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Introduction

This document proposes candidate requirements for name identifier management in SAML 2.0. Subsequent versions will be augmented with use case and mechanism proposals.

1.1 Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in IETF RFC 2119. [RFC2119]

Listings of productions or other normative code appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.

Note: Non-normative notes and explanations appear like this.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

- The prefix saml: stands for the SAML assertion namespace [SAMLCore].
- The prefix samlp: stands for the SAML request-response protocol namespace [SAMLCore].
- The prefix ds: stands for the W3C XML Signature namespace, http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig# [XMLSig].
2 Candidate Name Identifier Requirements for SAML 2.0

This section proposes candidate name identifier requirements for SAML 2.0, including account linking, pseudonyms, and anonymity facilities. Many of these requirements have been addressed within the Liberty Alliance Identity Federation Framework (ID-FF) [LibBP] [LibPS], using approaches that may also be suitable for integration within SAML.

ISSUE: SAML currently speaks in terms of authentication authorities and relying parties, but Liberty speaks of identity providers and service providers. How should these terms be aligned?

2.1 Identity Federation

SAML 2.0 shall support the ability for authentication authorities to federate identities of principals, so that a principal’s identity as demonstrated to the authentication authority can be persistently linked to identifiers as presented to relying parties within authentication assertions.

2.2 Representation of Federated Identities

SAML 2.0 shall provide facilities enabling a federated principal’s identity to be indicated to a relying party in a form that is specific and significant only to that relying party. In particular, facilities must be provided so that provision of a globally significant principal identifier to relying parties is not required, and possession of two or more identifiers generated by an authentication authority must not provide sufficient information to determine whether more than one of the identifiers corresponds to the same principal. (Comment: it is recognized, however, that colluding relying parties may correlate patterns of accesses to their sites and thereby detect corresponding identifiers, though possibly with some level of uncertainty.) While globally significant identifiers may be permissible in some environments (e.g., within enterprises), and should be supported for use as appropriate, facilities affording enhanced privacy assurance are also required.

SAML 2.0 shall enable a relying party to specify to an authentication authority the identifier that is to be used to represent a federated principal to that relying party.

2.3 Affiliations

SAML 2.0 shall enable groups of relying parties to designate themselves as affiliations, with the result that federation with the affiliation through any of its members will have the effect of federating with all members. As a result, all affiliation members will receive the same identifier to represent a federated principal. In environments where affiliations are used, principals shall be able to determine that a prospective federation corresponds to an affiliation, and shall be able to enumerate the affiliation’s membership.

2.4 Anonymous Session Identifiers

SAML 2.0 shall provide a facility enabling a principal’s identity to be reflected to relying parties anonymously, using unique and non-persistent identifiers. Identifiers of this type may be obtained upon relying party request; additionally, principals may designate that they are to be so represented to relying parties within the scope of an authentication authority session. This facility shall be applicable independent of whether or not the principal has a federation relationship between the SAML authentication authority and any of the relying parties receiving assertions.
within the session. Desirably, it should be possible for a principal to request and/or configure use of this facility at the granularity of individual relying parties.

2.5 Name Identifier Encryption

SAML 2.0 shall specify an interoperable means for name identifiers to be encrypted, so that they cannot be meaningfully interpreted at an intermediate entity. The form of encryption shall ensure that successive encryptions of a persistent identifier will yield distinct results that cannot be meaningfully correlated to one another.

2.6 Federation Management

SAML 2.0 shall provide facilities enabling principals to request initiation and termination of federation relationships between a SAML authentication authority and particular relying parties, which can be initiated either at the authentication authority or at a relying party.

Although relying parties may initiate federation requests, no federation shall be established without approval by the principal’s authentication authority, which is relied upon to act in accordance with a policy accepted by the principal. Means shall be specified enabling the authentication authority to obtain explicit confirmation by the principal before a federation is established.

While federations are normally terminated upon authenticated, confirmed principal request to an authentication authority or relying party, these processing entities may also initiate terminations unilaterally. An authentication authority, e.g., may act to terminate a principal’s federations when the principal’s account with the authentication authority is terminated.

Although outside protocol scope, SAML 2.0 authentication authorities should provide their principals with interfaces that allow them to display and manage their federations. In some environments, administrative access to such facilities may also be appropriate.
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