

New search

« Search Save as

Find filters

OASIS ... Type: All Open Assign... Contains text More Search Advanced

- FILTERS
- My open issues
- Reported by me
- All issues
- Open issues
- Done issues
- Viewed recently
- Created recently
- Resolved recently
- Updated recently
- FAVORITE FILTERS
- ReqResponse It...

1-11 of 11

Columns

Summary ↑	Assignee	Labels	Environment	Key	Description	Resolved	Status
<a href="#">Clarity on capitalization of defined terms</a>	Toby Considine	editorial		ENERGYINTEROP-741	Capitalization of defined terms should be more clear, and should be called out in section 1.4 Editing Conventions		OPEN
<a href="#">Market vs Marketplace</a>	Toby Considine	MARKET	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-721	<b>Market vs Marketplace Context</b> When discussing [EMIX] and further down when discussing the Market facet market context/characteristics are used inconsistently introducing confusion. To exemplify contrast the definition in Table 2-1, with the definition in Table 3-4 and Table 3-5, and section 6.1. I suggest clarifying what definition is the one adopted by CTS and the distinctions with [EMIX] and [EI]. The order of models in section 6 implies that an actor first requests the Market Context and then the Marketplace Context. In the real world, this would be in reverse. <i>[Lines 249, 282,367, 465, 492]</i>		OPEN
<a href="#">Matching Engine Privacy</a>	Toby Considine	MARKET PRIV-SEC	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-723	<b>Matching Engine Privacy</b> I believe the matching algorithm (or at least the type) in a market should be public information to the participants not hidden. It provides trust in the market and allows participants to develop trading strategies accordingly. <i>[Lines 273]</i>		OPEN
<a href="#">Minimal and Fractal</a>	William Cox	ARCH-CONF	Donald Hammerstrom <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-710	There are 30 specific recommendations in the "Specific Recommendations" section of the submitted Hammerstrom paper. I have numbered them all for traceability as I recombine them into specific issues. The original white paper/submission can be read in the URI under "environment" 6. Section 1.6: I'm awaiting the novel value of this "minimal transactive profile." If valuable, why are the referenced standards not being extended instead of creating a separate CTS standard? 7. Section 2.1.1: This claim of hierarchical or "fractal" application of CTS is questionable. It seems that CTS provides means of		OPEN

Summary ↑	Assignee	Labels	Environment	Key	Description	Resolved	Status
					<p>procuring needed and selling surplus energies in time, but it does not aggregate the opportunities that could be embedded in an aggregate supply or demand curve. It is unlikely that dissimilar aggregated devices or prioritizable actor preferences can be combined at the same identical strike price.</p> <p>10. Section 2.2.1: This treatment of “facets” seems to be a step backward and is not architecturally sound. The “facets” are first introduced as properties of interactions and later as Actor roles. These are certainly not actor roles and do not inherently even belong to Actors. What an odd mix! (Maybe these are “interaction profiles”?)</p>		
Product Warrants	Toby Considine	ARCH-CONF	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-725	<p><b>Product Warrants</b></p> <p>CTS provides a warning on segmentation and shallow markets risk with the excessive use of product warrants. I believe an actor can achieve the same behavior by extending the tender model within the same market with additional attributes that specify a preference. Therefore, the market matching engine can try to satisfy that preference without the risk of creating a shallow market.</p> <p>[Lines 348,355]</p>		OPEN
Quote Facet	Toby Considine	FACET	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-733	<p><b>Quote Facet</b></p> <p>The facet payloads do not seem to provide a way for participants to ask for public market information for an instrument. i.e. What is the market price for 10kW at 11 AM tomorrow? The same information may be publicly distributed on price change, but a new actor that just joined the market should have a way to ask for that quote. What is the intended purpose for Cancel Quote payload?</p>		OPEN
Resources / Products / Instruments	Toby Considine	RES-PROD-INSTR	Donald Hammerstrom <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-712	<p>There are 30 specific recommendations in the "Specific Recommendations" section of the submitted Hammerstrom paper. I have numbered them all for traceability as I recombine them into specific issues. The original white paper/submission can be read in the URI under "environment"</p> <p>12. Table 3-2, Resource row: Consider offering an extensible enumeration for Resource. If this is not done, duplicate Designators and Names will evolve for the same Resource.</p> <p>16. Line 378: “Products” → “Instruments”?</p> <p>20. Table 5-1, “Resource Designator” row:</p>		OPEN

Summary ↑	Assignee	Labels	Environment	Key	Description	Resolved	Status
					Shouldn't this be a reference to a specific Product, not Resource? 27. Line 669: Editing needed.		
<a href="#">Should the delegation stuff be in the Privacy section in addition to the PartyID section?</a>	Toby Considine	None	The language of a Delegate and thereby of a Delegate's ID is introduced in WD14 as being a Party other than the ultimate buyer or the ultimate seller whose ID MAY be used instead of the PartyID in tenders, quotes, and transactions.  Should a discussion of the DelegateID be added to the privacy section?	ENERGYINTEROP-739			OPEN
<a href="#">Tender Privacy</a>	Toby Considine	PRIV-SEC	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-732	<b>Tender Privacy</b> The individual tenders and parties are indeed private information. But as with financial markets, there is public information derived from the tenders for each instrument. This should include the top of the book that can be an aggregation of multiple tenders (market price/cheapest sell price and quantity, highest buy price and quantity), market spread, and market depth. Is there any scenario in which TE will want to behave differently? Even if the current market price for an instrument is public it offers no guarantees. For a buy tender to match in both price and quantity (and possibly other aspects) the price will be higher than the market price. <i>[Lines 592, 745]</i>		OPEN
<a href="#">Ticker Facet</a>	Toby Considine	FACET	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-734	<b>Ticker Facet</b> The ticker should obfuscate the parties. The model should include for each instrument the last transaction quantity and price, direction of the price change since the previous transaction, price change value. Any request (or distribution for a ticker) should default to only the last transaction but historic (or time bounded) transactions could be returned for each instrument. The goal of the ticker is informative only. What is the purpose of the Cancel Ticker? <i>[Lines 744,756]</i>		OPEN
<a href="#">Tickers and Quotes</a>	Toby Considine	FACET	Donald Hammerstrom <a href="https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf">https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf</a>	ENERGYINTEROP-716	There are 30 specific recommendations in the "Specific Recommendations" section of the submitted Hammerstrom paper. I have numbered them all for traceability as I recombine them into specific issues. The original white paper/submission can be read		OPEN

Summary ↑	Assignee	Labels	Environment	Key	Description	Resolved	Status
					in the URI under "environment" 30. Section 12.2: Recommend deleting this section unless a useful distinction between "Tickers" and "Quotes" can be stated.		

---

1-11 of 11 ↴

---