

**FINAL****SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****12 Nov 2007****Chair**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

**Scribe**

Mike Edwards

**Attendees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Status</b>
Fred Carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Michael Rowley	BEA Systems, Inc.	Group Member
Jacques Durand	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Tom Rutt	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eisaku Nishiyama	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Jeff Mischkinsky	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Kaanu Joshi	Patni Computer Systems Ltd.	Group Member
Sanjay Patil	SAP AG*	Group Member

Martin Raepple	SAP AG*	Group Member
Fabian Ritzmann	Sun Microsystems	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## **Contents**

Resolutions.....	2
Actions .....	2
Agenda .....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....	3
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC .....	3
(Item 5) Promote Policy Framework to Committee Draft status.....	4
(Item 6) New Issues .....	4
(Item 7) Open Issues .....	4
Issue #9: WS-I Compliance versus QoS Policy.....	4
Issue #12: Annotations for policy intents .....	5
Issue #14: Binding hierarchies need to be considered in policyReference matching. 5	
Issue #15: External mechanism for attaching intents or policySets .....	6
AOB .....	6

## **Resolutions**

- Resolution: 1. Minutes of Policy TC meeting of 5th November accepted.**
- Resolution: 2. The Version 3 Working Draft of the Policy Framework specification is adopted as the 1<sup>st</sup> Committee Draft.**
- Resolution: 3. Issue #35 is moved to the Open state.**
- Resolution: 4. Issue #9 is closed with no action**
- Resolution: 5. Issue #12 is closed with no action.**
- Resolution: 6. Issue #14 is closed with no action.**

## **Actions**

- 20071112-1: Ashok & Dave to raise issue #14 with the Bindings TC**
- 20071112-2: Ashok to prepare a proposal for Issue 15 - by Dec 3**

## **Agenda**

1. Roll call
2. Appointment of minute taker – Mike Edwards
3. Agenda bashing
4. Vote to accept minutes from previous meeting  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200711/msg00008.html>
5. Promote Framework draft to CD status?  
<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/25995/sca-policy-1.1-spec-wd-03.doc>
6. NEW ISSUES
  - a. Conformance targets <http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-35>
7. ISSUES
  - a. WS-I compliance versus QoS policy  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200710/msg00042.html>  
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-9>  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200711/msg00006.html>
  - b. Annotations for policy intents  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200710/msg00045.html>  
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-12>
  - c. Binding hierarchies need to be considered in policyReference matching  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200710/msg00047.html>  
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-14>
  - d. External mechanism for attaching intents or policySets  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200710/msg00048.html>  
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-15>
8. AOB
9. Any additions to the roll?

### ***(Item 3) Agenda Bashing***

Agenda accepted as-is.

### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Michael Rowley: Moves to accept the minutes for 5<sup>th</sup> November meeting.  
Eric Wells: seconds  
Agreed unanimously

**Resolution: 1. Minutes of Policy TC meeting of 5th November accepted.**

***(Item 5) Promote Policy Framework to Committee Draft status***

Question about the procedure to follow – it can be done through a simple motion.

Michael Rowley: Do the editors think the draft is ready?

Ashok Malhotra: Yes, it is in a very reasonable state.

Michael Rowley moves that the Version 3 Working Draft of the Policy Framework specification is adopted at the 1<sup>st</sup> Committee Draft.

Seconded by Bob Freund.

Accepted unanimously

**Resolution: 2. The Version 3 Working Draft of the Policy Framework specification is adopted as the 1<sup>st</sup> Committee Draft.**

***(Item 6) New Issues***

Issue #35 Conformance Targets

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-35>

Martin Chapman moves to open this issue. Michael Rowley seconds.

Accepted unanimously.

**Resolution: 3. Issue #35 is moved to the Open state.**

***(Item 7) Open Issues***

**Issue #9: WS-I Compliance versus QoS Policy**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-9>

Discussion of what this issue really means.

Michael Rowley asks if there are any existing WS-Policy assertions that relate to WS-I compliance

- it appears that none have been standardized

Regular Basic Profile puts constraints on the WSDL and on the form of messages.

Anish: Suggestion - WS-I may (sometime in the future) define WS-Policy assertions for their profiles - in which case this TC does not have to do anything

Michael R - what would you expect to find in the assertions for WS-I?

It can be done for WS-I BSP - in that there are a set of security assertions which are already defined elsewhere

Ashok: I think that all this is telling us that there is nothing useful that we can define in this area at the moment and so we should close this issue

Dave B: there are other profiles other than WS-I Basic Profile, for which this might make sense - could morph this issue to address those profiles

Tom Rutt: Might be best to close what is a vague issue

Tom Rutt moves to close this issue with no action

Michael Rowley seconds

Accepted unanimously

**Resolution: 4. Issue #9 is closed with no action**

### **Issue #12: Annotations for policy intents**

Ashok: Idea is to have language annotations for policy intents - for more than just one language (Java today)

Michael R - this should be up to the various language groups (eg BPEL)

Mike Edwards moves to close this issue with no action

Michael Rowley seconds

Accepted unanimously

**Resolution: 5. Issue #12 is closed with no action.**

### **Issue #14: Binding hierarchies need to be considered in policyReference matching**

Michael Rowley - where there is the need to match up bindings at two ends of a wire, simple binding equality seems too restrictive

- under what circumstances can this matching rules be done

- this could be done in the Policy group or possibly in Assembly

Ashok - useful if we can get a proposal here.

Michael R - sounds more like an Assembly issue

<discussion of where this should be handled>

Mike Edwards moves to close this issue and for an action to be taken to move it to the Bindings TC

Michael Rowley seconds

Accepted unanimously

**Resolution: 6. Issue #14 is closed with no action.**

**20071112-1: Ashok & Dave to raise issue #14 with the Bindings TC**

**Issue #15: External mechanism for attaching intents or policySets**

Ashok - some separate file with a pointer to some SCDL element and a pointer to some PolicySet  
(or something like this)

eg ability to add Policy to some existing SCDL without changing it

Michael R: useful to help with separation of roles - assembler vs deployer

Michael R: the existence of some form of (XPath) pointers in the separate file(s) can get complex  
fast

Ashok: WS-Policy has attachment mechanisms

Status is that we need a proposal for this.

**20071112-2: Ashok to prepare a proposal for Issue 15 - by Dec 3**

**AOB**

None

Next meeting 19th November 2007

Close of Business