| **Description** | **Proposal** | **Suggestion from Darrell** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 Conformance reads in part:  "it meets the general requirements specified in Section 4;"  It isn't clear what "general requirements" you have in mind.  Do you mean:  4.1 "Routing Header" Elements?  Be aware that Emergency Data Exchange Language (EDXL) Distribution Element v1.0, http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/edxl-de/v1.0/EDXL-DE\_Spec\_v1.0.pdf  DOES NOT appear as a normative reference in 1.5 Normative References  When you correct that, consider whether conformance requires the TEP Message to appear as the content of ContentObject in EDXL-DE and/or reference EDXL-DE to establish the requirements for another delivery mechanism to carry a TEP Message. | Make the requirements implied by 4.1 explicit and reference them directly from the conformance clause and not just section 4 in general. | re: general requirements  Darrel, what is the wording that we’re using in HAVE? How do you recommend that this be handled?  re: EDXL-DE requirement  Darrel, what is the wording that we’re using in HAVE? |