PKCS #11 AEAD functions

Wan-Teh Chang <wtc@google.com>

Outline

- Use case
- Problems
- Proposed solutions
- Review comments needed

Use case: encrypting TLS records

Key expansion	TLS connection		Connection closure	
	TLS rec	cords		
C_EncryptUpdat	e C_EncryptUpdate	C_EncryptUpdate	C_EncryptUpdate	
L C_EncryptInit			C_EncryptFina	al
	RC4 stream cipher and even with per-record extended	l CBC block ciphers xplicit IV	S,	

Use case: encrypting TLS records



Need a C_Encryplnit call for each record to specify the IV and AAD, even though the key stays the same.

AEAD ciphers such as AES-GCM

Problem 1: C_EncryptInit overhead

• Minimize number of PKCS #11 calls to encrypt each record

- C_EncryptInit performs IV-independent initialization repeatedly
 - AES-GCM derives the GHASH key H from the AES key K: H = AES(K, 0^128)

Problem 2: IV generation

- For CTR and GCM, IV must not be repeated
 - IV provided by the caller: hard to verify uniqueness
 - IV generated by a crypto module: IV generation can be validated
- Applies to both AEAD and non-AEAD
- Reference: draft-mcgrew-iv-gen-03

Use case: encrypting TLS records



AES GCM and nonce generator

#define CKM_AEAD_AES_GCM 0x00000700

```
typedef struct CK_AEAD_GCM_PARAMS {
```

CK_ULONG ulNonceLen;

```
CK_ULONG ulTagLen;
```

```
} CK_AEAD_GCM_PARAMS;
```

Review

- GCM and CTR as target algorithms. Anything else?
- Other use cases?
- Are repeated calls to C_EncryptInit expensive?