

STIX™ 2.0 Specification

Part 1: STIX Core Concepts - Version 2.0-rc4

Technical Committee

OASIS Cyber Threat Intelligence (CTI) TC

Chair

Richard Struse (Richard.Struse@hq.dhs.gov), DHS Office of Cybersecurity and Communications (CS&C)

Editors

Bret Jordan (bret.jordan@bluecoat.com), Blue Coat Systems, Inc.

Rich Piazza (rpiazza@mitre.org), MITRE Corporation

John Wunder (jwunder@mitre.org), MITRE Corporation

Additional Artifacts

This prose specification is one component of a Work Product, which consists of:

- STIX Version 2.0 Part 1: STIX Core Concepts (this document)
- STIX Version 2.0 Part 2: STIX Objects
- STIX Version 2.0 Part 3: Cyber Observable Core Concepts
- STIX Version 2.0 Part 4: Cyber Observable Objects
- STIX Version 2.0 Part 5: STIX Patterning

Abstract

Table of Contents

[1. Introduction](#)

[1.1. Terminology](#)

[1.2. Overview](#)

[1.2.1. Graph-Based Model](#)

[1.2.2. STIX Domain Objects](#)

[1.2.3. STIX Relationships](#)

[1.2.4. Cyber Observables](#)

[1.2.5. STIX Patterning](#)

[1.2.6. Vocabularies](#)

[1.2.7. Serialization](#)

[1.2.8. Transporting STIX](#)

[1.3. Conventions](#)

[1.3.1. Naming Conventions](#)

[1.3.2. Reserved Property Names](#)

[1.3.3. Font Colors and Style](#)

[2. Common Data Types](#)

[2.1. Boolean](#)

[2.1.1. Examples](#)

[2.2. External Reference](#)

[2.2.1. Properties](#)

[2.2.2. Requirements](#)

[2.2.3. Examples](#)

[2.3. Float](#)

[2.3.1. Examples](#)

[2.4. Identifier](#)

[2.4.1. Examples](#)

[2.5. Integer](#)

[2.5.1. Examples](#)

[2.6. Kill Chain Phase](#)

[2.6.1. Examples](#)

[2.7. List](#)

[2.8. Open Vocabulary](#)

[2.8.1. Examples](#)

[2.9. String](#)

[2.9.1. Examples](#)

[2.10. Timestamp](#)

[2.10.1. Requirements](#)

[2.10.2. Examples](#)

[3. STIX Objects](#)

[3.1. Common Properties](#)

[3.2. IDs and References](#)

[3.3. Object Creator](#)

[3.4. Versioning](#)

[3.4.1. Versioning Timestamps](#)

[3.4.2. New Version or New Object?](#)

[3.4.3. Examples](#)

[3.5. Common Relationships](#)

[3.6. Reserved Properties](#)

[4. Data Markings](#)

[4.1. Marking Definition](#)

[4.1.1. Properties](#)

[4.1.2. Relationships](#)

[4.1.3. Statement Marking Object Type](#)

[4.1.3.1. Examples](#)

[4.1.4. TLP Marking Object Type](#)

[4.2. Object Markings](#)

[4.2.1. Examples](#)

[4.3. Granular Markings](#)

[4.3.1. Granular Marking Type](#)

[4.3.1.1. Selector Syntax](#)

[4.3.2. Example](#)

[5. Bundle](#)

[5.1. Properties](#)

[5.2. Relationships](#)

[5.3. Examples](#)

[6. Vocabularies](#)

[6.1. Attack Motivation](#)

[6.2. Attack Resource Level](#)

[6.3. Identity Class](#)

[6.4. Indicator Label](#)

[6.5. Industry Sector](#)

[6.6. Malware Label](#)

[6.7. Report Label](#)

[6.8. Threat Actor Label](#)

[6.9. Threat Actor Role](#)

[6.10. Threat Actor Sophistication](#)

[6.11. Tool Label](#)

[7. Customizing STIX](#)

[7.1. Custom Properties](#)

[7.1.1. Requirements](#)

[7.1.2. Examples](#)

[7.2. Custom Objects](#)

[7.2.1. Requirements](#)

[7.2.2. Examples](#)

[8. Conformance](#)

[8.1 Producers and Consumers](#)

[8.2 Mandatory Features](#)

[8.2.1. Versioning](#)

[8.3. Optional Features](#)

[8.3.1. Object-Level Data Markings](#)

[8.3.2. Granular Data Markings](#)

[9. Appendix A. Acknowledgments](#)

[10. Appendix B. Revision History](#)

1. Introduction

Structured Threat Information Expression (STIX™) is a language and serialization format used to exchange cyber threat intelligence (CTI). STIX enables organizations to share CTI with one another in a consistent and machine readable manner, allowing security communities to better understand what computer-based attacks they are most likely to see and to anticipate and/or respond to those attacks faster and more effectively. STIX is designed to improve many different capabilities, such as collaborative threat analysis, automated threat exchange, automated detection and response, and more.

In response to lessons learned in implementing previous versions, STIX has been significantly redesigned (TODO: add reference to STIX 1.2.1) and, as a result, omits some of the objects and properties defined in STIX 1.2.1. The objects chosen for inclusion in STIX 2.0 represent a minimally viable product (MVP) that fulfills basic consumer and producer requirements for CTI sharing. Objects and properties not included in STIX 2.0, but deemed necessary by the community, will be included in future releases.

1.1. Terminology

The keywords “**MUST**”, “**MUST NOT**”, “**REQUIRED**”, “**SHALL**”, “**SHALL NOT**”, “**SHOULD**”, “**SHOULD NOT**”, “**RECOMMENDED**”, “**MAY**”, and “**OPTIONAL**” in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC2119 (REF:RFC2119).

CAPEC - Common Attack Pattern Enumeration and Classification

Consumer - Any entity that receives STIX content.

CTI - Cyber Threat Intelligence

Entity - Anything that has a separately identifiable existence (e.g., organization, person, group, etc.).

IEP - FIRST (Forum of Incident Response and Security Teams) Information Exchange Policy

Instance - A single occurrence of a STIX object version.

MTI - Mandatory To Implement

MVP - Minimally Viable Product

Object Creator - The entity that created or updated a STIX object (See Section TODO).

Object Representation - An instance of an object version that is serialized as STIX.

Producer - Any entity that distributes STIX content, including object creators as well as those passing along existing content.

SDO - STIX Domain Object

SRO - STIX Relationship Object

STIX - Structured Threat Information Expression

STIX Content - STIX documents, including STIX Objects, STIX Objects grouped as bundles, etc.

STIX Object - A STIX Domain Object (SDO) or STIX Relationship Object (SRO)

TAXII - An application layer protocol for the communication of cyber threat information.

TLP - Traffic Light Protocol

TTP - Tactic, technique, or procedure; behaviors and resources that attackers use to carry out their attacks

1.2. Overview

1.2.1. Graph-Based Model

STIX 2 is a connected graph of nodes and edges. STIX Domain Objects define the graph nodes and STIX relationships (including STIX Relationship Objects and embedded relationships) define the edges. The full set of STIX Domain Objects and STIX Relationship Objects are known as STIX Objects. This graph-based language conforms to common analysis approaches and allows for flexible, modular, structured, and consistent representations of CTI.

1.2.2. STIX Domain Objects

STIX 2.0 defines a set of STIX Domain Objects (SDOs): Attack Pattern, Campaign, Course of Action, Identity, Indicator, Intrusion Set, Malware, Observed Data, Report, Threat Actor, Tool, and Vulnerability. Each of these objects correspond to a concept commonly used in CTI. Using the building blocks of SDOs alongside STIX relationships, entities can create and share broad and comprehensive CTI.

STIX Domain Objects all share a common set of properties. These common properties provide standard capabilities such as versioning, data marking (representing how data can be shared and used), and extensibility.

STIX Domain Objects are defined in Part 2 of this specification.

1.2.3. STIX Relationships

A relationship is a link between STIX Objects that describes the way in which the objects are related. Most relationships are represented using STIX Relationship Objects (SROs), while other special embedded relationships are represented as ID references.

The generic Relationship object is one of two SROs and is used for most relationships in STIX. This generic Relationship object contains a property called **relationship_type** to describe more specifically what the relationship represents. This specification defines a set of known terms to use for the **relationship_type** property between SDOs of specific types. For example, the Indicator SDO defines a relationship from itself to Malware with a **relationship_type** of **indicates** to describe how the Indicator can be used to detect the presence of that Malware. In addition to the terms defined in the specification, STIX also allows for custom terms to be used as the relationship type.

Currently the only other SRO (besides a generic Relationship) is the Sighting relationship object. The Sighting object is used to capture cases where an entity has "seen" an SDO, such as sighting an indicator. Sighting is a separate SRO because it contains additional properties such as **count** that are only applicable to Sighting relationships. Other SROs may be defined in future versions of STIX if new relationships are identified that also require additional properties not present on the generic Relationship object.

In addition to relationships created using the SROs (Relationship and Sighting), STIX also uses ID references to represent embedded relationships. Embedded relationships are simply ID reference properties on STIX Objects that contain the ID of a different STIX Object. Embedded relationships are used when the property is an inherent part of the object and not something that a third party might add or something that might require a confidence. Because they represent a simply inherent linkage and have no other properties, an SRO is not needed to represent them.

An embedded relationship can only be asserted by the creator of the object ("object creator") it is contained in.

For example, the entity that created a STIX Object is an inherent, factual part of that object and therefore that information is captured in an embedded relationship contained in the **created_by_ref** property rather than through the use of an SRO.

STIX Relationship Objects are defined in Part 2 of this specification.

1.2.4. Cyber Observables

Some parts of the STIX language require describing structured representation of observed objects and their properties in the cyber domain. These capabilities differ from the parts of STIX used to describe higher-level concepts in many ways and are therefore contained in a separate section of the specification. The Cyber Observable sections describes one or more observed data points, for example, information about a file that existed, a process that was observed running, or that network traffic occurred between two IPs. It describes the facts concerning **what** happened, but not necessarily the who or when, and never the why.

Cyber Observables are defined by two documents in this specification. Part 3 describes and defines Cyber Observable Core Concepts, which are the parts of STIX that are specific to representation of cyber observables. Part 4 contains a library of Cyber Observable Objects: definitions for the types of things that can be observed.

1.2.5. STIX Patterning

In order to enhance detection of possibly malicious activity on networks and endpoints, a standard language is needed to describe what to look for in a cyber environment. The STIX patterning language allows matching against timestamped Cyber Observable data (such as STIX Observed Data Objects) collected by a threat intelligence platform or other similar system so that other analytical tools and systems can be configured to react and handle incidents that might arise. STIX patterning is a general concept that can be used anywhere, but in STIX it is currently used by the Indicator object.

STIX Patterning is defined in Part 5 of this specification, STIX Patterning.

1.2.6. Vocabularies

Many STIX Objects contain properties whose values can be selected from a defined set of values. These sets of values are called vocabularies and are defined in STIX in order to enhance interoperability by increasing the likelihood that different entities use the same exact string to represent the same concept. If used consistently, vocabularies make it less likely that one entity refers to the energy sector as "Energy" and another as "Energy Sector", thereby making comparison and correlation easier.

While using predefined values from STIX vocabularies is encouraged, in some cases this is not possible or desirable. STIX supports this by defining vocabularies as “open”, where entities are permitted to use values outside of the suggested vocabulary.

1.2.7. Serialization

STIX is defined independent of any specific storage or serialization. However, the mandatory-to-implement (MTI) serialization for STIX 2 is JSON ([TODO REF IETF](#)). Therefore, all STIX 2-compatible tools **MUST** support JSON as a serialization format. STIX 2-compatible tools **MAY** support serializations other than JSON.

As JSON is the MTI serialization, all examples in this document are expressed in JSON.

1.2.8. Transporting STIX

STIX 2 is transport-agnostic, i.e., the structures and serializations do not rely on any specific transport mechanism. A companion CTI specification, TAXII ([TODO REF](#)), is designed specifically to transport STIX Objects. STIX provides a Bundle ([see Section TODO](#)) as a container for STIX Objects to allow for transportation of bulk STIX data, especially over non-TAXII communication mechanisms.

1.3. Conventions

1.3.1. Naming Conventions

All type names, property names and literals are in lowercase, except when referencing canonical names defined in another standard (e.g. literal values from an IANA registry). Words in property names are separated with an underscore (_), while words in type names and string enumerations are separated with a dash (-). All type names, property names, object names, and vocabulary terms are between three and 250 characters long.

In the JSON serialization all property names and string literals **MUST** be exactly the same, including case, as the names listed in the property tables in this specification. For example, the SDO common property **created_by_ref** must result in the JSON key name "created_by_ref". Properties marked required in the property tables **MUST** be present in the JSON serialization.

1.3.2. Reserved Property Names

Reserved property names are marked with a type called **RESERVED** and a description text of “RESERVED FOR FUTURE USE”. Any property name that is marked as **RESERVED MUST NOT** be present in STIX content conforming to this version of the specification.

1.3.3. Font Colors and Style

The following color, font and font style conventions are used in this document:

- The Consolas font is used for all type names, property names and literals.
 - type names are in red with a light red background – `threat-actor`
 - property names are in bold style – `created_at`
 - literals (values) are in green with a green background – `malicious-activity`
 - All relationship types are string literals, therefore they will also appear in green with a green background – `related-to`
- In an object's property table, if a common property is being redefined in some way, then the background is dark grey.
- All examples in this document are expressed in JSON. They are in Consolas 9 pt font, with straight quotes, black text and a light blue background. All examples have a 2 space indentation. Parts of the example may be omitted for conciseness and clarity. These omitted parts are denoted with the ellipses (...).

2. Common Data Types

This section defines the common types used throughout STIX. These types will be referenced by the “Type” column in other sections. This section defines the names and permitted values of common types that are used in the STIX information model; it does not, however, define the meaning of any properties using these types. These types may be further restricted elsewhere in the document.

Type	Description
<code>boolean</code>	A value of <code>true</code> or <code>false</code> .
<code>external-reference</code>	A non-STIX identifier or reference to other related external content.
<code>float</code>	An IEEE 754 [TODO add ref] double-precision number.
<code>identifier</code>	An identifier (ID) for a STIX Domain Object, STIX Relationship Object, Bundle, or Marking Definition.
<code>integer</code>	A whole number.
<code>kill-chain-phase</code>	A name of a kill chain phase.

<code>list</code>	A sequence of values ordered based on how they appear in the list. The phrasing “ <code>list</code> of type <code><type></code> ” is used to indicate that all values within the list must conform to a specific type.
<code>open-vocab</code>	A value from a STIX open (<code>open-vocab</code>) or suggested vocabulary.
<code>string</code>	A series of Unicode characters.
<code>timestamp</code>	A time value (date and time).

2.1. Boolean

Type Name: `boolean`

A `boolean` is a value of either true or false. Properties with this type **MUST** have a value of `true` or `false`.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON boolean type `<TODO: add reference>`, which is a literal (unquoted) `true` or `false`.

2.1.1. Examples

```
{
  ...
  "summary": true,
  ...
}
```

2.2. External Reference

Type Name: `external-reference`

External references are used to describe pointers to information represented outside of STIX. For example, a Malware object could use an external reference to indicate an ID for that malware in an external database or a report could use references to represent source material.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON object type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing `external-reference`.

2.2.1. Properties

Property Name	Type	Description
source_name (required)	string	The source within which the external-reference is defined (system, registry, organization, etc.).
description (optional)	string	A human readable description.
url (optional)	string	A URL reference to an external resource. [TODO: Reference to URL syntax]
external_id (optional)	string	An identifier for the external reference content.

2.2.2. Requirements

- In addition to the **source_name** property, at least one of the **external_id**, **url**, or **description** properties **MUST** be present.

2.2.3. Examples

An **external-reference** to a VERIS [Community Database \(VCDB\)](#) [TODO:Add ref?] entry

```
{
  ...
  "external_references": [
    {
      "source_name": "veris",
      "external_id": "0001AA7F-C601-424A-B2B8-BE6C9F5164E7",
      "url": "https://github.com/vz-risk/VCDB/blob/master/data/json/0001AA7F-C601-424A-B2B8-
        BE6C9F5164E7.json"
    }
  ],
  ...
}
```

An **external-reference** from the CAPEC™ (TODO add ref) repository

```
{
  ...
  "external_references": [
    {
```

```
    "source_name": "capec",
    "external_id": "CAPEC-550"
  }
],
...
}
```

An **external-reference** from the CAPEC repository with URL

```
{
  ...
  "external_references": [
    {
      "source_name": "capec",
      "external_id": "CAPEC-550",
      "url": "http://capec.mitre.org/data/definitions/550.html"
    }
  ],
  ...
}
```

An **external-reference** to ACME Threat Intel's report document

```
{
  ...
  "external_references": [
    {
      "source_name": "ACME Threat Intel",
      "description": "Threat report",
      "url": "http://www.example.com/threat-report.pdf"
    }
  ],
  ...
}
```

An **external-reference** to a Bugzilla item

```
{
  ...
  "external_references": [
    {
      "source_name": "ACME Bugzilla",
      "external_id": "1370",
      "url": "https://www.example.com/bugs/1370"
    }
  ],
  ...
}
```

An **external-reference** to a offline threat report (i.e., e-mailed, offline, etc.)

```
{
  ...
}
```

```
"external_references": [  
  {  
    "source_name": "ACME Threat Intel",  
    "description": "Threat report"  
  }  
],  
...  
}
```

2.3. Float

Type Name: `float`

The float data type represents an IEEE 754 [TODO add ref] double-precision number (e.g., a number with a fractional part). However, because the values \pm Infinity and NaN are not representable in JSON, they are not valid values in STIX.

In the JSON MTI serialization, floating point values are represented by the JSON number type [REF: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7159>].

2.3.1. Examples

```
{  
  ...  
  "distance": 8.321,  
  ...  
}
```

2.4. Identifier

Type Name: `identifier`

An `identifier` universally and uniquely identifies a SDO, SRO, Bundle, or Marking Definition. Identifiers **MUST** follow the form `[object-type]--[UUIDv4]`, where `[object-type]` is the exact value (all type names are lowercase strings, by definition) from the `type` field of the object being identified or referenced and where the `[UUIDv4]` is an RFC 4122-compliant Version 4 UUID. The UUID **MUST** be generated according to the algorithm(s) defined in RFC 4122, Section 4.4 (Version 4 UUID) [add reference].

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON string type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing `identifier`.

2.4.1. Examples

```
{  
  ...  
  "type": "indicator",  
  "id": "indicator--e2e1a340-4415-4ba8-9671-f7343fbf0836",  
  ...  
}
```

2.5. Integer

Type Name: `integer`

The integer data type represents a whole number. Unless otherwise specified, all integers **MUST** be capable of being represented as a signed 64-bit value. Additional restrictions **MAY** be placed on the type as described where it is used.

In the JSON MTI serialization, integers are represented by the JSON number type [REF: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7159>].

2.5.1. Examples

```
{  
  ...  
  "count": 8,  
  ...  
}
```

2.6. Kill Chain Phase

Type Name: `kill-chain-phase`

The `kill-chain-phase` represents a phase in a kill chain, which describes the various phases an attacker may undertake in order to achieve their objectives.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON object type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing `kill-chain-phase`.

Property Name	Type	Description
---------------	------	-------------

<code>kill_chain_name</code> (required)	<code>string</code>	The name of the kill chain. The value of this property SHOULD be all lowercase (where lowercase is defined by the locality conventions) and SHOULD use dashes instead of spaces or underscores as word separators.
<code>phase_name</code> (required)	<code>string</code>	The name of the phase in the kill chain. The value of this property SHOULD be all lowercase (where lowercase is defined by the locality conventions) and SHOULD use dashes instead of spaces or underscores as word separators.

When referencing the Lockheed Martin Cyber Kill Chain™, the `kill_chain_name` **MUST** be `lockheed-martin-cyber-kill-chain`.

2.6.1. Examples

Example specifying the “reconnaissance” phase from the Lockheed Martin Cyber Kill Chain

```
{
  ...
  "kill_chain_phases": [
    {
      "kill_chain_name": "lockheed-martin-cyber-kill-chain",
      "phase_name": "reconnaissance"
    }
  ],
  ...
}
```

Example specifying the “pre-attack” phase from the “foo” kill-chain

```
{
  ...
  "kill_chain_phases": [
    {
      "kill_chain_name": "foo",
      "phase_name": "pre-attack"
    }
  ],
  ...
}
```

2.7. List

Type Name: `list`

The `list` type defines a sequence of values ordered based on how they appear in the list. The phrasing “`list` of type `<type>`” is used to indicate that all values within the list must conform to a specific type. For instance, `list` of type `integer` means that all values of the list must be of the `integer` type. This specification does not specify the maximum number of allowed values in a `list`, however every instance of a `list` **MUST** have at least one value. Specific STIX object properties may define more restrictive upper and/or lower bounds for the length of the list.

Empty lists are prohibited in STIX and **MUST NOT** be used as a substitute for omitting the property if it is optional. If the property is required, the list **MUST** be present and **MUST** have at least one value.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON array type [TODO: Add ref?], which is an ordered list of zero or more values.

2.6.1. Examples

```
{
  ...
  "observed_data_refs": [
    "observed-data--b67d30ff-02ac-498a-92f9-32f845f448cf",
    "observed-data--c96f4120-2b4b-47c3-b61f-eceaa54bd9c6",
    "observed-data--787710c9-1988-4a1b-9761-a2de5e19c62f"
  ],
  ...
}
```

2.8. Open Vocabulary

Type Name: `open-vocab`

The `open-vocab` type is represented as a `string`. For properties that use this type there will be a list of suggested values, known as the suggested vocabulary. The value of the property **SHOULD** be chosen from the suggested vocabulary but **MAY** be any other `string` value. Values that are not from the suggested vocabulary **SHOULD** be all lowercase (where lowercase is defined by the locality conventions) and **SHOULD** use dashes instead of spaces or underscores as word separators.

A consumer that receives STIX content with one or more `open-vocab` terms not defined in the suggested vocabulary **MAY** ignore those values.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON string type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing `open-vocab`.

2.8.1. Examples

Example using value from the suggested vocabulary

In this example the Indicator `labels` property is an open vocabulary and we are using one of the suggested vocabulary values.

```
{  
  ...,  
  "labels": ["malicious-activity"],  
  ...  
}
```

Example using a custom value

In this example, for the same Indicator `labels` property, we are not using a value in the suggested vocabulary.

```
{  
  ...,  
  "labels": ["pbx-fraud-activity"],  
  ...  
}
```

2.9. String

Type Name: `string`

The `string` data type represents a finite-length string of valid characters from the Unicode coded character set [REF:ISO.10646]. Unicode incorporates ASCII [REF: RFC20] and the characters of many other international character sets.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON string type [TODO: Add reference], which mandates the UTF-8 encoding for supporting Unicode.

2.9.1. Examples

```
{  
  ...  
  "title": "The Black Vine Cyberespionage Group",  
  ...  
}
```

2.10. Timestamp

Type Name: `timestamp`

The `timestamp` type defines how dates and times are represented in STIX.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON string type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing `timestamp`.

2.10.1. Requirements

- The `timestamp` field **MUST** be a valid RFC 3339-formatted timestamp [TODO add reference] using the format `YYYY-MM-DDTHH:mm:ss[.s+]Z` where the “s+” represents 1 or more sub-second values. The brackets denote that sub-second precision is optional, and that if no digits are provided, the decimal place **MUST NOT** be present.
- The timestamp **MUST** be represented in the UTC timezone and **MUST** use the “Z” designation to indicate this.

2.10.2. Examples

```
{  
  ...  
  "created": "2016-01-20T12:31:12.12345Z",  
  ...  
}
```

3. STIX Objects

This section outlines the common properties and behavior across all SDOs and SROs.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON object type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing all STIX Objects.

3.1. Common Properties

Property Name	Type	Description
<code>type</code> (required)	<code>string</code>	The <code>type</code> property identifies the type of STIX Object. The value of the <code>type</code> field MUST be one of the types defined by a STIX Object (e.g., <code>indicator</code>).

<p>id (required)</p>	<p>identifier</p>	<p>The id property universally and uniquely identifies this object. All objects with the same id are considered different versions of the same object.</p> <p>Because the object type is part of the identifier, it is not possible for objects of different types to share the same id.</p>
<p>created_by_ref (optional)</p>	<p>identifier</p>	<p>The created_by_ref property specifies the ID of the Identity object that describes the entity that created this object.</p> <p>If this attribute is omitted, the source of this information is undefined. This may be used by object creators who wish to remain anonymous.</p>
<p>created (required)</p>	<p>timestamp</p>	<p>The created property represents the time at which the first version of this object was created. The object creator can use the time it deems most appropriate as the time the object was created.</p> <p>The created property MUST NOT be changed when creating a new version of the object.</p> <p>The created timestamp MUST be precise to the nearest millisecond.</p> <p>See section TODO for further definition of versioning.</p>
<p>modified (required)</p>	<p>timestamp</p>	<p>The modified property represents the time that this particular version of the object was created. The object creator can use the time it deems most appropriate as the time this version of the object was modified. The value of the modified property for a given object version MUST be later than or equal to the value of the created property.</p>

		<p>Object creators MUST update the modified property when creating a new version of an object.</p> <p>The modified timestamp MUST be precise to the nearest millisecond.</p> <p>See section TODO for further definition of versioning.</p>
revoked (optional)	boolean	<p>The revoked property indicates whether the object has been revoked. Revoked objects are no longer considered valid by the object creator. Revoking an object is permanent; future versions of the object with this id MUST NOT be created.</p> <p>The default value of this property is false.</p> <p>See section TODO for further definition of versioning.</p>
labels (optional)	list of type string	<p>The labels property specifies a set of classifications.</p> <p>The object definition of this property usually includes a suggested vocabulary and items in this list SHOULD come from that vocabulary. Additional labels MAY be added beyond what is in the suggested vocabulary.</p>
external_references (optional)	list of type external-reference	<p>The external_references property specifies a list of external references which refers to non-STIX information. This property is used to provide one or more URLs, descriptions, or IDs to records in other systems.</p>
object_marking_refs (optional)	list of type identifier	<p>The object_marking_refs property specifies a list of IDs of marking-definition objects that apply to this object.</p>

		See the Data Markings in section TODO for further information.
granular_markings (optional)	list of type granular-marking	The granular_markings property specifies a list of granular markings applied to this object. See the Data Markings in section [TODO Ref] for further information.

3.2. IDs and References

The **id** property universally and uniquely identifies an SDO, SRO, Bundle, or Marking Definition. It **MUST** conform to the **identifier** type.

All STIX Objects (as well as Bundle and Marking Definition) use identifiers as defined by the **identifier** type. The **identifier** type is also used to define fields that are *ID references* to other constructs (such as the **created_by_ref** property in all STIX Objects). *Resolving* an ID reference is the process of identifying and obtaining the actual object referred to by the ID reference field. ID references resolve to an object when the value of the ID reference property (e.g., **created_by_ref**) is an exact match with the **id** property of another object. If a consumer has access to multiple versions of an object, the consumer **SHOULD** interpret any references to that object as referring to the latest version as defined in [REF: Section 3.4]. ID references **MAY** refer to objects to which the consumer/producer may not currently have. This specification does not address the implementation of ID reference resolution.

3.3. Object Creator

The object creator is the entity (e.g., system, organization, instance of a tool) that generates the **id** property for a given object. Object creators are represented as Identity objects. An embedded relationship to the Identity object representing the object creator is captured in the **created_by_ref** property.

Entities that re-publish an object from another entity without making any changes to the object, and thus maintaining the original **id**, are not considered the object creator and **MUST NOT** change the **created_by_ref** property. An entity that accepts objects and republishes them with modifications, additions, or omissions **MUST** create a new **id** for the object. They are considered the object creator of the new object for purposes of versioning.

3.4. Versioning

This section describes the versioning process and normative rules for performing versioning and revocation of STIX Objects. STIX Objects are versioned using the **revoked**, **created**, and **modified** properties. See the properties table in Section **TODO** [add reference] for full definitions and normative usage of those properties.

STIX Objects **MAY** be versioned in order to update, add, or remove information. A version of a STIX Object is identified uniquely by a combination of its **id** and **modified** properties. The first version of the object **MUST** have the same timestamp for the **created** and **modified** properties. More recent values of the **modified** property indicate later versions of the object. Implementations **MUST** consider the version of the STIX Object with the most recent **modified** value to be the most recent state of the object. For every new version of an object, the **modified** property **MUST** be updated to represent the time that the new version was created. If a consumer receives two objects that are different, but have the same **id** and **modified** timestamp, it is not defined how the consumer handles the objects. This specification does not address how implementations should handle versions of the object that are not current.

STIX Objects have a single *object creator*, the entity that generates the **id** for the object and creates the first version. Only the object creator is permitted to create new versions of a STIX Object. Producers other than the object creator **MUST NOT** create new versions of that object. If a producer other than the object creator wishes to create a new version, they **MUST** instead create a new object with a new **id**. They **SHOULD** additionally create a **derived-from** Relationship object to relate their new object to the original object that it was derived from.

Every representation (each time the object version is serialized and shared) of a version of an object (identified by the object's **id** and **modified** properties) **MUST** always have the same set of properties and the same values for each property. In order to change the value of any property, or to add or remove properties, the **modified** property **MUST** be updated with the time of the change to indicate a new version.

Objects can also be revoked, which is an indication that they are no longer considered valid by the object creator. As with issuing a new version, only the object creator is permitted to revoke a STIX Object. A value of **true** in the **revoked** property indicates that an object (including the current version and all past versions) has been revoked. Revocation is permanent: once an object is marked as revoked, later versions of that object **MUST NOT** be created. Changing the **revoked** property to indicate that an object is revoked is an update to the object, and therefore its **modified** property **MUST** be updated at the same time. This specification does not address how implementations should handle revoked data.

3.4.1. Versioning Timestamps

There are two timestamp properties used to indicate when STIX Objects were created and modified: **created** and **modified**. The **created** property indicates the time the first version of the object was created. The **modified** property indicates the time the specific version of the object was created. The **modified** time **MUST NOT** be earlier than the **created** time. This specification does not address the specifics of how implementations should determine the value of the **created** and **modified** properties.

3.4.2. New Version or New Object?

Eventually an implementation will encounter a case where a decision must be made regarding whether a change is a new version of an existing object or is different enough that it is a new object. This is generally considered a data quality problem and therefore this specification does not provide any normative text.

However, to assist implementers and promote consistency across implementations, some rules of thumb are provided. Any time a change indicates a *material change* to the meaning of the object, a new object with a different **id** should be used. A material change is any change that the object creator believes substantively changes the meaning of the object. As an example, an object creator might consider changing a Threat Actor from one country to another is a material change. These decisions are always made by the object creator. The object creator should also think about relationships to the object when deciding if a change is material. If the change would invalidate the usefulness of relationships to the object, then the change is considered material and a new object **id** should be used.

3.4.3. Examples

Example of a new version

One object creator has decided that the previous title they used for a SDO is incorrect. They consider that change as an update to the object.

Note: the IDs in the example below use a simplified format to help illustrate the changing IDs more clearly.

Step #	STIX Object	Object Creator Action
1	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "title": "attention",</pre>	Original version of an object is created.

	<pre>"description": "this is the description" }</pre>	
2	N/A, STIX is not involved in this step	Object creator changes the title in their internal database.
3	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-08T03:43:44.000Z", "title": "Attention!", "description": "this is the description" }</pre>	Object creator updates the modified property.

Example of derived object

One object creator has decided that the previous title they used for a SDO is incorrect. They consider that change fundamental to the meaning of the object and therefore revoke the object and issue a new one.

Step #	STIX Object	Object Creator Action
1	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "title": "attention", "description": "this is the description" }</pre>	Original object created (via new id and setting created and modified to the same value).
2	N/A, STIX is not involved in this step	Object creator changes the title in their internal database.
3	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-08T03:43:44.000Z", "title": "attention", "description": "this is the description", "revoked": true }</pre>	Object creator revokes the existing object by setting revoked to true . The modified property is updated.
4	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--2",</pre>	Object creator creates a new object (with a new id and

	<pre> "created": "2016-05-08T03:43:44.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-08T03:43:44.000Z", "title": "Something completely different", "description": "this is the description" } </pre>	setting created and modified to the same value).
5	<pre> { "type": "relationship", "id": "relationship--3", "created": "2016-05-08T03:43:44.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-08T03:43:44.000Z", "relationship_type": "derived-from", "source_ref": "example--1", "target_ref": "example--2" } </pre>	(Optional) Object creator creates a new Relationship indicating that the new object is derived from the old object.

Example consumer workflow

This section describes an example workflow where a consumer receives multiple updates to a particular object. (In this example, the STIX Objects have been truncated for brevity.)

Step #	STIX Object	Recipient Action
1	<pre> { "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z" } </pre>	Consumer stores example object because this is the first time they have seen the object.
2	<pre> { "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-08T03:43:44.000Z" } </pre>	Consumer updates example object because the received modified property is later than the object that is currently stored.
3	<pre> { "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-06T06:23:45.000Z" } </pre>	Consumer ignores this object because they already have a newer version of the object. Note: consumer might choose to store meta-information about received objects, including versions that were received out-of-order. The consumer also may choose to store a copy for reference.

4	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-11T06:41:21.000Z", "revoked": true }</pre>	Consumer decides to delete example object, but keeps some metadata regarding the object.
5	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--1", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-10T17:28:54.000Z" }</pre>	Consumer ignores this object because they already have a newer version of the object (the revoked version).

Example object creator workflow

This section describes an example workflow where a object creator publishes multiple updates to a particular object. This scenario assumes a human using a STIX implementation. (In this example, the STIX Objects have been truncated for brevity.)

Step #	STIX Object	User Action
1	N/A – STIX is not involved in this scenario. (Tools <i>could</i> choose to create and track STIX versions for internal changes, but it is not required by the specification.)	User clicks a create button in the user interface, creates a SDO, then clicks save. This action causes information to be stored in the product’s database.
2	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--2", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z" }</pre>	The user clicks the “share” button, delivering the intelligence to sharing partners.
3	N/A – STIX is not involved in this scenario. (Tools <i>could</i> choose to create and track STIX versions for internal changes, but it is not required by the specification.)	The user performs additional analysis within the STIX implementation, performing multiple modifications and saving their work multiple times.
4	<pre>{ "type": "example", "id": "example--2", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", </pre>	The user, happy with the status of their work, decides to provide an update to some properties of the

	<pre> "modified": "2016-05-03T16:33:51.000Z" } </pre>	previously published object (not shown).
5	<pre> { "type": "example", "id": "example--2", "created": "2016-05-01T06:13:14.000Z", "modified": "2016-05-08T13:35:12.000Z", "revoked": true } </pre>	The user receives lots of negative feedback regarding the quality of their work and decides to retract the object by pressing the “revoke” button.

3.5. Common Relationships

Each SDO has its own set of relationship types that are specified in the definition of that SDO. The following common relationship types are defined for all SDOs. See Section [<to do>\[add reference\]](#) for more information about relationships.

Relationship Type	Source	Target	Description
<code>derived-from</code>	<code><STIX Domain Object></code>	<code><STIX Domain Object of same type></code>	<p>The information in the target object is based on information from the source object.</p> <p><code>derived-from</code> is an explicit relationship between two separate objects and MUST NOT be used as a substitute for the versioning process defined in section TODO.</p>
<code>duplicate-of</code>	<code><STIX Domain Object></code>	<code><STIX Domain Object of same type></code>	<p>The referenced source and target objects are semantically duplicates of each other.</p> <p>This specification does not address whether the source or the target object is the duplicate object or what action, if any, a consumer should take when receiving an instance of this relationship.</p> <p>As an example, a Campaign object from one organization could be marked as a <code>duplicate-of</code> a Campaign object from another</p>

			organization if they both described the same campaign.
<code>related-to</code>	<code><STIX Domain Object></code>	<code><STIX Domain Object of any type></code>	<p>Asserts a non-specific relationship between two SDOs. This relationship can be used when none of the other predefined relationships are appropriate.</p> <p>As an example, a Malware object describing a piece of malware could be marked as a <code>related-to</code> a Tool if they are commonly used together. That relationship is not common enough to standardize on, but may be useful to some analysts.</p>

3.6. Reserved Properties

This section defines property names that are reserved for future use in revisions of this document. The property names defined in this section **MUST NOT** be used for the name of any Custom Property.

Properties that are currently reserved across all STIX Objects are:

- `confidence`
- `severity`
- `action`
- `usernames`
- `phone_numbers`
- `addresses`
- `first_seen_precision`
- `last_seen_precision`
- `valid_from_precision`
- `valid_until_precision`

In addition, the following object names are reserved:

- `incident`
- `infrastructure`

4. Data Markings

Data markings represent restrictions, permissions, and other guidance for how data can be used and shared. For example, data may be shared with the restriction that it must not be re-shared, or that it must be encrypted at rest. In STIX, data markings are specified using the `marking-definition` object. These definitions are applied to complete STIX Objects using object markings and to individual properties of STIX Objects via granular markings.

Some types of marking definitions or trust groups have rules about which markings override other markings or which markings can be additive to other markings. This specification does not define rules for how multiple markings applied to the same object or property should be interpreted.

4.1. Marking Definition

Type Name: `marking-definition`

The `marking-definition` object represents a specific marking. Data markings typically represent handling or sharing requirements for data, and are applied in the `object_marking_refs` and `granular_markings` properties on STIX Objects, which reference a list of IDs for `marking-definition` objects.

Two marking definition types are defined in this specification: TLP, to capture TLP markings, and Statement, to capture text marking statements. In addition, it is expected that the FIRST Information Exchange Policy (IEP) will be included in a future version once a machine-usable specification for it has been defined.

Unlike STIX Objects, Marking Definition objects cannot be versioned because it would allow for indirect changes to the markings on a STIX Object. For example, if a Statement marking is changed from "Reuse Allowed" to "Reuse Prohibited", all STIX Objects marked with that Statement marking would effectively have an updated marking without being updated themselves. Instead, a new Statement marking with the new text should be created and the marked objects updated to point to the new marking.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON object type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing `marking-definition`.

4.1.1. Properties

Property Name	Type	Description
---------------	------	-------------

type (required)	string	The type property identifies the type object. The value of this property MUST be marking-definition .
id (required)	identifier	The id property universally and uniquely identifies this Marking Definition. Because the object type is part of the identifier , it is not possible for objects of different types to share the same id .
created_by_ref (optional)	identifier	The created_by_ref property specifies the ID of the identity object that describes the entity that created this Marking Definition. If this attribute is omitted, the source of this information is undefined. This may be used by object creators who wish to remain anonymous.
created (required)	timestamp	The created property represents the time at which the Marking Definition was created. The object creator can use the time it deems most appropriate as the time the object was created.
external_references (optional)	list of type external-reference	The external_references property specifies a list of external references which refers to non-STIX information. This field is used to provide one or more URLs, descriptions, or IDs to records in other systems.
object_marking_refs (optional)	list of type identifier	The object_marking_refs property specifies a list of IDs of marking-definitions that apply to this Marking Definition. This property MUST NOT contain any references to this Marking Definition object (i.e., it cannot contain any circular references).

		Though uncommon, in some cases marking definitions themselves may be marked with sharing or handling guidance.
granular_markings (optional)	list of type granular-marking	The granular_markings property specifies a list of granular markings applied to this. This property MUST NOT contain any references to this Marking Definition object (i.e., it cannot contain any circular references). Though uncommon, in some cases Marking Definitions themselves may be marked with sharing or handling guidance.
definition_type (required)	open-vocab	The definition_type property identifies the type of Marking Definition. The value of the definition_type property SHOULD be one of the types defined in the subsections below: statement or tlp (REF:see Sections 4.1.3 and 4.1.4).
definition (required)	<marking object>	The definition property contains the marking object itself (e.g., the TLP marking as defined in Section TODO, the Statement marking as defined in Section TODO, or some other marking definition defined elsewhere).

4.1.2. Relationships

There are no relationships explicitly defined between the Marking Definition object and other objects, other than those defined as common relationships. The first section lists the embedded relationships by property name along with their corresponding target.

Relationships are not restricted to those listed below. Relationships can be created between any objects using the `related-to` relationship name or, as with open vocabularies, user-defined names.

Embedded Relationships	
<code>created_by_ref</code>	<code>identity</code>
<code>object_marking_refs</code>	<code>marking-definition</code>
Common Relationships	
<code>duplicate-of</code> , <code>derived-from</code> , <code>related-to</code>	

4.1.3. Statement Marking Object Type

The Statement marking type defines the representation of a textual marking statement (e.g., copyright, terms of use, etc.) in a definition. The value of the `definition_type` property **MUST** be `statement` when using this marking type. Statement markings are generally not machine-readable and this specification does not define any behavior or actions based on their values.

Content may be marked with multiple Statement marking types that do not override each other. In other words, the same content can be marked both with a statement saying "Copyright 2016" and a statement saying "Terms of use are ..." and both statements apply.

Property Name	Type	Description
<code>statement</code> (required)	<code>string</code>	A Statement (e.g., copyright, terms of use) applied to the content marked by this marking definition.

4.1.3.1. Examples

```
{
  "type": "marking-definition",
  "id": "marking-definition--34098fce-860f-48ae-8e50-ebd3cc5e41da",
  "created": "2016-08-01T00:00:00.000Z",
  "definition_type": "statement",
  "definition": {
    "statement": "Copyright 2016, Example Corp"
  }
}
```

4.1.4. TLP Marking Object Type

The TLP marking type defines how you would represent a Traffic Light Protocol (TLP) marking in a definition field. The value of the `definition_type` property **MUST** be `tlp` when using this marking type.

Property Name	Type	Description
<code>tlp</code> (required)	string	The TLP level (defined by FIRST, ask Tom Millar for stable ref) of the content marked by this marking definition, as defined in this section.

The following standard marking definitions **MUST** be used to reference or represent TLP markings. Other instances of `tlp-marking` **MUST NOT** be used (the only instances of TLP marking definitions permitted are those defined here).

<code>white</code>	<pre>{ "type": "marking-definition", "id": "marking-definition--613f2e26-407d-48c7-9eca-b8e91df99dc9", "created": "2017-01-01T00:00:00.001Z", "definition_type": "tlp", "definition": { "tlp": "white" } }</pre>
<code>green</code>	<pre>{ "type": "marking-definition", "id": "marking-definition--34098fce-860f-48ae-8e50-ebd3cc5e41da", "created": "2017-01-01T00:00:00.001Z", "definition_type": "tlp", "definition": { "tlp": "green" } }</pre>
<code>amber</code>	<pre>{ "type": "marking-definition", "id": "marking-definition--f88d31f6-486f-44da-b317-01333bde0b82", "created": "2017-01-01T00:00:00.001Z", "definition_type": "tlp", "definition": { "tlp": "amber" } }</pre>

red	<pre> { "type": "marking-definition", "id": "marking-definition--5e57c739-391a-4eb3-b6be-7d15ca92d5ed", "created": "2017-01-01T00:00:00.001Z", "definition_type": "tlp", "definition": { "tlp": "red" } } </pre>
-----	--

4.2. Object Markings

Object Markings apply data markings to an entire STIX Object or Marking Definition and all of its contents. Object Markings are specified as embedded relationships in the **object_marking_refs** property, which is an optional list of IDs for **marking-definition** objects. The referenced markings apply to that STIX Object or Marking Definition and all of its contents. Changes to the **object_marking_refs** property (and therefore the markings applied to the object) are treated the same as changes to any other properties on the object and follow the same rules for versioning.

4.2.1. Examples

This example marks the Indicator and all its properties with the Marking Definition referenced by the ID.

```

{
  "type": "indicator",
  "id": "indicator--b346b4b3-f4b7-4235-b659-f985f65f0009",
  ...
  "object_marking_refs": ["marking-definition--089a6ecb-cc15-43cc-9494-767639779123"],
  ...
}

```

4.3. Granular Markings

Whereas object markings apply to an entire STIX Object or Marking Definition and all its properties, granular markings allow data markings to be applied to individual portions of STIX Objects and Marking Definitions. Granular markings are specified in the **granular_markings** property, which is a list of **granular-marking** instances. Each of those instances contains a list of selectors to indicate what is marked and a reference to the **marking-definition** object to be applied. Granular markings can be used, for example, to indicate that the **name** property of an **indicator** should be handled as TLP:GREEN, the **description** property as TLP:AMBER, and the **pattern** property as TLP:RED.

4.3.1. Granular Marking Type

The `granular-marking` type defines how the `marking-definition` object referenced by the `marking_ref` property applies to a set of content identified by the list of selectors in the `selectors` property.

Property Name	Type	Description
marking_ref (required)	<code>identifier</code>	The <code>marking_ref</code> property specifies the ID of the <code>marking-definition</code> object that describes the marking.
selectors (required)	<code>list</code> of type <code>string</code>	The <code>selectors</code> property specifies a list of selectors for content contained within the STIX Object in which this property appears. Selectors MUST conform to the syntax defined in [REF:Section 4.3.1.1] . The <code>marking-definition</code> referenced in the <code>marking_ref</code> field is applied to the content selected by the selectors in this list.

4.3.1.1. Selector Syntax

Selectors contained in the `selectors` list are strings that consist of multiple components that **MUST** be separated by the `.` character. Each component **MUST** be one of:

- A property name, e.g., `description`, or;
- A zero-based list index, specified as a non-negative integer in square brackets, e.g., `[4]`

Selectors denote path traversals: the root of each selector is the STIX Object that the `granular_markings` field appears in. Starting from that root, for each component in the selector, properties and list items are traversed. When the complete list has been traversed, the value of the content is considered selected.

Selectors **MUST** refer to properties or list items that are actually present on the marked object.

As an example, consider the following STIX Object:

```
{  
  "id": "vulnerability--ee916c28-c7a4-4d0d-ad56-a8d357f89fef",  
  "created": "2016-02-14T00:00:00.000Z",  
  "modified": "2016-02-14T00:00:00.000Z",  
  "type": "vulnerability",  
  "name": "CVE-2014-0160",
```

```

"description": "The (1) TLS...",
"external_references": [{
  "source_name": "cve",
  "external_id": "CVE-2014-0160"
}],
"labels": ["heartbleed", "has-logo"]
}

```

Valid selectors:

- `description` selects the `description` property ("The (1) TLS...").
- `external_references.[0].source_name` selects the `source_name` property of the first value of the `external_references` list ("cve").
- `labels.[0]` selects the first item contained within the `labels` list ("heartbleed").
- `labels` selects the list contained in the `labels` property. Due to the recursive nature of the selector, that includes all items in the list (["heartbleed", "has-logo"]).
- `external_references` selects the list contained in the `external_references` property. Due to the recursive nature of the selector, that includes all list items and all properties of those list items.

Invalid selectors:

- `pattern` and `external_references.[3]` are invalid selectors because they refer to content not present in that object.
- `description.[0]` is an invalid selector because the `description` property is a string and not a list.
- `labels.name` is an invalid selector because `labels` property is a list and not an object.

This syntax is inspired by JSONPath (REF: <http://goessner.net/articles/JsonPath/>) and is in fact a strict subset of allowable JSONPath expressions (with the exception that the '\$' to indicate the root is implicit). Care should be taken when passing selectors to JSONPath evaluators to ensure that the root of the query is the individual STIX Object. It is expected, however, that selectors can be easily evaluated in programming languages that implement list and key/value mapping types (dictionaries, hashmaps, etc.) without resorting to an external library.

4.3.2. Example

This example marks the `description` and `labels` properties with the single marking definition referenced in the list.

```

{
  ...
  "granular_markings": [
    {
      "marking_ref": "marking-definition--089a6ecb-cc15-43cc-9494-767639779123",
      "selectors": ["description", "labels"]
    }
  ],
  "description": "Some description",

```

```
"title": "Some title",  
"labels": ["first", "second"]  
}
```

5. Bundle

Type Name: `bundle`

A Bundle is a collection of arbitrary STIX Objects and Marking Definitions grouped together in a single container. A Bundle does not have any semantic meaning and Objects are not considered related by virtue of being in the same Bundle.

Bundle is not STIX Object, so it does not have any of the Common Properties other than the **type** and **id** fields. Bundle is transient and implementations should not assume that other implementations will treat it as a persistent object.

The JSON MTI serialization uses the JSON object type `<TODO: add reference>` when representing `bundle`.

5.1. Properties

Property Name	Type	Description
type (required)	<code>string</code>	The value of this field MUST be <code>bundle</code>
id (required)	<code>identifier</code>	An identifier for this Bundle. The id field for the Bundle is designed to help tools that may need it for processing, but tools are not required to store or track it. Consuming tools should not rely on the presence of this property or the ability to refer to bundles by ID.
spec_version (required)	<code>string</code>	The version of the STIX specification used to represent the content in this Bundle. This enables non-TAXII transports or other transports without their own content identification mechanisms to know the version of STIX content.

		The value of this property MUST be 2.0 for bundles containing STIX Objects defined in this specification.
objects (optional)	list of type <i><STIX Object> or marking-definition</i>	Specifies a set of one or more STIX Objects. Objects in this list MUST be a STIX Object (SDO, SRO or Custom Object) or a Marking Definition object.

5.2. Relationships

Bundle is not a STIX Object and **MUST NOT** have any relationships to it or from it.

5.3. Examples

```
{
  "type": "bundle",
  "id": "bundle--5d0092c5-5f74-4287-9642-33f4c354e56d",
  "spec_version": "2.0",
  "objects": [
    {
      "type": "indicator",
      "id": "indicator--8e2e2d2b-17d4-4cbf-938f-98ee46b3cd3f",
      "created_by_ref": "identity--f431f809-377b-45e0-aa1c-6a4751cae5ff",
      "created": "2016-04-29T14:09:00.000Z",
      "modified": "2016-04-29T14:09:00.000Z",
      "object_marking_refs": ["marking-definition--089a6ecb-cc15-43cc-9494-767639779123"],
      "name": "Poison Ivy Malware",
      "description": "This file is part of Poison Ivy",
      "pattern": "file-object.hashes.md5 = '3773a88f65a5e780c8dff9cdc3a056f3'"
    },
    {
      "type": "marking-definition",
      "id": "marking-definition--34098fce-860f-48ae-8e50-ebd3cc5e41da",
      "created": "2016-08-01T00:00:00.000Z",
      "definition_type": "tlp",
      "definition": {
        "tlp": "green"
      }
    }
  ]
}
```

6. Vocabularies

The following sections provide object-specific listings for each of the vocabularies referenced in the object description sections. STIX vocabularies, which all have type names ending in '-ov', are "open": they provide a listing of common and industry accepted terms as a guide to the user but do not limit the user to that defined list.

6.1. Attack Motivation

Type Name: `attack-motivation-ov`

The attack motivation vocabulary is currently used in the following SDOs:

- Intrusion Set
- Threat Actor

Knowing a Threat Actor or Intrusion Set's motivation may allow an analyst or defender to better understand likely targets and behaviors.

Motivation shapes the intensity and the persistence of an attack. Threat Actors and Intrusion Sets usually act in a manner that reflects their underlying emotion or situation, and this informs defenders of the manner of attack. For example, a spy motivated by nationalism (ideology) likely has the patience to achieve long-term goals and work quietly for years, whereas a cyber-vandal out for notoriety can create an intense and attention-grabbing attack but may quickly lose interest and move on. Understanding these differences allows defenders to implement controls tailored to each type of attack for greatest efficiency.

(TODO REF) This section including vocabulary items and their descriptions is based on the *Threat Agent Motivations* publication from Intel Corp in February 2015¹.

Vocabulary Summary	
accidental, coercion, dominance, ideology, notoriety, organizational-gain, personal-gain, personal-satisfaction, revenge, unpredictable	
Vocabulary Value	Description
accidental	A non-hostile actor whose benevolent or harmless intent inadvertently causes harm.

¹ Intel Corp Threat Agent Motivations Feb 2015

	<p>For example, a well-meaning and dedicated employee who through distraction or poor training unintentionally causes harm to his or her organization.</p>
coercion	<p>Being forced to act on someone else's behalf.</p> <p>Adversaries who are motivated by coercion are often forced through intimidation or blackmail to act illegally for someone else's benefit. Unlike the other motivations, a coerced person does not act for personal gain, but out of fear of incurring a loss.</p>
dominance	<p>A desire to assert superiority over someone or something else.</p> <p>Adversaries who are seeking dominance over a target are focused on using their power to force their target into submission or irrelevance. Dominance may be found with ideology in some state-sponsored attacks and with notoriety in some cyber vandalism based attacks.</p>
ideology	<p>A passion to express a set of ideas, beliefs, and values that may shape and drive harmful and illegal acts.</p> <p>Adversaries who act for ideological reasons (e.g., political, religious, human rights, environmental, desire to cause chaos/anarchy, etc.) are not usually motivated primarily by the desire for profit; they are acting on their own sense of morality, justice, or political loyalty.</p> <p>For example, an activist group may sabotage a company's equipment because they believe the company is harming the environment.</p>
notoriety	<p>Seeking prestige or to become well known through some activity.</p> <p>Adversaries motivated by notoriety are often seeking either personal validation or respect within a community and staying covert is not a priority. In fact one of the main goals is to garner the respect of their target audience.</p>
organizational-gain	<p>Seeking advantage over a competing organization, including a military organization.</p>

	<p>Adversaries motivated by increased profit or other gains through an unfairly obtained competitive advantage are often seeking theft of intellectual property, business processes, or supply chain agreements and thus accelerating their position in a market or capability.</p>
<p>personal-gain</p>	<p>The desire to improve one's own financial status.</p> <p>Adversaries motivated by a selfish desire for personal gain are often out for gains that come from financial fraud, hacking for hire, or intellectual property theft.</p> <p>While a Threat Actor or Intrusion Set may be seeking personal gain this does not mean they are acting alone. Individuals can band together solely to maximize their own personal profits.</p>
<p>personal-satisfaction</p>	<p>A desire to satisfy a strictly personal goal, including curiosity, thrill-seeking, amusement, etc.</p> <p>Threat Actors or Intrusion Set driven by personal satisfaction may incidentally receive some other gain from their actions, such as a profit, but their primary motivation is to gratify a personal, emotional need. Individuals can band together with others toward a mutual, but not necessarily organizational, objective.</p>
<p>revenge</p>	<p>A desire to avenge perceived wrongs through harmful actions such as sabotage, violence, theft, fraud, or embarrassing certain individuals or the organization.</p> <p>A disgruntled Threat Actor or Intrusion Set seeking revenge can include current or former employees, who may have extensive knowledge to leverage when conducting attacks. Individuals can band together with others if the individual believes that doing so will enable them to cause more harm.</p>
<p>unpredictable</p>	<p>Acting without identifiable reason or purpose and creating unpredictable events.</p> <p>Unpredictable is not a miscellaneous or default category. Unpredictable means a truly random and likely bizarre event, which seems to have no logical purpose to the victims.</p>

6.2. Attack Resource Level

Type Name: `attack-resource-level-ov`

The attack resource level vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Intrusion Set
- Threat Actor

Attack Resource Level is an open vocabulary that captures the general level of resources that a threat actor, intrusion set, or campaign might have access to. It ranges from individual, a person acting alone, to government, the resources of a national government.

This section including vocabulary items and their descriptions is based on the *Threat Agent Library* publication from Intel Corp in September 2007²

Vocabulary Summary	
<code>individual, club, contest, team, organization, government</code>	
Vocabulary Value	Description
<code>individual</code>	Resources limited to the average individual; Threat Actor acts independently.
<code>club</code>	Members interact on a social and volunteer basis, often with little personal interest in the specific target. An example might be a core group of unrelated activists who regularly exchange tips on a particular blog. Group persists long term.
<code>contest</code>	A short-lived and perhaps anonymous interaction that concludes when the participants have achieved a single goal. For example, people who break into systems just for thrills or prestige may hold a contest to see who can break into a specific target first. It also includes announced "operations" to achieve a specific goal, such as the original "Opsrael" call for volunteers to disrupt all of Israel's Internet functions for a day.
<code>team</code>	A formally organized group with a leader, typically motivated by a specific goal and organized around that goal. Group persists long term and typically operates within a single geography.

² Intel Corp Threat Agent Library Sept 2007

<code>organization</code>	Larger and better resourced than a team; typically a company or crime syndicate. Usually operates in multiple geographic areas and persists long term.
<code>government</code>	Controls public assets and functions within a jurisdiction; very well resourced and persists long term.

6.3. Identity Class

Type Name: `identity-class-ov`

The identity class vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Identity

This vocabulary describes the type of entity that the Identity represents: whether it describes an organization, group, individual, or class.

Vocabulary Summary	
<code>individual</code> , <code>group</code> , <code>organization</code> , <code>class</code> , <code>unknown</code>	
Vocabulary Value	Description
<code>individual</code>	A single person.
<code>group</code>	An informal collection of people, without formal governance, such as a distributed hacker group.
<code>organization</code>	A formal organization of people, with governance, such as a company or country.
<code>class</code>	A class of entities, such as all hospitals, all Europeans, or the Domain Administrators in a system.
<code>unknown</code>	It is unknown whether the classification is individual, group, organization, or class.

6.4. Indicator Label

Type Name: `indicator-label-ov`

The indicator label vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Indicator

Indicator labels is an open vocabulary used to categorize Indicators. It is intended to be high-level to promote consistent practices. Indicator labels should not be used to capture information that can be better captured via related Malware or Attack Pattern objects. It is better to link an Indicator to a Malware object describing Poison Ivy rather than simply labeling it with "poison-ivy".

Vocabulary Summary	
anomalous-activity, anonymization, benign, compromised, malicious-activity, attribution	
Vocabulary Value	Description
anomalous-activity	Unexpected, or unusual activity that may not necessarily be malicious or indicate compromise. This type of activity may include reconnaissance-like behavior such as port scans or version identification, network behavior anomalies, and asset and/or user behavioral anomalies.
anonymization	Suspected anonymization tools or infrastructure (proxy, TOR, VPN, etc.).
benign	Activity that is not suspicious or malicious in and of itself, but when combined with other activity may indicate suspicious or malicious behavior.
compromised	Assets that are suspected to be compromised.
malicious-activity	Patterns of suspected malicious objects and/or activity.
attribution	Patterns of behavior that indicate attribution to a particular Threat Actor or Campaign.

6.5. Industry Sector

Type Name: industry-sector-ov

The industry sector vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Identity

Industry sector is an open vocabulary that describes industrial and commercial sectors. It is intended to be holistic: it has been derived from several other lists and is not limited to "critical infrastructure" sectors.

Vocabulary Summary	
agriculture, aerospace, automotive, communications, construction, defence, education, energy, entertainment, financial-services, government-national, government-regional, government-local, government-public-services, healthcare, hospitality-leisure, infrastructure, insurance, manufacturing, mining, non-profit, pharmaceuticals, retail, technology, telecommunications, transportation, utilities	
Vocabulary Value	Description
agriculture	
aerospace	
automotive	
communications	
construction	
defense	
education	
energy	
entertainment	
financial-services	
government-national	
government-regional	
government-local	
government-public-services	emergency services, sanitation

healthcare	
hospitality-leisure	
infrastructure	
insurance	
manufacturing	
mining	
non-profit	
pharmaceuticals	
retail	
technology	
telecommunications	
transportation	
utilities	

6.6. Malware Label

Type Name: malware-label-ov

The malware label vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Malware

Malware label is an open vocabulary that represents different types and functions of malware. Malware labels are not mutually exclusive: a malware instance can be both spyware and a screen capture tool.

Vocabulary Summary	
adware, backdoor, bot, ddos, dropper, exploit-kit, keylogger, ransomware, remote-access-trojan, resource-exploitation, rogue-security-software, rootkit, screen-capture, spyware, trojan, virus, worm	
Vocabulary Value	Description

adware	Any software that is funded by advertising. Adware may also gather sensitive user information from a system.
backdoor	A malicious program that allows an attacker to perform actions on a remote system, such as transferring files, acquiring passwords, or executing arbitrary commands [TODO: Ref NIST).
bot	A program that resides on an infected system, communicating with and forming part of a botnet. The bot may be implanted by a worm or Trojan, which opens a backdoor. The bot then monitors the backdoor for further instructions.
ddos	A tool used to perform a distributed denial of service attack.
dropper	A type of trojan that deposits an enclosed payload (generally, other malware) onto the target computer.
exploit-kit	A software toolkit to target common vulnerabilities.
keylogger	A type of malware that surreptitiously monitors keystrokes and either records them for later retrieval or sends them back to a central collection point.
ransomware	A type of malware that encrypts files on a victim's system, demanding payment of ransom in return for the access codes required to unlock files.
remote-access-trojan	A remote access trojan program (or RAT), is a trojan horse capable of controlling a machine through commands issued by a remote attacker.
resource-exploitation	A type of malware that steals a system's resources (e.g., CPU cycles), such as a bitcoin miner.
rogue-security-software	A fake security product that demands money to clean phony infections.
rootkit	A type of malware that hides its files or processes from normal methods of monitoring in order to conceal its presence and activities. Rootkits can operate at a number of levels, from the application level — simply replacing or adjusting the settings of system software to prevent the display of certain information — through hooking certain functions or inserting modules or drivers into the operating system kernel, to the deeper level of

	firmware or virtualization rootkits, which are activated before the operating system and thus even harder to detect while the system is running.
screen-capture	A type of malware used to capture images from the target systems screen, used for exfiltration and command and control.
spyware	Software that gathers information on a user's system without their knowledge and sends it to another party. Spyware is generally used to track activities for the purpose of delivering advertising.
trojan	Any malicious computer program which is used to hack into a computer by misleading users of its true intent.
virus	A malicious computer program that replicates by reproducing itself or infecting other programs by modifying them.
worm	A self-replicating, self-contained program that usually executes itself without user intervention.

6.7. Report Label

Type Name: report-label-ov

The report label vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Report

Report label is an open vocabulary to describe the primary purpose or subject of a report. For example, a report that contains malware and indicators for that malware should have a report label of `malware` to capture that the malware is the primary purpose. Report labels are not mutually exclusive: a Report can be both a malware report and a tool report. Just because a report contains objects of a type does not mean that the report should include that label. If the objects are there to simply provide evidence or context for other objects, it is not necessary to include them in the label.

Vocabulary Summary	
<code>threat-report</code> , <code>attack-pattern</code> , <code>campaign</code> , <code>identity</code> , <code>indicator</code> , <code>malware</code> , <code>observed-data</code> , <code>threat-actor</code> , <code>tool</code> , <code>vulnerability</code>	
Vocabulary Value	Description

<code>threat-report</code>	Report subject is a broad characterization of a threat across multiple facets.
<code>attack-pattern</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more attack patterns and related information.
<code>campaign</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more campaigns and related information.
<code>identity</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more identities and related information.
<code>indicator</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more indicators and related information.
<code>intrusion-set</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more intrusion sets and related information.
<code>malware</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more malware instances and related information.
<code>observed-data</code>	Report subject is a characterization of observed data and related information.
<code>threat-actor</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more threat actors and related information.
<code>tool</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more tools and related information.
<code>vulnerability</code>	Report subject is a characterization of one or more vulnerabilities and related information.

6.8. Threat Actor Label

Type Name: `threat-actor-label-ov`

The threat actor label vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Threat Actor

Threat actor label is an open vocabulary used to describe what type of threat actor the individual or group is. For example, some threat actors are competitors who try to steal information, while

others are activists who act in support of a social or political cause. Actor labels are not mutually exclusive: a threat actor can be both a disgruntled insider and a spy.

[REF: Threat Agent Library, Intel Corporation, September 2007]

Vocabulary Summary	
<p>activist, competitor, crime-syndicate, criminal, hacker, insider-accidental, insider-disgruntled, nation-state, sensationalist, spy, terrorist</p>	
Vocabulary Value	Description
activist	<p>Highly motivated, potentially destructive supporter of a social or political cause (e.g., trade, labor, environment, etc.) that attempts to disrupt an organization's business model or damage their image.</p> <p>This category includes actors sometimes referred to as anarchists, cyber vandals, extremists, and hacktivists.</p>
competitor	<p>An organization that competes in the same economic marketplace.</p> <p>The goal of a competitor is to gain an advantage in business with respect to the rival organization it targets. It usually does this by copying intellectual property, trade secrets, acquisition strategies, or other technical or business data from a rival organization with the intention of using the data to bolster its own assets and market position.</p>
crime-syndicate	<p>An enterprise organized to conduct significant, large-scale criminal activity for profit.</p> <p>Crime syndicates, also known as organized crime, are generally large, well-resourced groups that operate to create profit from all types of crime.</p>
criminal	<p>Individual who commits computer crimes, often for personal financial gain and often involves the theft of something valuable.</p> <p>Intellectual property theft, extortion via ransomware, and physical destruction are common examples. A criminal as defined here refers to those acting individually or in very small or informal groups. For sophisticated</p>

	<p>organized criminal activity, see the crime syndicate descriptor.</p>
<p>hacker</p>	<p>An individual that tends to break into networks for the thrill or the challenge of doing so.</p> <p>Hackers may use advanced skills or simple attack scripts they have downloaded.</p>
<p>insider-accidental</p>	<p>A non-hostile insider who unintentionally exposes the organization to harm.</p> <p>“Insider” in this context includes any person extended internal trust, such as regular employees, contractors, consultants, and temporary workers.</p>
<p>insider-disgruntled</p>	<p>Current or former insiders who seek revengeful and harmful retaliation for perceived wrongs.</p> <p>“Insider” in this context includes any person extended internal trust, such as regular employees, contractors, consultants, and temporary workers.</p> <p>Disgruntled threat actors may have extensive knowledge that can be leveraged when conducting attacks and can take any number of actions including sabotage, violence, theft, fraud, espionage, or embarrassing individuals or the organization.</p>
<p>nation-state</p>	<p>Entities who work for the government or military of a nation state or who work at their direction.</p> <p>These actors typically have access to significant support, resources, training, and tools and are capable of designing and executing very sophisticated and effective Intrusion Sets and Campaigns.</p>
<p>sensationalist</p>	<p>Seeks to cause embarrassment and brand damage by exposing sensitive information in a manner designed to cause a public relations crisis.</p> <p>A Sensationalist may be an individual or small group of people motivated primarily by a need for notoriety. Unlike the Activist, the Sensationalist generally has no political goal, and is not using bad PR to influence the target to change its behavior or business practices.</p>

<p>spy</p>	<p>Secretly collects sensitive information for use, dissemination, or sale.</p> <p>Traditional spies (governmental and industrial) are part of a well-resourced intelligence organization and are capable of very sophisticated clandestine operations. However, insiders such as employees or consultants acting as spies can be just as effective and damaging, even when their activities are largely opportunistic and not part of an overall campaign.</p>
<p>terrorist</p>	<p>Uses extreme violence to advance a social or political agenda as well as monetary crimes to support its activities.</p> <p>In this context a terrorist refers to individuals who target noncombatants with violence to send a message of fear far beyond the actual events. They may act independently or as part of a terrorist organization.</p> <p>Terrorist organizations must typically raise much of their operating budget through criminal activity, which often occurs online. Terrorists are also often adept at using and covertly manipulating social media for both recruitment and impact.</p>

6.9. Threat Actor Role

Type Name: threat-actor-role-ov

The threat actor role vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Threat Actor

Threat actor role is an open vocabulary that is used to describe the different roles that a threat actor can play. For example, some threat actors author malware or operate botnets while other actors actually carry out attacks directly.

Threat actor roles are not mutually exclusive. For example, an actor can be both a financial backer for attacks and also direct attacks.

Vocabulary Summary

agent, director, independent, infrastructure-architect, infrastructure-operator, malware-author, sponsor	
Vocabulary Value	Description
agent	Threat actor executes attacks either on behalf of themselves or at the direction of someone else.
director	The threat actor who directs the activities, goals, and objectives of the malicious activities.
independent	A threat actor acting by themselves.
infrastructure-architect	Someone who designs the battle space <TODO>
infrastructure-operator	The threat actor who provides and supports the attack infrastructure that is used to deliver the attack (botnet providers, cloud services, etc.).
malware-author	The threat actor who authors malware or other malicious tools.
sponsor	The threat actor who funds the malicious activities.

6.10. Threat Actor Sophistication

Type Name: threat-actor-sophistication-ov

Threat actor sophistication vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Threat Actor

Threat actor sophistication vocabulary captures the skill level of a threat actor. It ranges from "none", which describes a complete novice, to "strategic", which describes an attacker who is able to influence supply chains to introduce vulnerabilities. This vocabulary is separate from resource level because an innovative, highly-skilled threat actor may have access to very few resources while a minimal-level actor might have the resources of an organized crime ring.

Vocabulary Summary	
none, minimal, intermediate, advanced, expert, innovator, strategic	
Vocabulary Value	Description

<p>none</p>	<p>Can carry out random acts of disruption or destruction by running tools they do not understand. Actors in this category have average computer skills.</p> <p>Example Roles: Average User</p> <p>These actors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • can not launch targeted attacks
<p>minimal</p>	<p>Can minimally use existing and frequently well known and easy-to-find techniques and programs or scripts to search for and exploit weaknesses in other computers. Commonly referred to as a script-kiddie.</p> <p>These actors rely on others to develop the malicious tools, delivery mechanisms, and execution strategy and often do not fully understand the tool they are using or how they work. They also lack the ability to conduct their own reconnaissance and targeting research.</p> <p>Example Roles: Script-Kiddie</p> <p>These actors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • attack known weaknesses; • use well known scripts and tools; and • have minimal knowledge of the tools.
<p>intermediate</p>	<p>Can proficiently use existing attack frameworks and toolkits to search for and exploit vulnerabilities in computers or systems. Actors in this category have computer skills equivalent to an IT professional and typically have a working knowledge of networks, operating systems, and possibly even defensive techniques and will typically exhibit some operational security.</p> <p>These actors rely others to develop the malicious tools and delivery mechanisms, but are able to plan their own execution strategy. They are proficient in the tools they are using and how they work and can even make minimal modifications as needed.</p> <p>Example Roles: Toolkit User</p> <p>These actors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • attack known vulnerabilities; • use attack frameworks and toolkits; and • have proficient knowledge of the tools.

<p>advanced</p>	<p>Can develop their own tools or scripts from publicly known vulnerabilities to target systems and users. Actors in this category are very adept at IT systems and have a background in software development along with a solid understanding of defensive techniques and operational security.</p> <p>These actors rely on others to find and identify weaknesses and vulnerabilities in systems, but are able to create their own tools, delivery mechanisms, and execution strategies.</p> <p>Example Roles: Toolkit Developer</p> <p>These actors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● attack known vulnerabilities; ● can create their own tools; and ● have proficient knowledge of the tools.
<p>expert</p>	<p>Can focus on the discovery and use of unknown malicious code, are is adept at installing user and kernel mode rootkits, frequently use data mining tools, target corporate executives and key users (government and industry) for the purpose of stealing personal and corporate data. Actors in this category are very adept at IT systems and software development and are experts with security systems, defensive techniques, attack methods, and operational security.</p> <p>Example Roles: Vulnerability Researcher, Reverse Engineer, Threat Researcher, Malware Creator</p> <p>These actors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● attack unknown and known vulnerabilities; ● can create their own tools from scratch; and ● have proficient knowledge of the tools.
<p>innovator</p>	<p>Typically a criminal or state actors who are organized, highly technical, proficient, well funded professionals working in teams to discover new vulnerabilities and develop exploits.</p> <p>Demonstrates sophisticated capability. An innovator has the ability to create and script unique programs and codes targeting virtually any form of technology. At this level, this actor has a deep knowledge of networks, operating systems, programming languages, firmware, and infrastructure topologies and will demonstrate operational security when conducting his activities. Innovators are largely responsible for the discovery of 0-day vulnerabilities and the development of new attack techniques.</p> <p>Example Roles: Toolkit Innovator, 0-Day Exploit Author</p>

	<p>These actors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● attack unknown and known vulnerabilities; ● creates attacks against 0-Day exploits from scratch; and ● creates new and innovative attacks and toolkits.
strategic	<p>State actors who create vulnerabilities through an active program to “influence” commercial products and services during design, development or manufacturing, or with the ability to impact products while in the supply chain to enable exploitation of networks and systems of interest.</p> <p>These actors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● can create or use entire supply chains to launch an attack; ● can create and design attacks for any systems, software package, or device; and ● are responsible for APT level attacks.

6.11. Tool Label

Type Name: **tool-label-ov**

The tool label vocabulary is currently used in the following SDO(s):

- Tool

Tool labels describe the categories of tools that can be used to perform attacks.

Vocabulary Summary	
denial-of-service, exploitation, information-gathering, network-capture, credential-exploitation, remote-access, vulnerability-scanning	
Vocabulary Value	Description
denial-of-service	Tools used to perform denial of service attacks or DDoS attacks, such as Low Orbit Ion Cannon (LOIC) and DHCPig.
exploitation	Tools used to exploit software and systems, such as sqlmap and Metasploit.
information-gathering	Tools used to enumerate system and network information,

	e.g., NMAP.
<code>network-capture</code>	Tools used to capture network traffic, such as Wireshark and Kismet.
<code>credential-exploitation</code>	Tools used to crack password databases or otherwise exploit/discover credentials, either locally or remotely, such as John the Ripper and NCrack.
<code>remote-access</code>	Tools used to access machines remotely, such as VNC and Remote Desktop.
<code>vulnerability-scanning</code>	Tools used to scan systems and networks for vulnerabilities, e.g., Nessus.

7. Customizing STIX

There are two primary means to customize STIX: Custom Properties, and Custom Objects. Custom Properties provides a mechanism and requirements for adding properties not defined by this specification to existing STIX Objects. Custom Objects, on the other hand, provides a mechanism and requirements to create custom STIX Objects (objects not defined by this specification).

A consumer that receives a STIX document containing Custom Properties or Objects it does not understand **MAY** refuse to process the document or **MAY** ignore those properties or objects and continue processing the document.

Producers of STIX documents that contain Custom Properties or Objects should recognize that consumers may not understand them and may ignore them. Producers should define any Custom Properties and Objects they use, along with any rules for processing them, and make these definitions and rules accessible to any potential consumers. This specification does not specify a process for doing this.

7.1. Custom Properties

There will be cases where certain information exchanges can be improved by adding properties that are neither specified nor reserved in this document; these properties are called **Custom Properties**. This section provides guidance and requirements for how producers can use Custom Properties and how consumers should interpret them in order to extend STIX in an interoperable manner.

7.1.1. Requirements

- A STIX Object **MAY** have any number of Custom Properties.
- Custom Property names **MUST** be in ASCII and **MUST** only contain the characters a–z (lowercase ASCII), 0–9, and underscore (_).
- Custom Property names **SHOULD** start with “x_” followed by a source unique identifier (such as a domain name with dots replaced by underscores), an underscore and then the name. For example, **x_example_com_customfield**.
- Custom Property names **MUST** have a minimum length of 3 ASCII characters.
- Custom Property names **MUST** be no longer than 250 ASCII characters in length.
- Custom Property names that do not start with “x_” may be used in a future version of the specification for a different meaning. If compatibility with future versions of this specification is required, the “x_” prefix **MUST** be used.
- Custom Properties **SHOULD** only be used when there is no existing properties defined by the STIX specification that fulfils that need.

7.1.2. Examples

```
{  
  ...,  
  "x_acme_org_confidence": 10,  
  "x_acme_org_scoring": {  
    "impact": "high",  
    "probability": "low"  
  },  
  ...  
}
```

7.2. Custom Objects

There will be cases where certain information exchanges can be improved by adding objects that are not specified nor reserved in this document; these objects are called **Custom Objects**. This section provides guidance and requirements for how producers can use Custom Objects and how consumers should interpret them in order to extend STIX in an interoperable manner.

7.2.1. Requirements

- Producers **MAY** include any number of Custom Objects in STIX documents.
- Custom Objects **MUST** contain the required Common Properties (**id**, **type**, **created**, **modified**) and **MAY** contain any optional Common Property (defined in Section TODO).
 - The definitions of these properties are the same as those defined in Common Properties and therefore those fields **MUST NOT** be used to represent the custom properties in the object.

- The **type** field in a Custom Object **MUST** be in ASCII and **MUST** only contain the characters a–z (lowercase ASCII), 0–9, and hyphen (-).
- The **type** field **MUST NOT** contain a hyphen (-) character immediately following another hyphen (-) character.
- Custom Object names **MUST** have a minimum length of 3 ASCII characters.
- Custom Object names **MUST** be no longer than 250 ASCII characters in length.
- The value of the **type** field in a Custom Object **SHOULD** start with “x-” followed by a source unique identifier (like a domain name with dots replaced by dashes), a dash and then the name. For example, **x-example-com-customobject**.
- A Custom Object whose name is not prefixed with “x-” may be used in a future version of the specification with a different meaning. Therefore, if compatibility with future versions of this specification is required, the “x-” prefix **MUST** be used.
- The value of the **id** property in a Custom Object **MUST** use the same format as the **identifier** type, namely, **[object-type]--[UUIDv4]**.
- Custom Objects **SHOULD** only be used when there is no existing STIX Object defined by the STIX specification that fulfills that need.

7.2.2. Examples

```
{
  "type": "bundle",
  "id": "bundle--f37aa79d-f5f5-4af7-874b-734d32c08c10",
  "custom_objects": [
    {
      "type": "x-example-com-customobject",
      "id": "x-example-com-customobject--4527e5de-8572-446a-a57a-706f15467461",
      "created": "2016-08-01T00:00:00.000Z",
      "modified": "2016-08-01T00:00:00.000Z",
      "some_custom_stuff": 14,
      "other_custom_stuff": "hello"
    }
  ]
}
```

8. Conformance

8.1 Producers and Consumers

A "STIX 2.0 Producer" is any software that creates STIX 2.0 content and conforms to the following normative requirements:

1. It **MUST** be able to create content encoded as JSON.
2. All required properties **MUST** be present in the created content.

3. All properties **MUST** conform to the data type and normative requirements for that property.
4. It **MUST** support at least one STIX Object per the Conformance section in Part 2, STIX Objects.
5. It **MUST** support all features listed in Section 8.2, Mandatory Features.
6. It **MAY** support any features listed in Section 8.3, Optional Features. Software supporting an optional feature **MUST** comply with the normative requirements of that feature.

A "STIX 2.0 Consumer" is any software that consumes STIX 2.0 content and conforms to the following normative requirements:

1. It **MUST** support parsing all required properties for the content that it consumes.
2. It **MUST** support all features listed in Section 8.2, Mandatory Features.
3. It **MAY** support any features listed in Section 8.3, Optional Features. Software supporting an optional feature **MUST** comply with the normative requirements of that feature.

8.2 Mandatory Features

8.2.1. Versioning

A STIX 2.0 Producer or STIX 2.0 Consumer **MUST** support versioning by following the normative requirements listed in Section 3.4.

8.3. Optional Features

8.3.1. Object-Level Data Markings

A STIX 2.0 Producer or STIX 2.0 Consumer **MAY** support "Object-Level Data Markings". Software claiming to support "Object-Level Data Markings" **MUST** follow the normative requirements listed in Section 4.1 and 4.2.

8.3.2. Granular Data Markings

A STIX 2.0 Producer or STIX 2.0 Consumer **MAY** support "Granular Data Markings". Software claiming to support "Granular Data Markings" **MUST** follow the normative requirements listed in Section 4.1 and 4.3.

9. Appendix A. Acknowledgments

STIX Subcommittee Chairs

John Wunder (jwunder@mitre.org), MITRE Corporation

Sarah Kelley (sarah.kelley@cisecurity.org), Center for Internet Security

Special Thanks

The following individuals made substantial contributions to this specification in the form of normative text and proofing and their contributions are gratefully acknowledged:

- Bret Jordan, Blue Coat Systems, Inc.
- Terry MacDonald, Cosive
- Jane Ginn, Cyber Threat Intelligence Network, Inc. (CTIN)
- Richard Struse, DHS Office of Cybersecurity and Communications
- Jason Keirstead, IBM
- Tim Casey, Intel
- Allan Thomson, LookingGlass Cyber
- Jon Baker, MITRE Corporation
- John Wunder, MITRE Corporation
- Richard Piazza, MITRE Corporation
- John-Mark Gurney, New Context Services, Inc.
- Iain Brown, United Kingdom Cabinet Office

Contributors

The following individuals were members of the OASIS CTI Technical Committee during the creation of this specification and their contributions are gratefully acknowledged:

- David Crawford, Aetna
- Marcos Orallo, Airbus Group SAS
- Roman Fiedler, AIT Austrian Institute of Technology
- Florian Skopik, AIT Austrian Institute of Technology
- Ryan Clough, Anomali
- Wei Huang, Anomali
- Hugh Njemanze, Anomali
- Katie Pelusi, Anomali
- Aaron Shelmire, Anomali
- Jason Trost, Anomali
- Dean Thompson, Australia and New Zealand Banking Group (ANZ Bank)
- Alexander Foley, Bank of America
- Tony Pham, Bank of America
- Gautam Aggarwal, Bay Dynamics
- Humphrey Christian, Bay Dynamics
- Anil Nandigam, Bay Dynamics
- Ryan Stolte, Bay Dynamics
- Owen Johnson, Blue Coat Systems, Inc.
- Bret Jordan, Blue Coat Systems, Inc.
- Sarah Kelley, Center for Internet Security (CIS)

- Cory Kennedy, CenturyLink
- Alexandre Dulaunoy, CIRCL
- Andras Iklody, CIRCL
- Raphaël Vinot, CIRCL
- Syam Appala, Cisco Systems
- Ted Bedwell, Cisco Systems
- David McGrew, Cisco Systems
- Pavan Reddy, Cisco Systems
- Omar Santos, Cisco Systems
- Jyoti Verma, Cisco Systems
- Joey Peloquin, Citrix Systems
- Doug DePeppe, Cyber Threat Intelligence Network, Inc. (CTIN)
- Jane Ginn, Cyber Threat Intelligence Network, Inc. (CTIN)
- Ben Othman, Cyber Threat Intelligence Network, Inc. (CTIN)
- Will Urbanski, Dell
- Jeff Williams, Dell
- Sean Sobieraj, DHS Office of Cybersecurity and Communications (CS&C)
- Richard Struse, DHS Office of Cybersecurity and Communications (CS&C)
- Marlon Taylor, DHS Office of Cybersecurity and Communications (CS&C)
- Wouter Bolsterlee, EclecticIQ
- Marko Dragoljevic, EclecticIQ
- Joep Gommers, EclecticIQ
- Sergey Polzunov, EclecticIQ
- Rutger Prins, EclecticIQ
- Andrei Sîrghi, EclecticIQ
- Raymon van der Velde, EclecticIQ
- Robert Griffin, EMC
- Jeff Odom, EMC
- Sreejith Padmajadevi, EMC
- Ravi Sharda, EMC
- David Eilken, Financial Services Information Sharing and Analysis Center (FS-ISAC)
- Chris Ricard, Financial Services Information Sharing and Analysis Center (FS-ISAC)
- Phillip Boles, FireEye, Inc.
- Prasad Gaikwad, FireEye, Inc.
- Pavan Gorakav, FireEye, Inc.
- Pavan Gorakavi, FireEye, Inc.
- Rajeev Jha, FireEye, Inc.
- Anuj Kumar, FireEye, Inc.
- Shyamal Pandya, FireEye, Inc.
- Paul Patrick, FireEye, Inc.
- Scott Shreve, FireEye, Inc.
- Gavin Chow, Fortinet Inc.
- Steve Fossen, Fortinet Inc.

- Kenichi Terashita, Fortinet Inc.
- Neil Edwards, Fujitsu Limited
- Ryusuke Masuoka, Fujitsu Limited
- Daisuke Murabayashi, Fujitsu Limited
- Derek Northrope, Fujitsu Limited
- Robert van Engelen, Genivia
- Eric Burger, Georgetown University
- Mark Risher, Google Inc.
- Richard Austin, Hewlett Packard Enterprise (HPE)
- Tomas Sander, Hewlett Packard Enterprise (HPE)
- Jun Nakanishi, Hitachi, Ltd.
- Yukari Nishikawa, Hitachi, Ltd.
- Kazuo Noguchi, Hitachi, Ltd.
- Akihito Sawada, Hitachi, Ltd.
- Yutaka Takami, Hitachi, Ltd.
- Masato Terada, Hitachi, Ltd.
- Peter Allor, IBM
- Eldan Ben-Haim, IBM
- Sandra Hernandez, IBM
- Jason Keirstead, IBM
- John Morris, IBM
- Laura Rusu, IBM
- Ron Williams, IBM
- Paul Martini, iboss, Inc.
- Jerome Athias, Individual
- Peter Brown, Individual
- Joerg Eschweiler, Individual
- Elysa Jones, Individual
- Sanjiv Kalkar, Individual
- Terry MacDonald, Individual
- Patrick Maroney, Individual
- Alex Pinto, Individual
- Tim Casey, Intel Corporation
- Kent Landfield, Intel Corporation
- Karin Marr, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory
- Julie Modlin, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory
- Mark Moss, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory
- Mark Munoz, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory
- Pamela Smith, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory
- Terrence Driscoll, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
- David Laurance, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
- Russell Culpepper, Kaiser Permanente
- Beth Pumo, Kaiser Permanente

- Michael Slavick, Kaiser Permanente
- Trey Darley, Kingfisher Operations, sprl
- Jacob Hinkle, LexisNexis, a Division of Reed Elsevier
- Kinshuk Pahare, LookingGlass
- Allan Thomson, LookingGlass
- Ian Truslove, LookingGlass
- Lee Vorthman, LookingGlass
- Chris Wood, LookingGlass
- Greg Back, MITRE Corporation
- Jonathan Baker, MITRE Corporation
- Sean Barnum, MITRE Corporation
- Desiree Beck, MITRE Corporation
- Nicole Gong, MITRE Corporation
- Jasen Jacobsen, MITRE Corporation
- Ivan Kirillov, MITRE Corporation
- Richard Piazza, MITRE Corporation
- Jon Salwen, MITRE Corporation
- Charles Schmidt, MITRE Corporation
- Emmanuelle Vargas-Gonzalez, MITRE Corporation
- John Wunder, MITRE Corporation
- James Cabral, MTG Management Consultants, LLC.
- Scott Algeier, National Council of ISACs (NCI)
- Denise Anderson, National Council of ISACs (NCI)
- Josh Poster, National Council of ISACs (NCI)
- Mike Boyle, National Security Agency
- Jessica Fitzgerald-McKay, National Security Agency
- David Kemp, National Security Agency
- Takahiro Kakumaru, NEC Corporation
- John-Mark Gurney, New Context Services, Inc.
- Christian Hunt, New Context Services, Inc.
- James Moler, New Context Services, Inc.
- Daniel Riedel, New Context Services, Inc.
- Andrew Storms, New Context Services, Inc.
- David Darnell, North American Energy Standards Board
- Cory Casanave, Object Management Group
- Don Thibeau, Open Identity Exchange
- Johnny Gau, Oracle
- Sunil Ravipati, Oracle
- Josh Larkins, PhishMe Inc.
- John Tolbert, Queralt, Inc.
- Daniel Wyschogrod, Raytheon Company-SAS
- Ted Julian, Resilient Systems, Inc..
- Igor Baikalov, Securonix

- Joseph Brand, Semper Fortis Solutions
- Bernd Grobauer, Siemens AG
- John Anderson, Soltra
- Aishwarya Asok Kumar, Soltra
- Peter Ayasse, Soltra
- Jeff Beekman, Soltra
- Michael Butt, Soltra
- Cynthia Camacho, Soltra
- Aharon Chernin, Soltra
- Mark Clancy, Soltra
- Mark Davidson, Soltra
- Paul Dion, Soltra
- Daniel Dye, Soltra
- Robert Hutto, Soltra
- Raymond Keckler, Soltra
- Ali Khan, Soltra
- Chris Kiehl, Soltra
- Clayton Long, Soltra
- Michael Pepin, Soltra
- Natalie Suarez, Soltra
- David Waters, Soltra
- Benjamin Yates, Soltra
- Dave Cridland, Surevine Ltd.
- Tom Blauvelt, Symantec Corp.
- Robert Keith, Symantec Corp.
- Curtis Kostrosky, Symantec Corp.
- Juha Haaga, Synopsys
- Greg Reaume, TELUS
- Alan Steer, TELUS
- Crystal Hayes, The Boeing Company
- Wade Baker, ThreatConnect, Inc.
- Cole Iliff, ThreatConnect, Inc.
- Andrew Pendergast, ThreatConnect, Inc.
- Ben Schmoker, ThreatConnect, Inc.
- Jason Spies, ThreatConnect, Inc.
- Ryan Trost, ThreatQuotient, Inc.
- Chris Roblee, TruSTAR Technology
- Mark Angel, U.S. Bank
- Brian Fay, U.S. Bank
- Mark Heidrick, U.S. Bank
- Mona Magathan, U.S. Bank
- Yevgen Sautin, U.S. Bank
- Jonathan Algar, United Kingdom Cabinet Office

- Iain Brown, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Adam Cooper, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Mike McLellan, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Tyrone Nembhard, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Chris O'Brien, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- James Penman, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Howard Staple, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Chris Taylor, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Laurie Thomson, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Alastair Treharne, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Julian White, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- Bethany Yates, United Kingdom Cabinet Office
- James Bohling, US Department of Defense (DoD)
- Eoghan Casey, US Department of Defense (DoD)
- Gary Katz, US Department of Defense (DoD)
- Jeffrey Mates, US Department of Defense (DoD)
- Evette Maynard-Noel, US Department of Homeland Security
- Justin Stekervetz, US Department of Homeland Security
- Robert Coderre, VeriSign
- Kyle Maxwell, VeriSign
- Eric Osterweil, VeriSign

10. Appendix B. Revision History