

DRAFT**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****24 August 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Plamen Pavlov

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
Jacques Durand	Fujitsu Limited*	Group Member
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Fabian Ritzmann	Sun Microsystems	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Table of Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions.....	2
Agenda.....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....	4
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....	4
(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....	4
(Item 7) Action Items.....	4
(Item 8) New Issues.....	4
(item 9) Blocking Issue Discussion.....	4
(Item 10) Testing discussion.....	4
(Item 11) Additional Issue Discussion.....	4
ISSUE 97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity	4
Issue 89: Location of intents and policySets.....	5
Issue 90: Fine-grained authorization.....	5
Issue 87: Clarification re. value of appliesTo.....	5
Issue 104: Clarify meaning of 'does not have to support intents' in Conformance section.....	5
AOB.....	6

Resolutions

Minutes of Policy TC meeting of August 17th are accepted

Issue 89 is Closed with no action

Issue 90 is Resolved with the proposal in the JIRA

Actions

Action: Ashok to provide wording to resolve issue policy-87

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from Aug 17 2009

- <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33829/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-08-17.pdf>

5. TC Administrivia:

a. Recording issue status - 9 Open

6. PRD status update

- a. 9 issues from PR 01 comment list that need responses

7. ACTION ITEMS:

- a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95
- b. 20090810-01: status=pending; Ashok to prepare words for the resolution of Issue 79

8. New Issues

none

9. Blocking Issue Discussion

None

10. Testing Discussion

- a. Test assertion document status

Latest Documents (pdf): [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33759/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-03.pdf)

[open.org/committees/download.php/33759/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-03.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33759/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-03.pdf)

(doc): [http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33758/SCA-Policy-1.1-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33758/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-03.doc)
[Test-Assertions-WD-03.doc](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33758/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-03.doc)

11. Additional Issue Discussion

- a. ISSUE 97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-97>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200907/msg00051.html>

Pending wording from Rich and Ashok

- b. ISSUE 79: Do intents have to be supported if only External Attachment supported?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-79>

Latest discussion: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00049.html>

- c. ISSUE 87: Clarification re. values of @appliesTo.

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-87>

- d. ISSUE 94: Allow intents to be attached using an element

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-94>

- e. ISSUE 93: Allow external attachment for intents

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-93>

- f. ISSUE 92: Block Intent Inheritance

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-92>

- g. ISSUE 90: Fine-grained authorization

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-90>

h. ISSUE 89: Location of intents and policySets
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-89>

i. ISSUE 104: Clarify meaning of 'does not have to support intents' in Conformance section
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-104>

12. AOB
a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

Start with Policy-89 and then Policy-90

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes from 17th August 2009
Minutes are accepted without change

Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of August 17th are accepted

(Item 5) TC Administrivia

None

(Item 7) Action Items

- a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95
- b. 20090810-01: status=pending; Ashok to prepare words for the resolution of Issue 79

Both still pending

(Item 8) New Issues

None

(item 9) Blocking Issue Discussion

None

(Item 10) Testing discussion

No updates

(Item 11) Additional Issue Discussion

ISSUE 97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-97>

Rich will send draft to Ashok later today

Issue 89: Location of intents and policySets

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-89>

Ashok describes the problem

[

Ashok: moves to close Policy-89 with no action

Rich seconds

Motion passes with no objection

Resolution: Issue 89 is Closed with no action

Issue 90: Fine-grained authorization

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-90>

Ashok walk us through the issue proposal

[

Askok wonders whether policy-90 is not overtaken by another issue

Ashok moves to accept the proposal in the Jira to remove the fineGrain from authorization intent

Rich seconds

Motion passes without objections

Resolution: Issue 90 is Resolved with the proposal in the JIRA

Issue 87: Clarification re. value of appliesTo

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-87>

Ashok describes the issue

Dave we need to change the syntax of the example

Rich why XPath is needed

Ashok describes the reason

Action: Ashok to provide wording to resolve issue policy-87

Issue 104: Clarify meaning of 'does not have to support intents' in Conformance section

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-104>

Ashok asks whether this is covered by Issue 79

AOB

None

Next meeting 14 September
Close of Business