

FINAL**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****23 February 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
fred carter	AmberPoint	Group Member
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Vamsavardhana Chillakuru	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Jeff Mischkinsky	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Sanjay Patil	SAP AG*	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions	2
Agenda	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing	3
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC	4
(Item 5) TC Logistics.....	4
(Item 6) Action Items.....	4
(Item 7) Vote for CD and Public Review Draft.....	4
AOB	7
Issue Status Reporting - for Liaison Committee.....	7

Resolutions

Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of Feb 16th are accepted

Resolution: The CD02 draft at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31321/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.pdf> and the associated Intent Definitions and Policy Schema files are accepted as Committee Draft 02 and that the Intent File and Policy Schema file are moved onto the Kavi system

Resolution: The SCA Policy specification Committee Draft 02 is made the Public Review Draft

Resolution: New comments and issues against the Policy spec are treated as Public Review comments as from 23rd Feb 2009 and that they are entered into a new JIRA component called "Policy Public Review"

Resolution: The Policy specification 1st Public Review period is 60 days in length

Actions

Action 20090223-01: (Dave) Send the Public Review Draft package to Mary on Thursday with accompanying contact data

Agenda

1. Roll call
2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from Feb 16 2009

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200902/msg00072.html>

5. TC Logistics:

- a. Recording issue status - 0 (none) Open

6. ACTION ITEMS

Completed:

- a. 20090216-1: (Dave) Dave will respond to the email on the list relating to @attachTo

Incomplete:

None

7. Vote for CD and Public Review draft

Document:

Word Source: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31320/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.doc>

PDF: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31321/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.pdf>

Intent Definitions and Policy Schema:

http://tools.oasis-open.org/version-control/browse/wsvn/sca-assembly/SCA_XSDS/#_SCA_XSDS_

8. New Issues

- a. ISSUE 72: Nesting should be removed from Qualifer XSD type
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-72>

9. Issue Discussion

None

10. Testing Discussion

- a. Review Ashok's first pass at test assertion examples
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200902/msg00092.html>

11. AOB

- a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes from 16th February 2009

Minutes are accepted without changes

Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of Feb 16th are accepted

(Item 5) TC Logistics

a) 0 Open issues

(Item 6) Action Items

20090216-1 is Done

No further action items

(Item 7) Vote for CD and Public Review Draft

Document:

Word Source: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31320/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.doc>

PDF: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31321/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.pdf>

Intent Definitions and Policy Schema files:

http://tools.oasis-open.org/version-control/browse/wsvn/sca-assembly/SCA_XSDS/#_SCA_XSDS

Dave describes the CD02 draft linked above

Ashok: If the TC agrees, then it gets cleaned up, right?

Dave: Yes, we will accept all changes and clean it up for publication

Dave: Appendix B (Acknowledgements) has been completed based on the membership list

Ashok: This will have to be published on the OASIS Web site - we begin Public Review after that, right?

Dave: Yes

Mike E moves to accept the CD02 draft linked above as Committee Draft 02, with its associated Definitions file and Policy Schema

Bob seconds

Martin requests that anything that we accept should be on Kavi and not a link to the SVN

Martin: Part of the motion needs to get the SVN files up onto Kavi

Ashok: Shouldn't there be something in the CD to point out to the Schema and the Intent files?

Martin: This is done logically through the namespace and the RDDDL document

Martin moves to amend the motion to add that the Intent file and the Policy Schema should be placed onto Kavi

Mike E seconds the amendment

Amendment is accepted
Amended motion passes
CD02 now exists

Resolution: **The CD02 draft at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31321/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.pdf> and the associated Intent Definitions and Policy Schema files are accepted as Committee Draft 02 and that the Intent File and Policy Schema file are moved onto the Kavi system**

Mike E moves to make the SCA Policy CD02 the Public Review Draft
anish our 1st PRD, who would have thunk it would be the policy spec

Ashok: there is a new issue on the books, what should we do about that?
Martin seconds the motion

Martin - we need to think about the external groups who need to be notified and asked for their comments

Dave: Is there a "usual group of suspects"?

jeff.mischkinsky: we being public review when mary announces it

Martin: No, not really

anish: external groups: all the other SCA TCs

anish: maybe W3C Policy WG

Motion passes unanimously

We now have a Public Review draft

Resolution: **The SCA Policy specification Committee Draft 02 is made the Public Review Draft**

Dave: Identifying external stakeholders...

Martin: Try to gather names of folk outside OASIS who might be interested in reviewing the public review

Jeff: W3C - Policy TC

anish: is xacml TC still alive?

Ashok: Yes, they are still alive

Martin: Give a couple of days for suggestions to the list

Dave - set a deadline of Thursday

W3C Web services list

Ashok: Also, W3C Policy Languages IG

Action 20090223-01: (Dave) Send the Public Review Draft package to Mary on Thursday with accompanying contact data

Jeff: Might be wise to ensure that Assembly & Policy go out together

Dave: Yes, think that would be a good idea

Martin: OK so what about Issues that come in during the 60day public review are we going to use the same JIRA instance? are comments from TC members regarded as Public Review comments?

anish: is there a separate email address for PR comments?

Martin: Normally, anything is treated as a public review comment - also suggest a separate JIRA list, done using a new component

Ashok: Yes, I agree that the public review comments are done separately

anish: I assume we want a 60 day review (which is the minimum).

anish: the TC can set it to be higher

Ashok: Yes, Anish that was the plan

Mike E moves that that any new comments and issues against the Policy spec are treated as Public Review comments as from today

Martin seconds

anish going to be difficult for J TC. can JIRA have sub-sub-component?

Martin proposes an amendment that a new JIRA component is created called "Policy Public Review" for these PR comments

Mike E seconds the amendment

jeff.mischkinsky: Comments from non-TC Members must be collected via the TC's archived public comment facility; comments made through any other means shall not be accepted. The TC must acknowledge the receipt of each comment, track the comments received, and publish to its primary e-mail list the disposition of each comment at the end of the review period.

anish: so TC members get a choice of where to send the comment

anish: why not just decide whether it is a PR comment based on the destination of the comment

jeff.mischkinsky: seems like if a TC member wants to make a comment that is not specifically aimed at the PRD then we don't want to have to track them as PRD comments, correct?

Anish: Have we decided that the PR period is 60 days? That is just the minimum.

Anish: We can also ask for ALL comments (including from TC members) to go to the PR list

jeff.mischkinsky: i think anish's point is that when a TC member sends in something as a proposed issue the TC gets to decide if it wants to accept and open the issue

jeff.mischkinsky: with a PRD comment, you have to open the issue

Martin C: No you dont - we can agree close no action

Vamsi: Close no action will still need an open issue technically

Bob: what is the difference? so it is then an open and shut case

jeff.mischkinsky: right, so its either don't accept an issue (TC bus) or close no action (PRD issue we don't want to deal with)

Bob: The value of opening the public review issue is that you have a record in one place

Ashok: Exactly, Bob!

anish too bad, OASIS requires a web form rather than a comment email list specific to the TC

Amendment passes without objection

Amended motion is passed unanimously

Resolution: New comments and issues against the Policy spec are treated as Public Review comments as from 23rd Feb 2009 and that they are entered into a new JIRA component called "Policy Public Review"

Anish moves that the 1st Public Review period is 60 days

Plamen seconds

jeff.mischkinsky: oasis requires the web form because of the clickthru ipr licensing requirement

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: The Policy specification 1st Public Review period is 60 days in length

Sanjay: Q: How does an OASIS TC reward itself after working hard to produce a PR document? A: By chewing together the PR process

Ashok moves that Issue 72 is treated as a public review issue

Mike E seconds

Motion passes unanimously.

Resolution: Issue 72 will be treated as a Public Review issue

AOB

Issue Status Reporting - for Liaison Committee

0 open issues

0 opened today

0 closed today

Next meeting 2nd Mar

Close of Business