

1 XACML – Summary of Use Cases

2 Aug 7, 2001

3 V 0.11

4 Editor: Suresh Damodaran

5 Status of this Document

6 This document is created to present to XACML a summary of use cases. The
7 contents of this document are provided by various use case submitters including
8 the author.

9 1 Overview

10 The following use cases are considered.

- 11 1. Healthcare (HL7) (Fred Moses)
- 12 2. DRM (Thomas)
- 13 3. ebXML (registry) use case (Suresh)
- 14 4. Financial Regulatory use cases (Simon)
- 15 5. Online server use cases (Hal)
- 16 6. Access control use cases (Michiharu)
- 17 7. Pierangela's use case
- 18 8. Federal Interagency Records Council use case (Simon)

19 2 Use Cases

20 2.1 HL7 Use cases

- 21 1) Patient (Ms AXS) with abusive x-spouse who is also insurance
22 subscriber requests restricted access to address and phone portion of
23 record header.
 - 24 a) Ms AXS' record document is transmitted to physical therapy
25 facility following diagnosis of acute tendonitis; restriction to
26 address and phone information accompanies transmitted
27 document.
 - 28 b) Information regarding services and associated charges are
29 transmitted to outside claims payor. Address and phone
30 restriction follows the information being transmitted, and
31 address and phone of patient are withheld from the EOB.
- 32 2) Patient grants entitlement access to psychiatric notes only to primary
33 care doctor. Primary care doctor grants access to patient record to a
34 covering doctor or practice, with entitlement restriction following the
35 transmitted documents so that covering doctor/practice have no
36 access to psych notes.

- 37 3) Patient restricts entitlement to HIV screen results, and at a later date
38 presents in the ER with severe trauma; entitlement restrictions are
39 overridden.
40 4) Patient is him or herself a caregiver in the medical system in which he
41 or she is being treated. Patient requests entitlement restriction of
42 entire record, granting access solely to primary care doctor. Access to
43 record of services and associated charges are granted to billing staff if
44 billing is done in house.
45

46 **2.2 DRM Use cases [DRMUC1]**

47 **2.2.1 Provider-To-Distributor Rights Conferral**

48 Consumer challenges the distributor to prove its distribution rights to
49 sell a specific content.

50 **2.2.2 Distributor-To-Consumer Usage-Rights Conferral**

51 **2.2.3 Consumer-To-Consumer Usage-Rights Conferral**

52

53 **2.3 EbXML Registry Use cases [ebUC1]**

54 **2.3.1 Restricting Read-Only Access**

55 A Submitting Organization (SO) submits a RegistryObject to a
56 Registry. SO also submits an AccessControlPolicy associated with a
57 RegistryObject. This AccessControlPolicy allows only selected
58 partners of SO to have read-only access to the RegistryObject. All
59 objects in the registry have a unique id specified by *Universally Unique*
60 *Identifier (UUID)* and must conform to the format of a URN that
61 specifies a DCE 128 bit UUID as specified in UUID [UUDI].The
62 partners (Principal) may be specified in the AccessControlPolicy using
63 Identity, Role, or Group of Users in Organizations. It is assumed that
64 the partner information is available through Organization for all
65 authenticated Users. Partner may also be a RegistryGuest.

66 **2.3.2 Write-Access Beyond the Owner**

67 A Submitting Organization (SO) submits a RegistryObject to a
68 Registry. SO also submits an AccessControlPolicy associated with a
69 RegistryObject. This AccessControlPolicy allows write
70 (modify/deprecate/delete) access to some of the partners of SO. All
71 objects in the registry have a unique id specified by *Universally Unique*
72 *Identifier (UUID)* and must conform to the format of a URN that
73 specifies a DCE 128 bit UUID as specified in UUID [UUDI].The

74 partners (Principals) may be specified in the AccessControlPolicy
75 using Identity, Role, or Group. It is assumed that the partner
76 information is available as Organization (*is a RegistryEntry*) for all
77 authenticated Users.

78 **2.3.3 Administrative Use case**

79 The SO submits an administrative access control policy for the
80 administration of access control policies submitted by that SO.

81 **2.4 Financial Regulatory Use cases [FRUC]**

82 **2.4.1 Customer Data Use Or Disclosure**

83 An employee in a financial services company wishes to use customer
84 data and does not know the constraints on the use of the data.

85 **2.4.2 Cross-Marketing**

86 A telemarketing employee in the insurance affiliate of a consumer bank
87 receives a request to cross-market an insurance product to a
88 consumer banking customer based on the age of the customer and
89 household information derived from other accounts held by parties at
90 the same address.

91 **2.4.3 Service Delivery**

92 A member of the IT department receives a request to deliver a data
93 extract to Statement Services Corporation. Sensitive customer data,
94 e.g. account numbers and balances are encrypted at the database
95 level.

96 **2.5 Online server Use cases [OSUC]**

97 This use case is intended to cover a variety of online server application
98 environments, such as HTTP; Java Applications, including Servlet, Java
99 Server Pages and J2EE; and CORBA. It could also apply to emerging
100 environments, such as XML Protocol. In general, an online server controls
101 some resources and acts as a Policy Enforcement Point (PEP), controlling
102 whether requests should be allowed or not. A Policy Enforcement Point
103 (PDP) evaluates the policies that apply. The PDP may be located within
104 the server or accessed remotely.

105 **2.6 Access Control on XML Resources Use cases [ACU1]**

106 **2.6.1 System Configuration**

107 This is a scenario for an element-wise access control in retrieving a
108 XML resource e.g. a system configuration file stored in the server:

```
109 <?xml version="1.0"?>  
110 <configuration>  
111 <keyStore>key.db</keyStore>  
112 <docRoot>/</docRoot>  
113 <qos_policy>qos.xml</qos_policy>  
114 <security_policy>policy.xml</security_policy>  
115 </configuration>  
116
```

117 It is often the case that some elements of the configuration contents are read
118 only by a specific user (e.g. a security administrator.)

119 **2.6.2 Element-wise Access Control in Updating XML**

120 This is similar to the previous scenario but the access mode is “write”. An
121 element-wise update control is necessary if one XML resource contains
122 elements that are classified in different security levels.

123 **2.6.3 Online Catalogue**

124 This is a typical online shopping application for cyber marketplaces. XML is
125 used to store online catalog data that contains items for sell. There are two
126 classes for buyers: normal members and premium members. The catalog
127 includes all available items, including some that are available only to premium
128 members. Selling information is labeled as “normal”, “premium”, or “all”. The
129 access control policy says that the normal members cannot read any
130 information for premium members, and the premium members cannot read
131 any information for normal members. You will see how the XML access
132 control can be applied to the practical applications through this example.

133 **2.6.4 Paper Reviewing**

134 This application simulates a typical review process for academic papers. This
135 example illustrates how the XML access control is applied to applications that
136 need information sharing and/or updating among multiple participants who
137 play different roles. The review process can be described as follows:

- 138 1 Authors submit their papers to the submission server. A chairperson assigns
139 one or more reviewers to each submitted paper.
- 140 2 The reviewers read the assigned paper and evaluate it.
- 141 3 The program committee members read the reviewers' evaluations and
142 decide whether or not each paper should be accepted.
- 143 4 The chairperson decides on the list of accepted papers.
- 144 5 The authors receive notifications of acceptance or rejection.

145 **2.6.5 Medical Record**

146 This application illustrates how the XML access control can be applied to the
147 domains that require more complicated access control specifications such as
148 a context dependent access control. This application is taken from the
149 medical domain. A medical record stores medical history such as diagnosis
150 results and the chemotherapy history for a patient. The advantages of
151 representing medical records in XML format would be a platform-independent
152 plain-text format and the features of the digital signature. It is often said that
153 patients want to be properly informed by the doctor in charge so they can give
154 their informed consent to treatment. One way to achieve this goal is for the
155 doctor and the patient to sign a document that confirms that the patient was
156 well informed and consented to the procedure. Since XML provides a
157 mechanism to store the digital signature inside the document, XML is an
158 appropriate format to represent medical records.

159 **2.6.6 Policy Management**

160 One advantage of using the XML format for specifying access control policies
161 is that the policy language can easily implement the policy management
162 authorization rules. In other words, authorization rules on the authorization
163 policy itself can be defined by meta-rules also described in the same
164 language. Here we take the access control policies of the second example,
165 online catalogue, as a target XML document.

166 **2.6.7 Access Control of Non XML Resources**

167 This scenario illustrates another application scenario. The target XML
168 resource is never displayed or updated in this example, but it is used only for
169 making access decisions.

170 **2.7 Pierangela's use case [ACM1]**

171 **2.8 FIRMC Use case [FIRMC]**

172 Received by Simon from Federal Interagency Records
173 Management Council.

- 174 1. Every individual controls access to his or her own personal data,
175 2. Each individual can quickly and easily determine the constraints under which
176 he or she is willing to empower others to access and use his or her data, and
177 3. Every use of each element of data will be recorded and those records will be
178 maintained for as long as required by law or desired by the individuals whose
179 records are at issue.

180 **3 References**

181 [ACM1] <http://sansone.crema.unimi.it/~samarati/Papers/sec01.ps>

182 [ACU1] Access Control on XML Resources, [http://lists.oasis-
open.org/archives/xacml/200107/msg00023.html](http://lists.oasis-
183 open.org/archives/xacml/200107/msg00023.html)

184 [DRMUC1]DRM Use Cases, [http://lists.oasis-
open.org/archives/xacml/200107/msg00072.html](http://lists.oasis-
185 open.org/archives/xacml/200107/msg00072.html)

186 [FRUC] Financial Regulatory use cases, [http://lists.oasis-
open.org/archives/xacml/200108/msg00005.html](http://lists.oasis-
187 open.org/archives/xacml/200108/msg00005.html)

188 [ebUC1] ebXML Registry Use cases, [http://lists.oasis-
open.org/archives/xacml/200107/msg00022.html](http://lists.oasis-
189 open.org/archives/xacml/200107/msg00022.html)

190 [FIRMC]Federal Interagency Records Management Council, [http://lists.oasis-
open.org/archives/xacml/200108/msg00006.html](http://lists.oasis-
191 open.org/archives/xacml/200108/msg00006.html)

192 [OSUC] Online Server Use Cases,
193 <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/xacml/200108/msg00004.html>

194 [UUID] DCE 128 bit Universal Unique Identifier
195 http://www.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/009629399/apdx.htm#tagcjh_20

196 <http://www.opengroup.org/publications/catalog/c706.htm>[http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-
198 C-xml](http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-
197 C-xml)