

New search << **Resolved recently** Save as

Find filters Project... Type: All Status... Assign... Contains text More Search Advanced

Resolution Date: Within the 1... ✖

- FILTERS
- My open issues
- Reported by me
- All issues
- Open issues
- Done issues
- Viewed recently
- Created recently
- Resolved recently
- Updated recently
- FAVORITE FILTERS
- ReqResponse It...



1-12 of 12

Columns

Summary	Assignee	Labels	Environment	Key	Description	Resolved	Status
Resources / Products / Instruments	Toby Considine	RES-PROD-INSTR	Donald Hammerstrom https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf	ENERGYINTEROP-712	There are 30 specific recommendations in the "Specific Recommendations" section of the submitted Hammerstrom paper. I have numbered them all for traceability as I recombine them into specific issues. The original white paper/submission can be read in the URI under "environment" 12. Table 3-2, Resource row: Consider offering an extensible enumeration for Resource. If this is not done, duplicate Designators and Names will evolve for the same Resource. 16. Line 378: "Products" → "Instruments"? 20. Table 5-1, "Resource Designator" row: Shouldn't this be a reference to a specific Product, not Resource? 27. Line 669: Editing needed.	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Quote Facet	Toby Considine	FACET	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html	ENERGYINTEROP-733	Quote Facet The facet payloads do not seem to provide a way for participants to ask for public market information for an instrument. i.e. What is the market price for 10kW at 11 AM tomorrow? The same information may be publicly distributed on price change, but a new actor that just joined the market should have a way to ask for that quote. What is the intended purpose for Cancel Quote payload?	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Tickers and Quotes	Toby Considine	FACET	Donald Hammerstrom https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf	ENERGYINTEROP-716	There are 30 specific recommendations in the "Specific Recommendations" section of the submitted Hammerstrom paper. I have numbered them all for traceability as I recombine them into specific issues. The original white paper/submission can be read in the URI under "environment" 30. Section 12.2: Recommend deleting this section unless a useful distinction between "Tickers" and "Quotes" can be stated.	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Product Warrants	Toby Considine	ARCH-CONF	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html	ENERGYINTEROP-725	Product Warrants CTS provides a warning on segmentation and shallow markets risk with the excessive use of product warrants. I believe an actor can achieve the same behavior by extending the tender model within the same market with additional attributes that specify a preference. Therefore, the market matching engine can try to satisfy that preference without the risk of creating a shallow market. <i>[Lines 348,355]</i>	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Ticker Facet	Toby Considine	FACET	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html	ENERGYINTEROP-734	Ticker Facet The ticker should obfuscate the parties. The model should include for each instrument the last transaction quantity and price, direction of the price change since the previous transaction, price change value. Any request (or distribution for a ticker) should default to only the last transaction but historic (or time bounded) transactions could be returned for each instrument. The goal of the ticker is informative only. What is the purpose of the Cancel Ticker? <i>[Lines 744,756]</i>	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Tender Privacy	Toby Considine	PRIV-SEC	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html	ENERGYINTEROP-732	Tender Privacy The individual tenders and parties are indeed private information. But as with financial markets, there is public information derived from the tenders for each instrument. This should include the top of the book that can be an aggregation of multiple tenders (market price/cheapest sell price and quantity, highest buy price and quantity), market spread, and market depth. Is there any scenario in which TE will want to behave differently? Even if the current market price for an instrument is public it offers no guarantees. For a buy tender to match in both price and quantity (and possibly other aspects) the price will be higher than the market price. <i>[Lines 592, 745]</i>	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Matching Engine Privacy	Toby Considine	MARKET PRIV-SEC	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment	ENERGYINTEROP-723	Matching Engine Privacy I believe the matching algorithm (or at least the type) in a market should be public information to the participants not hidden. It provides trust in the market and allows participants to develop trading strategies accordingly.	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED

Summary	Assignee	Labels	Environment	Key	Description	Resolved	Status
			/202112/msg00001.html		[Lines 273]		
Should the delegation stuff be in the Privacy section in addition to the PartyID section?	Toby Considine	None	The language of a Delegate and thereby of a Delegate's ID is introduced in WD14 as being a Party other than the ultimate buyer or the ultimate seller whose ID MAY be used instead of the PartyID in tenders, quotes, and transactions. Should a discussion of the DelegationID be added to the privacy section?	ENERGYINTEROP-739		12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Clarity on capitalization of defined terms	Toby Considine	editorial		ENERGYINTEROP-741	Capitalization of defined terms should be more clear, and should be called out in section 1.4 Editing Conventions	12/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Market vs Marketplace	William Cox	MARKET	Horia Pop; Lateral Inc https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202112/msg00001.html	ENERGYINTEROP-721	Market vs Marketplace Context When discussing [EMIX] and further down when discussing the Market facet market context/characteristics are used inconsistently introducing confusion. To exemplify contrast the definition in Table 2-1, with the definition in Table 3-4 and Table 3-5, and section 6.1. I suggest clarifying what definition is the one adopted by CTS and the distinctions with [EMIX] and [EJ]. The order of models in section 6 implies that an actor first requests the Market Context and then the Marketplace Context. In the real world, this would be in reverse. [Lines 249, 282,367, 465, 492]	11/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Minimal and Fractal	William Cox	ARCH-CONF	Donald Hammerstrom https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/energyinterop-comment/202111/msg00008/2111DJH_CTS_Review.pdf	ENERGYINTEROP-710	There are 30 specific recommendations in the "Specific Recommendations" section of the submitted Hammerstrom paper. I have numbered them all for traceability as I recombine them into specific issues. The original white paper/submission can be read in the URI under "environment" 6. Section 1.6: I'm awaiting the novel value of this "minimal transactive profile." If valuable, why are the referenced standards not being extended instead of creating a separate CTS standard? 7. Section 2.1.1: This claim of hierarchical or "fractal" application of CTS is questionable. It seems that CTS provides means of procuring needed and selling surplus energies in time, but it does not aggregate the opportunities that could be embedded in an aggregate supply or demand curve. It is unlikely that dissimilar aggregated devices or prioritizable actor preferences can be combined at the same identical strike price. 10. Section 2.2.1: This treatment of "facets" seems to be a step backward and is not architecturally sound. The "facets" are first introduced as properties of interactions and later as Actor roles. These are certainly not actor roles and do not inherently even belong to Actors. What an odd mix! (Maybe these are "interaction profiles"?)	11/Apr/22	RESOLVED
Request an OASIS TC Open Repository project be created for MQTT-SN Subcommittee of the MQTT TC	Chet Ensign	None	MQTT	TCADMIN-4160	Your name: Ian Craggs Project name: MQTT-SN Subcommittee of the MQTT TC Project email address: [1]mqtt-sn-chair@lists.oasis-open.org GitHub repository name mqtt-sn-sample-resources Description The mqtt-sn-resources repository contains a collection of MQTT-SN applications and libraries in any programming language, examples, samples and other educational materials. Purpose statement: To help the understanding the specifications and facilitate other implementation efforts by providing sample and example implementations of, and educational materials about, the MQTT-SN standards. Note: this repository is not for the discussion or raising of issues on the specifications and standards themselves - the MQTT TC mailing list should be used. Open Source license Apache License v2.0 Maintainers:	11/Apr/22	CLOSED

Summary	Assignee	Labels	Environment	Key	Description	Resolved	Status
					<p>Ian Craggs, icraggs@gmail.com, icraggs, individual member, Simon Johnson, simon.johnson@u-blox.com, simon622, u-blox AG Tara Walker, tara.walker@microsoft.com, tawalke, Microsoft</p> <p>Approval: [2]https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/mqtt/download.php/69624/TC%20Meeting%20Minutes%202022-02-17.odt</p> <p>Notes: We had two discussions about the opening of this repository, one in the MQTT TC meeting linked above and another in the MQTT-SN subcommittee meeting minuted here: https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/mqtt-sn/download.php/69574/STC%20Meeting%20Minutes%202022-02-03.odt. Thanks.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>[1] mqtt-sn-chair@lists.oasis-open.org[✉] [2] https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/mqtt/download.php/69624/TC%20Meeting%20Minutes%202022-02-17.odt</p>		

1-12 of 12 ↴