

# STIX Working Call

2019-04-23

# Agenda

- Review Project Plan (2 minutes)
- Editorial Status Report (3 minutes)
- Items to Discuss (50\* minutes)
- Action Items (2 minutes)

# Editorial Status Review

- Significant editorial work on the following sections:  
1.6.11, 1.6.12, 2.13, 3.0, 3.1, 3.5, 3.5
- Conformance language has been merged from old parts 2-4
- Document clean up
  - Trying to fix the Common Properties confusion (the same properties are defined in 4 different places)

# Items to Discuss

- Switch from JSON to I-JSON
- Relationships on Cyber Observables
- Versioning a Cyber Observable

# I-JSON

- Switch from JSON RFC8259 to I-JSON RFC7493
  - Change our definition of integer to comply with RFC7493
- This was already done in TAXII
- ECMA Script needs I-JSON
- ECMA Script and browsers do not support 64 bit JSON numbers, they only support IEEE 754 double precision number  $([-(2^{53})+1, (2^{53})-1])$
- We will need this for JCS and Digital Signatures

# Versioning a SCO

- There seems to be two classifications of SCOs
- 1) Simple facts like an IP Address or Domain Name. These need a Relationship to something to be of any use and changing them over time does not really make sense.
- 2) More detailed facts like Email Message Object or File Object. These could contain valuable or sensitive information by themselves, without any relationship. These may actually change over time.

# Versioning a SCO

- Use cases in the affirmative that I have heard
  - Data Markings
  - What you know about a file or email message could evolve over time
  - Need ability to revoke a fact so it can be reissued
- Statements in the negative that I have heard
  - A lot of extra bloat on the wire
  - STIX is meant to be a transfer syntax, not a database or internal tool syntax.

# Versioning a SCO

- ✦ Open Questions
  - ✦ What if initial version did not have created/modified?
  - ✦ Can you version something with no created\_by\_ref?
  - ✦ There is currently no revoked property on SCOs
  - ✦ Do things like Email Message Object need the ability to be translated ?
  - ✦ If the Email Message data is in German do we need to support a “lang” property to say the email is “DE”

# Versioning a SCO

Property Name	STIX Core Objects			STIX Helper Objects		
	SDOs	SROs	SCOs	Language	Markings	Bundle
<b>type</b>	Required	Required	Required	Required	Required	Required
<b>spec_version</b>	Required	Required	Optional	Required	Required	N/A
<b>id</b>	Required	Required	Required	Required	Required	Required
<b>created_by_ref</b>	Optional	Optional	N/A	Optional	Optional	N/A
<b>created</b>	Required	Required	Optional	Required	Required	N/A
<b>modified</b>	Required	Required	Optional	Required	N/A	N/A
<b>revoked</b>	Optional	Optional	N/A	Optional	N/A	N/A
<b>labels</b>	Optional	Optional	N/A	Optional	N/A	N/A
<b>confidence</b>	Optional	Optional	N/A	Optional	N/A	N/A
<b>lang</b>	Optional	Optional	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>external_references</b>	Optional	Optional	N/A	Optional	Optional	N/A
<b>object_marking_refs</b>	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	N/A
<b>granular_markings</b>	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	N/A

# Action Items

- ✦ Mini-Group Report on Infrastructure
- ✦ Review COA
- ✦ Document top-down review
- ✦ Changes to Language Content based on Sponsors