

FINAL**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference****11 January 2010****Chairs**

Dave Booz, Ashok Malhotra

Scribe

Mike Edwards

Attendees

Name	Company	Status
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Martin Chapman	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Ashok Malhotra	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Gilbert Pilz	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Fabian Ritzmann	Sun Microsystems	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Pundalik Kudapkar	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

Table of Contents

Resolutions.....	2
Actions.....	2
Agenda.....	2
(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....	4
(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....	4
(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....	4
(Item 6) PRD Status.....	4
(Item 7) Action Items.....	4
(Item 8) New Issues.....	4
(Item 10) Open Issues.....	4
ISSUE 111: Asynch methods and Transactions	4
ISSUE 79: Do intents need to be supported if only External Attachment supported. .	5
ISSUE 93: Allow external attachment for intents	6
AOB.....	6

Resolutions

Minutes of Policy TC meeting of January 4th are accepted

Issue 111 is resolved

Issue 79 is resolved

Issue 110 is resolved

Actions

None

Agenda

1. Roll call
2. Confirm minute taker
3. Agenda bashing
4. Meeting Minutes
Vote to accept minutes from Jan 4 2009
- <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35769/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202010-01-04.pdf>
5. TC Administrivia:
 - a. Recording issue status - 4 Open
6. PRD status update
 - a. 9 issues from PR 01 comment list that still need responses (Dave)
7. ACTION ITEMS:

- a. 20091019-02: owner=AshokM status=done Write detailed proposal for POLICY-93
- b. 20091214-01: owner=editors status=pending correct typo in the caption title for snippet 4-21 "woth" instead of "with"
- c. 20091214-02: owner=Dave status=pending Upgrade candidate CD03 to the new Assembly namespace
- d. 20100104-01: owner=editors status=pending Fix the name of the file in Appendix B1 to match the actual name of the file.
- e. 20100104-02: owner=Ashok status pending to prepare a revised proposal for Issue 79

8. New Issues

None

9. Blocking Issue Discussion

None

10. Additional Issue Discussion

- a. ISSUE 93: Allow external attachment for intents
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-93>
proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/201001/msg00007.html>

- b. ISSUE 110: Should intents be ignorable?
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-110>
Discussion:
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/201001/msg00000.html>

- c. ISSUE 111: Asynch methods and Transactions
<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-111>
Discussion:
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00036.html>

11. Testing

- a. Test Status:
<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200911/msg00047.html>

- Test Assertion Document:

DOC: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35139/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-05.doc>

PDF: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35138/SCA-Policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-WD-05.pdf>

- Test Case Documents

ODT: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35141/sca-policy-1.1-testcases-wd02.odt>

PDF: <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35140/sca-policy-1.1-testcases-wd02.pdf>

- Testcase code:

<http://tools.oasis-open.org/version-control/svn/sca-policy/TestCases/>

12. AOB

- a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing

No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC

Minutes from 4th January 2010

Minutes approved without change

**Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of January 4th
are accepted**

(Item 5) TC Administrivia

4 open issues

(Item 6) PRD Status

9 issues from PR 01 comment list that still need responses (Dave)

(Item 7) Action Items

- a. 20091019-02: owner=AshokM status=done Write detailed proposal for POLICY-93
- b. 20091214-01: owner=editors status=pending correct typo in the caption title for snippet 4-21 "woth" instead of "with"
- c. 20091214-02: owner=Dave status=pending Upgrade candidate CD03 to the new Assembly namespace
- d. 20100104-01: owner=editors status=pending Fix the name of the file in Appendix B1 to match the actual name of the file.
- e. 20100104-02: owner=Ashok status=pending to prepare a revised proposal for Issue 79

(Item 8) New Issues

None

(Item 10) Open Issues

ISSUE 111: Asynch methods and Transactions

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-111>

Discussion:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00013.html>

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00036.html>

1st email contains the proposal document: sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev6-Issues110-111-112v1.doc

Dave reviews the proposal document:

Section 9.5.2 - description of transactedOneWay intent

- description of immediateOneWay intent

Added 9.5.3 to describe Asynchronous invocations

Ashok - I have some difficulty with 9.5.3

Dave: We recommend not propagating a transaction over one of these asyncInvocation operations

but it is OK for a component to use ACID transactions itself

Section 9.6 - small changes in 9.6.3, new section 9.6.4

9.6.4 is really the heart of the proposal - no propagatesTransaction with asyncInvocation

Section 10.0 - definition of asyncInvocation intent

Ashok: Mike had recommended making asyncInvocation mutually exclusive with propagatesTransaction

Dave: Yes we should update the intent definitions for this.

Dave moves to resolve Issue 111 with the changes in the document sca-policy-1.1-spec-cd02-rev6-Issues110-111-112v1.doc which are marked with "111",

plus making the asyncInvocation intent mutually exclusive with propagatesTransaction intent

Mike E seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 111 is resolved

ISSUE 79: Do intents need to be supported if only External Attachment supported

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-79>

Ashok - this follows from a discussion last week relating to whether intents are ignorable

Ashok - reinstate the wording; "if the intent is not satisfied, then an error is raised"

in section 4.12 in [POL40018]

- line 1287 in Rev6

Ashok: All intents in the required intent set for an element MUST be provided by the directly provided intents set and the set of policySets that apply to the element. If all intents in the required intents set for an element are not satisfied an error MUST be raised.[POL40018]

Ashok: ... and strike the following paragraph

<discussion of error handling strategies and whether Policy spec needs to say anything - Assembly spec section 11 does cover this>

Dave: Better not to have 2 MUST clauses in 1 normative statement

- can we restructure them?

Ashok: All intents in the required intent set for an element MUST be provided by the directly provided intents set and the set of policySets that apply to the element otherwise an error MUST be raised.[POL40018]

anish: how about: All intents in the required intent set for an element MUST be provided by the directly provided intents set and the set of policySets that apply to the element, OR an error is be raised.[POL40018]

Ashok moves to resolve Issues 79 & 110 by striking the last paragraph of section 4.12.1 in CD02-Rev6 and replacing [POL40018] with the following wording: "All intents in the required intent set for an element MUST be provided by the directly provided intents set and the set of policySets that apply to the element, or else an error is raised.[POL40018]"

Mike seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 79 is resolved

Resolution: Issue 110 is resolved

anish: much better resolution. didn't like the ignore business

ISSUE 93: Allow external attachment for intents

<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-93>

proposal: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/201001/msg00007.html>

Ashok describes the proposal

<discussion halted due to lack of time>

AOB

Next meeting 18 January

Close of Business