

DRAFT**SCA-J TC Teleconference****10 July 2008****Chair**

Simon Nash

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
Mark Combellack	Avaya, Inc.	Group Member
Bryan Aupperle	IBM	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Nash	IBM	Group Member
Jim Marino	Individual	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Vladimir Savchenko	SAP AG*	Group Member

Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions	2
Agenda	2
Agenda Bashing	4
(Item 1) Minutes from previous meeting of Java TC	4
(Item 2) Action Items.....	4

(Item 3) Election of Co-Chair	4
(Item 4) TC Meeting Logistics	5
(Item 5) Review F2F Agenda	5
(Item 6) New Issues	5
(Item 7) Existing Issues	6
JAVA-41:	6
AOB	7

Resolutions

- Resolution: Minutes of TC meeting of 26th June are accepted**
- Resolution: Mark Combellack is elected as Co-Chair of the OASIS SCA-J TC**
- Resolution: Mark Combellack is appointed joint representative of the SCA-J TC on the OASIS SCA Liaison committee**
- Resolution: TC Meeting of July 17th is cancelled**

Actions

- Action 20080710-01: Simon will take an action to approach the Liaison committee to see if they will swap.**
- Action 20080710-02: Simon N to inform Jeff Mischkinsky of the election of Mark as the SCA-J TC rep on the Liaison committee**
- Action 20080710-03: Vladimir to send an email to the list about the relationship of the JSR 250 annotations to Issue 41**
- Action 20080710-04: Anish to raise an issue to capture the problem relating to @init and @destroy methods throwing exceptions**

Agenda

- Roll Call
- http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/membership.php?wg_abbrev=sca-j
- Appointment of scribe. List attached below
- Agenda bashing
- Approval of minutes from previous meeting(s)
- <http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-j/download.php/28780/SCA%20Java%20Minutes%202008-06-26.html>

1. Leaves of Absence:

No new LOAs.

Sanjay Patil is on LOA until July 14.

2. Review action items:

2008-06-26-1: anish to check with SCA BPEL TC and see if they are willing to swap

2008-06-26-2: anish to create a proposal for issue 39 which splits the example into two different examples

3. Election of co-chair:

One nomination has been sent by email

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200806/msg00023.html>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200806/msg00025.html>

4. Accepting new issues:

No new issues. (We might have some issues from Vladimir by the time the meeting starts.)

5. Review of draft F2F agenda:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200807/msg00013.html>

6. Open issues discussion:

JAVA-41:

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/JAVA-41>

Latest email with proposal and discussion:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200807/msg00017.html>

JAVA-35:

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/JAVA-35>

Proposal is option 1 as stated in the JIRA.

JAVA-36:

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/JAVA-36>

Proposal in JIRA.

JAVA-39:

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/JAVA-39>

Original proposal in JIRA. Waiting for completion of action 2008-06-26-2.

JAVA-22:

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/JAVA-22>

Proposal in JIRA.

JAVA-25: Callback Simplification

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/JAVA-25>

Proposal from Simon:

<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-j/download.php/27733/JAVA-25-Proposal-2.doc>

Proposal from Michael R and Jim:

<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-j/email/archives/200803/msg00014.html>

Latest Email:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200804/msg00035.html>

7. Adjourn

Agenda Bashing

Add in an item to consider cancelling the 17th July TC meeting

(Item 1) Minutes from previous meeting of Java TC

Minutes of TC meeting of 26th June

<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-j/download.php/28780/SCA%20Java%20Minutes%202008-06-26.html>

**Resolution: Minutes of TC meeting of 26th June are
accepted**

(Item 2) Action Items

2008-06-26-1: Anish to check with SCA BPEL TC and see if they are willing to swap
DONE - The BPEL TC are not prepared to swap

Action 20080710-01: Simon will take an action to approach the Liaison committee to see if they will swap.

2008-06-26-2: Anish to create a proposal for issue 39 which splits the example into two different examples

Outstanding

(Item 3) Election of Co-Chair

Nominations:

One made by email - Mark Combella nominated by Mike Edwards

No other nominations.

Simon calls for any objections to electing Mark Combella as co-chair

No objections.

Mark Combellack is elected as Co-Chair of the OASIS SCA-J TC, following OASIS rules

Resolution: Mark Combellack is elected as Co-Chair of the OASIS SCA-J TC

Bryan Aupperle raises the question of the second SCA-J TC rep on the OASIS SCA Liaison committee, since Michael Rowley was previously the rep.

Mark C is happy to serve as the representative

Bryan moves to nominate Mark as the 2nd representative of the SCA-J TC to the OASIS SCA Liaison committee

Seconded by Anish

Motion is carried unanimously

Resolution: Mark Combellack is appointed joint representative of the SCA-J TC on the OASIS SCA Liaison committee

Action 20080710-02: Simon N to inform Jeff Mischkinsky of the election of Mark as the SCA-J TC rep on the Liaison committee

(Item 4) TC Meeting Logistics

Meeting of July 17th is cancelled due to F2F meetings

Next TC conf call is July 24th.

Resolution: TC Meeting of July 17th is cancelled

(Item 5) Review F2F Agenda

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200807/msg00013.html>

Mike E mentions that the OSOA JEE specification is imminently going to be submitted to this TC - and requests that some time is devoted to this specification during the F2F. Agreed.

Mark requests that the discussion of "Plan for next meeting" is brought forward from the end of the meeting in case people have to leave early. Agreed.

(Item 6) New Issues

None

(Item 7) Existing Issues

JAVA-41:

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/JAVA-41>

Latest email with proposal and discussion:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200807/msg00017.html>

Dave Booz has a question - is there a need to require a void return type on the lifecycle methods

?

Mark - advantage of a void return type is that it makes it clear that the runtime will not be influenced by anything that the programmer decides to return

Anish: Agreed. The revised proposal is as given below:

Ref: sca-javacaa-1.1-spec-WD03.doc

Change the text in the given sections and line range as given below:

Section 2.2 - Line 121:

Methods annotated as lifecycle methods **MUST** be public with no arguments and a void return type.

Section 8.9 - Lines 1184-1186:

The @Destroy annotation is used to denote a Java class method that will be called when the scope defined for the local service implemented by the class ends. The method **MUST** have a void return type and no arguments. The annotated method **MUST** be public.

Section 8.12 - Lines 1257-1260:

The @Init annotation is used to denote a Java class method that is called when the scope defined for the local service implemented by the class starts. The method **MUST** have a void return type and no arguments. The annotated method **MUST** be public. The annotated method is called after all property and reference injection is complete.

Anish: the above is from Vamsi

Mark Combella: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-j/200807/msg00021.html>

Dave raises a discussion about removing the statements about "void return type"

Anish also raises the question about methods that throw exceptions

Simon thinks that these points probably require more thought and the building of a new proposal

Discussion also about the visibility of these methods - is "public" required

- some sympathy for allowing any visibility

Mark Combella moves to resolve this issue using the above text modified to allow public or protected methods with no arguments and a void return type in all 3 paragraphs
Fails to receive a second

Dave B moves to resolve this issue using the above text modified to allow methods of any access modifier with no arguments and a void return type in all 3 paragraphs
Mike E seconds

Vladimir makes the point that there are annotations defined in JSR 250 which have a meaning close to these annotations

Action 20080710-03: Vladimir to send an email to the list about the relationship of the JSR 250 annotations to Issue 41

Dave B withdraws the motion

Action 20080710-04: Anish to raise an issue to capture the problem relating to @init and @destroy methods throwing exceptions

AOB

Next meeting 24st July
Close of Business