OASIS 🕅

SCA Policy Framework Version 1.1

Committee Draft 02/Public Review 01 – rev4-Issue94

3 September 2009

Specification URIs:

This Version: http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.html

http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.doc

http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.pdf (Authoritative)

Previous Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd-01.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd-01.doc http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd-01.pdf (Authoritative)

Latest Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.doc http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-policy/sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02.pdf (Authoritative)

Technical Committee:

OASIS SCA Policy TC

Chair(s):

David Booz, IBM <booz@us.ibm.com>

Ashok Malhotra, Oracle <ashok.malhotra@oracle.com>

Editor(s):

David Booz, IBM <booz@us.ibm.com>

Michael J. Edwards, IBM <mike.edwards@uk.ibm.com>

Ashok Malhotra, Oracle <ashok.malhotra@oracle.com>

Related work:

This specification replaces or supercedes:

• SCA Policy Framework Specification Version 1.00 March 07, 2007

This specification is related to:

OASIS Committee Draft 03, "SCA Assembly Model Specification Version 1.1", March 2009. http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-assembly/sca-assembly-1.1-spec-cd03.pdf

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005, 2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 1 of 74

Declared XML Namespace(s):

In this document, the namespace designated by the prefix "sca" is associated with the namespace URL docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903. This is also the default namespace for this document.

Abstract: TBD

Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the SCA Policy TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest Version" or "Latest Approved Version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/sca-policy/.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/sca-policy/ipr.php.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005, 2009. All Rights Reserved.

Notices

Copyright © OASIS® 2005, 2009. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The names "OASIS" and "SCA-Policy" are trademarks of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php for above guidance.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005, 2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 3 of 74

Table of Contents

1	Intro	duction	<u>8</u> 7
	1.1	Terminology	<u>8</u> 7
	1.2	XML Namespaces	<u>8</u> 7
	1.3	Normative References	<u>8</u> 7
	1.4	Naming Conventions	<u>9</u> 8
2	Ove	rview	<u>10</u> 9
	2.1	Policies and PolicySets	<u>109</u>
	2.2	Intents describe the requirements of Components, Services and References	<u>109</u>
	2.3	Determining which policies apply to a particular wire	<u>11</u> 10
3	Fram	nework Model	12
	3.1	Intents	12
	3.2	Interaction Intents and Implementation Intents	<u>14</u> 15
	3.3	Profile Intents	15
	3.4	PolicySets	<u>15</u> 16
	3.4.1	I IntentMaps	<u>17</u> 18
	3.4.2	2 Direct Inclusion of Policies within PolicySets	<u>19</u> 20
	3.4.3	B Policy Set References	<u>19</u> 20
4	Atta	ching Intents and PolicySets to SCA Constructs	<u>22</u> 23
	4.1	Attachment Rules - Intents	<u>22</u> 23
	4.2	Attachment Rules - PolicySets	<u>22</u> 23
	4.3	Direct Attachment of PolicySets	<u>23</u> 24
	4.4	External Attachment of PolicySets Mechanism	<u>2425</u>
	4.4.1	I The Form of the @attachTo Attribute	<u>2425</u>
	4.4.2	2 Cases Where Multiple PolicySets are attached to a Single Artifact	<u>2627</u>
	4.4.3	3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute	<u>26</u> 27
	4.	4.3.1 Interface Related Functions	<u>26</u> 27
	4.	4.3.2 Intent Based Functions	<u>2728</u>
	4.	4.3.3 URI Based Function	
	4.5	Attaching intents to SCA ElementsUsage of @requires attribute for specifying intents	
	4.5.1		
	4.5.2		
	4.5.3	3 Combining Implementation and Structural Policy Data	<u>29</u> 30
	4.5.4	Examples	<u>29</u> 31
	4.6	Usage of Intent and Policy Set Attachment together	<u>3132</u>
	4.7	Intents and PolicySets on Implementations and Component Types	
	4.8	Intents on Interfaces	<u>32</u> 33
	4.9	BindingTypes and Related Intents	
	4.10	Treatment of Components with Internal Wiring	<u>33</u> 34
	4.10	3	
	4.11	Preparing Services and References for External Connection	
	4.12	Guided Selection of PolicySets using Intents	<u>35</u> 36
SC	a-policy-1.	1-spec-cd02-rev4	09-03-2009

Copyright © OASIS® 2005, 2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 4 of 74

4.12.1 Matching Intents and PolicySets	<u>35</u> 36
5 Implementation Policies	<u>37</u> 39
5.1 Natively Supported Intents	<u>38</u> 40
5.2 Writing PolicySets for Implementation Policies	<u>38</u> 40
5.2.1 Non WS-Policy Examples	<u>38</u> 41
6 Roles and Responsibilities	<u>40</u> 4 2
6.1 Policy Administrator	<u>40</u> 4 2
6.2 Developer	<u>40</u> 4 2
6.3 Assembler	<u>40</u> 4 2
6.4 Deployer	<u>41</u> 43
7 Security Policy	<u>42</u> 44
7.1 SCA Security Intents	<u>42</u> 44
7.2 Interaction Security Policy	<u>42</u> 45
7.2.1 Qualifiers	
7.3 Implementation Security Policy Intent	
7.3.1 Qualifier	
8 Reliability Policy	<u>44</u> 47
8.1 Policy Intents	
8.2 End-to-end Reliable Messaging	
9 Transactions	
9.1 Out of Scope	
9.2 Common Transaction Patterns	
9.3 Summary of SCA transaction policies	
9.4 Global and local transactions	
9.4.1 Global transactions	
9.4.2 Local transactions	
9.5 Transaction implementation policy	
9.5.1 Managed and non-managed transactions	
9.5.2 OneWay Invocations	
9.6 Transaction interaction policies	
9.6.1 Handling Inbound Transaction Context	
9.6.2 Handling Outbound Transaction Context	
9.6.3 Combining implementation and interaction intents	
9.6.4 Web services binding for propagatesTransaction policy	
10 Miscellaneous Intents	
11 Conformance	
A. Schemas	
A.1 sca-policy.xsd B. XML Files	
B.1 Intent Definitions C. Conformance	
C.1 Conformance Targets	
C.1 Conformance rargets	
D. Acknowledgements	
E. Revision History	
	<u>72</u> 70 09-03-2009
	age 5 of 74

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005, 2009. All Rights Reserved.

1

09-03-2009 Page 6 of 74 2

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005, 2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 7 of 74

1 Introduction 3

The capture and expression of non-functional requirements is an important aspect of service definition 4

and has an impact on SCA throughout the lifecycle of components and compositions. SCA provides a 5

6 framework to support specification of constraints, capabilities and QoS expectations from component

design through to concrete deployment. This specification describes the framework and its usage. 7

8 Specifically, this section describes the SCA policy association framework that allows policies and policy subjects specified using WS-Policy [WS-Policy] and WS-PolicyAttachment-WS-9

10 [WS-PolicyAttach], as well as with other policy languages, to be associated with SCA components.

This document should be read in conjunction with the SCA Assembly Specification [SCA-Assembly]. 11

Details of policies for specific policy domains can be found in sections 7, 8 and 9. 12

1.1 Terminology 13

14

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described 15 in [RFC2119]. 16

1.2 XML Namespaces 17

Prefixes and Namespaces used in this Specification

	Prefix		XML Namespace	Specification
		docs.oasis-	open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903	
	sca		d to be the default namespace in this specification. t appear without a prefix are from the SCA namespace.	[SCA-Assembly]
	acme	Some namespa	ace; a generic prefix	
	wsp	http://www.	w3.org/2006/07/ws-policy	[WS-Policy]
	XS	http://www.	w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	[XML Schema Datatypes]
18	Table 1	I-1: XML Namespa	ces and Prefixes	
19	1.3	Normative	References	
20				
21 22	[R	FC2119]	S. Bradner, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirem http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt, IETF RFC 2119, March 19	'
23 24	[S	CA-Assembly]	OASIS Committee Draft 03, "Service Component Architectur Specification Version 1.1", March 2009.	re Assembly Model
25 26			http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-assembly/sca-asser cd03.pdf	nbly-1.1-spec-
27	[S	CA-Java-Annota	-	
28 29			OASIS Committee Draft 02, "SCA Java Common Annotation Specification Version 1.1", February 2009.	is and APIs
		cy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev ht © OASIS® 2005-2	4 009. All Rights Reserved.	09-03-2009 Page 8 of 74

30 31		http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31427/sca-javacaa-1.1-spec-cd02.pdf
32	[SCA-WebService	
33	[00111000000000000000000000000000000000	OASIS Committee Draft 01, "SCA Web Services Binding Specification Version
34		1.1", August 2008.
35		http://docs.oasis-open.org/opencsa/sca-bindings/sca-wsbinding-1.1-spec-
36		cd01.pdf
37 38	[WSDL]	Web Services Description Language (WSDL) Version 2.0 Part 1: Core Language – Appendix http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/CR-wsdl20-20060327/
39	[WS-AtomicTrans	action]
40		Web Services Atomic Transaction (WS-AtomicTransaction)
41		http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-tx/wsat/2006/06.
42		
43	[WSDL-Ids]	SCA WSDL 1.1 Element Identifiers – forthcoming W3C Note
44		http://dev.w3.org/cvsweb/~checkout~/2006/ws/policy/wsdl11elementidentifiers.ht
45		ml
46	[WS-Policy]	Web Services Policy (WS-Policy)
47		http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-policy
48	[WS-PolicyAttach]	Web Services Policy Attachment (WS-PolicyAttachment)
49		http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-policy-attachment
50	[XPATH]	XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0.
51		http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath
52	[XML-Schema2]	XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes
53		Second Edition, Oct. 28 2004.
54		http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/

55 **1.4 Naming Conventions**

56 This specification follows some naming conventions for artifacts defined by the specification, as follows:

- For the names of elements and the names of attributes within XSD files, the names follow the
 CamelCase convention, with all names starting with a lower case letter, e.g. <element
 name="policySet" type="..."/>.
- For the names of types within XSD files, the names follow the CamelCase convention with all names
 starting with an upper case letter, e.g. <complexType name="PolicySet">
- For the names of intents, the names follow the CamelCase convention, with all names starting with a
 lower case letter, EXCEPT for cases where the intent represents an established acronym, in which
 case the entire name is in upper case. An example of an intent which is an acronym is the "SOAP"
 intent.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

66 2 Overview

67 2.1 Policies and PolicySets

- 68 The term *Policy* is used to describe some capability or constraint that can be applied to service
- components or to the interactions between service components represented by services and references.
 An example of a policy is that messages exchanged between a service client and a service provider have
 to be encrypted, so that the exchange is confidential and cannot be read by someone who intercepts the
- 71 to be encrypted, so that the exchange is confidential and cannot be read by someone w 72 messages.
- In SCA, services and references can have policies applied to them that affect the form of the interaction
 that takes place at runtime. These are called *interaction policies*.
- 75 Service components can also have other policies applied to them, which affect how the components
- themselves behave within their runtime container. These are called *implementation policies*.
- How particular policies are provided varies depending on the type of runtime container for implementation
- policies and on the binding type for interaction policies. Some policies can be provided as an inherent part
- of the container or of the binding for example a binding using the https protocol will always provide
- 80 encryption of the messages flowing between a reference and a service. Other policies can optionally be
- 81 provided by a container or by a binding. It is also possible that some kinds of container or kinds of binding 82 are incapable of providing a particular policy at all.
- 83 In SCA, policies are held in *policySets*, which can contain one or many policies, expressed in some
- 84 concrete form, such as WS-Policy assertions. Each policySet targets a specific binding type or a specific
- 85 implementation type. PolicySets are used to apply particular policies to a component or to the binding of a 86 service or reference, through configuration information attached to a component or attached to a 87 composite
- For example, a service can have a policy applied that requires all interactions (messages) with the service
 to be encrypted. A reference which is wired to that service needs to support sending and receiving
 messages using the specified encryption technology if it is going to use the service successfully.
- 91 In summary, a service presents a set of interaction policies, which it requires the references to use. In
- 92 turn, each reference has a set of policies, which define how it is capable of interacting with any service to
- which it is wired. An implementation or component can describe its requirements through a set of
- 94 attached implementation policies.

2.2 Intents describe the requirements of Components, Services and References

- 97 SCA *intents* are used to describe the abstract policy requirements of a component or the requirements of 98 interactions between components represented by services and references. Intents provide a means for 99 the developer and the assembler to state these requirements in a high-level abstract form, independent of
- 100 the detailed configuration of the runtime and bindings, which involve the role of application deployer.
- 101 Intents support late binding of services and references to particular SCA bindings, since they assist the 102 deployer in choosing appropriate bindings and concrete policies which satisfy the abstract requirements
- expressed by the intents.
- 104 It is possible in SCA to attach policies to a service, to a reference or to a component at any time during
- 105 the creation of an assembly, through the configuration of bindings and the attachment of policy sets.
- Attachment can be done by the developer of a component at the time when the component is written or it can be done later by the deployer at deployment time. SCA recommends a late binding model where the
- bindings and the concrete policies for a particular assembly are decided at deployment time.
- 109 SCA favors the late binding approach since it promotes re-use of components. It allows the use of
- 110 components in new application contexts, which might require the use of different bindings and different

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 10 of 74

- 111 concrete policies. Forcing early decisions on which bindings and policies to use is likely to limit re-use and 112 limit the ability to use a component in a new context.
- For example, in the case of authentication, a service which requires the client to be authenticated can be marked with an intent called "clientAuthentication". This intent marks the service as requiring the client 113
- 114
- to be authenticated without being prescriptive about how it is achieved. At deployment time, when the 115
- binding is chosen for the service (say SOAP over HTTP), the deployer can apply suitable policies to the 116 service which provide aspects of WS-Security and which supply a group of one or more authentication 117
- 118 technologies.
- 119 In many ways, intents can be seen as restricting choices at deployment time. If a service is marked with the confidentiality intent, then the deployer has to use a binding and a policySet that provides for the 120 121 encryption of the messages.
- 122 The set of intents available to developers and assemblers can be extended by policy administrators. The
- 123 SCA Policy Framework specification does define a set of intents which address the infrastructure
- capabilities relating to security, transactions and reliable messaging. 124

2.3 Determining which policies apply to a particular wire 125

- 126 Multiple policies can be attached to both services and to references. Where there are multiple policies,
- 127 they can be organized into policy domains, where each domain deals with some particular aspect of the 128 interaction. An example of a policy domain is confidentiality, which covers the encryption of messages
- sent between a reference and a service. Each policy domain can have one or more policy. Where 129
- 130 multiple policies are present for a particular domain, they represent alternative ways of meeting the
- requirements for that domain. For example, in the case of message integrity, there could be a set of 131
- 132 policies, where each one deals with a particular security token to be used: e.g. X509, SAML, Kerberos. 133 Any one of the tokens can be used - they will all ensure that the overall goal of message integrity is
- 134 achieved.
- 135 In order for a service to be accessed by a wide range of clients, it is good practice for the service to
- 136 support multiple alternative policies within a particular domain. So, if a service requires message
- 137 confidentiality, instead of insisting on one specific encryption technology, the service can have a policySet
- which has a number of alternative encryption technologies, any of which are acceptable to the service. 138 Equally, a reference can have a policySet attached which defines the range of encryption technologies 139
- 140 which it is capable of using. Typically, the set of policies used for a given domain will reflect the
- 141 capabilities of the binding and of the runtime being used for the service and for the reference.
- When a service and a reference are wired together, the policies declared by the policySets at each end of 142
- the wire are matched to each other. SCA does not define how policy matching is done, but instead 143
- delegates this to the policy language (e.g. WS-Policy) used for the binding. For example, where WS-144
- 145 Policy is used as the policy language, the matching procedure looks at each domain in turn within the
- policy sets and looks for 1 or more policies which are in common between the service and the reference. 146
- When only one match is found, the matching policy is used. Where multiple matches are found, then the 147
- SCA runtime can choose to use any one of the matching policies. No match implies that the configuration 148 149 is not valid and the deployer needs to take an action.

150 3 Framework Model

151 The SCA Policy Framework model is comprised of *intents* and *policySets*. Intents represent abstract

152 assertions and Policy Sets contain concrete policies that can be applied to SCA bindings and

- 153 implementations. The framework describes how intents are related to policySets. It also describes how
- 154 intents and policySets are utilized to express the constraints that govern the behavior of SCA bindings and implementations. Both intents and policySets can be used to specify QoS requirements on services and references
- and references.

157 The following section describes the Framework Model and illustrates it using Interaction Policies.

158 Implementation Policies follow the same basic model and are discussed later in section 1.5.

159 **3.1 Intents**

- 160 As discussed earlier, an *intent* is an abstract assertion about a specific Quality of Service (QoS)
- 161 characteristic that is expressed independently of any particular implementation technology. An intent is
- thus used to describe the desired runtime characteristics of an SCA construct. Typically, intents are
- 163 defined by a policy administrator. See section [Policy Administrator] for a more detailed description of
- SCA roles with respect to Policy concepts, their definition and their use. The semantics of an intent can not always be available normatively, but could be expressed with documentation that is available and
- 166 accessible.
- 167 For example, an intent named **integrity** can be specified to signify that communications need to be
- 168 protected from possible tampering. This specific intent can be declared as a requirement by some SCA
- 169 artifacts, e.g. a reference. Note that this intent can be satisfied by a variety of bindings and with many
- 170 different ways of configuring those bindings. Thus, the reference where the intent is expressed as a
- 171 requirement could eventually be wired using either a web service binding (SOAP over HTTP) or with an
- 172 EJB binding that communicates with an EJB via RMI/IIOP.
- 173 Intents can be used to express requirements for *interaction policies* or *implementation policies*. The
- **integrity** intent in the above example is used to express a requirement for an interaction policy.
- 175 Interaction policies are, typically, applied to a *service* or *reference*. They are meant to govern the
- 176 communication between a client and a service provider. Intents can also be applied to SCA component 177 implementations as requirements for *implementation policies*. These intents specify the qualities of
- service that need to be provided by a container as it runs the component. An example of such an intent
- 179 could be a requirement that the component needs to run in a transaction.
- 180 If the configured instance of a binding is in conflict with the intents and policy sets selected for that
- 181 instance, the SCA runtime MUST raise an error. If the configured instance of a binding is in conflict with.
 182 the intents and policy sets selected for that instance, the SCA runtime MUST raise an error. [POL30001].
- the intents and policy sets selected for that instance, the SCA runtime MUST raise an error. [POL30001]
 For example, a web service binding which requires the SOAP intent but which points to a WSDL binding
- For example, a web service binding which requires thethat does not specify SOAP.
- For convenience and conciseness, it is often desirable to declare a single, higher-level intent to denote a requirement that could be satisfied by one of a number of lower-level intents. For example, the
- 187 confidentiality intent requires either message-level encryption or transport-level encryption.
- Both of these are abstract intents because the representation of the configuration necessary to realize
 these two kinds of encryption could vary from binding to binding, and each would also require additional
 parameters for configuration.
- 191 An intent that can be completely satisfied by one of a choice of lower-level intents is
- 192 referred to as a *qualifiable intent*. In order to express such intents, the intent name can
- 193 contain a qualifier: a "." followed by a *xs:string* name. An intent name that includes a
- 194 qualifier in its name is referred to as a *qualified intent*, because it is "qualifying" how the
- 195 qualifiable intent is satisfied. A qualified intent can only qualify one qualifiable intent, so the
- 196 name of the qualified intent includes the name of the qualifiable intent as a prefix, for
- 197 example, clientAuthentication.message. sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 12 of 74 198 In general, SCA allows the developer or assembler to attach multiple qualifiers for a single aualifiable intent to the same SCA construct. However, domain-specific constraints can prevent the use of 199 200 some combinations of qualifiers (from the same qualifiable intent). 201 Intents, their qualifiers and their defaults are defined using the pseudo schema in Snippet 3-1: 202 203 <intent name="xs:NCName" 204 constrains ="list of QNames"? 205 requires="list of QNames"? 206 excludes="list of QNames"? 207 mutuallyExclusive="boolean"? 208 intentType="xs:string"? > 209 <description> xs:string.</description>? 210 <qualifier name = "xs:string" default = "xs:boolean" ?>* 211 <description> xs:string.</description>? 212 </qualifier> 213 </intent> 214 Snippet 3-1: intent Pseudo-Schema 215 216 Where the intent element has the following attributes: 217 @name (1..1) - an NCName that defines the name of the intent. The QName for an intent MUST be . 218 unique amongst the set of intents in the SCA [219 ongst the set of intents in the SCA Domain. [POL30002] 220 @constrains (0..1) - a list of QNames that specifies the SCA constructs that this intent is meant to 221 configure. If a value is not specified for this attribute then the intent can apply to any SCA element. 222 Note that the "constrains" attribute can name an abstract element type, such as sca:binding in our 223 running example. This means that it will match against any binding used within an SCA composite 224 file. An SCA element can match @constrains if its type is in a substitution group. 225 @requires (0..1) - contains a list of QNnames of intents which defines the set of all intents that the . 226 referring intent requires. In essence, the referring intent requires all the intents named to be satisfied. 227 This attribute is used to compose an intent from a set of other intents. Each QName in the @requires. This attribute is used to compose an intent in the SCA Domain. 228 229 in. [POL30015] This use is further 230 described in Section 3.3. 231 @excludes (0..1) - a list of QNames of intents that cannot be used with this intent. Intents might describe a policy that is incompatible or otherwise unrealizable when specified with other intents, and 232 therefore are considered to be mutually exclusive. Each QName in the @excludes attribute MUST be 233 234 the QName of an intent in the SCA Domain. [POL30016] 235 Two intents are mutually exclusive when any of the following are true: 236 - One of the two intents lists the other intent in its @excludes list. 237 Both intents list the other intent in their respective @excludes list. 238 Where one intent is attached to an element of an SCA composite and another intent is attached to one of the element's parents, the intent(s) that are effectively attached to the element differs 239 240 depending on whether the two intents are mutually exclusive (see @excludes above and section 4.5 241 Attaching intentsUsage of @requires attribute for specifying intents). 242 @mutuallyExclusive (0..1) - a boolean with a default of "false". If this attribute is present and has a 243 value of "true" it indicates that the qualified intents defined for this intent are mutually exclusive. 244 @intentType attribute (0..1) defines whether the intent is an interaction intent or an implementation intent. A value of "interaction", which is the default value, indicates that the intent is an interaction 245 246 intent. A value of "implementation" indicates that the intent is an implementation intent.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 13 of 74

247 248		e or more <qualifier> child elements can be used to define qualifiers for the intent. The attributes of qualifier element are:</qualifier>	
249 250 251	•	@name (11) - declares the name of the qualifier. <u>The name of each qualifier MUST be unique within</u> <u>the intent definition.The name of each qualifier MUST be unique within the intent definition. [POL30005].</u>	
252 253 254 255 256 257	•	@default (0.1) - a boolean value with a default value of "false". If @default="true" the particular qualifier is the default qualifier for the intent. If an intent has more than one qualifier, one and only one <u>MUST</u> be declared as the default qualifier. If an intent has more than one qualifier, one and only one <u>MUST</u> be declared as the default qualifier. [POL30004]. If only one qualifier for an intent is given it <u>MUST</u> be used as the default qualifier for the intent.!f only one qualifier for an intent is given it MUST be used as the default qualifier for the intentf only one qualifier for an intent is given it MUST be used as the default qualifier for the intentf	
258	•	qualifier/description (01) - an xs:string that holds a textual description of the qualifier.	
259 260 261		example, the confidentiality intent which has qualified intents called nfidentiality.transport and confidentiality.message can be defined as:	
262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275		<intent constrains="sca:binding" name="confidentiality"> <description> Communication through this binding must prevent unauthorized users from reading the messages. </description> <description> <description>Automatic encryption by transport </description> <qualifier default="true" name="message"> <description>Encryption applied to each message </description> </qualifier> </description> Encryption applied to each message </intent>	
276	Snij	opet 3-2: Example intent Definition	
277 278 279		the intents in a SCA Domain are defined in a global, domain-wide file named definitions.xml. Details his file are described in the SCA Assembly Model [SCA-Assembly].	
280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289	ens exis <u>the</u> <u>spe</u> thes	A normatively defines a set of core intents that all SCA implementations are expected to support, to ure a minimum level of portability. Users of SCA can define new intents, or extend the qualifier set of sting intents. An SCA Runtime MUST include in the Domain the set of intent definitions contained in Policy_Intents Definitions.xml described in the appendix "Intent Definitions" of the SCA Policy cification.An SCA Runtime MUST include in the Domain the set of intent definitions contained in the cy_Intents_Definitions.xml described in the appendix "Intent Definitions" of the SCA Policy cification.An SCA Runtime MUST include in the Domain the set of intent definitions contained in the cy_Intents_Definitions.xml described in the appendix "Intent Definitions" of the SCA Policy cification. [POL30024] It is also good practice for the Domain to include concrete policies which satisfy se intents (this may be achieved through the provision of appropriate binding types and lementation types, augmented by policy sets that apply to those binding types and implementation es).	-(
290	3.2	2 Interaction Intents and Implementation Intents	
291 292 293 294	the	interaction intent is an intent designed to influence policy which applies to a service, a reference and wires that connect them. Interaction intents affect wire matching between the two ends of a wire //or the set of bytes that flow between the reference and the service when a service invocation takes ce.	
295		raction intents typically apply to <binding></binding> elements.	
296 297		implementation intent is an intent designed to influence policy which applies to an implementation act or to the relationship of that artifact to the runtime code which is used to execute the artifact.	

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 14 of 74 Formatted: Font color: Auto

Implementation intents do not affect wire matching between references and services, nor do they affect
 the bytes that flow between a reference and a service.

300 Implementation intents often apply to <implementation/> elements, but they can also apply to
binding/>

301 elements, where the desire is to influence the activity of the binding implementation code and how it

302 interacts with the remainder of the runtime code for the implementation.

Interaction intents and implementation intents are distinguished by the value of the @intentType attribute in the intent definition.

305 3.3 Profile Intents

An intent that is satisfied only by satisfying *all* of a set of other intents is called a **profile intent**. It can be used in the same way as any other intent.

308 The presence of @requires attribute in the intent definition signifies that this is a profile intent. The

@requires attribute can include all kinds of intents, including qualified intents and other profile intents.
 However, while a profile intent can include qualified intents, it cannot be a qualified intent. Thus, the

311 name of a profile intent MUST NOT have a "." in it. the name of a profile intent MUST NOT have a "." in it.

312 [POL30006]

320 321 322

323

324

325

326 327

 Requiring a profile intent is semantically identical to requiring the list of intents that are listed in its
 @requires attribute. If a profile intent is attached to an artifact, all the intents listed in its @requires.
 attribute MUST be satisfied as described in section 4.12. If a profile intent is attached to an artifact, all the intents listed in its @requires attribute MUST be satisfied as described in section 4.12. If a profile intent is attached to an artifact, all the intents listed in its @requires attribute MUST be satisfied as described in section 4.12.

An example of a profile intent is an intent called messageProtection which is a shortcut for specifying
 both confidentiality and integrity, where integrity means to protect against modification, usually by
 signing. The intent definition is shown in Snippet 3-3:

```
<intent name="messageProtection"
   constrains="sca:binding"
   requires="confidentiality integrity">
   <description>
        Protect messages from unauthorized reading or modification.
   </description>
</intent>
```

328 Snippet 3-3: Example Profile Intent

329 3.4 PolicySets

A *policySet* element is used to define a set of concrete policies that apply to some binding type or
 implementation type, and which correspond to a set of intents provided by the policySet.

332 The pseudo schema for policySet is shown in Snippet 3-4:

```
333
334
              <policySet name="NCName"
335
336
337
338
339
                          provides="listOfQNames"?
                          appliesTo="xs:string"?
                          attachTo="xs:string"?
                          xmlns=http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903
xmlns:wsp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy">
                 <policySetReference name="xs:QName"/>*
340
341
                 <intentMap/>*
342
                 <xs:any>*
343
              </policySet>
```

344 Snippet 3-4: policySet Pseudo-Schema

345

346 PolicySet has the attributes:

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 15 of 74

347 348	 @name (11) - the name for the policySet. The value of the @name attribute is the local part of a QName. <u>The QName for a policySet MUST be unique amongst the set of policySets in the SCA</u> 	
349	Domain. The QName for a policySet MUST be unique amongst the set of policySets in the SCA	
350 351	Domain. [POL30017] @appliesTo (0.1) - a string which is an XPath 1.0 expression identifying one or more SCA constructs	
352	this policySet can configure. The contents of @appliesTo MUST match the XPath 1.0 [XPATH]	
353	production Expr. The contents of @appliesTo MUST match the XPath 1.0 [XPATH] production Expr.	
354	[POL30018] The @appliesTo attribute uses the "Infoset for External Attachment" as described in	
355 356	Section 4.4.1 " <u>The Form of the @attachTo Attribute</u> The Form of the @attachTo Attribute". @attachTo (01) - a string which is an XPath 1.0 expression identifying one or more elements in the	
357	Domain. It is used to declare which set of elements the policySet is actually attached to. The	
358	contents of @attachTo MUST match the XPath 1.0 production Expr. [POL30019] See the section	
359	on "Attaching Intents and PolicySets to SCA Constructs" for more details on how this	
360 361	attribute is used. @provides (01) - a list of intent QNames (that can be qualified), which declares the intents the	
362	PolicySet provides.	
363	PolicySet contains one or more of the element children	
364	intentMap element	
365	policySetReference element	
366	xs:any extensibility element	
367 368 369 370	Any mix of the above types of elements, in any number, can be included as children of the policySet element including extensibility elements. There are likely to be many different policy languages for specific binding technologies and domains. In order to allow the inclusion of any policy language within a policySet, the extensibility elements can be from any namespace and can be intermixed.	
371 372 373 374 375 376	The SCA policy framework expects that WS-Policy will be a common policy language for expressing interaction policies, especially for Web Service bindings. Thus a common usecase is to attach WS-Policies directly as children of <policyset> elements; either directly as <wsp:policy> elements, or as <wsp:policyreference> elements or using <wsp:policyattachment>. These three elements, and others, can be attached using the extensibility point provided by the <xs:any> in the pseudo schema above. See example below.</xs:any></wsp:policyattachment></wsp:policyreference></wsp:policy></policyset>	
377 378	For example, the policySet element below declares that it provides serverAuthentication.message and reliability for the "binding.ws" SCA binding.	
379 380	<policyset <="" name="SecureReliablePolicy" td=""><td></td></policyset>	
381	<pre>provides="serverAuthentication.message exactlyOne"</pre>	
382 383	appliesTo="sca:binding.ws" xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903"	
384	<pre>xmlns= http://docs.oasis=open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200905 xmlns:wsp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy"></pre>	
385	<wsp:policyattachment></wsp:policyattachment>	
386 387	<pre><!-- policy expression and policy subject for "basic server authentication"--></pre>	
388		
389 390	 <wsp:policyattachment></wsp:policyattachment>	
391	policy expression and policy subject for</td <td></td>	
392 393	"reliability">	
394	 	
395		
396	Snippet 3-5: Example policySet Defineition	
397		
398 399	PolicySet authors need to be aware of the evaluation of the @appliesTo attribute in order to designate meaningful values for this attribute. Although policySets can be attached to any element in an SCA	

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Blue

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 16 of 74 400 composite, the applicability of a policySet is not scoped by where it is attached in the SCA framework.

- Rather, policySets always apply to either binding instances or implementation elements regardless of 401 402 where they are attached. In this regard, the SCA policy framework does not scope the applicability of the
- policySet to a specific attachment point in contrast to other frameworks, such as WS-Policy. 403
- 404 When computing the policySets that apply to a particular element, the @appliesTo attribute of each 405 relevant policySet is checked against the element. If a policySet that is attached to an ancestor element
- 406 does not apply to the element in question, it is simply discarded.
- With this design principle in mind, an XPath expression that is the value of an @appliesTo attribute 407 designates what a policySet applies to. Note that the XPath expression will always be evaluated within 408
- the context of an attachment considering elements where binding instances or implementations are 409
- 410 allowed to be present. The expression is evaluated against the parent element of any binding or
- implementation element. The policySet will apply to any child binding or implementation elements 411
- returned from the expression. So, for example, appliesTo="binding.ws" will match any web service 412
- 413 binding. If appliesTo="binding.ws[@impl='axis']" then the policySet would apply only to web service
- 414 bindings that have an @impl attribute with a value of 'axis'.
- 415 When writing policySets, the author needs to ensure that the policies contained in the policySet always 416
- satisfy the intents in the @provides attribute. Specifically, when using WS-Policy the optional attribute 417 and the exactlyOne operator can result in alternative policies and uncertainty as to whether a particular 418 alternative satisfies the advertised intents.
- If the WS-Policy attribute optional = 'true' is attached to a policy assertion, it results in two policy 419
- 420 alternatives, one that includes and one that does not include the assertion. During wire validation it is
- impossible to predict which of the two alternatives will be selected -if the absence of the policy assertion 421 422 does not satisfy the intent, then it is possible that the intent is not actually satisfied when the policySet is 423 used.
- 424 Similarly, if the WS-Policy operator exactlyOne is used, only one of the set of policy assertions within
- 425 the operator is actually used at runtime. If the set of assertions is intended to satisfy one or more intents, it is vital to ensure that each policy assertion in the set actually satisfies the 426 427 intent(s).
- 428 Note that section 4.10.1 on Wire Validity specifies that the strict version of the WS-Policy 429 intersection algorithm is used to establish wire validity and determine the policies to be
- used. The strict version of policy intersection algorithm ignores the ignorable attribute on 430
- 431 assertions. This means that the ignorable facility of WS-Policy cannot be used in policySets.
- For further discussion on attachment of policySets and the computation of applicable 432 policySets, please refer to Section 4. 433
- 434 All the policySets in a SCA Domain are defined in a global, domain-wide file named
- definitions.xml. Details of this file are described in the SCA Assembly Model [SCA-435 436 Assembly].

3.4.1 IntentMaps 437

- 438 Intent maps contain the concrete policies and policy subjects that are used to realize a specific intent that 439 is provided by the policySet.
- 440 The pseudo-schema for intentMaps is given in Snippet 3-6:
- 441

445

- 442 <intentMap provides="xs:QName"> 443 <qualifier name="xs:string">? 444
- <xs:any>* </qualifier> 446 </intentMap>
- 447 Snippet 3-6: intentMap Pseudo-Schema

```
448
```

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

L	contains a set of intentMap children, the value	of the @provides attribute of Form	atted: Font color: Auto
	respond to an unqualified intent that is listed wit bet element. When a policySet element contains		
alue of the @provides att	ribute of each intentMap MUST correspond to a	n unqualified intent that is listed	
vithin the @provides attrik	oute value of the parent policySet element. [POL	30008]	
	ualifiable intent in the @provides attribute, then		atted: Font color: Auto
lement that specifies all p	possible qualifiers for that intent.If a policySet sp it MUST include an intentMap element that spec	ecifies a qualifiable intent in the	
hat intent. [POL30020]	thiost include an intentinap element that spec		
	listed as a member of the @provides attribute li	st of a policySet element, there	atted: Font color: Auto
MUST be no more than or	e corresponding intentMap element that declar	es the unqualified form of that	Auto
	ibute. In other words, each intentMap within a g		
or a specific intent.For ea	ch qualifiable intent listed as a member of the @	provides attribute list of a Man element that declares the	
inqualified form of that int	IUST be no more than one corresponding intention of the second seco	ch intentMap within a given	
olicySet uniquely provide	<mark>s for a specific intent.</mark> [POL30010]		
	alue of each intentMap that is an immediate chil		atted: Font color: Auto
<u>icluded in the @provides</u>	attribute of the parent policySet.The @provides diate child of a policySet MUST be included in t	attribute value of each	
arent policySet. [POL300		ie epionides aunoute OF the	
	tains qualifier element children. Each qualifier e	ement corresponds to a	
	unqualified form of that intent is the value of the		
	qualified intent is either included explicitly in the		
he intent.	ibute or implicitly by that @provides attribute inc	luding the unqualified form of	
	ates a set of concrete policy attachments that co	prespond to a qualified intent	
	ments can be specified using wsp:PolicyAttach		
extensibility elements spec			
	Set element in Snippet 3-7 declares that it provid		
	Iternatives (transport and message) it contains . The default is "transport".	each specify the policy and	
<policyset <="" name="5</td><td>SecureMessagingPolicies" td=""><td></td><td></td></policyset>			
provides="co	onfidentiality"		
appliesTo="h xmlns="http:	://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/20	00903"	
xmlns:wsp="h	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/pd		
	<pre>vides="confidentiality" > name="transport"></pre>		
<pre> <wsp:poli< pre=""></wsp:poli<></pre>	icyAttachment>		
</td <td><pre>policy expression and policy subject fo "transport" alternative></pre></td> <td>or</td> <td></td>	<pre>policy expression and policy subject fo "transport" alternative></pre>	or	
	LicyAttachment>		
<wsp:poli< td=""><td>cyactaciment/</td><td></td><td></td></wsp:poli<>	cyactaciment/		
-	licyAttachment>		
 <qualifier r<="" td=""><td>> name="message"></td><td></td><td></td></qualifier>	> name="message">		
<wsp:poli< td=""><td>cyAttachment></td><td></td><td></td></wsp:poli<>	cyAttachment>		
	policy expression and policy subject fo: 'message" alternative">		
	-		
	LicyAttachment>		
		09-03-2009	

505			
506	Snippet 3-7: Example policySet with an intentMap		
507			
508 509 510 511	PolicySets can embed policies that are defined in any policy language. Although WS-Policy is the most common language for expressing interaction policies, it is possible to use other policy languagesSnippet 3-8 is an example of a policySet that embeds a policy defined in a proprietary language. This policy provides "serverAuthentication" for binding.ws.		
512			
513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524	<pre><policyset appliesto="binding.ws" name="AuthenticationPolicy" provides="serverAuthentication" xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903"> <e:policyconfiguration xmlns:e="http://example.com"> <e:einuthentication xmlns:e="http://example.com"> <e:einuthentication xmlns:e="http://example.com"> <e:einuthentication xmlns:e="http://example.com"> <e:einuthentication type="X509"></e:einuthentication> <e:trustedcastore type="JKS"></e:trustedcastore> <e:keystorefile>Foo.jks <e:keystorefile>Foo.jks </e:keystorefile></e:keystorefile></e:einuthentication></e:einuthentication></e:einuthentication></e:policyconfiguration> </policyset></pre>		
525	Snippet 3-8: Example policySet Using a Proprietary Language		
526	3.4.2 Direct Inclusion of Policies within PolicySets		
527 528 529 530	In cases where there is no need for defaults or overriding for an intent included in the @provides of a policySet, the policySet element can contain policies or policy attachment elements directly without the use of intentMaps or policy set references. There are two ways of including policies directly within a policySet. Either the policySet contains one or more wsp:policyAttachment elements directly as children		
531	or it contains extension elements (using xs:any) that contain concrete policies.		
532	Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial	
		Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial	
532 533 534 535 536 537 538	Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element.Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element.Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. [POL30011] The intent names in the @provides attribute of the policySet can include names of	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial	
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539	Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element.Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet. element.Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet. element. [POL30011] The intent names in the @provides attribute of the policySet can include names of profile intents.	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial	
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542	Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. element.Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. idement. [POL30011] The intent names in the @provides attribute of the policySet can include names of profile intents. 3.4.3 Policy Set References A policySet can refer to other policySets by using sca:PolicySetReference element. This provides a recursive inclusion capability for intentMaps, policy attachments or other specific mappings from different	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial	
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547	Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. element_Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet can include names of profile intents. 3.4.3 Policy Set References A policySet can refer to other policySets by using sca:PolicySetReference element. This provides a recursive inclusion capability for intentMaps, policy attachments or other specific mappings from different domains. When a policySet element contains policySetReference element children, the @name attribute of a policySetReference element designates a policySet defined with the same value for its @name attribute. Therefore, the @name attribute is a QName. The set of intents in the @provides attribute of a referenced policySet MUST be a subset of the set of	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial	
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546	 Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet. element. [POL30011] The intent names in the @provides attribute of the policySet can include names of profile intents. 3.4.3 Policy Set References A policySet can refer to other policySets by using sca:PolicySetReference element. This provides a recursive inclusion capability for intentMaps, policy attachments or other specific mappings from different domains. When a policySet element contains policySetReference element children, the @name attribute of a policySetReference element designates a policySet defined with the same value for its @name attribute. Therefore, the @name attribute is a QName. 		
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548	Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. element.Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet. element.Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet. element. [POL30011] The intent names in the @provides attribute of the policySet can include names of profile intents. 3.4.3 Policy Set References A policySet can refer to other policySets by using sca:PolicySetReference element. This provides a recursive inclusion capability for intentMaps, policy attachments or other specific mappings from different domains. When a policySet element contains policySetReference element children, the @name attribute of a policySetReference element designates a policySet defined with the same value for its @name attribute. Therefore, the @name attribute is a QName. The set of intents in the @provides attribute of a referenced policySet MUST be a subset of the set of intents in the @provides a		
532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 546 547 548 549	 Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element. Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet. element. Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains. wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet. element. FOL30011] The intent names in the @provides attribute of the policySet can include names of profile intents. 3.4.3 Policy Set References A policySet can refer to other policySets by using sca:PolicySetReference element. This provides a recursive inclusion capability for intentMaps, policy attachments or other specific mappings from different domains. When a policySet element contains policySetReference element children, the @name attribute of a policySetReference element designates a policySet defined with the same value for its @name attribute. Therefore, the @name attribute is a QName. The set of intents in the @provides attribute of a referenced policySet. The set of intents in the @provides. attribute of a referenced policySet MUST be a subset of the set of intents in the @provides. 		

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 19 of 74

```
555
       When a policySet is applied to a particular element, the policies in the policy set
556
       include any standalone polices plus the policies from each intent map contained in the
557
       PolicySet, as described below.
558
       Note that, since the attributes of a referenced policySet are effectively removed/ignored by this process, it
559
       is the responsibility of the author of the referring policySet to include any necessary intents in the
560
       @provides attribute of the policySet making the reference so that the policySet correctly advertises its
561
       aggregate policy.
562
       The default values when using this aggregate policySet come from the defaults in the included policySets.
       A single intent (or all qualified intents that comprise an intent) in a referencing policySet ought to be
563
564
       included once by using references to other policySets.
       Snippet 3-9 is an example to illustrate the inclusion of two other policySets in a policySet element:
565
566
567
            <policySet name="BasicAuthMsgProtSecurity"
568
                   provides="serverAuthentication confidentiality"
569
                   appliesTo="binding.ws"
570
                   xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903">
571
               <policySetReference name="acme:ServerAuthenticationPolicies"/>
               <policySetReference name="acme:ConfidentialityPolicies"/>
572
573
            </policySet>
574
       Snippet 3-9: Example policySet Including Other policySets
575
576
       The policySet in Snippet 3-9 refers to policySets for serverAuthentication and
       confidentiality and, by reference, provides policies and policy subject alternatives in these
577
578
       domains.
579
       If the policySets referred to in Snippet 3-9 have the following content:
580
581
            <policySet name="ServerAuthenticationPolicies"</pre>
582
                   provides="serverAuthentication"
583
                   appliesTo="binding.ws"
584
                   xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903">
585
               <wsp:PolicvAttachment>
                   <!-- policy expression and policy subject for
    "basic server authentication" -->
586
587
588
589
               </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
590
            </policySet>
591
592
            <policySet name="acme:ConfidentialityPolicies"</pre>
                   provides="confidentiality"
593
                   bindings="binding.ws"
594
595
                   xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903">
596
               <intentMap provides="confidentiality" >
597
                   <qualifier name="transport">
598
                      <wsp:PolicyAttachment>
                          <!-- policy expression and policy subject for
    "transport" alternative -->
599
600
601
                          . . .
602
                      </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
603
                      <wsp:PolicyAttachment>
604
605
                      </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
606
                   </qualifier>
607
                   <qualifier name="message">
608
                      <wsp:PolicyAttachment>
609
                          <!-- policy expression and policy subject for
       sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4
```

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

```
610
                                    "message" alternative" -->
611
                              . . .
612
                         </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
613
                     </qualifier>
614
                 </intentMap>
615
             </policySet>
616
        Snippet 3-10: Example Included policySets for Snippet 3-9
617
618
        The result of the inclusion of policySets via policySetReferences would be semantically
        equivalent to Snippet 3-11.
619
620
             <policySet name="BasicAuthMsgProtSecurity"
    provides="serverAuthentication confidentiality" appliesTo="binding.ws"</pre>
621
622
623
                      xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903">
624
625
                  <wsp:PolicyAttachment>
                     <!-- policy expression and policy subject for
    "basic server authentication" -->
626
627
                      . . .
628
629
                 </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
<intentMap provides="confidentiality" >
<qualifier name="transport">
630
631
                         <wsp:PolicyAttachment>
                            <!-- policy expression and policy subject for
    "transport" alternative -->
632
633
634
                              • • •
                         </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
<wsp:PolicyAttachment>
635
636
637
                              . . .
638
                         </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
639
                     </qualifier>
640
                     <qualifier name="message">
                         <wsp:PolicyAttachment>
641
                             <!-- policy expression and policy subject for
"message" alternative -->
642
643
644
                              . . .
645
                         </wsp:PolicyAttachment>
646
                     </qualifier>
647
                 </intentMap>
648
             </policySet>
```

649 Snippet 3-11: Equivalent policySet

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

4 Attaching Intents and PolicySets to SCA Constructs

651 This section describes how intents and policySets are associated with SCA constructs. It describes the 652 various attachment points and semantics for intents and policySets and their relationship to other SCA 653 elements and how intents relate to policySets in these contexts.

654 4.1 Attachment Rules - Intents

655 Intents can be attached to any SCA element used in the definition of components and composites since an intent specifies an abstract requirement. The Intent attachment is specified by using the @requires 656 attribute or the <requires> child element. Theis @requires attribute takes as its value a list of intent 657 names. Similarly, the <requires> attribute takes as its value a list of intent names. Intents can also be 658 659 attached to applied to interface definitions. For WSDL portType elements (WSDL 1.1) the @requires 660 attribute can be applied that holds a list of intent names that are needed by the interface. Similarly, the 661 WSDL portType element can have a <requires> child element that holds a list of intent names. Other interface languages can define their own mechanism for attaching specifying a list of intents. 662 663 664 665 Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference. Any intents attached to an interface definition artifact, such 666 as a WSDL portType, MUST be added to the intents defined in the @requires list of the service or reference to which the interface definition applies. If the @requires list of the service or reference is empty then the intents attached to the interface definition artifact become the only contents of the relevant. 667 668 requires list. [POL40027] 669 670 Because intents specified on interfaces can be seen by both the provider and the client of a service, it is appropriate to use them to specify characteristics of the service that both the developers of provider and 671 672 the client need to know. 673 For example: 674 675 <service> or <reference>... <binding.binding-type requires="listOfQNames"</pre> 676 677 </binding.binding-type> 678 679 </service> or </reference> 680 Snippet 4-1: Example of @requires on a service or reference 681 <service> or <reference>... 682 <binding.binding-type</pre> 683 684 <requires> ListOfQNames </requires> 685 </binding.binding-type> 686 687 </service> or </reference> 688 Snippet 4-2: Example of a <requires> child element to attach intents to a serviceor reference 689

690 4.2 Attachment Rules - PolicySets

691 One or more policySets can be attached to any SCA element used in the definition of components and
 692 composites. The attachment can be specified by using the following two mechanisms:

- *Direct Attachment* mechanism which is described in Section 4.3.
- External Attachment mechanism which is described in Section 4.4.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. Formatted: Normal

09-03-2009

Page 22 of 74

695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708	SCA runtimes MUST support at least one of the Direct Attachment and External Attachment mechanisms for policySet attachment. [POL40010] SCA implementations supporting External Attachment mechanism MUST ignore the policy sets that are applicable via the Direct Attachment mechanism. SCA implementations supporting only the External Attachment mechanism. [POL40010] implementations supporting only the Direct Attachment mechanism MUST ignore the policy sets applicable via the External Attachment mechanism. SCA implementations supporting only the Direct Attachment mechanism MUST ignore the policy sets applicable via the External Attachment mechanism. SCA implementations supporting only the Direct Attachment mechanism MUST ignore the policy sets applicable via the External Attachment mechanism. SCA implementations supporting only the Direct Attachment mechanism MUST ignore the policy sets that are applicable via the External Attachment and Extrenal Attachment mechanism. [POL40012] SCA implementations supporting both Direct Attachment and Extrenal Attachment mechanism MUST ignore policy sets applicable to any given SCA element via the Attachment mechanism SMUST ignore set supporting both Direct Attachment and Extrenal Attachment mechanism SMUST ignore sets applicable to the same SCA element via the Attachment mechanism SMUST ignore sets applicable to any given SCA element and Extrenal Attachment mechanism SMUST ignore policy sets applicable to the same SCA element via the Attachment mechanism SMUST ignore policy sets applicable to any given SCA element attachment Attachment and Extrenal Attachment mechanism SMUST ignore policy sets applicable to any given SCA element via the Attachment mechanism SMUST ignore policy sets a	External only the sm- 11] <u>SCA</u> that are sect- sect- bient- Direct External tachment
709 710	mechanism when there exist policy sets applicable to the same SCA element via the External Att mechanism [POL40001]	lachment
711	4.3 Direct Attachment of PolicySets	
712	Direct Attachment of PolicySets can be achieved by	
713	 Using the optional <i>@policySets</i> attribute of the SCA element 	
714	 Adding an optional child <policysetattachment></policysetattachment> element to the SCA element 	
715	The policySets attribute takes as its value a list of policySet names.	
716	For example:	
717 718 719 720 721 722	<pre><service> or <reference></reference></service></pre>	
1		
723	Snippet 4- <u>3</u> 2: Example of @policySets on a service	
724 725 726	The <policysetattachment> element is an alternative way to attach a policySet to an SCA compo</policysetattachment>	osite.
727	<policysetattachment name="xs:QName"></policysetattachment>	
728	Snippet 4- <u>4</u> 3: policySetAttachment Pseudo-Schema	
729		
730 731	• @name (11) – the QName of a policySet.	
732	For example:	
733		
734 735 736 737 738 739	<pre><service> or <reference></reference></service></pre>	
740	Snippet 4- <u>5</u> 4:Example of policySetAttachemtnment in a service or reference	
741		
	sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. Pa	09-03-2009 age 23 of 74

742 Where an element has both a @policySets attribute and a <policySetAttachment/> child element, the 743 policySets declared by both are attached to the element.

744 The SCA Policy framework enables two distinct cases for utilizing intents and PolicySets:

- It is possible to specify QoS requirements by specifying abstract intents utilizing the @requireselement on an element at the time of development. In this case, it is implied that the concrete bindings and policies that satisfy the abstract intents are not assigned at development time but the intents are used to select the concrete Bindings and Policies at deployment time. Concrete policies are encapsulated within policySets that are applied during deployment using the external attachment mechanism. The intents associated with a SCA element is the union of intents specified for it and its parent elements subject to the detailed rules below.
- It is also possible to specify QoS requirements for an element by using both intents and concrete policies contained in directly attached policySets at development time. In this case, it is possible to configure the policySets, by overriding the default settings in the specified policySets using intents. The policySets associated with a SCA element is the union of policySets specified for it and its parent elements subject to the detailed rules below.

See also section 4.12.1 for a discussion of how intents are used to guide the selection and application ofspecific policySets.

759 4.4 External Attachment of PolicySets Mechanism

760 The External Attachment mechanism for policySets is used for deployment-time application of policySets 761 and policies to SCA elements. It is called "external attachment" because the principle of the mechanism

is that the place that declares the attachment is separate from the composite files that contain theelements. This separation provides the deployer with a way to attach policies and policySets without

- having to modify the artifacts where they apply.
- 765 A PolicySet is attached to one or more elements in one of two ways:
- a) through the @attachTo attribute of the policySet
- 767 b) through a reference (via policySetReference) from a policySet that uses the @attachTo attribute.
- 768 During the deployment of SCA composites, all policySets within the Domain with an attachTo attribute
 769 MUST be evaluated to determine which policySets are attached to the newly deployed composite.
 770 [POL40013]
- 771 During the deployment of an SCA policySet, the behavior of an SCA runtime MUST take ONE of the
 772 following forms:
- The policySet is immediately attached to all deployed composites which satisfy the @attachTo
 attribute of the policySet.
- The policySet is attached to a deployed composite which satisfies the @attachTo attribute of the
 policySet when the composite is re-deployed_During the deployment of an SCA policySet, the
 behavior of an SCA runtime MUST take ONE of the following forms:
- The policySet is immediately attached to all deployed composites which satisfy the @attachToattribute of the policySet.
- The policySet is attached to a deployed composite which satisfies the @attachTo attribute of the policySet when the composite is re-deployed.
- 782 [POL40026]

783 4.4.1 The Form of the @attachTo Attribute

784 The @attachTo attribute of a policySet is an XPath1.0 expression identifying a SCA element to which the 785 policySet is attached.

The XPath applies to the *Infoset for External Attachment* – i.e. to SCA composite files, with the special characteristics:

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 24 of 74 Formatted: List Bullet
Formatted: Pattern: Clear (Yellow)

- 1. The Domain is treated as a special composite, with a blank name ""
- Where one composite includes one or more other composites, it is the including composite which is addressed by the XPath and its contents are the result of preprocessing all of the include elements
- 791 Where the policySet is intended to be specific to a particular use of a composite file (rather than to all 792 uses of the composite), the structuralURI of a component is used to attach policySet to a specific use 793 of a nested component, as described in the SCA Assembly specification [SCA-Assembly].
- 794 The XPath expression can make use of the unique URI to indicate specific use instances, where 795 different policySets need to be used for those different instances.
- 796 Special case. Where the @attachTo attribute of a policySet is absent or is blank, the policySet cannot be 797 used on its own for external attachment. It can be used:
- For direct attachment (using a @policySet attribute on an element or a <policySetAttachment/>
 subelement)
- 800 2. By reference from another policySet element
- 801 The SCA runtime MUST raise an error if the @attachTo XPath expression resolves to an SCA <property>
 802 element, or any of its children. The SCA runtime MUST raise an error if the @attachTo XPath expression
 803 resolves to an SCA <property> element, or any of its children. [POL40002]
 804 The XPath expression for the @attachTo attribute can make use of a series of XPath functions which
 805 enable the expression to easily identify elements with specific characteristics that are not easily
 806 expressed with pure XPath. These functions enable:
- the identification of elements to which specific intents apply.
- 808 This permits the attachment of a policySet to be linked to specific intents on the target element for 809 example, a policySet relating to encryption of messages can be targeted to services and references 810 which have the *confidentiality* intent applied.
- the targeting of subelements of an interface, including operations and messages.
- 812 This permits the attachment of a policySet to an individual operation or to an individual message 813 within an interface, separately from the policies that apply to other operations or messages in the 814 interface.
- the targeting of a specific use of a component, through its unique URI.
- 816This permits the attachment of a policySet to a specific use of a component in one context, that can817be different from the policySet(s) that are applied to other uses of the same component.
- 818 Detail of the available XPath functions is given in the section "XPath Functions for the @attachTo
- 819 Attribute".
- 820 Examples of @attachTo attribute:821

//component[@name="test3"]

823 Snippet :Example attachTo all Instances of a Name

825 attach to all instances of a component named "test3"

2. //component[URIRef("top_level/test1/test3")]

- 828 Snippet 4-5: Example attachTo a Specific Instance via a Path
- 829

822

824

826 827

- attach to the unique instance of component "test3" when used by component "test1" when used by
 component "top_level" (top_level is a component at the Domain level)
- 832

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

833	<pre>3. //component[@name="test3"]/service[IntentRefs("intent1")]</pre>
834	Snippet : Example attachTo Instances with an intent
835	
836	selects the services of component "test3" which have the intent "intent1" applied
837	
838	4. //component/binding.ws
839	Snippet 4-6: Example attachTo Instnaces with a binding
840	
841 842	selects the web services binding of all components with a service or reference with a Web services binding
843	
844	<pre>5. /composite[@name=""]/component[@name="fred"]</pre>
845	Snippet : Example attachTo a Specific Instance via Patha and Name
846	
847	selects a component with the name "fred" at the Domain level
848	4.4.2 Cases Where Multiple PolicySets are attached to a Single Artifact
849 850 851	Multiple PolicySets can be attached to a single artifact. This can happen either as the result of one or more direct attachments or as the result of one or more external attachments which target the particular artifact.
001	
852	4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute
852 853	4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath
852 853 854 855	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages).
852 853 854 855 856	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following:
852 853 854 855 856 857	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface
852 853 854 855 856 857 858	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface Picking out a specific message in an operation in an interface
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface Picking out a specific message in an operation in an interface Picking out artifacts with specific intents
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface Picking out a specific message in an operation in an interface Picking out artifacts with specific intents 4.4.3.1 Interface Related Functions
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 861	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface Picking out a specific message in an operation in an interface Picking out artifacts with specific intents 4.4.3.1 Interface Related Functions InterfaceRef(InterfaceName)
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface Picking out a specific message in an operation in an interface Picking out artifacts with specific intents 4.4.3.1 Interface Related Functions InterfaceRef(InterfaceName) picks out an interface identified by InterfaceName
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 861 862 863 864	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface Picking out a specific message in an operation in an interface Picking out artifacts with specific intents 4.4.3.1 Interface Related Functions InterfaceRef(InterfaceName) picks out an interface identified by InterfaceName
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 864 865	 4.4.3 XPath Functions for the @attachTo Attribute Utility functions are useful in XPath expressions where otherwise it would be complex to write the XPath expression to identify the elements concerned. This particularly applies in SCA to Interfaces and the child parts of interfaces (operations and messages). XPath Functions exist for the following: Picking out a specific interface Picking out a specific operation in an interface Picking out a specific message in an operation in an interface Picking out artifacts with specific intents 4.4.3.1 Interface Related Functions InterfaceRef(InterfaceName) picks out an interface identified by InterfaceName OperationRef(InterfaceName/OperationName in the interface InterfaceName

- The interface is treated as if it is a WSDL interface (for other interface types, they are treated as if mapped to WSDL using their regular mapping rules).
- Examples of the Interface functions:

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 26 of 74

873	
874	<pre>InterfaceRef("MyInterface")</pre>
875	Snippet 4-7: Example use of InterfaceRef
876	
877 878	picks out an interface with the name "MyInterface"
879	OperationRef("MyInterface/MyOperation")
880	Snippet 4-8: Example use of OperationRef with a Path
881	
882 883	picks out the operation named "MyOperation" within the interface named "MyInterface"
884	OperationRef("*/MyOperation")
885	Snippet 4-9: Example use of OperationRef without a Path
886	
887 888	picks out the operation named "MyOperation" from any interface
889	MessageRef("MyInterface/MyOperation/MyMessage")
890	Snippet 4-10: Example use of MessageRef with a Path
891	
892 893	picks out the message named "MyMessage" from the operation named "MyOperation" within the interface named "MyInterface"
894	
895	MessageRef("*/*/MyMessage")
896	Snippet 4-11: Example ue of MessageRef with a Path with Wildcards
897	
898	picks out the message named "MyMessage" from any operation in any interface
899	4.4.3.2 Intent Based Functions
900 901 902	For the following intent-based functions, it is the total set of intents which apply to the artifact which are examined by the function, including directly attached intents plus intents acquired from the structural hierarchy and from the implementation hierarchy.
903	IntentRefs(IntentList)
904	picks out an element where the intents applied match the intents specified in the IntentList:
905 906	<pre>IntentRefs("intent1")</pre>
907	Snippet 4-12: Example use of InterntRef
908	
909	picks out an artifact to which intent named "intent1" is attached
910	
911	<pre>IntentRefs("intent1 intent2")</pre>
912	Snippet 4-13: Example use of IntentRef with Multiple intents
913	
	sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev409-03-2009Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.Page 27 of 74

914 915	picks out an artifact to which intents named "intent1" AND "intent2" are attached
916	<pre>IntentRefs("intent1 !intent2")</pre>
917	Snippet 4-14: Example use of IntentRef with Not Operation
918 919	picks out an artifact to which intent named "intent1" is attached but NOT the intent named "intent2"
920	4.4.3.3 URI Based Function
921 922 923 924	The URIRef function is used to pick out a particular use of a nested component – ie where some Domai level component is implemented using a composite implementation, which in turn has one or more components implemented with the composite (and so on to an arbitrary level of nesting): URIRef(URI)
925	picks out the particular use of a component identified by the structuralURI string URI.
926	For a full description of structuralURIs, see the SCA Assembly specification [SCA-Assembly].
927	Example:
928	
929	<pre>URIRef("top_comp_name/middle_comp_name/lowest_comp_name")</pre>
930	Snippet 4-15: Example use of URIRef
931	
932 933 934	picks out the particular use of a component – where component lowest_comp_name is used within the implementation of middle_comp_name within the implementation of the top-level (Domain level) component top_comp_name.
935 936	4.5 Usage of @requires attribute for specifying Attaching intents to SCA elements
937 938	A list of intents can be specified for any SCA element by using the @requires attribute or the <requires: child element.</requires:
939	The intents which apply to a given element depend on
940	• the intents expressed in its @requires attribute or the <requires> child element.</requires>
941	intents derived from the structural hierarchy of the element
942	intents derived from the implementation hierarchy of the element
943 944 945	When computing the intents that apply to a particular element, the @constrains attribute of each relevant intent is checked against the element. If the intent in question does not apply to that element it is simply discarded.
946	Any two intents applied to a given element MUST NOT be mutually exclusive [POL40009]. Specific
947	examples are discussed later in this document.
948	4.5.1 Implementation Hierarchy of an Element
949 950 951	The <i>implementation hierarchy</i> occurs where a component configures an implementation and also where a composite promotes a service or reference of one of its components. The implementation hierarchy involves:
952 953	 a composite service or composite reference element is in the implementation hierarchy of the component service/component reference element which they promote

 the component element and its descendent elements (for example, service, reference, implementation) configure aspects of the implementation. Each of these elements is in the

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 28 of 74

956 957 958	implementation hierarchy of the <i>corresponding</i> element in the componentType of the implementation. Rule 1: The intents declared on elements lower in the implementation hierarchy of a given element MUST		
959	be applied to the element. The intents declared on elements lower in the implementation hierarchy of a		Formatted: Font color: Auto, English (U.S.)
960 961 962 963 964	given element MUST be applied to the element. [POL40014] <u>A qualifiable intent expressed lower in the hierarchy can be qualified further up the hierarchy, in which case the qualified version of the intent MUST apply to the higher level element. A qualifiable intent expressed lower in the hierarchy can be qualified further up the hierarchy in which case the qualified version of the intent MUST apply to the higher level element. A qualifiable intent expressed lower in the hierarchy can be qualified further up the hierarchy in which case the qualified version of the intent MUST apply to the higher level further up the hierarchy, in which case the qualified version of the intent MUST apply to the higher level element. [POL40004]</u>		Formatted: Font color: Auto
965	4.5.2 Structural Hierarchy of an Element		
966 967	The structural hierarchy of an element consists of its parent element, grandparent element and so on up to the <composite></composite> element in the composite file containing the element.		
968	As an example, for the composite in Snippet 4-16:		
969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978	<composite name="C1" requires="i1"> <service name="CS" promotes="X/S"> <binding.ws requires="i2"> </binding.ws></service> <component name="X"></component></composite>		
979	Snippet 4-16: Example Composite to Illustrate Structural Hierarchy		
980			
981 982 983	- the structural hierarchy of the component service element with the name "S" is the component element named "X" and the composite element named "C1". Service "S" has intent "i3" and also has the intent "i1" if i1 is not mutually exclusive with i3.		
984 985	Rule2: The intents declared on elements higher in the structural hierarchy of a given element MUST be applied to the element EXCEPT.		Example Fort colory Auto English (U.S.)
986	 if any of the inherited intents is mutually exclusive with an intent applied on the element, then the 		Formatted: Font color: Auto, English (U.S.)
987	inherited intent MUST be ignored		Formatted: Font color: Auto, English (U.S.)
988		-	Formatted: Font color: Black, English (U.K.)
989 990	unqualified version and a qualified version of the same intent, the qualified version of the intent MUST be used. Rule2: The intents declared on elements higher in the structural hierarchy of a given element.		Formatted: List Bullet
991	MUST be applied to the element EXCEPT		
992 993	 if any of the inherited intents is mutually exclusive with an intent applied on the element, then the inherited intent MUST be ignored 		
994 995 996	 if the overall set of intents from the element itself and from its structural hierarchy contains both an unqualified version and a qualified version of the same intent, the qualified version of the intent MUST be used. 		
997	[POL40005]		
998	4.5.3 Combining Implementation and Structural Policy Data		
999 1000 1001	When there are intents present in both hierarchies implementation intents are calculated before the structural intents. In other words, when combining implementation hierarchy and structural hierarchy policy data, Rule 1 MUST be applied BEFORE Rule 2. [POL40015]		

Note that each of the elements in the hierarchy below a <component> element, such as <service/>,
 <reference/> or <binding/>, inherits intents from the equivalent elements in the componentType of the

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 29 of 74 implementation used by the component. So the <service/> element of the <component> inherits any
intents on the <service/> element with the same name in the <componentType> - and a <binding/>
element under the service in the component inherits any intents on the <binding/> element of the service
(with the same name) in the componentType. Errors caused by mutually exclusive intents appearing on
corresponding elements in the component and on the componentType only occur when those elements
match one-to-one. Mutually exclusive intents can validly occur on elements that are at different levels in
the structural hierarchy (as defined in Rule 2).

1011 Note that it might often be the case that
binding/> elements will be specified in the structure under the 1012 <component/> element in the composite file (especially at the Domain level, where final deployment 1013 configuration is applied) - these elements might have no corresponding elements defined in the 1014 componentType structure. In this situation, the

- selements don't acquire any intents from the componentType directly (ie there are no elements in the implementation hierarchy of the
 shinding/> 1015 elements), but those <binding/> elements will acquire intents "flowing down" their structural hierarchy as 1016 1017 defined in Rule 2 - so, for example if the <service/> element is marked with @requires="confidentiality", 1018 the bindings of that service will all inherit that intent, assuming that they don't have their own exclusive

1019 intents specified.

Also, for example, where say a component <service.../> element has an intent that is mutually exclusive with an intent in the componentType<service.../> element with the same name, it is an error, but this differs when compared with the case of the <component.../> element having an intent that is mutually exclusive with an intent on the componentType <service/> element - because they are at different

1024 structural levels: the intent on the <component/> is ignored for that <service/> element and there is no 1025 error.

1026 **4.5.4 Examples**

```
1027
         As an example, consider the composite in Snippet 4-17:
1028
1029
              <composite name="C1" requires="i1">
                 <service name="CS" promotes="X/S">
1030
1031
                     <br/>
<binding.ws requires="i2">
1032
                 </service>
                 <component name="X">
1033
                      <implementation.java class="foo"/>
<service name="S" requires="i3">
1034
1035
1036
                 </component>
1037
              </composite>
```

1038 Snippet 4-17: Example composite woth intents

1039

...the component service with name "S" has the service named "S" in the componentType of
the implementation in its implementation hierarchy, and the composite service named "CS"
has the component service named "S" in its implementation hierarchy. Service "CS"
acquires the intent "i3" from service "S" – and also gets the intent "i1" from its containing
composite "C1" IF i1 is not mutually exclusive with i3.

1045 When intents apply to an element following the rules described and where no policySets are
1046 attached to the element, the intents for the element can be used to select appropriate
1047 policySets during deployment, using the external attachment mechanism.

```
1048 Consider the composite in Snippet 4-18:
```

1049

```
1050 <composite requires="confidentiality">
1051 <service name="foo" .../>
1052 <reference name="bar" requires="confidentiality.message"/>
1053 </composite>
```

1054 Snippet 4-18: Example reference with intents

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 30 of 74

1055 1056 ...in this case, the composite declares that all of its services and references guarantee confidentiality in 1057 their communication, but the "bar" reference further qualifies that requirement to specifically require 1058 message-level security. The "foo" service element has the default qualifier specified for the confidentiality intent (which might be transport level security) while the "bar" reference has the confidentiality.message 1059 1060 intent. 1061 Consider the variation in Snippet 4-19 where a qualified intent is specified at the composite level: 1062 1063 <composite requires="confidentiality.transport"> 1064 <service name="foo" .../> 1065 <reference name="bar" requires="confidentiality.message"/> 1066 </composite> 1067 Snippet 4-19: Example Qualified intents 1068 1069 In this case, both the **confidentiality.transport** and the **confidentiality.message** intent 1070 are applied for the reference 'bar'. If there are no bindings that support this combination, an 1071 error will be generated. However, since in some cases multiple qualifiers for the same intent 1072 can be valid or there might be bindings that support such combinations, the SCA 1073 specification allows this. 1074 It is also possible for a qualified intent to be further qualified. In our example, the 1075 confidentiality.message intent could be further qualified to indicate whether just the body of a message 1076 is protected, or the whole message (including headers) is protected. So, the second-level gualifiers might be "body" and "whole". The default qualifier might be "whole". If the "bar" reference from Snippet 4-19 1077 wanted only body confidentiality, it would state: 1078 1079 1080 <reference name="bar" requires="acme:confidentiality.message.body"/> 1081 Snippet 4-20: Example Second Level Qualifier 1082 1083 The definition of the second level of qualification for an intent follows the same rules. As with other 1084 qualified intents, the name of the intent is constructed using the name of the qualifiable intent, the 1085 delimiter ".", and the name of the qualifier. 4.6 Usage of Intent and Policy Set Attachment together 1086 1087 As indicated above, it is possible to attach both intents and policySets to an SCA element during 1088 development. The most common use cases for attaching both intents and concrete policySets to an element are with binding and reference elements. 1089 When the @requires attribute or the <requires> child element to attach intents and one or both of the 1090 1091 direct policySet attachment mechanisms are used together during development, it indicates the intention 1092 of the developer to configure the element, such as a binding, by the application of specific policySet(s) to 1093 this element. 1094 Developers who attach intents and policySets in conjunction with each other need to be aware of the 1095 implications of how the policySets are selected and how the intents are utilized to select specific 1096 intentMaps, override defaults, etc. The details are provided in the Section Guided Selection of PolicySets using Intents. 1097 4.7 Intents and PolicySets on Implementations and Component Types 1098

1099It is possible to specify intents and policySets within a component's implementation, which get exposed to1100SCA through the corresponding component type. How the intents or policies are specified within an

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 31 of 74

```
1101
        implementation depends on the implementation technology. For example, Java can use an @requires
1102
        annotation to specify intents.
1103
        The intents and policySets specified within an implementation can be found on the
1104
         <sca:implementation.*> and the <sca:service> and <sca:reference> elements of the component type, for
1105
         example:
1106
1107
             <omponentType>
1108
                 <implementation.* requires="listOfQNames" policySets="="listOfQNames">
1109
1110
                 </implementation>
1111
                 <service name="myService" requires="listOfQNames"</pre>
                    policySets="listOfQNames">
1112
1113
                     . . .
1114
                 </service>
1115
                 <reference name="myReference" requires="listOfONames"
                    policySets="="listOfQNames">
1116
1117
1118
                 </reference>
1119
1120
             </componentType>
1121
         Snippet 4-21: Example of intents on an implementation
1122
1123
        Intents expressed in the component type are handled according to the rule defined for the implementation
1124
        hierarchy. See Intent rule 2
         For explicitly listed policySets, the list in the component using the implementation can override policySets
1125
1126
        from the component type. If a component has any policySets attached to it (by any means), then any
          policySets attached to the componen<u>tType MUST be ignored.If a component has any policySets</u>
1127
         o it (by any means), then any policySets attached to the componentType MUST be ignored. [POL40006]
1128
         4.8 Intents on Interfaces
1129
1130
         Interfaces are used in association with SCA services and references. These interfaces can be declared
1131
        in SCA composite files and also in SCA componentType files. The interfaces can be defined using a
1132
        number of different interface definition languages which include WSDL, Java interfaces and C++ header
1133
        files.
1134
        It is possible for some interfaces to be referenced from an implementation rather than directly from any
1135
         SCA files. An example of this usage is a Java implementation class file that has a reference declared
        that in turn uses a Java interface defined separately. When this occurs, the interface definition is treated
1136
1137
        from an SCA perspective as part of the componentType of the implementation, logically being part of the
1138
        declaration of the related service or reference element.
1139
         Both the declaration of interfaces in SCA and also the definitions of interfaces can carry policy-related
1140
        information. In particular, both the declarations and the definitions can have either intents attached to
        them, or policySets attached to them - or both. For SCA declarations, the intents and policySets always
1141
1142
         apply to the whole of the interface (ie all operations and all messages within each operation). For
         interface definitions, intents and policySets can apply to the whole interface or they can apply only to
1143
1144
        specific operations within the interface or they can even apply only to specific messages within particular
1145
         operations. (To see how this is done, refer to the places in the SCA specifications that deal with the
```

- 1146 relevant interface definition language)
- 1147 This means, in effect, that there are 4 places which can hold policy related information for interfaces:
- 1148 1. The interface definition file that is referenced from the component type.
- 1149 2. The interface declaration for a service or reference in the component type
- 1150 3. The interface definition file that is referenced from the component declaration in a composite

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 32 of 74

1151 4. The interface declaration within a component

1152	When calculating the set of intents and set of policySets which apply to either a service element or to a						
1153	reference element of a component, intents and policySets from the interface definition and from the						
1154	interface declaration(s) MUST be applied to the service or reference element and to the binding						
1155	element(s) belonging to that element. When calculating the set of intents and set of policySets which apply						
1156	to either a service element or to a reference element of a component, intents and policySets from the						
1157 1158	interface definition and from the interface declaration(s) MUST be applied to the service or reference- element and to the binding element(s) belonging to that element. [POL40016]						
1159	The locations where interfaces are defined and where interfaces are declared in the componentType and						
1160 1161	in a component MUST be treated as part of the implementation hierarchy as defined in Section 4.5_ Attaching intents to SCA Elements The locations where interfaces are defined and where interfaces are						
1162	declared in the componentType and in a component MUST be treated as part of the implementation						
1163	hierarchy as defined in Section 4.5 Usage of @requires attribute for specifying intents. [POL40019]						
1100							
1164	4.9 BindingTypes and Related Intents						
1165	SCA Binding types implement particular communication mechanisms for connecting components						
1166	together. See detailed discussion in the SCA Assembly Specification [SCA-Assembly]. Some binding						
1167	types can realize intents inherently by virtue of the kind of protocol technology they implement (e.g. an						
1168	SSL binding would natively support confidentiality). For these kinds of binding types, it might be the case						
1169	that using that binding type, without any additional configuration, provides a concrete realization of an						
1170 1171	intent. In addition, binding instances which are created by configuring a binding type might be able to						
1171	provide some intents by virtue of their configuration. It is important to know, when selecting a binding to satisfy a set of intents, just what the binding types themselves can provide and what they can be						
1172	configured to provide.						
1174 1175	The bindingType element is used to declare a class of binding available in a SCA Domain. The pseudo- schema for the bindingType element is shown in Snippet 4-22:						
1176	ononia tor and binangrype demont to brown in onippet + 22.						
1177	<pre><bindingtype <="" pre="" type="NCName"></bindingtype></pre>						
1178 1179	alwaysProvides="listOfQNames"? mayProvide="listOfQNames"?/>						
1180	Snippet 4-22: bindingTypePseudo-Schema						
1181							
1182	• @type (11) – declares the NCName of the bindingType, which is used to form the QName of the						
1183	bindingType. The QName of the bindingType MUST be unique amongst the set of bindingTypes in						
1184	the SCA Domain. [POL40020]						
1185	 @alwaysProvides (01) – a list of intent QNames that are natively provided. A natively provided intent 						
1186	is hard-coded into the binding implementation. The function represented by the intent cannot be						
1187	turned off.						
-							
1188 1189	 @mayProvides (01) – a list of intent QNames that are natively provided by the binding implementation, but which are activated only when present in the intent set that is applied to a binding 						
1190	instance.						
1191	A pinding implementation MUS Limplement all the intente listed in the Malwaye Provides and						
1192	A binding implementation MUST implement all the intents listed in the @alwaysProvides and						
1102	@mayProvides attributes. A binding implementation MUST implement all the intents listed in the						
1193	<u>@mayProvides attributes.A binding implementation MUST implement all the intents listed in the @alwaysProvides and @mayProvides attributes.</u> [POL40021]						
1193 1194 1195	@mayProvides attributes.A binding implementation MUST implement all the intents listed in the						

1 implied by the presence of policySets that declare the given binding in their @appliesTo attribute. An exception is binding.sca which is configured entirely by the intents listed in its @mayProvide and 1195 1196 1197 @alwaysProvides lists. There are no policySets with appliesTo="binding.sca".

- 1198 For example, if the policySet in Snippet 4-23 is available in a SCA Domain it says that the (example) 1199 foo:binding.ssl can provide "reliability" in addition to any other intents it might provide inherently.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 33 of 74 Formatted: Font color: Auto

1201	<policyset <="" name="ReliableSSL" provides="exactlyOnce" th=""></policyset>
1202	appliesTo="foo:binding.ssl">
1203	
1204	

1205 Snippet 4-23:Example policySet Applied to a binding

1200

1206 4.10 Treatment of Components with Internal Wiring

- 1207 This section discusses the steps involved in the development and deployment of a component and its 1208 relationship to selection of bindings and policies for wiring services and references.
- 1209 The SCA developer starts by defining a component. Typically, this contains services and references. It 1210 can also have intents defined at various locations within composite and component types as well as
- 1211 policySets defined at various locations.
- 1212 Both for ease of development as well as for deployment, the wiring constraints to relate services and 1213 references need to be determined. This is accomplished by matching constraints of the services and
- 1214 references to those of corresponding references and services in other components.
- 1215 In this process, the intents, and the policySets that apply to both sides of a wire play an important role. In 1216 addition, concrete policies need to be selected that satisfy the intents for the service and the reference
- 1217 and are also compatible with each other. For services and references that make use of bidirectional1218 interfaces, the same determination of matching policySets also has to take place for callbacks.
- T210 interfaces, the same determination of matching policybers also has to take place for calibacks
- Determining compatibility of wiring plays an important role prior to deployment as well as during the
 deployment phases of a component. For example, during development, it helps a developer to determine
 whether it is possible to wire services and references using the policySets available in the development
 environment. During deployment, the wiring constraints determine whether wiring can be achievable. It
 also aids in adding additional concrete policies or making adjustments to concrete policies in order to
- deliver the constraints. Here are the concepts that are needed in making wiring decisions:
- 1225 The set of intents that individually apply to each service or reference.
- When possible the intents that are applied to the service, the reference and callback (if any) at the other end of the wire. This set is called the *required intent set* and only applies when dealing with a wire connecting two components within the same SCA Domain. When external connections are involved, from clients or to services that are outside the SCA domain, intents are only available for the end of the connection that is inside the domain. See Section "Preparing Services and References for External Connection" for more details.
- 1232 The policySets that apply to each service or reference.
- 1233 The set of provided intents for a binding instance is the union of the set of intents listed in the 1234 "alwaysProvides" attribute and the set of intents listed in the "mayProvides" attribute of of its binding type. 1235 The capabilities represented by the "alwaysProvides" intent set are always present, irrespective of the 1236 configuration of the binding instance. Each capability represented by the "mayProvides" intent set is only 1237 present when the list of intents applied to the binding instance (either applied directly, or inherited) 1238 contains the particular intent (or a qualified version of that intent, if the intent set contains an unqualified 1239 form of a qualifiable intent). When an intent is directly provided by the binding type, there is no need to
- apply a policy set that provides that intent.
- 1241 When bidirectional interfaces are in use, the same process of selecting policySets to provide the intents is 1242 also performed for the callback bindings.

1243 4.10.1 Determining Wire Validity and Configuration

- 1244 The above approach determines the policySets that are used in conjunction with the binding instances
- 1245 listed for services and references. For services and references that are resolved using SCA wires, the 1246 policySets chosen on each side of the wire might or might not be compatible. The following approach is 1247 used to determine whether they are compatible and whether the wire is valid. If the wire

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 34 of 74

1248 1249	uses a bidirectional interface, then the following technique ensures that valid configured policySets can be found for both directions of the bidirectional interface.	
1250 1251 1252	The SCA runtime MUST determine the compatibility of the policySets at each end of a wire using the compatibility rules of the policy language used for those policySets. The SCA runtime MUST determine the compatibility of the policySets at each end of a wire using the compatibility rules of the policy- language used for those policySets at each end of a wire using the compatibility rules of the policy-	
1253 1254 1255 1256	language used for those policySets. [POL40022] <u>The policySets at each end of a wire MUST be</u> incompatible if they use different policy languages. The policySets at each end of a wire MUST be incompatible if they use different policy languages. [POL40023] However, there is a special case worth mentioning:	
1257 1258	 If both sides of the wire use identical policySets (by referring to the same policySet by its QName in both sides of the wire), then they are compatible. 	
1259 1260 1261	Where the policy language in use for a wire is WS-Policy, strict WS-Policy intersection MUST be used to determine policy compatibility. Where the policy language in use for a wire is WS-Policy, strict WS-Policy intersection MUST be used to determine policy compatibility. [POL40024]	
1262 1263 1264	In order for a reference to connect to a particular service, the policies of the reference MUST intersect with the policies of the service. In order for a reference to connect to a particular service, the policies of the reference MUST intersect with the policies of the service. [POL40025]	Formatted: Font color: Auto
1265	4.11 Preparing Services and References for External Connection	
1266 1267 1268 1269	Services and references are sometimes not intended for SCA wiring, but for communication with software that is outside of the SCA domain. References can contain bindings that specify the endpoint address of a service that exists outside of the current SCA domain. Services can specify bindings that can be exposed to clients that are outside of the SCA domain.	
1270 1271 1272 1273 1274	Matching service/reference policies across the SCA Domain boundary MUST use WS-Policy compatibility (strict WS-Policy intersection) if the policies are expressed in WS-Policy syntax. Matching- service/reference policies across the SCA Domain boundary MUST use WS-Policy compatibility (strict- WS-Policy intersection) if the policies are expressed in WS-Policy syntax. [POL40007] For other policy languages, the policy language defines the comparison semantics.	Formatted: Font color: Auto
1275 1276	For external services and references that make use of bidirectional interfaces, the same determination of matching policies has to also take place for the callback.	
1277 1278	The policies that apply to the service/reference are computed as discussed in Guided Selection of PolicySets using Intents.	
1279	4.12 Guided Selection of PolicySets using Intents	
1280	This section describes the selection of concrete policies that provide a set of intents	
1281 1282 1283	expressed for an element. The purpose is to construct the set of concrete policies that are attached to an element taking into account the explicitly declared policySets that are attached to an element as well as policySets that are externally attached. The aim is to satisfy all of the intents expressed for each element.	
1284	4.12.1 Matching Intents and PolicySets	
1285	Note: In the following, the following rule is observed when an intent set is computed.	
1286 1287 1288 1289 1290	When a profile intent is encountered in either a global @requires, intent/@requires,	

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 35 of 74

1293 1294		2.	add any intents found in any related interface definition or declaration, as described in the section Intents on Interfaces.		
1295 1296		3.	add any intents found on elements below the target element in its implementation hierarchy as defined in Rule 1 in Section 4.5		
1297 1298	l	4.	add any intents found in the @requires attributes or <requires> child elements of each ancestor element in the element's structural hierarchy as defined in Rule 2 in Section 4.5</requires>		
1299		5.	less any intents that do not include the target element's type in their @constrains attribute.		
1300		6.	remove the unqualified version of an intent if the set also contains a qualified version of that intent		
1301	1		ne required intent set contains a mutually exclusive pair of intents the SCA runtime MUST reject the		
1302			cument containing the element and raise an error if the required intent set contains a mutually- clusive pair of intents the SCA runtime MUST reject the document containing the element and raise an-		
1303 1304			or. [POL40017]		
1305 1306 1307	I	The <i>directly provided intent set</i> for an element is the set of intents listed in the @alwaysProvides attribute combined with the set of intents listed in the @mayProvides attribute of the bindingType or implementationType declaration for a binding or implementation element respectively.			
1308 1309		The set of PolicySets attached to an element include those explicitly specified using the @policySets attribute or the <pre>cpolicySetAttachment/> element and those which are externally attached.</pre>			
1310 1311 1312 1313		A policySet applies to a target element if the result of the XPath expression contained in the policySet's @appliesTo attribute, when evaluated against the document containing the target element, includes the target element. For example, @appliesTo="binding.ws[@impl='axis']" matches any binding.ws element that has an @impl attribute value of 'axis'.			
1314		Th	e set of explicitly specified policySets for an element is:		
1315 1316		1.	The union of the policySets specified in the element's @policySets attribute and those specified in any <policysetattachment></policysetattachment> child element(s).		
1317 1318		2.	add the policySets declared in the @policySets attributes and <policysetattachment></policysetattachment> elements from elements in the structural hierarchy of the element.		
1319 1320		3.	remove any policySet where the policySet does not apply to the target element. It is not an error for a policySet to be attached to an element to which it doesn't apply.		
1321		The set of externally attached policySets for an element is:			
1322 1323		1.	Each <policyset></policyset> in the Domain where the element is targeted by the @attachTo attribute of the policySet		
1324 1325		2.	remove any policySet where the policySet does not apply to the target element. It is not an error for a policySet to be attached to an element to which it doesn't apply.		
1326		Αŗ	policySet <i>provides an intent</i> if any of the statements are true:		
1327		1.	The intent is contained in the policySet @provides list.		
1328 1329		2.	The intent is a qualified intent and the unqualified form of the intent is contained in the policySet @provides list.		
1330		3.	The policySet @provides list contains a qualified form of the intent (where the intent is qualifiable).		
1331 1332 1333 1334		ano ML	intents in the required intent set for an element MUST be provided by the directly provided intents set d the set of policySets that apply to the element. <mark>All intents in the required intent set for an element.</mark> JST be provided by the directly provided intents set and the set of policySets that apply to the element. DL40018]		
1335 1336 1337 1338 1339		the me to f	ne combination of implementationType / bindingType / collection of policySets does not satisfy all of intents which apply to the element, the configuration is not valid. When the configuration is not valid, it is ans that the intents are not being correctly satisfied. However, an SCA Runtime can allow a deployer force deployment even in the presence of such errors. The behaviors and options enforced by a boloyer are not specified.		

deployer are not specified.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 36 of 74

1340 **5 Implementation Policies**

1341 The basic model for Implementation Policies is very similar to the model for interaction policies described 1342 above. Abstract QoS requirements, in the form of intents, can be associated with SCA component 1343 implementations to indicate implementation policy requirements. These abstract capabilities are mapped 1344 to concrete policies via policySets at deployment time. Alternatively, policies can be associated directly 1345 with component implementations using policySets. 1346 Snippet 5-1 shows how intents can be associated with an implementation: 1347 1348 <component name="xs:NCName" ... > 1349 <implementation.* ... requires="listOfQNames"> 1350 1351 </implementation> 1352 1353 </component> 1354 Snippet 5-1: Example of intents Associated with an implementation 1355 1356 If, for example, one of the intent names in the value of the @requires attribute is 'logging', this indicates 1357 that all messages to and from the component has to be logged. The technology used to implement the 1358 logging is unspecified. Specific technology is selected when the intent is mapped to a policySet (unless 1359 the implementation type has native support for the intent, as described in the next section). A list of 1360 implementation intents can also be specified by any ancestor element of the <sca:implementation> 1361 element. The effective list of implementation intents is the union of intents specified on the 1362 implementation element and all its ancestors. 1363 In addition, one or more policySets can be specified directly by associating them with the implementation 1364 of a component. 1365 1366 <component name="xs:NCName" ... > 1367 <implementation.* ... policySets="="listOfQNames"> 1368 1369 </implementation> 1370 1371 </component> 1372 Snippet 5-2: Example of policySets Associated with an implemenation 1373 1374 Snippet 5-2 shows how intents and policySets can be specified on a component. It is also possible to 1375 specify intents and policySets within the implementation. How this is done is defined by the 1376 implementation type. The intents and policy sets are specified on the <sca:implementation.*> element within the component 1377 1378 type. This is important because intent and policy set definitions need to be able to specify that they 1379 constrain an appropriate implementation type. 1380 1381 <componentType> 1382 <implementation.* requires="listOfQNames" policySets="listOfQNames"> 1383 1384 </implementation> 1385 1386 </componentType> 1387 Snippet 5-3: intents and policySets Constraining an implementation sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 09-03-2009 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. Page 37 of 74 1388

1389 When applying policies, the intents attached to the implementation are added to the intents attached to 1390 the using component. For the explicitly listed policySets, the list in the component can override policySets 1391 from the componentType. 1392 Some implementation intents are targeted at

binding/>
elements rather than at <implementation/> 1393 elements. This occurs in cases where there is a need to influence the operation of the binding 1394 implementation code rather than the code directly related to the implementation itself. Implementation 1395 elements of this kind will have a @constrains attribute pointing to a binding element, with a @intentType 1396 of "implementation". 5.1 Natively Supported Intents 1397 1398 Each implementation type (e.g. <sca:implementation.java> or <sca:implementation.bpel>) has an 1399 implementation type definition within the SCA Domain. An implementation type definition is declared 1400 using an implementationType element within a <definitions/> declaration. The pseudo-schema for the 1401 implementationType element is shown in Snippet 5-4: 1402 1403 <implementationType type="QName" 1404 alwaysProvides="listOfQNames"? mayProvide="listOfQNames"? /> 1405 Snippet 5-4: implementationType Pseudo-Schema 1406 1407 The implementation Type element has the following attributes: name : QName (1..1) - the name of the implementationType. The implementationType name attribute 1408 ٠ 1409 IUST be the QName of an XSD global element definition used for implementation elements of that vpe. The implementation Type name attribute MUST be the QName of an XSD global element 1410 entation elements of that type. [POL50001] For example: 1411 ed for imply 1412 "sca:implementation.java". 1413 alwaysProvides : list of QNames (0..1) - a set of intents. The intents in the alwaysProvides set are 1414 always provided by this implementation type, whether the intents are attached to the using 1415 component or not. 1416 mayProvide : list of QNames (0..1) - a set of intents. The intents in the mayProvide set are provided 1417 by this implementation type if the intent in question is attached to the using component. 5.2 Writing PolicySets for Implementation Policies 1418 1419 The @appliesTo attribute for a policySet takes an XPath expression that is applied to a service, 1420 reference, binding or an implementation element. For implementation policies, in most cases, all that is 1421 needed is the QName of the implementation type. Implementation policies can be expressed using any policy language (which is to say, any configuration language). For example, XACML or EJB-style 1422 annotations can be used to declare authorization policies. Other capabilities could be configured using 1423 1424 completely proprietary configuration formats. 1425 For example, a policySet declared to turn on trace-level logging for a BPEL component would be declared 1426 as is Snippet 5-5: 1427 1428 <policySet name="loggingPolicy" provides="acme:logging.trace"</pre> 1429

- appliesTo="sca:implementation.bpel" ...> <acme:processLogging level="3"/>
- 1431 </policySet>

1430

1432 Snippet 5-5: Example policySet Applied to implemenation.bpel

> sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 38 of 74 Formatted: Font color: Auto

1433 5.2.1 Non WS-Policy Examples

- 1434 Authorization policies expressed in XACML could be used in the framework in two ways:
- 1435
 1. Embed XACML expressions directly in the PolicyAttachment element using the extensibility elements discussed above, or
- 1437 2. Define WS-Policy assertions to wrap XACML expressions.
- 1438 For EJB-style authorization policy, the same approach could be used:
- Embed EJB-annotations in the PolicyAttachment element using the extensibility elements discussed above, or
- 1441 2. Use the WS-Policy assertions defined as wrappers for EJB annotations.

1442 6 Roles and Responsibilities

1443There are 4 roles that are significant for the SCA Policy Framework. The following is a list of the roles and1444the artifacts that the role creates:

- 1445 Policy Administrator policySet definitions and intent definitions
- Developer Implementations and component types
- 1447 Assembler Composites
- 1448 Deployer Composites and the SCA Domain (including the logical Domain-level composite)

1449 6.1 Policy Administrator

An intent represents a requirement that a developer or assembler can make, which ultimately have to be
 satisfied at runtime. The full definition of the requirement is the informal text description in the intent
 definition.

1453 The **policy administrator**'s job is to both define the intents that are available and to define the policySets 1454 that represent the concrete realization of those informal descriptions for some set of binding type or

- 1455 implementation types. See the sections on intent and policySet definitions for the details of those
- 1456 definitions.

1457 6.2 Developer

1458 When it is possible for a component to be written without assuming a specific binding type for its services 1459 and references, then the **developer** uses intents to specify requirements in a binding neutral way.

1460 If the developer requires a specific binding type for a component, then the developer can specify bindings

1461 and policySets with the implementation of the component. Those bindings and policySets will be 1462 represented in the component type for the implementation (although that component type might be

1463 generated from the implementation).

1464 If any of the policySets used for the implementation include intentMaps, then the default choice for the
intentMap can be overridden by an assembler or deployer by requiring a qualified intent that is present in
the intentMap.

1467 **6.3 Assembler**

1468 An **assembler** creates composites. Because composites are implementations, an assembler is like a 1469 developer, except that the implementations created by an assembler are composites made up of other 1470 components wired together. So, like other developers, the assembler can specify intents or bindings or

- 1471 policySets on any service or reference of the composite.
- 1472 However, in addition the definition of composite-level services and references, it is also possible for the 1473 assembler to use the policy framework to further configure components within the composite. The

1473 assembler to use the policy framework to further configure components within the composite. The 1474 assembler can add additional requirements to any component's services or references or to the

1475 component itself (for implementation policies). The assembler can also override the bindings or

1476 policySets used for the component. See the assembly specification's description of overriding rules for 1477 details on overriding.

- 1478 As a shortcut, an assembler can also specify intents and policySets on any element in the composite
- 1479 definition, which has the same effect as specifying those intents and policySets on every applicable
- 1480 binding or implementation below that element (where applicability is determined by the @appliesTo

1481 attribute of the policySet definition or the @constrains attribute of the intent definition).

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 40 of 74

6.4 Deployer 1482

1483 A **deployer** deploys implementations (typically composites) into the SCA Domain. It is the 1484 deployers job to make the final decisions about all configurable aspects of an implementation that is to be 1485 deployed and to make sure that all intents are satisfied.

- 1486 If the deployer determines that an implementation is correctly configured as it is, then the implementation
- 1487
- can be deployed directly. However, more typically, the deployer will create a new composite, which contains a component for each implementation to be deployed along with any changes to the bindings or 1488 1489 policySets that the deployer desires.
- When the deployer is determining whether the existing list of policySets is correct for a component, the deployer needs to consider both the explicitly listed policySets as well as the policySets that will be 1490
- 1491
- 1492 chosen according to the algorithm specified in Guided Selection of PolicySets using Intents.

1493 **7 Security Policy**

- The SCA Security Model provides SCA developers the flexibility to specify the necessary level of security
 protection for their components to satisfy business requirements without the burden of understanding
 detailed security mechanisms.
 The SCA Policy framework distinguishes between two types of policies: *interaction policy* and
- 1498 *implementation policy*. Interaction policy governs the communications between clients and service
- 1499 providers and typically applies to Services and References. In the security space, interaction policy is
- 1500 concerned with client and service provider authentication and message protection requirements.
- 1501 Implementation policy governs security constraints on service implementations and typically applies to 1502 Components. In the security space, implementation policy concerns include access control, identity
- delegation, and other security quality of service characteristics that are pertinent to the service
- 1504 implementations.
- 1505 The SCA security interaction policy can be specified via intents or policySets. Intents represent security 1506 guality of service requirements at a high abstraction level, independent from security protocols, while
- 1507 policySets specify concrete policies at a detailed level, which are typically security protocol specific.
- 1508 The SCA security policy can be specified either in an SCA composite or by using the External Policy
- 1509 Attachment Mechanism or by annotations in the implementation code. Language-specific annotations are
- 1510 described in the respective language Client and Implementation specifications.

1511 7.1 SCA Security Intents

1512 The SCA security specification defines the following intents to specify interaction policy:

- 1513 serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality, and integrity.
- serverAuthentication <u>When serverAuthentication is present, an SCA runtime MUST ensure that</u>
 the server is authenticated by the client. <u>When serverAuthentication is present, an SCA runtime MUST</u>
 ensure that the server is authenticated by the client. [POL70013]
- clientAuthentication When clientAuthentication is present, an SCA runtime MUST ensure that the client is authenticated by the server. When clientAuthentication is present, an SCA runtime MUST ensure that the client is authenticated by the server. [POL70014]
- authentication this is a profile intent that requires only clientAuthentication. It is included for
 backwards compatibility.
- *mutualAuthentication* this is a profile intent that includes the serverAuthentication and the clientAuthentication intents just described.
- confidentiality the confidentiality intent is used to indicate that the contents of a message are accessible only to those authorized to have access (typically the service client and the service provider). A common approach is to encrypt the message, although other methods are possible.
 When confidentiality is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that only authorized entities can view the contents of a message. [POL70009]
- *integrity* the integrity intent is used to indicate that assurance is that the contents of a message have not been tampered with and altered between sender and receiver. A common approach is to digitally sign the message, although other methods are possible. When *integrity* is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that the contents of a message are not altered. [POL70010]
- 1534 The formal definitions of these intents are in the Intent Definitions appendix.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 42 of 74 Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

1535 7.2 Interaction Security Policy

1536 Any one of the three security intents can be further qualified to specify more specific business

1537 requirements. Two qualifiers are defined by the SCA security specification: transport and message, which 1538 can be applied to any of the above three intent's.

1539 7.2.1 Qualifiers

transport – the transport qualifier specifies that the qualified intent is realized at the transport or transfer
 layer of the communication protocol, such as HTTPS. When a serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication,
 confidentiality or integrity intent is qualified by message, an SCA Runtime MUST delegate

1543 serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality and integrity, respectively, to the message layer 1544 of the communication protocol. [POL70011]

1545message – the message qualifier specifies that the qualified intent is realized at the message level of the1546communication protocol.When a serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality or integrity1547intent is qualified by message, an SCA Runtime MUST delegate serverAuthentication,

1548clientAuthentication, confidentiality and integrity, respectively, to the message layer of the communication1549protocol.When a serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality or integrity intent is qualified by1550message, an SCA Runtime MUST delegate serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality and

1551 integrity, respectively, to the message layer of the communication protocol.[POL70012]

1553 Snippet 7-1 shows the usage of intents and qualified intents.

1561

1552

1554 1555

1556

1557 1558

1559

In this case, the composite declares that all of its services and references have to guarantee
 confidentiality in their communication by setting requires="confidentiality". This applies to the "foo"
 service. However, the "bar" reference further gualifies that requirement to specifically require message-

1565 level security by setting requires="confidentiality.message".

1566 7.3 Implementation Security Policy Intent

1567 The SCA Security specification defines the *authorization* intent to specify implementation policy.

1568 *authorization* – the authorization intent is used to indicate that a client needs to be authorized before

being allowed to use the service. Being authorized means that a check is made as to whether any

1570 policies apply to the client attempting to use the service, and if so, those policies govern whether or not

1571 the client is allowed access. When authorization is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that the client 1572 is authorized to use the service. When authorization is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that the

```
1573 client is authorized to use the service. [POL70001]
```

1574 This unqualified authorization intent implies that basic "Subject-Action-Resource" authorization support is 1575 required, where Subject may be as simple as a single identifier representing the identity of the client, Action may be a single identifier representing the client intende to explicit the Bessure

1576 Action may be a single identifier representing the operation the client intends to apply to the Resource, 1577 and the Resource may be a single identifier representing the identity of the Resource to which the Action

1578 is intended to be applied.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright C OASIS 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 43 of 74

¹⁵⁶⁰ Snippet 7-1: Example using Qualified Intents

1579 **7.3.1 Qualifier**

1580 *fineGrain* – the fineGrain qualifier specifies that the component requires authorization capabilities more

1581 complex than simple Subject-Action-Resource which is provided by the unqualified authorization intent.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 44 of 74

1582 8 Reliability Policy

1583 Failures can affect the communication between a service consumer and a service provider.

1584 Depending on the characteristics of the binding, these failures could cause messages to be redelivered,

1585 delivered in a different order than they were originally sent out or even worse, could cause messages to

1586 be lost. Some transports like JMS provide built-in reliability features such as "at least once" and "exactly

once" message delivery. Other transports like HTTP need to have additional layers built on top of them to
 provide some of these features.

1589The events that occur due to failures in communication can affect the outcome of the service invocation.1590For an implementation of a stock trade service, a message redelivery could result in a new trade. A client1591(i.e. consumer) of the same service could receive a fault message if trade orders are not delivered to the

- 1592 service implementation in the order they were sent out. In some cases, these failures could have dramatic1593 consequences.
- 1594 An SCA developer can anticipate some types of failures and work around them in service

1595 implementations. For example, the implementation of a stock trade service could be designed to support

1596 duplicate message detection. An implementation of a purchase order service could have built in logic that

1597 orders the incoming messages. In these cases, service implementations don't need the binding layers to

- provide these reliability features (e.g. duplicate message detection, message ordering). However, this
- 1599 comes at a cost: extra complexity is built in the service implementation. Along with business logic, the 1600 service implementation has additional logic that handles these failures.
- 1601 Although service implementations can work around some of these types of failures, it is worth noting that
- workarounds are not always possible. A message can be lost or expire even before it is delivered to the
 service implementation.
- 1604 Instead of handling some of these issues in the service implementation, a better way is to use a binding 1605 or a protocol that supports reliable messaging. This is better, not just because it simplifies application
- development, it can also lead to better throughput. For example, there is less need for application-level acknowledgement messages. A binding supports reliable messaging if it provides features such as
 message delivery guarantees, duplicate message detection and message ordering.
- 1609 It is very important for the SCA developer to be able to require, at design-time, a binding or protocol that
- 1610 supports reliable messaging. SCA defines a set of policy intents that can be used for specifying reliable
- 1611 messaging Quality of Service requirements. These reliable messaging intents establish a contract
- 1612 between the binding layer and the application layer (i.e. service implementation or the service consumer 1613 implementation) (see below).

1614 8.1 Policy Intents

1615 Based on the use-cases described above, the following policy intents are defined:

atLeastOnce - The binding implementation guarantees that a message that is successfully sent by a service consumer is delivered to the destination (i.e. service implementation). The message could be delivered more than once to the service implementation. When atLeastOnce is present, an SCA
 Runtime MUST deliver a message to the destination service implementation, and MAY deliver duplicates of a message to the destination service implementation, and MAY deliver
 Runtime MUST deliver a message to the destination service implementation, and MAY deliver duplicates of a message to the destination service implementation, and MAY deliver
 Runtime MUST deliver a message to the destination service implementation, and MAY deliver duplicates of a message to the destination service implementation, and MAY deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation. [POL80001]

1623 The binding implementation guarantees that a message that is successfully sent by a service 1624 implementation is delivered to the destination (i.e. service consumer). The message could be 1625 delivered more than once to the service consumer.

atMostOnce - The binding implementation guarantees that a message that is successfully sent by a
 service consumer is not delivered more than once to the service implementation. The binding
 implementation does not guarantee that the message is delivered to the service implementation.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 45 of 74 Formatted: Font color: Auto

1629 1630		When atMostOnce is present, an SCA Runtime MAY deliver a message to the destination service implementation, and MUST NOT deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation. When
1631		atMostOnce is present, an SCA Runtime MAY deliver a message to the destination service-
1632		implementation, and MUST NOT deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation.
1633		[POL80002]
1634		The binding implementation guarantees that a message that is successfully sent by a service
1635 1636		implementation is not delivered more than once to the service consumer. The binding implementation
		does not guarantee that the message is delivered to the service consumer.
1637 1638	3.	ordered – The binding implementation guarantees that the messages sent by a service client via a
1630		single service reference are delivered to the target service implementation in the order in which they were sent by the service client. This intent does not guarantee that messages that are sent by a
1640		service client are delivered to the service implementation. Note that this intent has nothing to say
1641		about the ordering of messages sent via different service references by a single service client, even if
1642		the same service implementation is targeted by each of the service references. When ordered is
1643 1644		present, an SCA Runtime MUST deliver messages sent by a single source to a single destination service implementation in the order that the messages were sent by that source.
1644		present, an SCA Runtime MUST deliver messages sent by a single source to a single destination
1646		service implementation in the order that the messages were sent by that source. [POL80003]
1647		For service interfaces that involve messages being sent back from the service implementation to the
1648		service client (eg. a service with a callback interface), for this intent, the binding implementation
1649		guarantees that the messages sent by the service implementation over a given wire are delivered to
1650 1651		the service client in the order in which they were sent by the service implementation. This intent does
1652		not guarantee that messages that are sent by the service implementation are delivered to the service consumer.
1653	1	exactlyOnce - The binding implementation guarantees that a message sent by a service consumer is
1654	4.	delivered to the service implementation. Also, the binding implementation guarantees that the
1655		message is not delivered more than once to the service implementation. When exactlyOnce is
1656		present, an SCA Runtime MUST deliver a message to the destination service implementation and
1657		MUST NOT deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation. When <i>exactlyOnce</i> is present, an SCA Runtime MUST deliver a message to the destination service implementation and
1658 1659		MUST NOT deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation. [POL80004]
1660		The binding implementation guarantees that a message sent by a service implementation is delivered
1661		to the service consumer. Also, the binding implementation guarantees that the message is not
1662		delivered more than once to the service consumer.
1663		NOTE: This is a profile intent, which is composed of atLeastOnce and atMostOnce.
1664		This is the most reliable intent since it guarantees the following:
1665		 message delivery – all the messages sent by a sender are delivered to the service
1666		implementation (i.e. Java class, BPEL process, etc.).
1667 1668		 duplicate message detection and elimination – a message sent by a sender is not processed
	Ŧı	more than once by the service implementation.
1669		e formal definitions of these intents are in the Intent Definitions appendix.
1670 1671		w can a binding implementation guarantee that a message that it receives is delivered to the service plementation? One way to do it is by persisting the message and keeping redelivering it until it is
1671		cessed by the service implementation. That way, if the system crashes after delivery but while
1673		cessing it, the message will be redelivered on restart and processed again. Since a message could be
1674		ivered multiple times to the service implementation, this technique usually requires the service
1675		plementation to perform duplicate message detection. However, that is not always possible. Often
1676 1677		es service implementations that perform critical operations are designed without having support for plicate message detection. Therefore, they cannot <i>process</i> an incoming
1077	uu	

1678 message more than once.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 46 of 74 1679 Also, consider the scenario where a message is delivered to a service implementation that does not

- 1680 handle duplicates the system crashes after a message is delivered to the service implementation but 1681 before it is completely processed. Does the underlying layer redeliver the message on restart? If it did
 - before it is completely processed. Does the underlying layer redeliver the message on restart? If it did
 that, there is a risk that some critical operations (e.g. sending out a JMS message or updating a DB table)
- 1683 will be executed again when the message is processed. On the other hand, if the underlying layer does 1684 not redeliver the message, there is a risk that the message is never completely processed.
- 1685 This issue cannot be safely solved unless all the critical operations performed by the service
- 1686 implementation are running in a transaction. Therefore, *exactlyOnce* cannot be assured without involving
- 1687 the service implementation. In other words, an *exactlyOnce* message delivery does not guarantee
- 1688 exactlyOnce message processing unless the service implementation is transactional. It's worth noting that
- 1689 this is a necessary condition but not sufficient. The underlying layer (e.g. binding implementation,
- 1690 container) would have to ensure that a message is not redelivered to the service implementation after the
- transaction is committed. As an example, a way to ensure it when the binding uses JMS is by making
- sure the operation that acknowledges the message is executed in the same transaction the service
- 1693 implementation is running in.

1694 8.2 End-to-end Reliable Messaging

Failures can occur at different points in the message path: in the binding layer on the sender side, in the
 transport layer or in the binding layer on the receiver side. The SCA service developer doesn't really care
 where the failure occurs. Whether a message was lost due to a network failure or due to a crash of the

1698 machine where the service is deployed, is not that important. What is important is that the contract

1699 between the application layer (i.e. service implementation or service consumer) and the binding layer is

not violated (e.g. a message that was successfully transmitted by a sender is always delivered to the

1701 destination; a message that was successfully transmitted by a sender is not delivered more than once to 1702 the service implementation, etc). It is worth noting that the binding layer could throw an exception when a

- 1702 the service implementation, etc). It is worth houng that the binding layer could thow an exception when a 1703 sender (e.g. service consumer, service implementation) sends a message out. This is not considered a
- 1704 successful message transmission.
- 1705 In order to ensure the semantics of the reliable messaging intents, the entire message path, which is
- 1706 composed of the binding layer on the client side, the transport layer and the binding layer on the service
- 1707 side, has to be reliable.

1708 9 Transactions

1709 SCA recognizes that the presence or absence of infrastructure for ACID transaction coordination has a

1710 direct effect on how business logic is coded. In the absence of ACID transactions, developers have to

1711 provide logic that coordinates the outcome, compensates for failures, etc. In the presence of ACID

transactions, the underlying infrastructure is responsible for ensuring the ACID nature of all interactions.
 SCA provides declarative mechanisms for describing the transactional environment needed by the

1714 business logic.

1715 Components that use a synchronous interaction style can be part of a single, distributed ACID transaction

- within which all transaction resources are coordinated to either atomically commit or rollback. The
 transmission or receipt of oneway messages can, depending on the transport binding, be coordinated as
- 1717 transmission of receipt of oneway messages can, depending on the transport binding, be coordinated as
 1718 part of an ACID transaction as illustrated in the <u>OneWay InvocationsOneWay Invocations</u> section below.
- Well-known, higher-level patterns such as store-and-forward queuing can be accomplished by composing
- 1720 transacted one-way messages with reliable-messaging policies.

1721 This document describes the set of abstract policy intents – both implementation intents and interaction

1722 intents – that can be used to describe the requirements on a concrete service component and binding 1723 respectively.

1724 9.1 Out of Scope

1730

1731

1725 The following topics are outside the scope of this document:

- The means by which transactions are created, propagated and established as part of an execution
 context. These are details of the SCA runtime provider and binding provider.
- The means by which a transactional resource manager (RM) is accessed. These include, but are not restricted to:
 - abstracting an RM as an sca:component
 - accessing an RM directly in a language-specific and RM-specific fashion
- 1732 abstracting an RM as an sca:binding

1733 9.2 Common Transaction Patterns

1734 In the absence of any transaction policies there is no explicit transactional behavior defined for the SCA

- 1735 service component or the interactions in which it is involved and the transactional behavior is
- environment-specific. An SCA runtime provider can choose to define an out of band default transactional
 behavior that applies in the absence of any transaction policies.
- 1738 Environment-specific default transactional behavior can be overridden by specifying transactional intents 1739 described in this document. The most common transaction patterns can be summarized:
- 1740 Managed, shared global transaction pattern the service always runs in a global transaction context
- regardless of whether the requester runs under a global transaction. If the requester does run under a
- 1742 transaction, the service runs under the same transaction. Any outbound, synchronous request-response 1743 messages will – unless explicitly directed otherwise – propagate the service's transaction context. This
- propagate the service's transaction context.
 pattern offers the highest degree of data integrity by ensuring that any transactional updates are
 committed atomically
- 1746 *Managed, local transaction* pattern the service always runs in a managed local transaction context 1747 regardless of whether the requester runs under a transaction. Any outbound messages will not propagate
- 1748 any transaction context. This pattern is advisable for services that wish the SCA runtime to demarcate
- any resource manager local transactions and do not require the overhead of atomicity.
- 1750 The use of transaction policies to specify these patterns is illustrated later in <u>Table 9-2</u>Table 9-3.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 48 of 74 Formatted: Font: Italic

1751 9.3 Summary of SCA transaction policies

This specification defines implementation and interaction policies that relate to transactional QoS in
 components and their interactions. The SCA transaction policies are specified as intents which represent
 the transaction quality of service behavior offered by specific component implementations or bindings.

SCA transaction policy can be specified either in an SCA composite or annotatively in the implementation
 code. Language-specific annotations are described in the respective language binding specifications, for
 example the SCA Java Common Annotations and APIs specification [SCA-Java-Annotations].

1758 This specification defines the following implementation transaction policies:

1759 • managedTransaction – Describes the service component's transactional environment.

- transactedOneWay and immediateOneWay two mutually exclusive intents that describe whether the SCA runtime will process OneWay messages immediately or will enqueue (from a client perspective) and dequeue (from a service perspective) a OneWay message as part of a global transaction.
- 1764 This specification also defines the following interaction transaction policies:
- propagatesTransaction and suspendsTransaction two mutually exclusive intents that describe
 whether the SCA runtime propagates any transaction context to a service or reference on a
 synchronous invocation.

1768 Finally, this specification defines a profile intent called managedSharedTransaction that combines the

1769 managedTransaction intent and the propogatesTransaction intent so that the managed, shared global

1770 transaction pattern is easier to configure.

1771 9.4 Global and local transactions

1772 This specification describes "managed transactions" in terms of either "global" or "local" transactions. The 1773 "managed" aspect of managed transactions refers to the transaction environment provided by the SCA 1774 runtime for the business component. Business components can interact with other business components 1775 and with resource managers. The managed transaction environment defines the transactional context 1776 under which such interactions occur.

1777 9.4.1 Global transactions

1778 From an SCA perspective, a global transaction is a unit of work scope within which transactional work is

1779 atomic. If multiple transactional resource managers are accessed under a global transaction then the

1780 transactional work is coordinated to either atomically commit or rollback regardless using a 2PC protocol.

1781 A global transaction can be propagated on synchronous invocations between components – depending 1782 on the interaction intents described in this specification - such that multiple, remote service providers can

on the interaction intents described in this specification - such that multiple, remote service provide
 execute distributed requests under the same global transaction.

1784 9.4.2 Local transactions

1785 From a resource manager perspective a resource manager local transaction (RMLT) is simply the 1786 absence of a global transaction. But from an SCA perspective it is not enough to simply declare that a 1787 piece of business logic runs without a global transaction context. Business logic might need to access 1788 transactional resource managers without the presence of a global transaction. The business logic 1789 developer still needs to know the expected semantic of making one or more calls to one or more resource 1790 managers, and needs to know when and/or how the resource managers local transactions will be 1791 committed. The term local transaction containment (LTC) is used to describe the SCA environment where 1792 there is no global transaction. The boundaries of an LTC are scoped to a remotable service provider method and are not propagated on invocations between components. Unlike the resources in a global 1793 1794 transaction, RMLTs coordinated within a LTC can fail independently.

1795

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 49 of 74 1796 The two most common patterns for components using resource managers outside a global transaction 1797 are:

- The application desires each interaction with a resource manager to commit after every interaction.
 This is the default behavior provided by the noManagedTransaction policy (defined below in Transaction implementation policy) in the absence of explicit use of RMLT verbs by the application.
- The application desires each interaction with a resource manager to be part of an extended local transaction that is committed at the end of the method. This behavior is specified by the managedTransaction.local policy (defined below in Transaction implementation policy).

1804 While an application can use interfaces provided by the resource adapter to explicitly demarcate resource 1805 manager local transactions (RMLT), this is a generally undesirable burden on applications, which typically 1806 prefer all transaction considerations to be managed by the SCA runtime. In addition, once an application 1807 codes to a resource manager local transaction interface, it might never be redeployed with a different 1808 transaction. This specification defines intents to support both these common patterns in order to provide 1810 portability for applications regardless of whether they run under a global transaction or not.

1811 9.5 Transaction implementation policy

1812 9.5.1 Managed and non-managed transactions

The mutually exclusive *managedTransaction* and *noManagedTransaction* intents describe the
 transactional environment needed by a service component or composite. SCA provides transaction
 environments that are managed by the SCA runtime in order to remove the burden of coding transaction
 APIs directly into the business logic. The *managedTransaction* and *noManagedTransaction* intents
 can be attached to the sca:composite or sca:componentType elements.

- 1818 The mutually exclusive *managedTransaction* and *noManagedTransaction* intents are defined as 1819 follows:
- managedTransaction a managed transaction environment is necessary in order to run this
 component. The specific type of managedTransaction needed is not constrained. The valid qualifiers
 for this intent are mutually exclusive.
- 1823 managedTransaction.global There has to be an atomic transaction in order to run this
 1824 component. For a component marked with managedTransaction.global, the SCA runtime
 1825 MUST ensure that a global transaction is present before dispatching any method on the
 1826 component. [POL90003] The SCA runtime uses any transaction propagated from the client
 1827 or else begins and completes a new transaction. See the propagatesTransaction intent
 1828 below for more details.
- 1829 managedTransaction.local - indicates that the component cannot tolerate running as part 1830 of a global transaction. A component marked with managedTransaction.local MUST run within a local transaction containment (LTC) that is started and ended by the SCA runtime. 1831 1832 [POL90004] Any global transaction context that is propagated to the hosting SCA runtime is 1833 not visible to the target component. Any interaction under this policy with a resource manager 1834 is performed in an extended resource manager local transaction (RMLT). Upon successful completion of the invoked service method, any RMLTs are implicitly requested to commit by 1835 1836 the SCA runtime. Note that, unlike the resources in a global transaction, RMLTs so 1837 coordinated in a LTC can fail independently. If the invoked service method completes with a 1838 non-business exception then any RMLTs are implicitly rolled back by the SCA runtime. In this 1839 context a business exception is any exception that is declared on the component interface and is therefore anticipated by the component implementation. The manner in which 1840 1841 exceptions are declared on component interfaces is specific to the interface type - for 1842 example, Java interface types declare Java exceptions, WSDL interface types define wsdl:faults. Local transactions MUST NOT be propagated outbound across remotable 1843 interfaces. [POL90006] 1844

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 50 of 74

- noManagedTransaction indicates that the component runs without a managed transaction, under neither a global transaction nor an LTC. A transaction that is propagated to the hosting SCA runtime MUST NOT be joined by the hosting runtime on behalf of a component marked with noManagedtransaction. [POL90007] When interacting with a resource manager under this policy, the
- application (and not the SCA runtime) is responsible for controlling any resource manager local
 transaction boundaries, using resource-provider specific interfaces (for example a Java
 implementation accessing a JDBC provider has to choose whether a Connection is set to
- autoCommit(true) or else it has to call the Connection commit or rollback method). SCA defines no
 APIs for interacting with resource managers.
- (absent) The absence of a transaction implementation intent leads to runtime-specific behavior. A runtime that supports global transaction coordination can choose to provide a default behavior that is the managed, shared global transaction pattern but it is not mandated to do so.
- 1857 The formal definitions of these intents are in the Intent Definitions appendix.

1858 9.5.2 OneWay Invocations

- 1859 When a client uses a reference and sends a OneWay message then any client transaction context is not
 propagated. However, the OneWay invocation on the reference can itself be *transacted*. Similarly, from a
 service perspective, any received OneWay message cannot propagate a transaction context but the
- 1862 delivery of the OneWay message can be *transacted*. A *transacted* OneWay message is a one-way 1863 message that - because of the capability of the service or reference binding - can be enqueued (from
- 1863 message that because of the capability of the service or reference binding can be enqueued (from a client perspective) or dequeued (from a service perspective) as part of a global transaction.
- 1865 SCA defines two mutually exclusive implementation intents, transactedOneWay and
- 1866 immediateOneWay, that determine whether OneWay messages are transacted or delivered immediately.
- 1867 Either of these intents can be attached to the sca:service or sca:reference elements or they can be
 attached to the sca:component element, indicating that the intent applies to any service or reference
 element children.
- 1870 The intents are defined as follows:
- transactedOneWay When a reference is marked as transactedOneWay, any OneWay invocation
 messages MUST be transacted as part of a client global transaction. [POL90008]
- 1873If the client component is not configured to run under a global transaction or if the binding does not1874support transactional message sending, then a reference MUST NOT be marked as
- 1875 transactedOneWay. [POL90009] If a service is marked as transactedOneWay, any OneWay
 1876 invocation message MUST be received from the transport binding in a transacted fashion, under the
- 1877 target service's global transaction. [POL90010] The receipt of the message from the binding is not
- 1878 committed until the service transaction commits; if the service transaction is rolled back the the
- 1879message remains available for receipt under a different service transaction. If the component is not
configured to run under a global transaction or if the binding does not support transactional message
receipt, then a service MUST NOT be marked as transactedOneWay. [POL90011]
- immediateOneWay When applied to a reference indicates that any OneWay invocation messages
 MUST be sent immediately regardless of any client transaction. [POL90012] When applied to a
 service indicates that any OneWay invocation MUST be received immediately regardless of any
 target service transaction. [POL90013] The outcome of any transaction under which an
 immediateOneWay message is processed has no effect on the processing (sending or receipt) of that
- 1887 message.
 1888 The absence of either intent leads to runtime-specific behavior. The SCA runtime can send or receive a
- 1000 The absence of entreminence as to fundime-specific behavior. The SCA runtime can send or receive a
 1889 OneWay message immediately or as part of any sender/receiver transaction. The results of combining
 1890 this intent and the *managedTransaction* implementation policy of the component sending or receiving
 1904 the tware the log with the transaction in the sender of the tware the log with tware the log with the tware the log with tware the log with tware the log with the tware the log with tware the log
- 1891 the transacted OneWay invocation are summarized low.below in Table 9-1.
- 1892

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 51 of 74

transacted/immediate intent	managedTransaction (client or service implementation intent)	Results
transactedOneWay	managedTransaction.global	OneWay interaction (either client message enqueue or target service dequeue) is committed as part of the global transaction.
transactedOneWay	managedTransaction.local or noManagedTransaction	If a transactedOneWay intent is combined with the managedTransaction.local or noManagedTransaction implementation intents for either a reference or a service then an error MUST be raised during deployment.If a transactedOneWay intent is combined with the managedTransaction.local or noManagedTransaction implementation intents for either a reference or a service then an error MUST be raised during deployment. [POL90027]
immediateOneWay	Any value of managedTransaction	The OneWay interaction occurs immediately and is not transacted.
<absent></absent>	Any value of managedTransaction	Runtime-specific behavior. The SCA runtime can send or receive a OneWay message immediately or as part of any sender/receiver transaction.

1893 Table 9-1 Transacted OneWay interaction intent

1894

1895 The formal definitions of these intents are in the Intent Definitions appendix.

9.6 Transaction interaction policies 1896

1897 The mutually exclusive propagates Transaction and suspends Transaction intents can be attached

- either to an interface (e.g. Java annotation or WSDL attribute) or explicitly to an sca:service and 1898
- 1899 sca:reference XML element to describe how any client transaction context will be made available and
- used by the target service component. Section 9.6.1 considers how these intents apply to service 1900
- elements and Section 9.6.2 considers how these intents apply to reference elements. 1901
- 1902 The formal definitions of these intents are in the Intent Definitions appendix.

9.6.1 Handling Inbound Transaction Context 1903

1904 The mutually exclusive propagates Transaction and suspends Transaction intents can be attached to 1905 an sca:service XML element to describe how a propagated transaction context is handled by the SCA 1906 runtime, prior to dispatching a service component. If the service requester is running within a transaction 1907 and the service interaction policy is to propagate that transaction, then the primary business effects of the 1908 provider's operation are coordinated as part of the client's transaction - if the client rolls back its 1909 transaction, then work associated with the provider's operation will also be rolled back. This allows clients 1910 to know that no compensation business logic is necessary since transaction rollback can be used. 1911 These intents specify a contract that has to be be implemented by the SCA runtime. This aspect of a

1912 service component is most likely captured during application design. The propagates Transaction or

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 52 of 74

1913 suspendsTransaction intent can be attached to sca:service elements and their children. The intents are 1914 defined as follows:

1915 1916 1917 1918 1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928	 propagatesTransaction – A service marked with propagatesTransaction MUST be dispatched under any propagated (client) transaction. [POL90015] Use of the propagatesTransaction intent on a service implies that the service binding MUST be capable of receiving a transaction context. Use of the propagatesTransaction intent on a service implies that the service binding MUST be capable of receiving a transaction context. [POL90016] However, it is important to understand that some binding/policySet combinations that provide this intent for a service will need the client to propagate a transaction context. In SCA terms, for a reference wired to such a service, this implies that the reference has to use either the propagatesTransaction intent or a binding/policySet combination that does propagate a transaction. If, on the other hand, the service does not need the client to provide a transaction (even though it has the capability of joining the client's transaction), then some care is needed in the configuration of the service. One approach to consider in this case is to use two distinct bindings on the service, one that uses the propagatesTransaction intent and one that does not - clients that do not propagate a transaction would then wire to the service using the binding without the
1929	propagatesTransaction intent specified.
1930	 suspendsTransaction – A service marked with suspendsTransaction MUST NOT be dispatched
1931	under any propagated (client) transaction. [POL90017]
1932	The absence of either interaction intent leads to runtime-specific behavior; the client is unable to
1933	determine from transaction intents whether its transaction will be joined.

1934 The SCA runtime MUST ignore the propagatesTransaction intent for OneWay methods. [POL90025]

1935These intents are independent from the implementation's managedTransaction intent and provides no1936information about the implementation's transaction environment.

1937 The combination of these service interaction policies and the *managedTransaction* implementation

policy of the containing component completely describes the transactional behavior of an invoked service,
 as summarized in <u>Table 9-2Table 9-3</u>:

1940

service interaction intent	managedTransaction (component implementation intent)	Results
propagatesTransaction	managedTransaction.global	Component runs in propagated transaction if present, otherwise a new global transaction. This combination is used for the managed , shared global transaction pattern described in Common Transaction Patterns. This is equivalent to the managedSharedTransaction intent defined in section 9.6.3.
propagatesTransaction	managedTransaction.local or noManagedTransaction	A service MUST NOT be marked with "propagatesTransaction" if the component is marked with "managedTransaction.local" or with "noManagedTransaction.acal" or with "noManagedTransaction" A service MUST NOT be marked with "propagatesTransaction" if the component is marked with "managedTransaction.local" or with "moManagedTransaction" [POL90019]
suspendsTransaction	managedTransaction.global	Component runs in a new global transaction
suspendsTransaction	managedTransaction.local	Component runs in a managed local transaction containment. This combination is used for the managed , local transaction pattern described in Common Transaction Patterns. This is the default behavior for a runtime that does not support global transactions.
suspendsTransaction	noManagedTransaction	Component is responsible for managing its own local transactional resources.

1941

Table 9-23 Combining service transaction intents

1942

1943 Note - the absence of either interaction or implementation intents leads to runtime-specific behavior. A

1944 runtime that supports global transaction coordination can choose to provide a default behavior that is the 1945 managed, shared global transaction pattern.

1946 9.6.2 Handling Outbound Transaction Context

1947 The mutually exclusive *propagates Transaction* and *suspends Transaction* intents can also be attached

- 1948 to an sca:reference XML element to describe whether any client transaction context is propagated to a
- target service when a synchronous interaction occurs through the reference. These intents specify acontract that has to be implemented by the SCA runtime. This aspect of a service component is most
- 1951 likely captured during application design.
- interio captarea daring appreation design.
- 1952 Either the *propagatesTransaction* or *suspendsTransaction* intent can be attached to sca:service 1953 elements and their children. The intents are defined as defined in Section 9.6.1.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 54 of 74 1954 When used as a reference interaction intent, the meaning of the qualifiers is as follows:

- propagatesTransaction When a reference is marked with propagatesTransaction, any transaction 1955 . 1956 context under which the client runs MUST be propagated when the reference is used for a request-1957 response interaction [POL90020] The binding of a reference marked with propagatesTransaction has 1958 to be capable of propagating a transaction context. The reference needs to be wired to a service that 1959 can join the client's transaction. For example, any service with an-intent that @requires propagates Transaction can always join a client's transaction. The reference consumer can then be 1960 1961 designed to rely on the work of the target service being included in the caller's transaction.
- 1962 suspendsTransaction – When a reference is marked with suspendsTransaction, any transaction ٠ 1963 context under which the client runs MUST NOT be propagated when the reference is used. [POL90022] The reference consumer can use this intent to ensure that the work of the target service 1964 1965 is not included in the caller's transaction. .
- 1966 The absence of either interaction intent leads to runtime-specific behavior. The SCA runtime can 1967 choose whether or not to propagate any client transaction context to the referenced service, 1968 depending on the SCA runtime capability.

1969 These intents are independent from the client's managedTransaction implementation intent. The 1970 combination of the interaction intent of a reference and the managedTransaction implementation policy of the containing component completely describes the transactional behavior of a client's invocation of a 1971 1972 service. Table 9-3Table 9-5 summarizes the results of the combination of either of these interaction 1973

1974

3	intents with the	managedTransactic	n implementation	n policy of the co	ntaining component.
---	------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	---------------------

reference interaction intent	managedTransaction (client implementation intent)	Results
propagatesTransaction	managedTransaction.global	Target service runs in the client's transaction. This combination is used for the managed , shared global transaction pattern described in Common Transaction Patterns.
propagatesTransaction	managedTransaction.local or noManagedTransaction	A reference MUST NOT be marked with propagatesTransaction if component is marked with "ManagedTransaction.local" or with "noManagedTransaction" [POL90023]
suspendsTransaction	Any value of managedTransaction	The target service will not run under the same transaction as any client transaction. This combination is used for the managed , local transaction pattern described in Common Transaction Patterns.

1975

Table 9-35 Transaction propagation reference intents

1976

1977 Note - the absence of either interaction or implementation intents leads to runtime-specific behavior. A 1978 runtime that supports global transaction coordination can choose to provide a default behavior that is the

1979 managed, shared global transaction pattern.

1980 Table 9-4Table 9-7 shows the valid combination of interaction and implementation intents on the client 1981 and service that result in a single global transaction being used when a client invokes a service through a

1982 reference.

1983

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 55 of 74

	managedTransaction (client implementation intent)	reference interaction intent	service interaction intent	managedTransaction (service implementation intent)
	managedTransaction.global	propagatesTransaction	propagatesTransaction	managedTransaction.glob
1984	Table 9- <u>4</u> 7 Intents for end-to-end	transaction propagation		
1985				
1986 1987 1988	Transaction context MUST NOT be propagated on OneWay messages. <u>Transaction context MUST NOT</u> be propagated on OneWay messages. [POL90024] The SCA runtime ignores <i>propagatesTransaction</i> for OneWay operations.			
1989	9.6.3 Combining impl	ementation and inte	eraction intents	
1990 1991 1992 1993	The <i>managed, local transaction</i> pattern can be configured quite easily by combining the managedTransaction.global intent with the propagatesTransaction intent. This is illustrated in Error! Reference source not found. . In order to enable easier configuration of this pattern, a profile intent called managedSharedTransaction is defined as in section Error! Reference source not found			
1994	9.6.4 Web services bi	nding for propagate	sTransaction polic	y
1995 1996 1997 1998	Snippet 9-1 shows a policySet that provides the <i>propagatesTransaction</i> intent and applies to a Web service binding (binding.ws). When used on a service, this policySet would require the client to send a transaction context using the mechanisms described in the Web Services Atomic Transaction [WS-AtomicTransaction] specification.			
1999				
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	<pre></pre>		sTo="sca:binding.ws">	
2005	1 D.1	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J	

- 2004 2005 2006 </wsp:Policy> </policySet>
- 2007 Snippet 9-1: Example policySet Providing propagatesTransaction

2008 10 Miscellaneous Intents

2009 The following are standard intents that apply to bindings and are not related to either security, reliable 2010 messaging or transactionality:

2011 SOAP - The SOAP intent specifies that the SOAP messaging model is used for delivering messages. 2012 It does not require the use of any specific transport technology for delivering the messages, so for 2013 example, this intent can be supported by a binding that sends SOAP messages over HTTP, bare 2014 TCP or even JMS. If the intent is attached in an unqualified form then any version of SOAP is acceptable. Standard mutually exclusive qualified intents also exist for SOAP.1_1 and SOAP.1_2, 2015 which specify the use of versions 1.1 or 1.2 of SOAP respectively. When SOAP is present, an SCA 2016 Runtime MUST use the SOAP messaging model to deliver messages. When SOAP is present, an SCA Runtime MUST use the SOAP messaging model to deliver messages. [POL100001] When a SOAP intent is qualified with 1_1 or 1_2, then SOAP version 1.1 or SOAP version 1.2 respectively MUST be used to deliver messages. When a SOAP intent is qualified with 1_1 or 1_2, then SOAP version 1.1 or SOAP version 1.2 respectively MUST be used to deliver messages. When a SOAP intent is qualified with 1_1 or 1_2, then SOAP version 1.1 or SOAP version 1.2 respectively MUST be used to deliver messages. When a SOAP intent is qualified with 1_1 or 1_2, then SOAP 2017 2018 2019 2020 version 1.1 or SOAP version 1.2 respectively MUST be used to deliver messages. [POL100002] 2021

- JMS The JMS intent does not specify a wire-level transport protocol, but instead requires that
 whatever binding technology is used, the messages are able to be delivered and received via the
 JMS API. When JMS is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that the binding used to send and
 receive messages supports the JMS API. When JMS is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that
 the binding used to send and receive messages supports the JMS API. [POL100003]
- 2027 **noListener** – This intent can only be used within the @requires attribute of a reference. The ٠ 2028 noListener intent MUST only be declared on a @requires attribute of a reference. intent MUST only be declared on a @requires attribute of a reference. [POL100004] It states that the 2029 client is not able to handle new inbound connections. It requires that the binding and callback binding 2030 be configured so that any response (or callback) comes either through a back channel of the 2031 2032 connection from the client to the server or by having the client poll the server for messages. When noListener is present, an SCA Runtime MUST not establish any connection from a service to a 2033 2034 client.When *noLi* ervice to a client. [POL100005] An example policy assertion that would guarantee this is a WS-2035 2036 Policy assertion that applies to the
binding.ws> binding, which requires the use of WS-Addressing 2037 with anonymous responses (e.g. <wsaw:Anonymous>required</wsaw:Anonymous>" - see 2038 http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-addr-wsdl/#anonelement).

asynclnvocation – This intent can be attached to an operation or a complete interface, indicating
 that the operation(s) are long-running request-response operation(s) [SCA-Assembly]. It is also
 possible for a service to set the asynclnvocation intent when using an interface which is not marked
 with the asynclnvocation intent. This can be useful when reusing an existing interface definition that
 does not contain SCA information.

2044 The formal definitions of these intents are in the Intent Definitions appendix.

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 57 of 74 Formatted: Font: Italic

2045 **11 Conformance**

2046 The XML schema available at the namespace URI, defined by this specification, is considered to be 2047 authoritative and takes precedence over the XML Schema defined in the appendix of this document.

- 2048
 An SCA runtime MUST reject a composite file that does not conform to the sca-policy-1.1.xsd schema.

 2049
 SCA runtime MUST reject a composite file that does not conform to the sca-policy-1.1.xsd schema.
- 2050 [POL110001]

2051 An implementation that claims to conform to this specification MUST meet the following conditions:

- 2052 1. The implementation MUST conform to the SCA Assembly Model Specification [Assembly].
- The implementation does not have to support any intents listed in this specification, and MAY reject
 SCDL documents that contain them. If a specific intent is supported any relevant Conformance Items
 in Appendix C related to the intent and the SCA Runtime MUST be followed.
- With the exception of 2, the implementation MUST comply with all statements in Appendix
 C: Conformance Items related to an SCA Runtime, notably all MUST statements have to
 be implemented.

2059 A Schemas

2060 A.1 sca-policy.xsd

2061 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?> 2062 <!-- Copyright(C) OASIS(R) 2005,2009. All Rights Reserved. 2063 OASIS trademark, IPR and other policies apply. --> <schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903" 2064 2065 xmlns:sca="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903" xmlns:wsp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy" 2066 2067 2068 elementFormDefault="qualified"> 2069 2070 <include schemaLocation="sca-core-1.1-schema-200803.xsd"/> 2071 <import namespace="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy"</pre> schemaLocation="http://www.w3.org/2007/02/ws-policy.xsd"/> 2072 2073 <element name="intent" type="sca:Intent"/> 2074 2075 <complexType name="Intent"> 2076 <sequence> 2077 <element name="description" type="string" minOccurs="0"</pre> 2078 maxOccurs="1" /> 2079 <element name="qualifier" type="sca:IntentQualifier"</pre> minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded" />
<any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"</pre> 2080 2081 2082 minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/> 2083 </sequence> 2084 <attribute name="name" type="NCName" use="required"/> 2085 <attribute name="constrains" type="sca:listOfQNames" 2086 use="optional"/> 2087 <attribute name="requires" type="sca:listOfQNames" 2088 use="optional"/> 2089 <attribute name="excludes" type="sca:listOfQNames" 2090 use="optional"/> 2091 <attribute name="mutuallyExclusive" type="boolean" 2092 use="optional" default="false"/> <attribute name="intentType" 2093 2094 type="sca:InteractionOrImplementation" 2095 use="optional" default="interaction"/> 2096 <anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/> 2097 </complexType> 2098 <complexType name="IntentQualifier"> 2099 2100 <sequence> 2101 <element name="description" type="string" minOccurs="0"</pre> 2102 maxOccurs="1" /> 2103 </sequence> 2104 <attribute name="name" type="NCName" use="required"/> 2105 <attribute name="default" type="boolean" use="optional" 2106 default="false"/> 2107 </complexType> 2108 2109 <element name="requires"</pre> type="sca:ListOfONames"/> 2110 2111 <anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/> 2112 </element> 2113 2114 2115 <element name="policySet" type="sca:PolicySet"/>

```
2116
                     <complexType name="PolicySet">
2117
                               <choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
                                        <element name="policySetReference"
type="sca:PolicySetReference"/>
<element name="intentMap" type="sca:IntentMap"/>
<any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
                               </choice>
                               <attribute name="name" type="NCName" use="required"/>
<attribute name="provides" type="sca:listOfQNames"/>
<attribute name="appliesTo" type="string" use="optional"/>
<attribute name="attachTo" type="string" use="optional"/>
<anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
                     </complexType>
2129
                     2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
                     </complexType>
2136
                     <complexType name="PolicySetReference">
<attribute name="name" type="QName" use="required"/>
<anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
2137
2138
2139
2140
                     </complexType>
2141
                     <complexType name="IntentMap">
        <choice minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded">
        <element name="qualifier" type="sca:Qualifier"/>
        <any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
                               </choice>
                               <attribute name="provides" type="QName" use="required"/>
<anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
2147
2148
2149
                     </complexType>
2150
2151
                     <complexType name="Qualifier">
                               <sequence minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
2152
                                        <any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
2153
2154
                               <sequence/>
                               <attribute name="name" type="string" use="required"/>
<anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
2155
2156
2157
                     </complexType>
2158
2159
                     2160
2161
                     </simpleType>
2162
2163
                     <simpleType name="InteractionOrImplementation">
2164
                               <restriction base="string">
2165
                                         <enumeration value="interaction"/>
2166
                                          <enumeration value="implementation"/>
2167
                               </restriction>
2168
                     </simpleType>
2169
2170
                 </schema>
```

2171 Snippet A-1SCA Policy Schema

2172 **B XML Files**

2173 This appendix contains normative XML files that are defined by this specification.

2174 B.1 Intent Definitions

 2175
 Intent definitions are contained within a Definitions file called Policy_Intents_Definitions.xml, which

 2176
 contain a <definitions/> element as follows:

```
2177
            <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
2178
                 Copyright(C) OASIS(R) 2005,2009. All Rights Reserved.
            <!--
2179
                 OASIS trademark, IPR and other policies apply. -->
2180
            <sca:definitions xmlns:sca="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903"</pre>
2181
                xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
2182
                targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/opencsa/sca/200903">
2183
2184
               <!-- Security related intents -->
2185
                     <sca:intent name="serverAuthentication" constrains="sca:binding"</pre>
2186
                   intentType="interaction">
2187
                             <sca:description>
2188
                             Communication through the binding requires that the
2189
                             server is authenticated by the client
2190
                             </sca:description>
2191
                             <sca:qualifier name="transport" default="true"/>
2192
                             <sca:qualifier name="message"/>
2193
                    </sca:intent>
2194
2195
2196
                    <sca:intent name="clientAuthentication" constrains="sca:binding"</pre>
                   intentType="interaction">
2197
                             <sca:description>
2198
                             Communication through the binding requires that the
2199
                             client is authenticated by the server
2200
                             </sca:description>
2201
                             <sca:qualifier name="transport" default="true"/>
2202
                             <sca:qualifier name="message"/>
2203
                    </sca:intent>
2204
2205
                    <sca:intent name="authentication"
2206
                      requires="sca:clientAuthentication">
2207
                             <sca:description>
2208
                             A convenience intent to help migration
2209
                             </sca:description>
2210
                    </sca:intent>
2211
2212
                    <sca:intent name="mutualAuthentication"
2213
                             requires="sca:clientAuthentication sca:serverAuthentication">
2214
                             <sca:description>
2215
                             Communication through the binding requires that the
                             client and server to authenticate each other </sca:description>
2216
2217
2218
                    </sca:intent>
2219
2220
                    <sca:intent name="confidentiality" constrains="sca:binding"
                   intentType="interaction">
2221
2222
                             <sca:description>
2223
                             Communication through the binding prevents unauthorized
2224
                             users from reading the messages
2225
                             </sca:description>
                             <sca:qualifier name="transport" default="true"/>
<sca:qualifier name="message"/>
2226
2227
```

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 61 of 74

```
</sca:intent>
        <sca:intent name="integrity" constrains="sca:binding"
       intentType="interaction">
                 <sca:description>
                Communication through the binding prevents tampering
                 with the messages sent between the client and the service.
                 </sca:description>
                 <sca:qualifier name="transport" default="true"/>
                 <sca:qualifier name="message"/>
        </sca:intent>
        <sca:intent name="authorization" constrains="sca:implementation"</pre>
       intentType="implementation">
                 <sca:description>
                Ensures clients are authorized to use services.
                 </sca:description>
                 <sca:qualifier name="fineGrain" default="true"/>
        </sca:intent>
  <!-- Reliable messaging related intents -->  <sca:intent name="atLeastOnce" constrains="sca:binding"</pre>
      intentType="interaction">
                 <sca:description>
                This intent is used to indicate that a message sent
by a client is always delivered to the component.
                 </sca:description>
        </sca:intent>
        <sca:intent name="atMostOnce" constrains="sca:binding"
       intentType="interaction">
                 <sca:description>
                 This intent is used to indicate that a message that was
                 successfully sent by a client is not delivered more than
                once to the component.
                 </sca:description>
        </sca:intent>
        <sca:intent name="exactlyOnce" requires="sca:atLeastOnce"
sca:atMostOnce"
      constrains="sca:binding" intentType="interaction">
                 <sca:description>
                 This profile intent is used to indicate that a message sent
                 by a client is always delivered to the component. It also
                 indicates that duplicate messages are not delivered to the
                component.
             </sca:description>
        </sca:intent>
        <sca:intent name="ordered" appliesTo="sca:binding"
       intentType="interaction">
                 <sca:description>
                 This intent is used to indicate that all the messages are
                 delivered to the component in the order they were sent by
                 the client.
                 </sca:description>
        </sca:intent>
  <!-- Transaction related intents -->
        <sca:intent name="managedTransaction"</pre>
              excludes="sca:noManagedTransaction"
      mutuallyExclusive="true" constrains="sca:implementation"
```

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

```
intentType="implementation">
                    <sca:description>
             A managed transaction environment is necessary in order to
             run the component. The specific type of managed transaction
             needed is not constrained.
                    </sca:description>
                    <sca:qualifier name="global" default="true">
                            <sca:description>
                    For a component marked with managedTransaction.global
                    a global transaction needs to be present before dispatching
                    any method on the component - using any transaction
                    propagated from the client or else beginning and completing
                    a new transaction.
                            </sca:description>
                    </sca:qualifier>
                    <sca:qualifier name="local">
                            <sca:description>
                    A component marked with managedTransaction.local needs to run within a local transaction containment (LTC) that
                    is started and ended by the SCA runtime.
                            </sca:description>
                    </sca:qualifier>
            </sca:intent>
            <sca:intent name="noManagedTransaction"</pre>
          excludes="sca:managedTransaction"
          constrains="sca:implementation" intentType="implementation">
                    <sca:description>
             A component marked with noManagedTransaction needs to run without
             a managed transaction, under neither a global transaction nor
             an LTC. A transaction propagated to the hosting SCA runtime
             is not joined by the hosting runtime on behalf of a
             component marked with noManagedtransaction.
                    </sca:description>
            </sca:intent>
            <sca:intent name="transactedOneWay" excludes="sca:immediateOneWay"</pre>
          constrains="sca:binding" intentType="implementation">
                   <sca:description>
             For a reference marked as transactedOneWay any OneWay invocation
             messages are transacted as part of a client global
             transaction.
             For a service marked as transactedOneWay any OneWay invocation
             message are received from the transport binding in a
             transacted fashion, under the service's global transaction.
                    </sca:description>
            </sca:intent>
            <sca:intent name="immediateOneWay" excludes="sca:transactedOneWay"</pre>
          constrains="sca:binding" intentType="implementation">
                    <sca:description>
             For a reference indicates that any OneWay invocation messages
             are sent immediately regardless of any client transaction.
             For a service indicates that any OneWay invocation is
             received immediately regardless of any target service
             transaction.
                    </sca:description>
            </sca:intent>
            <sca:intent name="propagatesTransaction"
           excludes="sca:suspendsTransaction"
          constrains="sca:binding" intentType="interaction">
                    <sca:description>
sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4
```

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 63 of 74

2291

2292

2293

A service marked with propagatesTransaction is dispatched under any propagated (client) transaction and the service binding needs to be capable of receiving a transaction context. A reference marked with propagatesTransaction propagates any transaction context under which the client runs when the reference is used for a request-response interaction and the binding of a reference marked with propagatesTransaction needs to be capable of propagating a transaction context. </sca:description> </sca:intent> <sca:intent name="suspendsTransaction"</pre> constrains="sca:binding" intentType="interaction" <sca:description> A service marked with suspendsTransaction is not dispatched under any propagated (client) transaction. A reference marked with suspendsTransaction does not propagate any transaction context under which the client runs when the reference is used. </sca:description> </sca:intent> <sca:intent name="managedSharedTransaction"</pre> requires="sca:managedTransaction.global sca:propagatesTransaction"> <sca:description> Used to indicate that the component requires both the managedTransaction.global and the propagatesTransactions intents </sca:description> </sca:intent> <!-- Miscellaneous intents --> <sca:intent name="asyncInvocation" constrains="sca:binding"</pre> intentType="interaction"> <sca:description> Indicates that request/response operations for the interface of this wire are "long running" and must be treated as two separate message transmissions </sca:description> </sca:intent> <sca:intent name="SOAP" constrains="sca:binding"</pre> intentType="interaction" mutuallyExclusive="true"> <sca:description> Specifies that the SOAP messaging model is used for delivering messages. </sca:description> <sca:qualifier name="1 1" default="true"/> <sca:qualifier name= "1 2"/> </sca:intent> <sca:intent name="JMS" constrains="sca:binding" intentType="interaction"> <sca:description> Requires that the messages are delivered and received via the JMS API. </sca:description> </sca:intent> <sca:intent name="noListener" constrains="sca:binding"</pre> intentType="interaction">

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 64 of 74

2417	<sca:description></sca:description>
2418	This intent can only be used on a reference. Indicates that the
2419	client is not able to handle new inbound connections. The binding
2420	and callback binding are configured so that any
2421	response or callback comes either through a back channel of the
2422	connection from the client to the server or by having the client
2423	poll the server for messages.
2424	1
2425	
2426	
2427	

2428 Snippet B-1: SCA intent Definitions

2429 C Conformance

2430 C.1 Conformance Targets

- 2431 The conformance items listed in the section below apply to the following conformance targets:
- Document artifacts (or constructs within them) that can be checked statically.
- SCA runtimes, which we may require to exhibit certain behaviors.

2434 C.2 Conformance Items

2435 This section contains a list of conformance items for the SCA Policy Framework specification.

2436

Conformance ID
 Description

 IPOL 300011[PQL 30001]

 If the configured instance of a binding is in conflict with the intents

	and policy sets selected for that instance, the SCA runtime MUST raise an error.	
[POL30002][POL30002]	The QName for an intent MUST be unique amongst the set of intents in the SCA Domain.	
[POL30004][POL30004]	If an intent has more than one qualifier, one and only one MUST be declared as the default qualifier.	
[POL30005][POL30005]	The name of each qualifier MUST be unique within the intent definition.	
[POL30006][POL30006]	the name of a profile intent MUST NOT have a "." in it.	
[POL30007] [POL30007]	If a profile intent is attached to an artifact, all the intents listed in its @requires attribute MUST be satisfied as described in section 4.12.	
[POL30008][POL30008]	When a policySet element contains a set of intentMap children, the value of the @provides attribute of each intentMap MUST correspond to an unqualified intent that is listed within the @provides attribute value of the parent policySet element.	Formatted: Font color: Black
<u>[POL30010][POL30010]</u>	For each qualifiable intent listed as a member of the @provides attribute list of a policySet element, there MUST be no more than one corresponding intentMap element that declares the unqualified form of that intent in its @provides attribute. In other words, each intentMap within a given policySet uniquely provides for a specific intent.	Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL30011][POL30011]	Following the inclusion of all policySet references, when a policySet element directly contains wsp:policyAttachment children or policies using extension elements, the set of policies specified as children MUST satisfy all the intents expressed using the @provides attribute value of the policySet element.	
<u>[POL30013][POL30013]</u>	The set of intents in the @provides attribute of a referenced policySet MUST be a subset of the set of intents in the @provides attribute of the referencing policySet.	Formatted: Font color: Black

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 66 of 74

[POL30015][POL30015]	Each QName in the @requires attribute MUST be the QName of an intent in the SCA Domain.	
[POL30016][POL30016]	Each QName in the @excludes attribute MUST be the QName of an intent in the SCA Domain.	
[POL30017][POL30017]	The QName for a policySet MUST be unique amongst the set of policySets in the SCA Domain.	
[POL30018][POL30018]	The contents of @appliesTo MUST match the XPath 1.0 [XPATH] production Expr.	
[POL30019][POL30019]	The contents of @attachTo MUST match the XPath 1.0 production Expr.	
[POL30020][POL30020]	If a policySet specifies a qualifiable intent in the @provides attribute, then it MUST include an intentMap element that specifies all possible qualifiers for that intent.	Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL30021][POL30021]	The @provides attribute value of each intentMap that is an immediate child of a policySet MUST be included in the @provides attribute of the parent policySet.	Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL30024][POL30024]	An SCA Runtime MUST include in the Domain the set of intent definitions contained in the Policy_Intents_Definitions.xml described in the appendix "Intent Definitions" of the SCA Policy specification.	Formatted: Font color: Auto
[POL30025][POL30025]	If only one qualifier for an intent is given it MUST be used as the default qualifier for the intent.	
[POL40001][POL40001]	SCA implementations supporting both Direct Attachment and Extrenal Attachment mechanisms MUST ignore policy sets applicable to any given SCA element via the Direct Attachment mechanism when there exist policy sets applicable to the same SCA element via the External Attachment mechanism	
[POL40002][POL40002]	The SCA runtime MUST raise an error if the @attachTo XPath expression resolves to an SCA <property> element, or any of its children.</property>	
<u>[POL40004] [POL40004]</u>	A qualifiable intent expressed lower in the hierarchy can be qualified further up the hierarchy, in which case the qualified version of the intent MUST apply to the higher level element.	Formatted: Font color: Black
<u>[POL40005][POL40005]</u>	Rule2: The intents declared on elements higher in the structural hierarchy of a given element MUST be applied to the element EXCEPT	Formatted: Font color: Black
	 if any of the inherited intents is mutually exclusive with an intent applied on the element, then the inherited intent MUST be ignored 	
	• if the overall set of intents from the element itself and from its structural hierarchy contains both an unqualified version and a qualified version of the same intent, the qualified version of the intent MUST be used.	
[POL40006][POL40006]	If a component has any policySets attached to it (by any means), then any policySets attached to the componentType MUST be	Formatted: Font color: Black

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 67 of 74

	ignored.		
<u>[POL40007][POL40007]</u>	Matching service/reference policies across the SCA Domain boundary MUST use WS-Policy compatibility (strict WS-Policy intersection) if the policies are expressed in WS-Policy syntax.	/	Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL40009][POL40009]	Any two intents applied to a given element MUST NOT be mutually exclusive		Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL40010][POL40010]	SCA runtimes MUST support at least one of the Direct Attachment and External Attachment mechanisms for policySet attachment.		
[POL40011][POL40011]	SCA implementations supporting only the External Attachment mechanism MUST ignore the policy sets that are applicable via the Direct Attachment mechanism.		
[POL40012][POL40012]	SCA implementations supporting only the Direct Attachment mechanism MUST ignore the policy sets that are applicable via the External Attachment mechanism.		
[POL40013][POL40013]	During the deployment of SCA composites, all policySets within the Domain with an attachTo attribute MUST be evaluated to determine which policySets are attached to the newly deployed composite.		
<u> [POL40014][POL40014]</u>	The intents declared on elements lower in the implementation hierarchy of a given element MUST be applied to the element.	/	Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL40015][POL40015]	when combining implementation hierarchy and structural hierarchy policy data, Rule 1 MUST be applied BEFORE Rule 2.	<	Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: English (U.K.)
<u>[POL40016][POL40016]</u>	When calculating the set of intents and set of policySets which apply to either a service element or to a reference element of a component, intents and policySets from the interface definition and from the interface declaration(s) MUST be applied to the service or reference element and to the binding element(s) belonging to that element.		Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL40017][POL40017]	If the required intent set contains a mutually exclusive pair of intents the SCA runtime MUST reject the document containing the element and raise an error.		
[POL40018] [POL40018]	All intents in the required intent set for an element MUST be provided by the directly provided intents set and the set of policySets that apply to the element.		Formatted: Font color: Black Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian
<u>[POL40019][POL40019]</u>	The locations where interfaces are defined and where interfaces are declared in the componentType and in a component MUST be treated as part of the implementation hierarchy as defined in Section 4.5 Usage of @requires attribute for specifying intents Attaching intents to SCA Elements		Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL40020][POL40020]	The QName of the bindingType MUST be unique amongst the set of bindingTypes in the SCA Domain.	\langle	Formatted: Font color: Black Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Font color:
[POL40021][POL40021]	A binding implementation MUST implement all the intents listed in	\backslash	Formatted: Font color: Black
[POL40022] [POL40022] -	the @alwaysProvides and @mayProvides attributes. The SCA runtime MUST determine the compatibility of the		Formatted: Font color: Black
	policySets at each end of a wire using the compatibility rules of		Formatted: Font color: Black
sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Right	09-03-2009 nts Reserved. Page 68 of 74		

	the policy language used for those policySets.	Formatted: Font color: Black
<u>[POL40023] [POL40023]</u>	The policySets at each end of a wire MUST be incompatible if they use different policy languages.	
[POL40024][POL40024]	Where the policy language in use for a wire is WS-Policy, strict	Formatted: Font color: Black
	WS-Policy intersection MUST be used to determine policy compatibility.	
		Formattad, Font color: Plack
[POL40025][POL40025]	In order for a reference to connect to a particular service, the policies of the reference MUST intersect with the policies of the	Formatted: Font color: Black
	service.	
[POL40026]	During the deployment of an SCA policySet, the behavior of an	
[POL40026]	SCA runtime MUST take ONE of the following forms:	
	The policySet is immediately attached to all deployed	
	composites which satisfy the @attachTo attribute of the policySet.	
	 The policySet is attached to a deployed composite which 	
	satisfies the @attachTo attribute of the policySet when the	
	composite is re-deployed.	
[POL40027][POL40027]		
	Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference. Any intents	
	attached to an interface definition artifact, such as a WSDL	
	portType, MUST be added to the intents defined in the @requires- list of the service or reference to which the interface definition	
	applies. If the @requires list of the service or reference is empty-	
	then the intents attached to the interface definition artifact	
	become the only contents of the relevant @requires list.	
[POL50001][POL50001]	The implementationType name attribute MUST be the QName of an XSD global element definition used for implementation	Formatted: Font color: Black
	elements of that type.	
[POL70001][POL70001]	When authorization is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure	
	that the client is authorized to use the service.	
[POL70009][POL70009]	When confidentiality is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure	Formatted: Font color: Auto
	that only authorized entities can view the contents of a message.	
[POL70010][POL70010]	When integrity is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that the	
	contents of a message are not altered.	Council Contrology Direly
[POL70011][POL70011]	When a serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality or integrity intent is qualified by transport, an SCA Runtime MUST	Formatted: Font color: Black
	delegate serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality	
	and integrity, respectively, to the transport layer of the	
	communication protocol.	
[POL70012][POL70012]	When a serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality or integrity intent is qualified by message, an SCA Runtime MUST	Formatted: Font color: Black
	delegate serverAuthentication, clientAuthentication, confidentiality	
	and integrity, respectively, to the message layer of the	

Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 69 of 74

	[POL70013][POL70013]	When <i>serverAuthentication</i> is present, an SCA runtime MUST ensure that the server is authenticated by the client.	
	[POL70014][POL70014]	When <i>clientAuthentication</i> is present, an SCA runtime MUST ensure that the client is authenticated by the server.	
	[POL80001][POL80001]	When atLeastOnce is present, an SCA Runtime MUST deliver a	Formatted: Font color: Black
l i		message to the destination service implementation, and MAY deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation.	
	[POL80002][POL80002]	When <i>atMostOnce</i> is present, an SCA Runtime MAY deliver a message to the destination service implementation, and MUST NOT deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation.	
	[POL80003][POL80003]	When <i>ordered</i> is present, an SCA Runtime MUST deliver messages sent by a single source to a single destination service implementation in the order that the messages were sent by that source.	
	[POL80004][POL80004]	When <i>exactlyOnce</i> is present, an SCA Runtime MUST deliver a message to the destination service implementation and MUST NOT deliver duplicates of a message to the service implementation.	
	[POL90003] [POL90003]-	For a component marked with managedTransaction.global, the SCA runtime MUST ensure that a global transaction is present before dispatching any method on the component.	
	[POL90004][POL90004]	A component marked with managedTransaction.local MUST run within a local transaction containment (LTC) that is started and ended by the SCA runtime.	
	[POL90006][POL90006]	Local transactions MUST NOT be propagated outbound across remotable interfaces.	
	[POL90007] [POL90007]-	A transaction that is propagated to the hosting SCA runtime MUST NOT be joined by the hosting runtime on behalf of a component marked with noManagedtransaction.	
	[POL90008][POL90008]	When a reference is marked as transactedOneWay, any OneWay invocation messages MUST be transacted as part of a client global transaction.	
	[POL90009][POL90009]	If the client component is not configured to run under a global transaction or if the binding does not support transactional message sending, then a reference MUST NOT be marked as transactedOneWay.	
	[POL90010][POL90010]	If a service is marked as transactedOneWay, any OneWay invocation message MUST be received from the transport binding in a transacted fashion, under the target service's global transaction.	
	[POL90011] [POL90011]	If the component is not configured to run under a global transaction or if the binding does not support transactional message receipt, then a service MUST NOT be marked as transactedOneWay.	
	[POL90012][POL90012]	When applied to a reference indicates that any OneWay	
	sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reso	orved. 09-03-2009 Page 70 of 74	

	invocation messages MUST be sent immediately regardless of any client transaction.	
[POL90013][POL90013]	When applied to a service indicates that any OneWay invocation MUST be received immediately regardless of any target service transaction.	
[POL90015][POL90015]	A service marked with propagatesTransaction MUST be dispatched under any propagated (client) transaction.	
[POL90016][POL90016]	Use of the <i>propagatesTransaction</i> intent on a service implies that the service binding MUST be capable of receiving a transaction context.	
[POL90017][POL90017]	A service marked with suspendsTransaction MUST NOT be dispatched under any propagated (client) transaction.	
	A service MUST NOT be marked with "propagatesTransaction" if	Formatted: Body Text
[POL90019] [POL90019]	the component is marked with "managedTransaction.local" or with "noManagedTransaction"	Formatted: Font: Arial
[POL90020][POL90020]	When a reference is marked with propagatesTransaction, any transaction context under which the client runs MUST be propagated when the reference is used for a request-response interaction	
[POL90022][POL90022]	When a reference is marked with suspendsTransaction, any transaction context under which the client runs MUST NOT be propagated when the reference is used.	
[POL90023][POL90023]	A reference MUST NOT be marked with propagatesTransaction if component is marked with "ManagedTransaction.local" or with "noManagedTransaction"	
[POL90024][POL90024]	Transaction context MUST NOT be propagated on OneWay messages.	
[POL90025][POL90025]	The SCA runtime MUST ignore the propagatesTransaction intent for OneWay methods.	
[POL90027][POL90027]	If a transactedOneWay intent is combined with the managedTransaction.local or noManagedTransaction implementation intents for either a reference or a service then an error MUST be raised during deployment.	
[POL100001][POL100001]	When SOAP is present, an SCA Runtime MUST use the SOAP messaging model to deliver messages.	
[POL100002][POL100002]	When a SOAP intent is qualified with 1_1 or 1_2, then SOAP version 1.1 or SOAP version 1.2 respectively MUST be used to deliver messages.	
[POL100003][POL100003]	When JMS is present, an SCA Runtime MUST ensure that the binding used to send and receive messages supports the JMS API.	
[POL100004][POL100004]	The <i>noListener</i> intent MUST only be declared on a @requires attribute of a reference.	
[POL100005][POL100005]	When <i>noListener</i> is present, an SCA Runtime MUST not establish any connection from a service to a client.	
[POL110001][POL110001]	An SCA runtime MUST reject a composite file that does not conform to the sca-policy-1.1.xsd schema.	

2437 Table C-1: SCA Policy Normative Statements

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 71 of 74

2438 **D** Acknowledgements

2439

2440

The following individuals have part gratefully acknowledged:	icipated in the creation of this specification and are
Participant Name	Affiliation
Jeff Anderson	Deloitte Consulting LLP
Ron Barack	SAP AG*
Michael Beisiegel	IBM
Vladislav Bezrukov	SAP AG*
Henning Blohm	SAP AG*
David Booz	IBM
Fred Carter	AmberPoint
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation
Mike Edwards	IBM
Raymond Feng	IBM
Billy Feng	Primeton Technologies, Inc.
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.
Simon Holdsworth	IBM
Michael Kanaley	TIBCO Software Inc.
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation
Nickolaos Kavantzas	Oracle Corporation
Rainer Kerth	SAP AG*
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.
Meeraj Kunnumpurath	Individual
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation
Mark Little	Red Hat
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation
Jim Marino	Individual
Jeff Mischkinsky	Oracle Corporation
Dale Moberg	Axway Software*
Simon Nash	Individual
Bob Natale	Mitre Corporation*
Eisaku Nishiyama	Hitachi, Ltd.
Sanjay Patil	SAP AG*
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*
Martin Raepple	SAP AG*
Fabian Ritzmann	Sun Microsystems
Ian Robinson	IBM
Scott Vorthmann	TIBCO Software Inc.
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.
Prasad Yendluri	Software AG, Inc.*
Alexander Zubev	SAP AG*

2441

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved. 09-03-2009 Page 72 of 74

E Revision History 2442

2443 2444

.3	[optional; should not be included in OASIS Standards]

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
2	Nov 2, 2007	David Booz	Inclusion of OSOA errata and Issue 8
3	Nov 5, 2007	David Booz	Applied resolution of Issue 7, to Section 4.1 and 4.10. Fixed misc. typos/grammatical items.
4	Mar 10, 2008	David Booz	Inclusion of OSOA Transaction specification as Chapter 11. There are no textual changes other than formatting.
5	Apr 28 2008	Ashok Malhotra	Added resolutions to issues 17, 18, 24, 29, 37, 39 and 40,
6	July 7 2008	Mike Edwards	Added resolution for Issue 38
7	Aug 15 2008	David Booz	Applied Issue 26, 27
8	Sept 8 2008	Mike Edwards	Applied resolution for Issue 15
9 10	Oct 17 2008 Nov 26	David Booz David Booz	Various formatting changes Applied 22 – Deleted text in Ch 9 Applied 42 – In section 3.3 Applied 46 – Many sections Applied 52,55 – Many sections Applied 53 – In section 3.3 Applied 56 – In section 3.1 Applied 58 – Many sections Applied 58 – Many sections Applied 54 – many sections Applied 59 – section 4.2, 4.4.2 Applied 60 – section 8.1 Applied 61 – section 4.10, 4.12 Applied 63 – section 9
11	Dec 10	Mike Edwards	Applied 44 - section 3.1, 3.2 (new), 5.0, A.1 Renamed file to sca-policy-1.1-spec-CD01- Rev11
12	Dec 25	Ashok Malhotra	Added RFC 2119 keywords Renamed file to sca-policy-1.1-spec-CD01- Rev12
13	Feb 06 2009	Mike Edwards, Eric	All changes accepted

sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev4 Copyright © OASIS® 2005-2009. All Rights Reserved.

09-03-2009 Page 73 of 74

Wells, Dave BoozRevision of the RFC 2119 keywords and the set of normative statements - done in drafts a through g14Feb 10 2009Mike EdwardsAll changes accepted, comments removed.15Feb 10 2009Mike EdwardsIssue 64 - Sections A1, B, 10, 9, 816Feb 12, 2009Ashok MalhotraIssue 5 The single sca namespace is listed on the title page.18SeverAuthentication and serverAuthenticationIssue 32 ClientAuthentication and serverAuthentication17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssue 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev3Aug 12, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102CD03-rev4Sept 3, 2009Dave BoozEditorial cleanup to match OASIS templates				
14Feb 10 2009Mike EdwardsAll changes accepted, comments removed.15Feb 10 2009Mike EdwardsIssue 64 - Sections A1, B, 10, 9, 816Feb 12, 2009Ashok MalhotraIssue 5 The single sca namespace is listed on the title page. Issue 32 clientAuthentication Issue 35 Conformance targets added to Appendix C Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent Issue 67 Remove 'conversational'17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssues 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev2July 21, 2009Dave BoozApplied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99CD02-rev3Aug 12, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102			Wells, Dave Booz	,
15Feb 10 2009Mike EdwardsIssue 64 - Sections A1, B, 10, 9, 816Feb 12, 2009Ashok MalhotraIssue 5 The single sca namespace is listed on the title page. Issue 32 clientAuthentication and serverAuthentication Issue 35 Conformance targets added to Appendix C Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent Issue 67 Remove 'conversational'17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssues 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev2July 21, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102				- done in drafts a through g
16Feb 12, 2009Ashok MalhotraIssue 5 The single sca namespace is listed on the title page. Issue 32 clientAuthentication and serverAuthentication Issue 35 Conformance targets added to Appendix C Issue 48 Transaction defaults are not optional Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent Issue 67 Remove 'conversational'17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssues 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev2July 21, 2009Dave BoozApplied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99CD02-rev3Aug 12, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102	14	Feb 10 2009	Mike Edwards	All changes accepted, comments removed.
 the title page. Issue 32 clientAuthentication and serverAuthentication Issue 35 Conformance targets added to Appendix C Issue 48 Transaction defaults are not optional Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent Issue 67 Remove 'conversational' Feb 16, 2009 Dave Booz Issues 57, 69, 70, 71 CD02 Feb 21, 2009 Dave Booz Editorial changes to make a CD CD02-rev1 April 7, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 72, 74,75,77 CD02-rev2 July 21, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99 CD02-rev3 Aug 12, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102 	15	Feb 10 2009	Mike Edwards	Issue 64 - Sections A1, B, 10, 9, 8
serverAuthenticationIssue 35 Conformance targets added to Appendix CIssue 48 Transaction defaults are not optional Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent Issue 67 Remove 'conversational'17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssues 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev2July 21, 2009Dave BoozApplied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99CD02-rev3Aug 12, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102	16	Feb 12, 2009	Ashok Malhotra	5 1
Appendix CIssue 48 Transaction defaults are not optional Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent Issue 67 Remove 'conversational'17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssues 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev2July 21, 2009Dave BoozApplied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99CD02-rev3Aug 12, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102				
Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent Issue 67 Remove 'conversational'17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssues 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev2July 21, 2009Dave BoozApplied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99CD02-rev3Aug 12, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102				0
Image: Non-StructureImage: Non-StructureImage: Non-StructureImage: Non-Structure17Feb 16, 2009Dave BoozIssues 57, 69, 70, 71CD02Feb 21, 2009Dave BoozEditorial changes to make a CDCD02-rev1April 7, 2009Dave BoozApplied 72, 74,75,77CD02-rev2July 21, 2009Dave BoozApplied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99CD02-rev3Aug 12, 2009Dave BoozApplied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102				Issue 48 Transaction defaults are not optional
17 Feb 16, 2009 Dave Booz Issues 57, 69, 70, 71 CD02 Feb 21, 2009 Dave Booz Editorial changes to make a CD CD02-rev1 April 7, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 72, 74,75,77 CD02-rev2 July 21, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99 CD02-rev3 Aug 12, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102				Issue 66 Tighten schema for intent
CD02 Feb 21, 2009 Dave Booz Editorial changes to make a CD CD02-rev1 April 7, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 72, 74,75,77 CD02-rev2 July 21, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99 CD02-rev3 Aug 12, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102				Issue 67 Remove 'conversational'
CD02-rev1 April 7, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 72, 74,75,77 CD02-rev2 July 21, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99 CD02-rev3 Aug 12, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102	17	Feb 16, 2009	Dave Booz	Issues 57, 69, 70, 71
CD02-rev2 July 21, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99 CD02-rev3 Aug 12, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102	CD02	Feb 21, 2009	Dave Booz	Editorial changes to make a CD
CD02-rev3 Aug 12, 2009 Dave Booz Applied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102	CD02-rev1	April 7, 2009	Dave Booz	Applied 72, 74,75,77
	CD02-rev2	July 21, 2009	Dave Booz	Applied 81,84,85,86,95,96,98,99
CD03-rev4 Sept 3, 2009 Dave Booz Editorial cleanup to match OASIS templates	CD02-rev3	Aug 12, 2009	Dave Booz	Applied 73,76,78,80,82,83,88,102
	CD03-rev4	Sept 3, 2009	Dave Booz	Editorial cleanup to match OASIS templates

2445 2446