

**FINAL**

**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference**

**13 July 2009**

**Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

**Scribe**

Mike Edwards

**Attendees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Status</b>
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">Resolutions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Actions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Agenda.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 6) Public Review status.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 7) Action Items.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 8) New issues.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE-96: sca-policy-1.1-intents-definitions-cd02.xml has a typo for sca:binding (sca:Binding is used in one place) .....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE-97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity .....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE-98: Many places in the SCA Policy Framework Version 1.1 spec still reference OSOA namespaces .....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE-99 POL90026 should be reworded to remove RFC2119 keywords.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">(Item 10) Testing discussion.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">(Item 11) Existing Issues.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE-85: POL90014 duplicates POL90012 and POL90013 .....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">AOB.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>

### **Resolutions**

**Minutes of Policy TC meeting of July 6th are accepted**

**Issue 96 is opened.**

**Issue 96 is resolved with the proposal in the JIRA**

**Issue 97 is opened**

**Issue 98 is opened**

**Issue 98 is resolved with the proposal contained in the document**

**<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-policy/download.php/33351/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev1%2BIssue98.pdf>**

**Issue 99 is opened.**

**Issue 99 is resolved with the proposal in the JIRA, plus the removal of the associated TestAssertion from the test assertions document**

**Issue 85 is resolved with the proposal in the JIRA, plus the removal of the TestAssertion related to POL90014**

### **Actions**

None

## **Agenda**

1. Roll call
2. Confirm minute taker
3. Agenda bashing
4. Meeting Minutes  
Vote to accept minutes from July 6 2009  
<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33277/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-07-06.pdf>
5. TC Administrivia:
  - a. Recording issue status - 14 Open
  - b. Fabian Ritzman is on LOA
6. PRD status update
  - a. 9 issues from PR 01 comment list that need responses
7. ACTION ITEMS:
  - a. 20090615-02: status=done, Dave to look at POL90026 and decide on rewording/removal of normative statement
  - b. 20090706-01: Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95
8. New Issues
  - a. ISSUE-96: sca-policy-1.1-intents-definitions-cd02.xml has a typo for sca:binding (sca:Binding is used in one place)  
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-96>
  - b. ISSUE-97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity  
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-97>
  - c. ISSUE-98: Many places in the SCA Policy Framework Version 1.1 spec still reference OSOA namespaces  
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-98>
9. Blocking Issue Discussion  
None
10. Testing Discussion
  - a. Review latest pass at test assertion examples  
Latest Update: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00015.html>
11. Additional Issue Discussion
  - a. Policy content in the Assembly spec:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200905/msg00003.html>

b. ISSUE-85: POL90014 duplicates POL90012 and POL90013

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-85>

proposal is in JIRA

c. ISSUE 73: XPath samples are invalid by syntax

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-73>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200903/msg00039.html>

d. ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-76>

Proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200904/msg00015.html>

12. AOB

a. straggler roll

### ***(Item 3) Agenda Bashing***

No changes

### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Minutes from 06 July 2009

Minutes are accepted without changes

**Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of July 6th are accepted**

### ***(Item 5) TC Administrivia***

8 open issues

Fabian Ritzmann starts LOA today

### ***(Item 6) Public Review status***

9 issues received from PR comment list that need responses.

### ***(Item 7) Action Items***

a. 20090615-02: status=done, Dave to look at POL90026 and decide on rewording/removal of normative statement

b. 20090706-01: Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95

### ***(Item 8) New issues***

**ISSUE-96: sca-policy-1.1-intents-definitions-cd02.xml has a typo for sca:binding (sca:Binding is used in one place)**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-96>

Dave Booz outlines the issue. Typo in intent definition document.  
Ashok moves to open Issue 96. Eric seconds.  
Motion passes unanimously.  
Issue 96 is opened

**Resolution: Issue 96 is opened.**

Ashok moves to resolve Issue 96 with the proposal in the JIRA. Eric seconds  
Motion passes unanimously.  
Issue 96 is resolved.

**Resolution: Issue 96 is resolved with the proposal in the JIRA**

### **ISSUE-97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-97>

Rich outlines the issue.

Eric points out that Policy-83 is related to this issue

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-83>

Ahsok's issue closed as duplicate POLICY-91

EricW: Minutes of 06-Jul-09 do not record any resolution for POLICY-83

<debate on whether POLICY-83 really does cover the same ground as POLICY-97>

Discussion of the relationship of POLICY-97 to POLICY-95 resolution

EricW: How can you add a "second" qualifier to an Unqualified intent?

Dave Booz: I didn't say that very well did I, you're right...I should have said "qualifiable intent"

Mike moves to open Issue 97

Ashok seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Issue 97 is opened

**Resolution: Issue 97 is opened**

### **ISSUE-98: Many places in the SCA Policy Framework Version 1.1 spec still reference OSOA namespaces**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-98>

Dave outlines the issue - wrong namespaces in the Policy document

Ashok moves to open Issue 98. Mike seconds.

Motion passes unanimously.

Issue 98 is opened

**Resolution: Issue 98 is opened**

Mike Edwards sent out a proposal for Issue 98:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00020.html>

<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-policy/download.php/33351/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev1%2BIssue98.pdf>

<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-policy/download.php/33350/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev1%20Issue98.doc>

Mike moves to resolve Issue 98 with the proposal contained in the referenced document:

<http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-policy/download.php/33351/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev1%2BIssue98.pdf>

Ashok seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Issue 98 is resolved

**Resolution: Issue 98 is resolved with the proposal contained in the document <http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/sca-policy/download.php/33351/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev1%2BIssue98.pdf>**

**ISSUE-99 POL90026 should be reworded to remove RFC2119 keywords.**

Dave Booz: <http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-99>

Dave outlines the issue - transactions section of spec

Mike moves to open Issue 99. Eric seconds.

Motion passes unanimously

Issue 99 is opened

**Resolution: Issue 99 is opened.**

Dave describes the proposal for Issue 99 that is in the JIRA

Ashok moves to resolve Issue 99 with the proposal in the JIRA plus the removal of the associated TestAssertion from the test assertions document

Eric seconds

Dave: Are you proposing to remove the TA from the current TA document?

Ashok: I'm not proposing that - but the marker is made to make the TA change for some later version of the TestAssertion document

Dave: Assembly are talking in terms of a new Issue to bring the TA document up to match the later version of the Assembly specification

- this would leave the Public Review of the TA document matching the PR version of the spec

Motion passed unanimously.

Issue 99 is resolved.

**Resolution: Issue 99 is resolved with the proposal in the JIRA, plus the removal of the associated TestAssertion from the test assertions document**

### ***(Item 10) Testing discussion***

Dave has published a reviewed/updated version of the document:  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00015.html>

Mike has published a further set of review/updates of the document:  
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00021.html>

Dave warns folks to check these over since we could be in a position to vote for a CD of the document as early as next week

### ***(Item 11) Existing Issues***

#### **ISSUE-85: POL90014 duplicates POL90012 and POL90013**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-85>

Proposal is in JIRA

Dave discusses the proposal, which is to change POL90014 to become an explanatory statement rather than a normative statement

Ashok - this would also remove the related TA, right?

Dave - Yes

Ashok moves to resolve Issue 85 with the proposal in the JIRA which makes POL90014 non-normative and also removes the related TestAssertion

Mike seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Issue 85 is resolved.

**Resolution: Issue 85 is resolved with the proposal in the JIRA, plus the removal of the TestAssertion related to POL90014**

#### **AOB**

None

Next meeting 20<sup>th</sup> July

Close of Business