

# STIX Working Call

2019-05-21



# Agenda

- ✦ Review Project Plan (1 minutes)
- ✦ Editorial Status Report (1 minutes)
- ✦ Items to Discuss (50\* minutes)
- ✦ Action Items (2 minutes)



# Editorial Status Review

- ✦ Only a few blockers left for us to resolve
- ✦ Today plus maybe one more working call, depending on how things go today



# Identifiers in STIX

- ✦ Very loud and strong voices on all sides of this debate
- ✦ Common to hear things like “I strongly disagree” or “we can not or will not use STIX if this does or does not happen”
- ✦ We need to find a solution that works for everyone
- ✦ This means we need to have a lot of compromise
- ✦ The SCO changes hinge on some of these



# Identifier Requirement

- Explosion of SCOs with same data
  - At the DC3 F2F the main objection to having SCOs be TLOs was the explosion of objects with the same data (e.g.. ip address 1.2.3.4).
  - At that point many said we could use deterministic IDs, but also at that point NO ONE had produced a solid proposal for how that could or should be done.
  - Thanks to Allan's works, we now have a solution that solves this problem.
  - The agreement for SCO changes hinges on the ability to have deterministic IDs for SCOs



# Identifier Requirement

- ✦ Deterministic Comparison for SCO's
  - ✦ Some want to use deterministic IDs to try and figure out if content is the same or not
  - ✦ For this to happen, SCO's really need to have deterministic IDs
  - ✦ On the flip side, even if they are deterministic that does not mean products will always care
  - ✦ We can not force products to pay attention to or process the deterministic IDs they receive



# Identifier Requirement

- ✦ No un-intended collections on IDs
  - ✦ It is super critical that we do not have un-intended collisions on IDs
  - ✦ The importances of this is carved in stone
  - ✦ Our whole versioning model and graph design hinges on this.
  - ✦ For SDOs, SROs, and Helper Objects, this is paramount



# Identifier Requirement

- ✦ Transport / Interchange format
  - ✦ STIX is a transport language / interchange format  
NOT a database design or how your local product should store its data
- ✦ Bloat on the wire
  - ✦ There has been significant concern raised many times over about bloat on the wire for SCOs.



# Proposals

- ✦ There are several proposals that have been floated around
- ✦ We need to remember that extreme flexibility and optionality is what killed STIX 1.X. Let us please not make those same mistakes



# Proposals

- ✦ The main questions right now are:
  - ✦ Do we allow organizations to use a non-standard deterministic ID generation method?
  - ✦ If yes, do we require them to tell others how they made their IDs?
  - ✦ If yes, how best to do that?



# Proposals

- ✦ Two pertinent elements from our TC design criteria are:
  - ✦ Extreme optionality is bad
  - ✦ Multiple ways of doing the same thing are bad



# Discussion

- Discuss possible ideas



# Other Topics

- <TBD>