OASIS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

FORMAT OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR INFORMATION

Accessibility - Overview

Document Control

Document Code	SC4-001
Author(s)	Amanda Green
Date	12/08/2002
Version	Version 1.0

Notes:
This document uses a standard template for the OASIS TC – Format of Automotive Repair Information. By using it, documents can be identified easily and tracked through version control. Documents in other formats and templates may be circulated as part of the work of the TC, but this template should be used where possible to help with general management of our work.

Document Codes are assigned to allow tracking and reference of versions. A list of all coded documents will be maintained by the programme managers. To obtain a new code for your document please email your intended title and document category to autorepair@csw.co.uk

TC-xxx	General TC documents
SC1-xxx	Documents for sub-committee 1 – Use Cases and Requirements
SC2-xxx	Documents for sub-committee 2 – Architecture and Specification
SC3-xxx	Documents for sub-committee 3 – Terminology and Vocabulary
SC4-xxx	Documents for sub-committee 4 – Accessibility

Version numbers should be assigned starting with 1.0 and incremented with each new version circulated by the author(s). A version note should be added for each new version on page 2.

Please put the correct title on the front page and in the header on subsequent pages. The title field can be updated by selecting File|Properties and updating the Title field in the Summary tab. Then update the fields on the front page and header.

Please use heading styles Heading 1, Heading 2, etc for the titles of sections.

The table of contents on page 2 can be updated by right clicking and selecting Update.

Version	Note
1.0	First version

Contents

1. Introduction

This document provides an overview of Sub Committee 4 – Accessibility. It is intended to be a 'living' document that will chart the changes in participants, scope, deliverables and issues as the sub committee goes about its work.

2. Participants

Editor:	Amanda Green	CSW
Members	M. Pradeau	CLEDIPA
	M. Sechepine	CLEDIPA
	Mr. Jones	RAC
	M. De Boer	CECRA
	Mr Parlett	CECRA
	Mr. Hamel	CECRA
	Mr Houlden	EGEA
	Mr Stephenson	Vehicle Inspectorate
	Mr. Morali	ACEA/ FIAT
	Mr Lamerigts	ACEA
	Mr Klane	GME Aftersales
	Mr. Bukholczek	AIRC
	Mr. Stevens	JAMA (Toyota)
	Ms. Spell	JAMA
	Mr Bauer	ZF Sachs Trading
	Mr Rixon	Independent Publisher
	Mr Wilde	Independent Publisher
	Mr Reimann	EGEA/ASA
	Mr O'Hare	CLEPA

3. Scope

The scope of this working group is to cover items 5, 6 and 7 in the scope of the Charter for the Technical Committee:

5   Identify features necessary for access to information (e.g. search engines) that can be correlated to the document structure and/or content, including access to information in manufacturer-specific tools, packaging of information to make viable cost units, application of "pass-through" programming

6   Establish minimum (or ideal) configurations for end-user access, with an emphasis on open or ubiquitous standards:

a)   identify the most common hardware platform(s), including operating system(s)

b) identify the most common software and/or interfaces.

7   Establish the performance criteria for provider information delivery systems (e.g. monitoring of web-site performance).

4. Deliverables

This list provides a summary of the deliverables from the sub committee.

Item	Description	Delivery
1.	Feature Specification (document) Identify features necessary for access to information (e.g. search engines) : that can be correlated to the document structure and/or content, to provide • Access to information in manufacturer-specific tools • Packaging of information to make viable cost units • Application of "pass-through" programming • Access DTC via a generic scan tool (OBD relevant) • Access to checking procedures	First draft and feedback bt end September
2.		
3.		

5. Issues

This section provides a summary of known issues that have been raised by the sub committee. Some will require resolution by the members of this committee, others by the full membership of the TC. The list acts only as a reference point for recording the issues, not as a means for tracking resolution. The status is either 'in progress' or 'resolved'.

Issue	Description	Status
1.	It is vital that the information is identified by the Use Case and Requirements group asap	In progress