

# Summary of Comments on Microsoft Word - IIC\_ebXMLTestFramework\_V1.1\_06\_21\_04\_final\_draft.doc

---

## Page: 12

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 1:57:50 PM  
Type: Note  
There is no section 3.5.5. Do you mean ebMS?

## Page: 14

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 1:59:14 PM  
Type: Note  
I think we should clarify what we mean by 'operation'. It could be misconstrued to the WSDL operation sense.  
Suggest 'test operation'.

## Page: 15

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 2:05:56 PM  
Type: Note  
If we are abstracting away from only ebMS, do we create a new section for it and have the main document sections generic?

## Page: 18

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 2:08:36 PM  
Type: Note  
In the longer-term, might want to consider how registry could be used because now Reg/Rep can send notification messages based on events.

## Page: 28

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 3:05:25 PM  
Type: Note  
Should discuss if this could be a payload business document or other attachments.  
These attachments largely will not be capable of being interrogated other than for header data. Not you could have more than one payload (only one substantive, however).

Sequence number: 2  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 3:14:30 PM  
Type: Note

Is this sentence correct? It receives incoming notifications from test service and passes them back to the test services for storage?  
Just checking.

I think it is used by the Test Driver to put it into the Message Store (See page 36).

## Page: 47

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 3:21:02 PM  
Type: Note

Spell check document  
(Container misspelled - Containter).

## Page: 68

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 3:29:34 PM  
Type: Note

Probably needs an examp.e.

## Page: 81

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 3:43:53 PM  
Type: Note

ebBP has requirements to be able to construct a logical business document from several referenceable namespaces, i.e the logical business document had many parts. Can this support that?

## Page: 85

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 3:57:19 PM  
Type: Note

When you get to ebBP testing, you may not be able to explicitly know the business document format, i.e. it is logical and may only be designated as OAGI or RN. Mechanisms outside of ebBP will determine the document (payload) format.

## Page: 194

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 4:27:55 PM  
Type: Note

When we get further we will have to talk about the business process and what semantics apply for messages and signals. These may be other than those held at the transport level.

## Page: 208

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 4:29:01 PM  
Type: Note  
the schema  
not he schema.  
:>)

## Page: 212

---

Sequence number: 1  
Author: mm143370  
Date: 7/19/2004 4:30:28 PM  
Type: Note  
We are making TTP an element, so it have more characteristics which may affect your assumptions here. Check the new schema.