

Publication: DITAweb: Review B (00811801-DC_1)

Topic: map (DA00508916)

Paragraph-level comments

A DITA map is the mechanism for aggregating topic references and defining a context for those references. It contains references to topics, maps, and other resources; these references are organized into hierarchies, groups, and tables.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
A DITA map is the mechanism for aggregating references to topics and maps and for defining a context for those references. It contains references to topics, maps, and other resources; these references are organized into hierarchies, groups, and tables.	sdoherly	updated	change	18/11/2021 14:42:07	
Hey, Stan. If we are defining a map -- and that is part of what we do in a natural language shortdesc, we don't want to include the word "map" as part of the definition. Also, this shortdesc is shared with LwDITA, which does not include mapref.	keberlein	updated	comment	20/11/2021 01:04:44	

The following code sample contains six <topicref> elements. The <topicref> elements are nested and have a hierarchical relationship. The file bats.dita is the parent topic, and the other topics are its children. The hierarchy could be used to generate a PDF, a navigation pane in an information center, a summary of the topics, or related links between the parent topic and its children.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
The following code sample contains six elements. The elements are nested and have a hierarchical relationship. The file bats.dita is the parent topic ; and the other topics are its children. The hierarchy could be used to generate a PDF, a navigation pane in an information center, a summary of the topics, or related links between the parent topic and its children.	sdoherly	updated	change	18/11/2021 14:43:25	
I'm going to leave the wording as-is, for two reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If I just list x elements, then we need to make it seven (because of title), and here we want the focus to be on the topicref elements.	keberlein	updated	comment	20/11/2021 20:33:36	

- The IBM styleguide calls for using a comma to separate the two parts of the sentence.

The following code sample contains six <topicref> elements. The <topicref> elements are nested and have a hierarchical relationship. The file bats.dita is the parent topic, and the other topics are its children. The hierarchy could be used to generate a PDF, a navigation pane in an information center, a summary of the topics, or related links between the parent topic and its children.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
FWIW -- wouldn't describing the hierarchy in terms of being a map "branch" be useful. Are we avoiding the term "branch"?	sdohererty	updated	comment	18/11/2021 14:44:26	
I think we want to be careful of using the term "branch," since we have "branch filtering." I don't see that using the term "branch" here gets us any advantage ...	keberlein	updated	comment	20/11/2021 20:35:02	

Topic: Mapgroup domain elements (DA00513549)

Paragraph-level comments

Mapgroup domain elements

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
In running text I see "mapgroup-domain elements" (with hyphen).	sdohererty	new	comment	18/11/2021 14:52:37	
I know that we refer to "mapgroup-domain module," but we do not hyphenate "mapgroup domain elements." Is that inconsistent and something that we should fix? Maybe ...					
IBM style guidelines call for the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid long compound phrases and noun strings; use hyphens when appropriate. • Use hyphens to avoid ambiguity, but do not use them unnecessarily. 	keberlein	updated	comment	20/11/2021 17:58:15	

Topic: keydef (DA00508764)

Paragraph-level comments

The following attributes are available on this element: universal attributes , link-relationship attributes , common map attributes , @keyref , and the attributes defined below.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
<p>the phrase ", and the attributes defined below" appear in this topic and in the mapresources topic. I suspect it may also be in others, though I haven't noticed it in any others in this review group. But the phrase doesn't seem to be followed by attribute definitions; it's followed by attribute information specific to the element. I think in all these cases, the phrasing should be ", and the attributes below with the characteristics described."</p> <p>(or something of that nature)</p>	nharrison	updated	comment	20/11/2021 22:08:05	
<p>Robert, can you take a look at this potential issue in the keydef and mapresources topics? Thanks.</p>	keberlein	updated	comment	21/11/2021 09:36:17	

Topic: keytext (DC00811052)

Paragraph-level comments

Key text is variable or link text that is used when resolving key references. It also specifies alternate text for images that are referenced by keys.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
<p>In other sections, the reference topic does provide some insight into how the current element differs in function from an element very similar in function. For this enew element, it would be useful to add somethig along the lines of "Unlike DITA 1.3 key definitions, DITA 2.0 keytext can contain multiple, conditionalized values, complex formatting of the value, and variations that support both <ph> and <xref> resolution.</p>	sdoherty	new	comment	18/11/2021 14:38:55	
<p>Hmmm ... That would not be appropriate for a shortdesc, nor do we want to make a comparison to DITA 1.3. But perhaps we should add a "Usage information" section and cover it there. FYI, the inclusion of ph in the content model is the only change from how variable text was handled in DITA 1.3; the major change is clear definition of how processors should handle precedence ...</p>	keberlein	updated	comment	20/11/2021 17:49:01	

To set distinct text values for both the company name and the link text that is associated with the company Web site, best practices call for using two different key definitions.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Hmmm . . . this is really a recommendation. There can't be a "best practice" for a feature that is not yet deployed.	sdoherly	new	comment	18/11/2021 14:40:44	
Not sure. I think this might fall in the bucket of standard best practices for key definitions.	keberlein	new	comment	20/11/2021 17:59:30	

Topic: line-through (DA00509380)

Paragraph-level comments

A strikethrough is a typographical presentation of words with a horizontal line through their center. It can indicate that words are a mistake and not intended for inclusion, or it can be used deliberately to imply a change of thought.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
For a non-English speaker, this could be confusing; the name of the element is line-through, but the description doesn't mention that, only strikethrough. Perhaps:					
Line-through refers to a strikethrough, which is a typographical presentations...	nharrison	updated	comment	20/11/2021 21:47:26	
Or you could use the phrasing from <u>:					
A line-through, also called a strikethrough, is a ...					
This one is tricky. The term "strikethrough" is the technically correct term; see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strikethrough . "line-through" is the value for the CSS text-decoration property. So, strikethrough is not really also called line-through. And we are committed to using natural language in the short descriptions.	keberlein	updated	comment	21/11/2021 09:29:09	
I suppose we could maybe add the following. But should it go in the shortdesc? Or "Usage information"?					
"A strikethrough is represented by the line-through value for the CSS text-decoration property."					

Topic: anchorref (DA00509464)

Paragraph-level comments

The <anchorref> element is specialized from <topicref>. It is defined in the mapgroup module.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
The <anchorref> element is specialized from . It is defined in the mapgroup module.	sdoherly	updated	change	18/11/2021 14:53:57	
Corrected the spelling of the element name. Thanks for catching that!	keberlein	updated	comment	20/11/2021 17:50:49	

Topic: anchor (DA00509266)

Paragraph-level comments

The <anchor> element typically is used to allow integration of run-time components. For build-time integration, you can use a <topicref> element to reference another map, or use the @conref or @conkeyref attribute on an element inside the map.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
What would be an example of a "run-time component"?	sdoherly	new	comment	18/11/2021 14:33:40	
An Eclipse plug-in is what immediately comes to mind. I'm pretty sure that's what the anchor/anchorref functionality was originally added for. I honestly don't know if many people still use Eclipse help or this sort of functionality.	keberlein	updated	comment	20/11/2021 20:39:11	