

**FINAL****SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****14 December 2009****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

**Scribe**

Mike Edwards

**Attendees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Status</b>
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Jeff Mischkinsky	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Gilbert Pilz	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Fabian Ritzmann	Sun Microsystems	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">Resolutions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Actions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Agenda.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 6) PRD Status.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 7) Action Items.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 8) New Issues.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE 112: Where/how are intents defined? .....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE 113: Policy Spec contains an invalid example of a Second level Intent</a>	
<a href="#">Qualifier.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">(Item 10) Open Issues.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">ISSUE 110: Should intents be ignorable? .....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">AOB.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>

## **Resolutions**

**Minutes of Policy TC meeting of December 7th are accepted**

**Issue 112 is opened**

**Issue 112 is resolved**

**Issue 113 is opened**

**Issue 113 is resolved**

## **Actions**

Action 20091214-01: Editors - correct typo in the caption title for snippet 4-21 "woth" instead of "with"

## **Agenda**

1. Roll call
2. Confirm minute taker
3. Agenda bashing
4. Meeting Minutes  
Vote to accept minutes from Dec 7 2009  
- <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35481/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-12-07.pdf>
5. TC Administrivia:
  - a. Recording issue status - 3 Open
6. PRD status update

a. 9 issues from PR 01 comment list that still need responses (Dave)

7. ACTION ITEMS:

a. 20091019-03: owner=AshokM status=pending Write detailed proposal for POLICY-92

b. 20091207-01: owner=Mike status=done Raise issue against the wording implying that all intents are supplied via a single definitions.xml file, which

contradicts the Assembly spec.

c. 20091214-01: owner=Dave status=pending Upgrade candidate CD03 to the new Assembly namespace

8. New Issues

a. ISSUE 112: Where/how are intents defined?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-112>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

9. Blocking Issue Discussion

None

10. Additional Issue Discussion

a. ISSUE 93: Allow external attachment for intents

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-93>

proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200911/msg00002.html>

latest: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00006.html>

b. ISSUE 110: Should intents be ignorable?

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-110>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

c. ISSUE 111: Asynch methods and Transactions

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-111>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

11. Testing

a. Test Status:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200911/msg00047.html>

- Test Assertion Document:

DOC: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35139/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-05.doc>

PDF: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35138/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-05.pdf>

- Test Case Documents

ODT: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35141/sca-policy-1.1-testcases-wd02.odt>

PDF: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35140/sca-policy-1.1-testcases-wd02.pdf>

- Testcase code:

<http://tools.oasis-open.org/version-control/svn/sca-policy/TestCases/>

12. AOB

a. straggler roll

### ***(Item 3) Agenda Bashing***

- Need to add Issue 113 to the New Issues

### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Minutes from 7<sup>th</sup> December 2009

Minutes approved without change

**Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of December 7th  
are accepted**

### ***(Item 5) TC Administrivia***

3 open issues

### ***(Item 6) PRD Status***

Still some issues that need responses - waiting for resolution of 93

### ***(Item 7) Action Items***

- a. 20091019-03: owner=AshokM status=pending Write detailed proposal for POLICY-92
- b. 20091207-01: owner=Mike status=done Raise issue against the wording implying that all intents are supplied via a single definitions.xml file, which contradicts the Assembly spec.
- c. 20091214-01: owner=Dave status=pending Upgrade candidate CD03 to the new Assembly namespace

Note: it is likely that item a) is the wrong action item (should be Issue 93?) Chairs to check this out.

### ***(Item 8) New Issues***

#### **ISSUE 112: Where/how are intents defined?**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-112>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

Ashok describes the proposal and the discussion on the list

Mike - I'm not sure I understand the need to put these "system defined" items into some definitions.xml file - the SCA Runtime can simply declare artifacts to be present in the Domain - how they got there is not important

Ashok - perhaps I'm being overly careful here, it's just that we want the capability for the runtime to add artifacts

Ashok - there is also the question about having multiple definitions.xml files

Dave - that is part of the proposal for Issue 110 that is there - basically it points to Assembly, for Assembly is clear that there are multiple definition.xml files

Ashok moves to open Issue 112

Mike seconds

Motion passes unanimously

**Resolution: Issue 112 is opened**

Dave Booz: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00023.html>

Ashok: An intent/policySet can be contributed to a SCA domain by making its definitions available from a definitions.xml file with a contribution in the domain.

Dave's proposal document: sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev6-Issues110-111-112v1.doc  
(line 270)

It's in this email:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

Mike moves to resolve Issue 112 with the words tagged with "Issue 112" contained in the document sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev6-Issues110-111-112v1.doc from the email

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

Ashok seconds

Motion carried unanimously

**Resolution: Issue 112 is resolved**

### **ISSUE 113: Policy Spec contains an invalid example of a Second level Intent Qualifier**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-113>

Mike discusses the issue - it's an inconsistency

Correction to the issue description: line numbers are 1044 - 1055

Mike moves to open Issue 113 with the corrected line numbers (1044 - 1055)

Ashok seconds

Motion passes unanimously

**Resolution: Issue 113 is opened**

Mike moves to resolve Issue 113 by removing lines 1044 - 1055 from CD02 Rev 6

Ashok seconds

Motion pass unanimously

**Resolution: Issue 113 is resolved**

**Action 20091214-01: Editors - correct typo in the caption title for snippet 4-21 "woth" instead of "with"**

***(Item 10) Open Issues***

**ISSUE 110: Should intents be ignorable?**

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-110>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

Ashok discusses the issue, relating to the requirement to satisfy intents (end of section 4, just before section5)

<Discussion of the appropriateness of the proposed wording>

Leave resolution until next meeting

**AOB**

Next meeting 4 January  
Close of Business