a new TA for the implementation policy case of POL40004

ACTION 20090720-04: Dave - strike last row of POL-TA-40021

8. New Issues

a. ISSUE 100: POL30020 is incorrect

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100>

b. ISSUE 101: EJB Binding spec (SCA-J TC) has defined a new intent - EJB

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-101>

9. Blocking Issue Discussion

None

10. Testing Discussion

a. Complete the review of latest test assertion document

Latest Document Update: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00026.html>

11. Additional Issue Discussion

a. ISSUE 97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-97>

Latest Discussion: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00051.html>

b. Policy content in the Assembly spec:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00003.html>

c. ISSUE 73: XPath samples are invalid by syntax

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-73>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00039.html>

d. ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-76>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200904/msg00015.html>

12. AOB
a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes from 20 July 2009

Minutes are accepted without changes

Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of July 20th are accepted

(Item 5) TC Administrivia

15 open issues

(Item 6) Public Review status

9 issues received from PR 01 comment list that need responses.

(Item 7) Action Items

a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95

b. 20090720-01: status=done; Rich & Ashok to create some clarifying words for intents, qualified intents and their meaning

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00051.html>

c. 20090720-02: status=done; Ashok to raise an issue against POL30020 and its implied requirement for an intentMap if there is a qualifiable intent

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100>

ACTION 20090720-03: status=pending Mike to add a new TA for the implementation policy case of POL40004

ACTION 20090720-04: status=pending Dave - strike last row of POL-TA-40021

(Item 8) New Issues

ISSUE 100: POL30020 is incorrect

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100>

Ashok presents the issue

Ashok moves to open Issue 100

Rich seconds

Motion passes unanimously.

Resolution: Issue 100 is opened.

ISSUE 101: EJB Binding spec (SCA-J TC) has defined a new intent - EJB

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-101>

Dave presents the issue

Issue is raised by the SCA-J TC

Dave moves to open Issue 101.

Simon Holdsworth seconds.

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 101 is opened.

(Item 9) Existing issues

(Item 10) Testing discussion

Latest Document Update:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00026.html>

Document: SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-01_dab11July2009_mje.doc

Later discussion of this document:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00028.html>

Highlights in the document:

2 New POL-TA-400xx added for POL40019

POL-TA-40029

POL-TA-40030

POL-TA-40031

POL-TA-40032

POL-TA-40033

POL-TA-40034

Dave: This one and the next TA deal with 2 alternative runtime cases that are described in the spec

POL-TA-40035

POL-TA-50001

Just rewordings in this one

POL-TA-70001

Are these statements saying that the intents are optional?

<Discussion about whether valid tests can be derived - since the actual function is not mandatory>

Ashok: Don't you (Mike) want to raise an issue on this point? That intents must be satisfied...otherwise we should mark all of these optional

EricW: If TC's are unimplementable then how can they be mandatory?

Mike: It is simply a question of the TAs being mandatory but that we don't have the resources to implement an actual testcase - the TAs are perfectly valid

Dave: My original question stems from the statement that support for the intents is optional

Eric: Conformance statement in Section 11 has problems

EricW: What deos it mean to support an intent? - Need a definition?

ACTION 20090727-01: Dave to raise an issue against the Policy spec to deal with the inconsistency relating to the support of intents

Changes in section 7 are simply rewordings

Section 8

POL-TA-80001

Ashok - I would remove the 2nd prereq from these (ie there is a failure...)

ACTION 20090727-02: Dave to remove the 2nd prereq from POL-TA-80001 & POL-TA-80002 & POL-TA-80003

EricW: POL-TA-80002 - How to test message is delivered zero times?

Mike: need to ask messaging product people how they do that

Section 9

Ashok: POL-TA-90014, POL-TA-90015 have been removed - Why?

Dave: POL-TA-90014 is covered by POL-TA-90013 now

& POL-TA-90015 is covered by POL-TA-90012

POL-TA-90019 again removed as it is a negative version of POL-TA-90018

EricW: What was the motive for removing the prereq's for the TA's early in section 9?

Stopped at POL-TA-90022

Dave: Vote on this document next week.

AOB

None

Next meeting 3rd August

Close of Business