DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

UNIFORM UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

May 2008 Interim Draft with Style Committee Revisions

With Prefatory Notes and Comments

Copyright ©2008 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

KAREN ROBERTS WASHINGTON, 2929 Carlisle, Suite 250, Dallas, TX 75204, Chair JAMES M. CONCANNON, Washburn University School of Law, 1700 College Ave., Topeka, KS 66621

ROBERT H. CORNELL, 573 Arkansas, San Francisco, CA 94107

JOHN S. GILLIG, P.O. Box 4285, 91 C Michael Davenport Blvd., Frankfort, KY 40604

KEVIN P.H. SUMIDA, 735 Bishop St., Suite 411, Honolulu, HI 96813

KERRY TRICHE, Louisiana State University, University Station, Paul M. Hebert Law Center, Louisiana State Law Institute, Room W-127, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-1016

JOSEPH COLQUITT, University of Alabama School of Law, Box 870382, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-5829, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

MARTHA LEE WALTERS, Oregon Supreme Court, 1163 State St., Salem, OR 97301-2563, *President*

H. KATHLEEN PATCHEL, Indiana University, School of Law-Indianapolis, 5715 E. 56th St., Indianapolis, IN 46226, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

GUY STANFORD LIPE, First City Tower, 1001 Fannin St., Suite 2500, Houston, TX 77002-6760, ABA Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN A. SEBERT, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from: NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.nccusl.org

UNIFORM UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prefatory Note	1
SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE	3
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS	3
SECTION 3. APPLICABILITY	4
SECTION 4. VALIDITY OF UNSWORN DECLARATION	5
SECTION 5. REQUIRED MEDIUM	6
SECTION 6. FORM OF UNSWORN DECLARATION	6
SECTION 7. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	7
SECTION 8. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT	7
SECTION 9. REPEALS	7
SECTION 10. EFFECTIVE DATE	8
REPORTER'S NOTES & COMMENTARY	8

UNIFORM UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

PREFATORY NOTE

Declarations of persons abroad are routinely received in state and federal courts and agencies. Many of the declarations are affidavits and other documents sworn to by declarants before authorized officials in United States embassies and consulate offices. Federal and some state courts and agencies may receive unsworn foreign declarations. The United States Code (28 U.S.C. § 1746) authorizes the use of unsworn foreign declarations if they meet the requirements of the statute. Some 19 states have statutes of like nature, but these statutes are not uniform and some apply only in specific types of litigation, proceedings, or situations. Moreover, state courts that have addressed the issue generally hold that the federal statute is inapplicable to state actions.

In recent years, particularly after September 11, 2001, access to United States embassies and consulates has been more difficult because of closings or added security. Thus, obtaining appropriately sworn foreign declarations for court or agency use is much more difficult in the post-9/11 environment.

In its Report 110, the American Bar Association identified the pressing need for an alternative to sworn declarations in the form of a uniform act for state courts and agencies. This need was communicated to the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, which decided to convene a drafting committee to address the issue. Commissioner Karen Roberts Washington was designated chair of the Drafting Committee. Professor Joseph A. Colquitt, University of Alabama School of Law, was named reporter for the project.

The Reporter, relying on both the ABA memorandum and a preliminary review of existing statutory and rules-based schemes, prepared an initial report for the Committee's first meeting in Salt Lake City, UT, on April 20-22, 2007. This report outlined and discussed the existing unsworn declarations provisions (focusing primarily on the federal, California, Florida, Kansas, and Alaska statutes), identified issues to be considered by the Committee, and suggested several statutes as initial models.

At the first meeting of the Drafting Committee in April, 2007, the Chair outlined the project. Although the Committee had reviewed the reporter's initial memorandum, the Reporter reviewed for the Committee selected examples of unsworn declarations acts. Thereafter, the Committee discussed at length the need for such provisions, their content, and alternatives available for Committee consideration. Through these discussions and a series of votes, the Chair and the Committee informed the Reporter of the provisions which should be included in the proposed Act. During a recess of the Committee meeting, the Reporter prepared an initial draft of the proposed Act, which was then circulated to the Committee and other interested parties. The Committee reconvened and discussed the draft of the proposed Act and how to proceed. It was decided that due to the pressing need for such a uniform law, the narrow scope of the proposal, and the Committee consensus in support of the proposal, the draft should be edited for style and submitted for a first reading at the 2007 Annual Conference.

Following the first meeting, a draft of the proposal was submitted to the Style Committee, and added to the calendar for the Annual Meeting in Pasadena, CA, in July 2007. The Style Committee finished its first review of the Act in June 2007. The Style Committee's suggestions were incorporated into the draft for consideration at the Annual Meeting.

The revised draft was presented in a first reading at the Annual Meeting in Pasadena, California, on July 29, 2007, with Commissioner Norman L. Greene, of New York, presiding. A number of comments and suggestions were received by the Committee at the Conference.

The Drafting Committee next met at Boise, Idaho, on October 5-6, 2007. A revised draft of the Act was circulated to the Committee members in advance of the Boise meeting. At the meeting in Boise, the Committee reviewed and considered the comments and suggestions received at the Annual Meeting, several written reports from interested organizations, and the comments of members of the Drafting Committee and other persons in attendance, such as several representatives of organizations representing notaries. Once again, the draft was revised to incorporate some of the proposed revisions and to address some of the comments about particular provisions of the uniform Act.

After the Boise meeting, the Drafting Committee submitted the revised draft to the Style Committee. The Style Committed finished its second review of the Act in January 2008. Once again, the Style Committee's suggestions were incorporated into the draft in preparation for the 2008 Annual Meeting in Big Sky, Montana.

1 2	UNIFORM UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT
3	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Uniform Unsworn
4	Foreign Declarations Act.
5 6 7 8 9	Comment The word "Uniform" was added to the title of the Act by replacing "Certification of" with "Uniform."
10	SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
11	(1) "Boundaries of the United States" means the geographic boundaries of the United
12	States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or
13	insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.
14	(2) "Law" includes the federal or a state constitution, a federal or state statute, a judicial
15	decision, a rule of court, an executive order, or an administrative rule or order.
16	(3) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in
17	an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
18	(4) "Sign" means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:
19	(A) to execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or
20	(B) to attach to or logically associate with the record an electronic symbol, sound,
21	or process.
22	(5) "Sworn declaration" means a declaration in a signed record given under oath. The
23	term includes a sworn statement, verification, certificate, and affidavit.
24	(6) "Unsworn declaration" means a declaration in a signed record that is not given under
25	oath.
26	Comment

1	
2	1. The District of Columbia was added to the definition of "boundaries of the United
3 4	States" at the suggestion of the Style Committee to eliminate any potential ambiguity.
5	2. The definition of "law" is drafted in an open-ended manner to give it the widest
6	possible application. The term is not ordinarily defined in uniform acts but in this context it is
7	important that judges applying the act be in no doubt about its breadth. The wording, as
8	suggested by the Style Committee, is taken from the definition contained in the Revised Model
9	State Administrative Procedure Act.
10	
11	3. A "record" includes information that is in intangible form (e.g., electronically stored)
12	as well as tangible form (e.g., written on paper). It is consistent with the Uniform Electronic
13	Transactions Act and the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act
14	(15 U.S.C. § 7001 et seq.).
15	
16	4. The definition of "sign" is broad enough to cover any writing containing a traditional
17	signature and any record containing an electronic signature. It is consistent with the Uniform
18	Electronic Transactions Act and the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National
19 20	Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. § 7001 et seq.).
20	5. Section 2(6) was amonded to address successions made at the National Conference
21 22	5. Section 2(6) was amended to address suggestions made at the National Conference.
22	6. A Commissioner at National Conference suggested that the Act define the term
24	"electronic." The Reporter searched a number of uniform acts and none of them defined the term
25	although those acts also included similar references to records and federal acts.
26	
27	SECTION 3. APPLICABILITY. This [act] applies to a declarant who at the time of
21	
28	making an unsworn declaration is physically located outside the boundaries of the United States
29	whether or not that location is subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. This [act] does not
20	
30	apply to a declarant who is physically located on property within the boundaries of the United
31	States and subject to the jurisdiction of another country.
32	Legislative Note: Enacting states will need to ensure that the perjury laws of the enacting state
33	include unsworn declarations.
34	
35	Comment
36	
37	The Committee discussed a number of options, but focused on two: Limiting the Act to
38	declarants in foreign jurisdictions (i.e. outside the United States and its territories), and
39	extending it to declarants outside the state in which the unsworn declaration is to be used but
40	within the United States (e.g., a declarant in Michigan making an unsworn declaration for use in

a Florida court or agency). The Committee decided that the Act should be limited to declarants
 in foreign jurisdictions, subject to expansion at some later time should the need arise.

4 At the 2007 National Conference in Pasadena, California, several Commissioners 5 questioned the Committee's decision to limit the Act to declarations made outside the United 6 States. The Committee discussed its decision at length at its meeting in Boise, Idaho, in October 7 2007, and decided to restrict the Act as originally drafted, although the definition in Section 3 8 was amended to clarify the provision. Thus, in keeping with the limited scope of the Act, the 9 Drafting Committee decided that an unsworn declaration made within the geographical 10 boundaries of the United States, even if the location is under the control of another sovereign, such as foreign embassies or consulates or Indian reservations, should not be deemed "outside 11 the boundaries of the United States" for the purposes of this Act. 12 13

The reasons for this decision are that the Committee believes that the Act, so limited, meets the immediate needs expressed to the Committee by the ABA committee that recommended the project, and that limiting the Act to truly foreign-based declarants will enhance the likelihood that states will adopt the provision in a timely manner. Moreover, notaries and officials authorized to administer oaths are more readily available in the United States.

States that already have statutes that permit out-of-state declarants in the U.S. to make
 unsworn declarations may be unlikely to modify their statutes to restrict the reach of those
 statutes. Their experiences with the broader statutes serve as a laboratory for future review of the
 reach this Act.

24

25 SECTION 4. VALIDITY OF UNSWORN DECLARATION.

26 (a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), if the law of this state requires or

27 permits the use of a sworn declaration, an unsworn declaration meeting the requirements of this

- 28 [act] has the same effect as a sworn declaration.
- 29 (b) An unsworn declaration may not be used for:
- 30 (1) a deposition;
- 31 (2) an oath of office;
- 32 (3) an oath required to be given before a specified official other than a notary
- 33 public;

34 (4) a declaration to be recorded pursuant to [insert appropriate section of state's

35 real estate law]; or

1	(5) an oath required by [insert appropriate section of state's law relating to self-
2	proved wills].
3 4	Comment
5 6 7 8 9	The use of unsworn declarations is not limited to litigation. Unsworn declarations would be usable in civil, criminal, and regulatory proceedings and settings. However, there are certain contexts in which the Committee concluded they should not be used, and these contexts are listed in this section.
10	SECTION 5. REQUIRED MEDIUM. If the law of this state requires that a sworn
11	declaration be presented in a particular medium, an unsworn declaration must be presented in
12	that medium.
13	Comment
14 15 16 17 18	Courts and agencies often restrict the medium in which pleadings, motions, and other documents may be filed. This section recognizes that such a restriction is binding on a person seeking to introduce a foreign unsworn declaration.
19	SECTION 6. FORM OF UNSWORN DECLARATION. An unsworn declaration
20	under this [act] must be substantially in the following form:
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of [insert name of enacting state] that the
22	foregoing is true and correct, and that I am physically located outside the United States,
23	the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or
24	insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.
25	Executed on the day of,, at,,,
26	(month) (year) (city or other location, and state) (country)
27	
28	(printed name)
29	
30	(signature)
31 32	Comment

1 2 3 4	"Insert name of enacting state" was added to the original version based on suggestions made at the National Conference.
5	SECTION 7. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
6	applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote
7	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
8 9	Comment
10 11 12	This section recites the importance of uniformity among the adopting states when applying and construing the act.
13	SECTION 8. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND
14	NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This [act] modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal
15	Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001, et seq.,
16	but does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or
17	authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act, 15
18	U.S.C. Section 7003(b).
19 20	Comment
21 22 23 24	This section responds to the specific language of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act and is designed to avoid preemption of state law under that federal legislation.
25	SECTION 9. REPEALS. The following acts and parts of acts are repealed:
26	
27 28	Comment
29 30 31 32	Any state enacting the Uniform Unsworn Foreign Declarations Act likely will need to amend the state's laws by repealing any conflicting statutory provisions. This Section was added based on comments at the National Conference.

1	SECTION 10. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect [date].
2 3	Comment
4 5 6 7 8	This act will become effective in the enacting jurisdiction on the designated date.
8 9	REPORTER'S NOTES & COMMENTARY
10 11 12 13 14	This draft of the Act came after just three meetings of the Drafting Committee, two reviews by the Style Committee, and the initial reading of the Act at the 2007 Annual Meeting in Pasadena, California. The Act in its present form will be presented for final review and approval at the 2008 Annual Meeting in Big Sky, Montana. There are four principal reasons for the expedited process.
15 16 17 18	First, the Committee was informed and believes that there is a pressing need for a uniform Act that will allow state courts and agencies to receive unsworn foreign declarations.
19 20 21 22 23 24	Second, two acts—one federal and one state—provide splendid models for the Committee to work from during the drafting process. These acts have been used in their respective systems for some time, and provide the Committee with an experience base for the proposed Act. Additionally, a number of other states have non-uniform statutes that authorize the receipt of unsworn declarations. These non-uniform provisions demonstrate the need for an Act permitting the use of unsworn declarations as well as reinforce the need for uniformity.
25 26 27 28 29	Third, the uniform Act is rather concise and straightforward. There is no need for an extensive, rigorous drafting process. The Committee has been able to refine and polish the Act since the 2007 Annual Meeting in Pasadena, California.
30 31 32 33	Fourth, the Committee has been able to reach a consensus on the key issues that it has confronted to date, and as issues have been raised during the drafting and approval process about particular provisions the Committee has reached consensus on those issues.
34 35 36	The state statute which has served as a model for the uniform Act is CAL. CIV. PROC. CODE § 2015.5. The federal version of this statute is 28 U.S.C. § 1746. They state as follows:
37 38	CAL. CIV. PROC. CODE § 2015.5. Certification or Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury.
 39 40 41 42 43 44 	Whenever, under any law of this state or under any rule, regulation, order or requirement made pursuant to the law of this state, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn statement, declaration, verification, certificate, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may with like force and effect be supported, evidenced, established or proved by the unsworn

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	statement, declaration, verification, or certificate, in writing of such person which recites that it is certified or declared by him or her to be true under penalty of perjury, is subscribed by him or her, and (1), if executed within this state, states the date and place of execution, or (2), if executed at any place, within or without this state, states the date of execution and that it is so certified or declared under the laws of the State of California. The certification or declaration may be in substantially the following form:
8 9	(a) If executed within this state:"I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct"
10 11 12	(Date and Place) (Signature)
13 14 15 16	(b) If executed at any place, within or without this state: "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct":
17 18	(Date and Place) (Signature)
19 20	28 U.S. CODE § 1746.
21	Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or
22	requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported,
23	evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement,
24	oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of
25	office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such
26	matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the
27	unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is
28	subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following
29	form:
30	(1) If executed without the United States: ``I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under
31	penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and
32	correct. Executed on (date).
33	(Signature)".
34	
35	(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths:
36	``I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and
37	correct. Executed on (date).
38	(Signature)".
39	
40	As noted in the Legislative Note to Section 3, any state enacting the Uniform Unsworn Foreign
41	Declarations Act likely will need to amend the state's perjury laws to impose the penalties of
42	perjury on false unsworn statements. At the 2007 National Conference, a Commissioner
43	suggested that a citation to the enacting state's perjury statute be incorporated into the text of the
44	uniform Act (i.e., instead of "as provided by the law of this state," the provision should be "as
45	provided by [insert citation of perjury statute]"). After some discussion, the Committee left the
46	provision as originally drafted.