

FINAL**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****10 August 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Jeff Mischkinsky	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Fabian Ritzmann	Sun Microsystems	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Table of Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions.....	2
Agenda.....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....	4
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....	4
(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....	5
(Item 6) Public Review status.....	5
(Item 7) Action Items.....	5
(Item 8) New Issues.....	5
 ISSUE-102: sca-policy-1.1-cd03.xsd has an error in the declaration of Qualifier complex type.....	5
(Item 10) Testing discussion.....	6
(Item 11) Additional Issue Discussion.....	6
 ISSUE-88 Policy content in the Assembly spec:	6
 ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear	6
 ISSUE 78 Namespace declarations are incorrect for http://tools.oasis-open.org/	7
 ISSUE 79: Do intents have to be supported if only External Attachment supported?	7
 ISSUE 80: POL30023 should not be a conformance statement.	8
 ISSUE 82: asynchInvocation intent.	8
 ISSUE 83: A single qualifier is not the default.	8
 AOB.....	8

Resolutions

Minutes of Policy TC meeting of August 3rd are accepted

Issue 102 is opened

Issue 102 is resolved

Issue 88 is resolved

Issue 76 is resolved

Issue 78 is resolved

Issue 80 is resolved

Issue 82 is resolved

Issue 83 is resolved

Actions

ACTION 20090810-01 Ashok to prepare words for the resolution of Issue 79

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from August 3 2009

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00000.html>

5. TC Administrivia:

a. Recording issue status - 16 Open

6. PRD status update

a. 9 issues from PR 01 comment list that need responses

7. ACTION ITEMS:

a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95

b. 20090720-03: status=pending; Mike to add a new TA for the implementation policy case of POL40004

c. 20090720-04: status=pending; Dave - strike last row of POL-TA-40021

d. 20090727-01: status=pending; Dave to raise an issue against the Policy spec to deal with the inconsistency relating to the support of intents

e. 20090727-02: status=pending; Dave to remove the 2nd prereq from POL-TA-80001 & POL-TA-80002 & POL-TA-80003

f. 20090803-01 Ashok and Rich to create clarifying wording for Issue 97.

g. 20090803-02 Ashok to create wording to resolve issue 88.

Done: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00007.html>

8. New Issues

Policy Schema has an error in declaration of Qualifier complex type.

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00009.html>

9. Blocking Issue Discussion

None

10. Testing Discussion

Latest Document Update:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00003.html>

11. Additional Issue Discussion

a. ISSUE 97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-97>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00051.html>

Pending wording from Rich and Ashok

b. ISSUE-88 Policy content in the Assembly spec:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00003.html>

Wording from Ashok <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00007.html>

Amendment from Mike Edwards <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00008.html>

c. ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-76>

Proposal:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200904/msg00015.html>

d. ISSUE 78 Namespace declarations are incorrect for http://tools.oasis-open.org/version-control/browse/wsvn/sca-assembly/SCA_XSDS/sca-policy-1.1-intents-definitions-cd02.xml

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-78>

e. ISSUE 79: Do intents have to be supported if only External Attachment supported?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-79>

f. ISSUE 80: POL30023 should not be a conformance statement.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-80>

g. ISSUE 82: asynchInvocation intent.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-82>

h. ISSUE 83: A single qualifier is not the default.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-83>

g. ISSUE 87: Clarification re. values of @appliesTo.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-87>

12. AOB

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes from 3rd August 2009

Minutes are accepted without changes

Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of August 3rd are accepted

(Item 5) TC Administrivia

16 open issues

- some of these require proposals

(Item 6) Public Review status

9 issues received from PR 01 comment list that need responses.

(Item 7) Action Items

a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95

b. 20090720-03: status=pending: Mike to add a new TA for the implementation policy case of POL40004

c. 20090720-04: status=pending: Dave - strike last row of POL-TA-40021

d. 20090727-01: status=pending: Dave to raise an issue against the Policy spec to deal with the inconsistency relating to the support of intents

e. 20090727-02: status=pending: Dave to remove the 2nd prereq from POL-TA-80001 & POL-TA-80002 & POL-TA-80003

f. 20090803-01 Ashok and Rich to create clarifying wording for Issue 97.

g. 20090803-02 Ashok to create wording to resolve issue 88.

Done: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00007.html>

(Item 8) New Issues

ISSUE-102: sca-policy-1.1-cd03.xsd has an error in the declaration of Qualifier complex type

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-102>

Mike explains the issue

Mike moves to open Issue 102

Ashok seconds

Motion accepted unanimously

Resolution: Issue 102 is opened

Mike outlines the proposal, which is contained in the JIRA

Mike moves to resolve Issue 102 with the proposal in the JIRA

Ashok seconds

Motion accepted unanimously

Resolution: Issue 102 is resolved

(Item 10) Testing discussion

Latest revision of the TA document:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00003.html>

<Discussion of what the next steps are>

Need to complete Dave & Mike's action items

(Item 11) Additional Issue Discussion

ISSUE-88 Policy content in the Assembly spec:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00003.html>

Wording from Ashok:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00007.html>

Amendment from Mike Edwards:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00008.html>

Ashok describes the proposal and the updated version in Mike's email

Ashok: "Intents can be attached to any SCA element used in the definition of components and composites since an intent specifies an abstract requirement. The attachment is specified by using the @requires attribute. This attribute takes as its value a list of intent names. Intents can also be applied to interface definitions. For WSDL portType elements (WSDL 1.1) the @requires attribute can be applied that holds a list of intent names that are needed by the interface. Other interface languages can define their own mechanism for specifying a list of intents. Any intents attached to an interface definition artifact, such as a WSDL portType, MUST be added to the intents defined in the @requires list of the service or reference to which the interface definition applies. If the @requires list of the service or reference is empty then the intents attached to the interface definition artifact become the only contents of the relevant @requires list. [POL40027]"

Ashok moves to resolve Issue 88 with the text above, at the location identified in the email <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00008.html>, with the associated updates to the Normative statements table and the TAs document

Mike seconds

Motion accepted unanimously

Resolution: Issue 88 is resolved

ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-76>

Proposal:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200904/msg00015.html>

Dave explains the proposal in the document linked from the email above - a change in the wording of POL30011

Dave moves to resolve Issue 76 with the proposal in the email <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200904/msg00015.html>

Mike seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 76 is resolved

ISSUE 78 Namespace declarations are incorrect for <http://tools.oasis-open.org/>

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-78>

There is an attachment in the JIRA with an updated version of the XML document <http://www.osoa.org/jira/secure/attachment/10447/sca-policy-1.1-intents-definitions-cd02-issue78.xml>

Mike moves to resolve Issue 78 using the updated definitions XML document contained in the JIRA, subject to other issue resolutions that have happened since that document was submitted

Ashok: - Correct typo on asynchInvocation intent (sca:Binding)

Ashok: - Correct definition of SOAP intent

Ashok seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 78 is resolved

ISSUE 79: Do intents have to be supported if only External Attachment supported?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-79>

Mike describes that Intents can certainly still be used with External Attachment - as there are XPath functions described that can be used to influence the attachment points for externally attached Policy Sets

Ashok asks whether there is a need for clarification to help with this.

Ashok - it looks as if, when you're doing external attachment, that you don't need to do anything regarding intents - that could do with some clarification

Mike - it would be good to see the clarifying words and where they would go

Dave - that would help me too - it is less normative, but an explanation of how these features do work together and why

ACTION 20090810-01 Ashok to prepare words for the resolution of Issue 79

ISSUE 80: POL30023 should not be a conformance statement.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-80>

Dave - POL30023 is really simply a definition of the term "mutually exclusive" - there are other normative statements which say what should happen when 2 intents are mutually exclusive

Dave - so the proposal is simply to make the definition non-normative

Dave moves to resolve Issue 80 with the proposal in the JIRA

Mike seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 80 is resolved

Ashok: Note that this requires changes in the TA document

ISSUE 82: asynchInvocation intent.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-82>

Proposal is in the JIRA

Ashok moves to resolve Issue 82 with the proposal in the JIRA

Mike seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 82 is resolved

ISSUE 83: A single qualifier is not the default.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-83>

Ashok describes the issue and the proposal

Ashok: If only one qualifier for an intent is given it MUST be used as the default qualifier for the intent. [POL30025]

Ashok moves to resolve Issue 88 with the words above.

Bob seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 83 is resolved

AOB

None

Next meeting 17th August
Close of Business