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# Introduction

## Rationale and objectives

The AS4 Profile of ebMS 3.0 Version 1.0 ([AS4]) is a profile of the OASIS ebXML Messaging Services Version 3.0 (**[ebMS3Core]**) that defines a protocol for exchanging business-to-business documents (via AS4 messages). AS4 defines three different conformance profiles, each designed to meet the requirements and capabilities of implementations of varied complexities: the AS4 ebHandler profile, the AS4 Light Client profile and the AS4 Minimal Client profile. AS4 defines both general usage and conformance of an implementation. It is designed to be flexible and can accommodate a wide range of use cases and scenarios, however, by doing so it requires further profiling for two implementations to be interoperable.

When using AS4 in a four-corner network, a network-wide profile needs to be agreed upon, defining not only the general use of AS4 but also defining a broad range of processing mode (P-Mode) parameters and configurations. This ensures all Access Points in the network are interoperable and capable of exchanging business messages without the need for any bilateral coordination between them.

The overall objective of this specification is to standardize AS4 P-Mode parameters and configuration options when used in a four-corner network. Any Access Point conformant with this specification will be able to successfully exchange AS4 messages with any other Access Point conformant with this specification, without the need for any further conventions or agreements. This facilitates the development of universal “develop once - use everywhere” software implementations that can be used in any conformant business document exchange network, ultimately lowering both complexity and costs as well as increasing quality and reducing risks for both implementing networks and communities as well as software developers.

Additionally, this specification describes the interrelationship between AS4 and the other supporting technologies in a four-corner network, Service Metadata Publishing (**[SMP-1.0]** and [SMP-2.0]) and the OPTIONAL Exchange Header Envelope (**[XHE-1.0]**).

The interoperability profile defined in this specification is a profile of the AS4 ebHandler profile.

## Definitions of terms

**Access Point**: a network service that facilitates the sending and receiving of business documents via AS4 messages on behalf of a network Participant.

**Final Recipient** or **Corner 4**: The Participant who is receiving a business document from Corner 1.

**Original Sender** or **Corner 1**: In a given business document exchange, Corner 1 is the Participant who wishes to *send* the business document.

**Receiving Access Point** or **Corner 3**: The Access Point receiving a business document on behalf of Corner 4.

**Sending Access Point** or **Corner 2**: The Access Point sending a business document on behalf of Corner 1.

**Participant**: The end-user of the network, using the network to exchange business documents with other Participants.

**Service Metadata Publisher** or **SMP**: A network service that exposes information about the technical capabilities about a network Participant, such as which business documents that can receive, where to locate their Access Point, and how to communicate with their Access Point.

AS4 message: An XML based message structure defined in [AS4] and used to by Corners 2 and 3 to relay business documents on behalf of the original sender (Corner 1) and a final recipient (Corner 4). The use of the term message is restricted to the scope of what is exchanged between corners 2 and 3.

# Processing Mode Parameters

## Introduction

This section contains a complete list of the Processing Mode (P-Mode) parameters used by this specification, as well as their use. A conformant Access Point in a four-corner network implementing this specification MUST comply with the P-Mode parameter values and configurations as specified in this section.

## Parameters

### PMode.Initiator.Role

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Initiator.Role |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/ebms/v3.0/ns/core/200704/initiator |
| Description and usage | Specifies the role of the *sending* Access Point (Corner 2). MUST be set to the specified value. |

### PMode.Initiator.Party

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Initiator.Party |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *The value of the Subject Common Name (“CN”) field of the signing certificate used by the sending AP.* |
| Description and usage | The Party ID of the *sending* Access Point (Corner 2). This MUST be identical to the Subject CN field of the certificate used for signing the AS4 User Message. The *receiving* Access Point SHOULD validate that the Subject CN field of the signing certificate matches the PMode.Initiator.Party identifier, i.e. is equal to the //eb:From/eb:PartyId in the ebMS messaging header of the received message. When the validation of the Party ID fails, the *receiving* Access Point (Corner 3) SHOULD reject the message and respond with an ebMS EBMS:0103 (PolicyNonCompliance) Error. |

### PMode.Initiator.Party type

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Initiator.Party type |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://docs.oasis-open.org/bdxr/AS4/1 |
| Description and usage | Specifies the type of Party ID of the *sending* Access Point (Corner 2). MUST be set to the specified value. |

### PMode.Responder.Role

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Responder.Role |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/ebms/v3.0/ns/core/200704/responder |
| Description and usage | Specifies the role of the *receiving* Access Point (Corner 3). MUST be set to the specified value. |

### PMode.Responder.Party

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Responder.Party |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *The value of the Subject Common Name (“CN”) field of the signing certificate used by the receiving Access Point (Corner 3), as specified in the SMP service response.* |
| Description and usage | The Party ID of the *receiving* Access Point (Corner 3).The sending Access Point (Corner 2) MUST retrieve this information from the Service Metadata Publishing (SMP) service of the final recipient (*Corner 4*).The *receiving* Access Point MUST set the PMode.Responder.Party ID to the Subject’s Common Name (“CN”) field of the certificate registered in the SMP.The *receiving* Access Point MUST sign the Receipt Signal Message with a certificate with an identical value for the Subject‘s CN field.When using with [SMP-2.0]:The Access Points MUST use the Subject CN of the certificate provided in the value of the Endpoint/Certificate/ContentBinaryObject field of the SMP response and where the Certificate/TypeCode code is either “bdxr-as4-signing” or “bdxr-as4-signing-encryption”. See also section 2.3 for the definition of the certificate type code list.When using with **[SMP-1.0]**:The Access Points MUST use the Subject CN of the certificate provided in the value of the Endpoint/Certificate field of the SMP response.Endpoints included in the response of Corner 4’s SMP service which use this specification MUST include a certificate. |

### PMode.Responder.Party type

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Responder.Party type |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://docs.oasis-open.org/bdxr/AS4/1 |
| Description and usage | Specifies the type of Party ID of the *receiving* Access Point. |

### PMode.Agreement

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Agreement |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | *Defined by the network or community* |
| Description and usage | Uniquely identifies the network or community agreement that governs the sending of the message. This value MUST be a URI and MUST defined by the implementing network or community (see also section 5.3). |

### PMode.Agreement type

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.Agreement type |
| Type | Not used |
| Value |  |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point MUST NOT use the PMode.Agreement/@type attribute. |

### PMode.MEP

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.MEP |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/ebms/v3.0/ns/core/200704/oneWay |
| Description and usage | Specifies the Message Exchange Pattern (MEP). Only One-Way MEP is supported. |

### PMode.MEPbinding

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.MEPbinding |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/ebms/v3.0/ns/core/200704/push |
| Description and usage | Specifies the transport channel binding of the MEP. Only Push is supported. |

### PMode[1].Protocol.Address

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Protocol.Address |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *The technical endpoint URL of the receiving AP (Corner 3), as specified in the SMP service response.* |
| Description and usage | The technical endpoint URL where the *sending* Access Point (Corner 2) shall send the message. The sending Access Point MUST retrieve this information from the Service Metadata Publishing (SMP) service of the final recipient (Corner 4).When using with [SMP-2.0]: The sending Access Point MUST retrieve this value from the Endpoint/AddressURI element of the SMP response. The response of the Corner 4 SMP service MUST include this information as the value of the Endpoint/AddressURI element.When using with **[SMP-1.0]**: The sending Access Point MUST retrieve this value from the Endpoint/EndpointURI element of the SMP response. |

### PMode[1].Protocol.SOAPVersion

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Protocol.SOAPVersion |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | 1.2 |
| Description and usage | The SOAP version used for the message exchange. Only **[SOAP-1.2]** is supported. |

### PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Service

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Service |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *Either a Process Identifier OR the fixed value* bdx:noprocess*.* |
| Description and usage | Holds information about the business process in which the business document is exchanged.When the business document is part of a business process then this value MUST be set to the Process Identifier.When the business document is not part of a business process then this value MUST be set to bdx:noprocess.MUST be identical to the Process Identifier in the SMP response. Corresponds to the Process/ID in [SMP-2.0] and to the Process/ProcessIdentifier in **[SMP-1.0]**. |

### PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Service type

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Service type |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *The Process Scheme Identifier.* |
| Description and usage | When the Process Identifier is part of a defined scheme then this value MUST be set to the Scheme Identifier. |

### PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Action

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Action |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *The Document type Identifier.* |
| Description and usage | Identifies the type of Document being exchanged.MUST be identical to the Service Identifier in the SMP response. Corresponds to the ServiceMetadata/ID in [SMP-2.0] and to the ServiceMetadata/ServiceInformation/DocumentIdentifier in **[SMP-1.0]**. |

### PMode[1].BusinessInfo.MPC

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].BusinessInfo.MPC |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/ebms/v3.0/ns/core/200704/defaultMPC |
| Description and usage | The Message Partition Channel (MPC). The default MPC MUST be used. |

### PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Properties.EndpointParticipantIdentifier

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Properties.EndpointParticipantIdentifier |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *A complete Participant Identifier registered to the* sending *Access Point.* |
| Description and usage | When the *receiving* Access Point (Corner 3) needs to be able to send a response document to the *sending* Access Point (Corner 2), such as application and validation responses as further explained in section 3, this property MUST contain Corner 2’s complete Participant Identifier, as registered in the SMP, where such response messages are to be received. When sending the response message to Corner 2, Corner 3 MUST use this Participant Identifier to discover the endpoint where the response message is to be delivered.The Participant Identifier MUST be formatted as specified in section 3.6.2 of [SMP-2.0], but MUST NOT use the URL percent encoding, i.e.:*[{identifier scheme}::]{participant ID}* |

### PMode[1].Security.WSSVersion

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.WSSVersion |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | 1.1.1 |
| Description and usage | The version of Web Services Security being used. Only **[WSS-SOAP-Message-Security-V1.1.1]** is supported. |

### PMode[1].Security.X509.Signature.Algorithm

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.X509.Signature.Algorithm |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256 |
| Description and usage | The algorithm used for computing the digital signature of the AS4 message. Only RSA with SHA-256 is supported. The use of RSA with SHA-256 in XML signatures is specified in **[XMLDSIG]**. |

### PMode[1].Security.X509.Signature.HashFunction

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.X509.Signature.HashFunction |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#sha256 |
| Description and usage | The algorithm used for computing the digest of the AS4 message. Only SHA-256 is supported. The use of SHA-256 in XML signatures is specified in **[XMLDSIG]**. |

### PMode[1].Security.X509.Signature.Certificate

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.X509.Signature.Certificate |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *Public certificate of the signing Access Point* |
| Description and usage | The public certificate of the signing Access Point that is used for validating the signature of the AS4 message. The signing Access Point MUST include the public X509 certificate as a X509v3 binary security token as specified in **[WSS-X509-Certificate-Token-Profile-V1.1.1]**. |

### PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | True |
| Description and usage | A receiving Access Point MUST respond with a signed eb:Receipt message. |

### PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt.NonRepudiation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt.NonRepudiation |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | True |
| Description and usage | An eb:Receipt message MUST contain nonrepudiation information as a single ebbpsig:NonRepudiationInformation element. |

### PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt.ReplyPattern

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt.ReplyPattern |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | Response |
| Description and usage | A receiving Access Point MUST provide the eb:Receipt message synchronously in the response to the sending Access Point. |

### PMode.ID

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode.ID |
| Type | Not used |
| Value |  |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point MUST NOT use the PMode.ID to reference a predefined P-Mode configuration. An AgreementRef/@pmode attribute MUST NOT be present in a conformant AS4 message. |

### PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | True |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point MUST implement reception awareness. |

### PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.Retry

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.Retry |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | *Implementation or community specific* |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point MUST follow network and community resend policies and requirements when such are defined (see section 5.4). If such policies and requirements are not defined by the network or community, the sending Access Point SHOULD attempt to retry sending messages in case of message sending failure. |

### PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.Retry.Parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.Retry.Parameters |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | *Implementation or community specific* |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point MUST implement and configure retry parameters according to network and community policies and requirements when such are defined (see section 5.4). If such policies and requirements are not defined by the network or community, the sending Access Point SHOULD implement and configure retry parameters in accordance with the recommendations in section 5.4 of this specification. |

### PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.DuplicateDetection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.DuplicateDetection |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | True |
| Description and usage | A receiving Access Point MUST detect if a message has already been received. |

### PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.DetectDuplicates.Parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ReceptionAwareness.DetectDuplicates.Parameters |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | *Defined by the network or community* |
| Description and usage | A receiving Access Point MUST implement message retention policies in accordance with network and community policies and requirements as defined in section 5.4. A receiving Access Point MUST detect if a received message is a duplicate of a message already received within its message retention span.A receiving Access Point SHOULD eliminate duplicate messages. |

### PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.ProcessErrorNotifyProducer

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.ProcessErrorNotifyProducer |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | True |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point SHOULD report any error to the calling application. |

### PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.MissingReceiptNotifyProducer

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.MissingReceiptNotifyProducer |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | True |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point MUST notify the calling application in case of permanent message delivery failure, and, when such policies are defined, MUST handle message sending failures in accordance with network and community policies (see section 5.4). |

### PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.AsResponse

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.AsResponse |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | True |
| Description and usage | A receiving Access Point MUST provide the ebMS Error Message synchronously in the HTTP response to the sending Access Point. |

### PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.SenderErrorsTo

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].ErrorHandling.Report.SenderErrorsTo |
| Type | Not used |
| Value |  |
| Description and usage | The SenderErrorsTo parameter MUST NOT be used to configure asynchronous error signal messages. Instead, Access Points MUST follow network and community policies for handling message sending failures as specified in section 5.4. |

### PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Encrypt

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Encrypt |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | *True or false, depending on network or community policies* |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point MUST encrypt messages in accordance with the policies of the implementing network or community when these exist (see section 5.5). If no policies are defined by the network or community, a sending Access Point MAY use AS4 encryption.When using of AS4 encryption, encryption MUST be implemented in accordance with this specification. |

### PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Certificate

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Certificate |
| Type | Dynamic |
| Value | *Public certificate of the receiving Access Point* |
| Description and usage | When a sending Access Point uses AS4 encryption, the message MUST be encrypted using the public certificate of the receiving Access Point as published in the SMP. The sending Access Point MUST include the X.509 certificate as a X509v3 binary security token as specified in **[WSS-X509-Certificate-Token-Profile-V1.1.1]**.When using with [SMP-2.0]: The sending Access Point MUST retrieve the certificate from the Endpoint/Certificate/ContentBinaryObject element of the SMP response and where the Certificate/TypeCode code is either “bdxr-as4-encryption” or “bdxr-as4-signing-encryption”. See also section 2.3 for the definition of the certificate type code list.When encryption is used, endpoints included in the response of Corner 4’s SMP service which use this specification MUST include one active certificate with the TypeCode “bdxr-as4-encryption” or “bdxr-as4-signing-encryption”When using with **[SMP-1.0]**: The sending Access Point MUST retrieve the certificate from the Endpoint/Certificate element of the SMP response. |

### PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Algorithm

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Algorithm |
| Type | Constant |
| Value | MUST be eitherhttp://www.w3.org/2009/xmlenc11#aes128-gcmorhttp://www.w3.org/2009/xmlenc11#aes256-gcm |
| Description and usage | A sending Access Point using AS4 encryption MUST use either AES-128 with GCM mode or AES-256 with GCM mode.A receiving Access Point MUST be able to decrypt a received message using both algorithms.An implementing network or community may require that only one and not the other algorithm is used within the network, as explained in section 5.5. |

### PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.MinimumStrength

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.MinimumStrength |
| Type | Not used |
| Value |  |
| Description and usage | The MinimumStrength parameter MUST NOT be used. Instead, Access Points MUST use one of the encryption algorithms defined in this specification when using AS4 encryption. |

## Certificate Type Code List

As described in the previous section, several P-Mode parameters derive their value from the signing or encryption certificate included in the SMP response, e.g. **PMode.Responder.Party** derives its value from the signing certificate of the responding Access Point. Therefore, the following code list is defined for the Certificate/TypeCode field of an [SMP-2.0] response:

|  |
| --- |
| List attributes |
| List name  | OASIS BDXR AS4 Certificate Codes |
| List ID | oasis-bdxr-as4-cert-code-list |
| List version | 1.0 |
| List agency | OASIS BDXR TC |
| List values |
| Value | Indicated use | Used by P-Mode parameters |
| bdxr-as4-signing | Signing of Signal Messages by the receiving Access Point | PMode.Responder.Party (§2.2.5) |
| bdxr-as4-encryption | Encryption of User Messages sent to the receiving Access Point | PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Certificate (§2.2.36) |
| bdxr-as4-signing-encryption | Encryption of User Message sent to and signing of Signal Message sent by the receiving Access Point. | PMode.Responder.Party (§2.2.5)PMode[1].Security.X509.Encryption.Certificate (§2.2.36) |

The use of list attribute is OPTIONAL.

# Response Messages

In a four-corner network Participants use one or more Access Points to implement the information exchange with their trading partners. It’s the Access Points’ responsibility that the document exchange conforms to the network policies. Therefore, it is sometimes necessary for a *receiving* Access Point (Corner 3) to send a Response Message to the *sending* Access Point (Corner 2). For example, when a business document received from Corner 2 does not conform to agreed technical and/or business validation rules, the network or community MAY define the use of an application response that Corner 3 can automatically generate and send back to Corner 2 to signal nonconformance and that the business document will not be delivered to its final recipient. Like other business message, such Response messages are sent asynchronously after the original business document has been sent to Corner 3 since they typically entail processing and validation of the business document that can only happen after it has been received.

Since Response Messages are sent asynchronously and independently from the original business document exchange, they are essentially a new business document exchange with reversed roles where the Corner 3 Access Point sends a business document to Corner 2. To facilitate this process, Corner 2 MUST operate a conformant Access Point for *receiving* Response Messages. Conversely, Corner 3 MUST operate a conformant Access Point for *sending* Response Messages.

Furthermore, Corner 2 MUST signal how and where they want to receive Response Messages. This is done by including a complete Participant Identifier in the PMode[1].BusinessInfo.Properties.EndpointParticipantIdentifier P-Mode parameter, as specified in section 2.2.17. This Participant Identifier MUST be registered and discoverable in the network.

To send a Response Message back to Corner 2, Corner 3 MUST use the Participant Identifier provided by Corner 2 to perform a full network discovery to find the endpoint address and properties of the Access Point that will receive the Response Message, as shown in the following diagram:



Figure 1: Network discovery process

Note that these response messages MUST NOT be used as a nonrepudiation device to evidence that a business document has been received by Corner 3. Nonrepudiation is already defined in [AS4] and uses a ebbpsig:NonRepudiationInformation element within an eb:Receipt to signal nonrepudiation of message received. See also sections 2.2.23 (PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt.NonRepudiation) and 2.2.24 (PMode[1].Security.SendReceipt.ReplyPattern).

# Exchange Header Envelope

## General use

In a four-corner network, Access Points (Corners 2 and 3) are used as intermediary gateways to relay business documents between an original sender (Corner 1) and a final recipient (Corner 4). The [AS4] specification is designed as a point-to-point protocol and defines parameters for sending a message from Corner 2 to Corner 3 but does not in itself define metadata or other information about Corners 1 and 4. Consequently, the Corner 3 Access Point relies entirely on the business document in the AS4 payload to determine further processing and routing of a received message.

While most standards describing structured business document formats do specify how to express the sending and receiving parties of a business document, this is done very different from one standard to another. It also cannot be assumed that the business document is always in a structured format. Thus, the need for a standardized document header or envelope such as the **[XHE-1.0]**, where routing and processing information can be transmitted along with the business document itself, in a manner that is structured and universally understood. This allows Access Points to understand how to process and route the business document without having to understand its syntax and semantics.

At the same time, four-corner networks are designed to be modular so that it is possible to replace individual components of the network without impacting other network components or without impacting network operability in general. For example, a four-corner network can replace the communications protocol with another communications protocol without impacting the discovery process, replace components of the discovery process without impacting the communications protocol, and even have several communications protocols enabled in parallel. It is therefore desirable to separate metadata concerning Corners 1 and 4 from the communications layer that is used between Corners 2 and 3.

To achieve this, an implementing network or community MAY mandate that Access Points apply the Exchange Header Envelope (**[XHE-1.0]**) (XHE) specification as an envelope technology when exchanging business documents. If defined by the policies of the implementing network or community, a Corner 2 Access Point MUST always embed a business document as a payload in an XHE envelope before sending to Corner 3. A conformant Corner 3 Access Point in a network or community applying the XHE MUST always be able to receive an XHE from a sending Access Point. The only exception is when exchanging Response Messages as defined in section 3, which are only designed to be relayed between Corners 2 and 3, and not between Corners 1 and 4.

An XHE MAY also be used to exchange several business documents in a single transaction by including multiple documents as individual payloads within a single XHE, as explained in the **[XHE-1.0]** specification.

## Specifying the original sender and the final recipient

When using the **[XHE-1.0]** with this specification, the Participant ID of the *original sender* (Corner 1) MUST be included in the xha:Header/xha:FromParty/xha:PartyIdentification/xhb:ID element of the XHE and the Participant ID of the *final recipient* (Corner 4) MUST be included in the xha:Header/xha:ToParty/xha:PartyIdentification/xhb:ID element of the XHE.

When receiving a message with an XHE, a Corner 3 (receiving) Access Point MUST validate that they can receive messages on behalf of the *final recipient* party specified in the XHE. The possible response to this validation, such as Corner 3’s refusal to accept the message, is not specified in AS4 and is therefore outside of the scope of this specification. Implementing networks and communities MUST define requirements for a Response Message to be send back to Corner 2 when Corner 3 refuses to accept the message (see section 3).

## Specifying payloads

A business document embedded in an XHE SHOULD be properly marked for identification by relaying information about the business document in the Payload metadata of the XHE. Wherever applicable,

* the ID of the business document (for example, the invoice number, order number, etc.) SHOULD be included in the xhb:ID element of its XHE Payload container,
* the Customization ID of the business document SHOULD be included in the xhb:CustomizationID element of its Payload container, and
* The Profile ID of the business document SHOULD be included in the xhb:ProfileID element of its Payload container.

## Additional use of the XHE

An implementing network or community MAY define additional use, properties, and elements of the XHE, such as encryption and signing, as long as these don’t violate the constraints specified in sections 4.2, 4.3 and 5 of this specification.

# Implementing networks and communities

## Introduction

While many [AS4 Processing Mode Parameters](#_Parameters) define explicit technical properties and requirements for Access Point implementations, there are also parameters that are configurable and must be implemented according to the policies and specifications of an implementing network or community. Additionally, an implementing network or community may choose to limit options for Access Point configuration, for example by defining explicit requirements for encryption and encryption algorithms.

This section defines the explicit requirements for network and community policies when implementing this specification for AS4 messaging in a network.

## The use of multiple Access Point certificates with SMP 2.0

SMP 2.0 allows for associating several certificates with an Access Point in the SMP response. Section 2.3 specifies a code list to identify how the certificates associated with a receiving Access Point in the response of an SMP 2.0 service should be used. Although describing use cases for additional certificate scenarios is outside of the scope of this specification, an implementing network or community MAY choose to allow additional certificates to be associated with an Access Point in the SMP response. Notwithstanding, any policy or specification that defines or allows the use of multiple Access Point certificates in the SMP response MUST NOT conflict with the type codes and use or functionality described in section 2.3.

## Network agreement identifiers

The exchange of business documents in a network or community is governed by its policies and agreements. As specified in section 2.2.7 (PMode.Agreement), a sending Access Point must include information that unambiguously identifies the agreement governing the transaction when initiating communication with a receiving Access Point. Consequently, an implementing network or community MUST define a unique identifier that unambiguously references the policies and agreements that governs the exchange of information between Access Points in the network. This identifier MUST be a URI.

##  Message delivery, retention, and error handling policies

To ensure that requirements for message delivery are properly aligned, including assuring that no Access Point fails to deliver a message if communication fails in the first attempt as well as assuring that no Access Point generates excessive network traffic by continuously trying to resend a message that can’t be delivered, an implementing network or community SHOULD require that Access Points resend messages (see also section 2.2.27).

When an implementing network or community requires that Access Points resend messages, policies, and requirements for retry parameters MUST be specified. It is RECOMMENDED that max retries be set as no less than 2 and no more than 5, and that the retry period be set to no less than 5000 milliseconds. It is furthermore RECOMMENDED that sending Access Points are required to increment the retry period after every retry (see also section 2.2.28).

To avoid duplicate messages such as may resulting from accidental resends, receiving Access Points are required to detect if a received message is a duplicate of a message already received within its message retention span (see section 2.2.30). Consequently, to ensure standardized duplicate detection, an implementing network or community MUST specify message retention policies and requirements for Access Points. It is NOT RECOMMENDED that implementers or implementing networks and communities specify a maximum log size for duplicate detection.

An implementing network or community SHOULD define a policy for handling permanent message delivery failures, such as agreed protocols for contacting involved parties and Access Points, and for case escalation (see also sections 2.2.32 and 2.2.34).

## Message encryption

It is RECOMMENDED that implementing networks and communities define a policy for encrypting messages. The use of AS4 encryption as such is OPTIONAL and the policy MAY dictate the use of **[XHE-1.0]** or other mechanism to encrypt data in the exchange between Access Points. It is NOT RECOMMENDED to allow Access Points to exchange messages without encryption.

When using AS4 encryption, an implementing network or community MAY define that either AES-128 with GCM mode or AES-256 with GCM mode be used, or that Access Points may freely choose between the two. The implementing network or community MUST NOT require that any other encryption algorithms be used for AS4 encryption.

See also sections 2.2.35 and 2.2.37.

# Referencing this profile

When referencing the AS4 Interoperability Profile for Four-Corner Networks Version 1.0, such as from the SMP transport profile ID element, the following identifier format MUST be used:

bdxr-as4-1.0[#NetworkPolicyID]

Where bdxr-as4-1.0 is the literal *profile identifier* and MUST be included exactly as stated here, and the NetworkPolicyID is an OPTIONAL *policy identifier* defined by the implementing network or community.

The # number sign is used for separating the profile identifier from the policy identifier and is REQUIRED when a policy identifier is used. Otherwise, it MUST NOT be used.

The [] square brackets mark optional content and are included above for illustrational purpose only.

# Conformance

## Access Point conformance

An implementing Access Point is conformant with this specification when:

1. The Access Point has implemented and configured all Processing Mode (P-Mode) parameters as specified in section 2.2; and
2. The Access Point has not implemented or configured any additional P-Mode parameters that could impede the interoperability with a conformant Access Point; and
3. The Access Point has implemented discovery, sending, and receiving of Response Messages as specified in section 3.

As a consequence of section 3 (Response Messages), all conformant Access Points MUST effectively be able to both send and receive messages. All Access Points MUST therefore be compliant with all the above statements to claim conformance with this specification, regardless of their role in the network.

## Network and community conformance

An implementing network or community is conformant with this specification when:

1. The network or community has implemented all policies and requirements as specified in sections 3, 4 and 5; and
2. The network or community does not implement any policies or other requirements that could impede interoperability between conformant Access Points.

## Access Point with Exchange Header Envelope (XHE) conformance

When an implementing network or community requires the application of an Exchange Header Envelope (XHE) to convey metadata about the original sender (Corner 1) and final recipient (Corner 4) as specified in section 4.1, an Access Point is conformant with this specification when able to generate, send, receive and process an XHE as specified in sections 4.2 and 4.3, and when conformant with all the mandatory conformance clauses in section 6.1.
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