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1 Introduction

This specification defines the syntax and semantics for Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) assertions and the protocols for requesting and returning them. SAML assertions, requests, and responses are encoded in XML [XML] and use XML namespaces [XMLNS]. They are typically embedded in other structures for transport, such as HTTP form POSTs and XML-encoded SOAP messages. The SAML specification for binding XML-encoded Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) assertions, protocol requests, and protocol responses. These constructs are typically embedded in other structures for transport, such as HTTP form POSTs and XML-encoded SOAP messages. The SAML specification for bindings and profiles [SAMLBind] provides frameworks for this embedding and transport. Files containing just the SAML assertion schema [SAML-XSD] and protocol schema [SAMLP-XSD] are available.

The following sections describe how to understand the rest of this specification.

1.1 Notation

This specification uses schema documents conforming to W3C XML Schema and normative text to describe the syntax and semantics of XML-encoded SAML assertions and protocol messages.

The key-words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in IETF RFC 2119 [RFC 2119]:

...they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperation or to limit behavior which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)...

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

Listings of SAML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.

In cases of disagreement between the SAML schema documents [SAML-XSD] [SAMLP-XSD] and this specification, the schema documents [SAML-XSD] [SAMLP-XSD] and this specification, the schema files take precedence.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces (see Section Schema Organization and Namespaces) as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

- The prefix saml: stands for the SAML assertion namespace, urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion.
The prefix xsd: stands for the W3C XML Schema namespace, http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema, in example listings. In schema listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown.

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: <SAMLElement>, <ns:ForeignElement>, Attribute, Datatype, OtherCode.

1.2 Schema Organization and Namespaces

The SAML assertion structures are defined in a schema [SAML-XSD] associated with the following XML namespace:

```
urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion
```

The SAML request-response protocol structures are defined in a schema [SAMLP-XSD] associated with the following XML namespace:

```
urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol
```

The assertion schema is imported into the protocol schema. Also imported into both schemas is the schema for XML Signature [XMLSig-XSD], which is associated with the following XML namespace:

```
http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#
```

See Section SAML Namespace Version for information on SAML namespace versioning.

1.2.1 String and URI Values

All SAML string and URI reference values have the types xsd:string and xsd:anyURI respectively, which are built into the W3C XML Schema Datatypes specification [Schema2]. All strings in SAML messages MUST consist of at least one non-whitespace character (whitespace is defined in the XML Recommendation [XML] §2.3). Empty and whitespace-only values are disallowed. Also, unless otherwise indicated in this specification, all URI reference values MUST consist of at least one non-whitespace character, and are REQUIRED to be absolute [RFC 2396].

1.2.2 Time Values

All SAML time values have the type xsd:dateTime, which is built into the W3C XML Schema Datatypes specification [Schema1], and MUST be expressed in UTC form.

SAML system entities SHOULD NOT rely on other applications supporting time resolution finer than milliseconds. Implementations MUST NOT generate time instants that specify leap seconds.

1.2.3 ID and ID Reference Values

The xsd:ID simple type is used to declare SAML identifiers for assertions, requests, and responses. Values declared to be of type xsd:ID in this specification MUST satisfy the following properties in addition to those imposed by the definition of the xsd:ID type itself:

- Any party that assigns an identifier MUST ensure that there is negligible probability that that party or any other party will accidentally assign the same identifier to a different data object.
- Where a data object declares that it has a particular identifier, there MUST be exactly one such declaration.

The mechanism by which a SAML system entity ensures that the identifier is unique is left to the implementation. In the case that a pseudorandom technique is employed, the probability of two randomly
chosen identifiers being identical MUST be less than or equal to $2^{128}$ and SHOULD be less than or equal to $2^{160}$. This requirement MAY be met by encoding a randomly chosen value between 128 and 160 bits in length. The encoding must conform to the rules defining the xsd:ID datatype.

The xsd:NCName simple type is used in SAML to reference identifiers of type xsd:ID. Note that xsd:IDREF cannot be used for this purpose since, in SAML, the element referred to by a SAML reference identifier might actually be defined in a document separate from that in which the identifier reference is used, which violates the xsd:IDREF requirement that its value. XML requires that names of type xsd:IDREF must match the value of an ID attribute on some element in the same XML document.

### 1.2.4 Comparing SAML Values

Unless otherwise noted, all elements in SAML documents that have the XML Schema xsd:string type, or a type derived from that, MUST be compared using an exact binary comparison. In particular, SAML implementations and deployments MUST NOT depend on case-insensitive string comparisons, normalization or trimming of white space, or conversion of locale-specific formats such as numbers or currency. This requirement is intended to conform to the W3C Requirements for String Identity, Matching, and String Indexing [W3C-CHAR].

If an implementation is comparing values that are represented using different character encodings, the implementation MUST use a comparison method that returns the same result as converting both values to the Unicode character encoding, Normalization Form C [UNICODE-C], and then performing an exact binary comparison. This requirement is intended to conform to the W3C Character Model for the World Wide Web [W3C-CharMod], and in particular the rules for Unicode-normalized Text.

Applications that compare data received in SAML documents to data from external sources MUST take into account the normalization rules specified for XML. Text contained within elements is normalized so that line endings are represented using linefeed characters (ASCII code 10 Decimal), as described in the XML Recommendation [XML]§2.11. Attribute values defined as strings (or types derived from strings) are normalized as described in §2.11. Attribute values defined as strings (or types derived from strings) are normalized as described in [XML] §3.3.3. All white space characters are replaced with blanks (ASCII code 32 Decimal).

The SAML specification does not define collation or sorting order for attribute or element values. SAML implementations MUST NOT depend on specific sorting orders for values, because these can differ depending on the locale settings of the hosts involved.
2 SAML Assertions

An assertion is a package of information that supplies one or more statements made by a SAML authority. This SAML specification defines three different kinds of assertion statement that can be created by a SAML authority. As mentioned above and described in Section SAML Extensions, extensions are permitted by the SAML assertion schema, allowing user-defined extensions to assertions and statements, as well as allowing the definition of new kinds of assertion and SAML statements, as well as allowing the definition of new kinds of assertion statement. The three kinds of statement defined in this specification are:

- **Authentication**: The specified subject was authenticated by a particular means at a particular time.
- **Attribute**: The specified subject is associated with the supplied attributes.
- **Authorization Decision**: A request to allow the specified subject to access the specified resource has been granted or denied.

The outer structure of an assertion is generic, providing information that is common to all of the statements within it. Within an assertion, a series of inner elements describe the authentication, attribute, authorization decision, or user-defined statements containing the specifics.

2.1 Schema Header and Namespace Declarations

The following schema fragment defines the XML namespaces and other header information for the assertion schema:

```
<schema

targetNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
xmni="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
xmni:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
xmni:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
xmni:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"

elementFormDefault="unqualified"
attributeFormDefault="unqualified"
blockDefault="substitution"
version="2.0">
  <import namespace="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
schemaLocation="http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/?xsd"/>
  <import namespace="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
schemaLocation="http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/xenc-
schema.xsd"/>
  <annotation>
    <documentation>
      Document identifier: sttc-saml-schema-assertion-2.0
      <description>
        Revision history:
        V2.0
        Updated the schema and namespace to V2.0.
        Removed <AuthorityBinding> and corresponding type.
      </description>
    </documentation>
  </annotation>
</schema>
```

2.2 Simple Types

The following section defines the SAML assertion-related simple types.
2.2.1 Simple Type DecisionType

The DecisionType simple type defines the possible values to be reported as the status of an authorization decision statement.

- **Permit**
  - The specified action is permitted.

- **Deny**
  - The specified action is denied.

- **Indeterminate**
  - The SAML authority cannot determine whether the specified action is permitted or denied.

The Indeterminate decision value is used in situations where the SAML authority requires the ability to provide an affirmative statement that it is not able to issue a decision. Additional information as to the reason for the refusal or inability to provide a decision MAY be returned as <StatusDetail> elements.

The following schema fragment defines the DecisionType simple type:

```xml
<simpleType name="DecisionType">
  <restriction base="string">
    <enumeration value="Permit"/>
    <enumeration value="Deny"/>
    <enumeration value="Indeterminate"/>
  </restriction>
</simpleType>
```

2.3 Name Identifiers

The following sections define the SAML constructs that contain descriptive identifiers of subjects and assertion and message issuers.

2.3.1 Element <BaseIdentifier>

The <BaseIdentifier> element is an extension point that allows applications to add new kinds of identifiers. Its BaseIdentifierAbstractType complex type is abstract and is thus usable only as the base of a derived type. It defines the following common attributes for all identifier representations:

- **NameQualifier [Optional]**
  - The security or administrative domain that qualifies the identifier of the subject. This attribute provides a means to federate identifiers from disparate user stores without collision.

- **SPNameQualifier [Optional]**
  - Further qualifies a federated identifier with the name of the service provider or affiliation of providers which has federated the principal's identity.

The following schema fragment defines the <BaseIdentifier> element and its BaseIdentifierType complex type:

```xml
<element name="BaseIdentifier" type="saml:BaseIdentifierAbstractType"/>
<complexType name="BaseIdentifierAbstractType" abstract="true">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="anyType">
      <attribute name="NameQualifier" use="optional"/>
      <attribute name="SPNameQualifier" use="optional"/>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```
2.3.2 Element <NameIdentifier>

The <NameIdentifier> element is of type NameIdentifierType, which restricts BaseldentifierAbstractType to simple string content and provides additional attributes as follows:

- **Format [Optional]**
  A URI reference representing the classification of string-based identifier information. See Section NameIdentifier Format Identifiers for some URI references that MAY be used as the value of the Format attribute and their associated descriptions and processing rules. If no Format value is provided, the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:nameid-format:unspecified (see Section Unspecified) is in effect.

  When a Format value other than those specified in Section NameIdentifier Format Identifiers is used, the content of the <NameIdentifier> element is to be interpreted according to the specification of that format as defined outside of this specification. If not otherwise indicated by the specification of the format, issues of anonymity, pseudonymity, and the persistence of the identifier with respect to the asserting and relying parties are implementation-specific.

- **SPProvidedIdentifier [Optional]**
  The name identifier established by the service provider or affiliation of providers for the principal, if different from the primary name identifier given in the content of the <NameIdentifier> element.

The following schema fragment defines the <NameIdentifier> element and its NameIdentifierType complex type:

```
<element name="NameIdentifier" type="saml:NameIdentifierType"/>
<complexType name="NameIdentifierType" mixed="false">
  <simpleContent>
    <restriction base="saml:BaseIdentifierAbstractType">
      <simpleType>
        <restriction base="string"/>
      </simpleType>
    </restriction>
  </simpleContent>
  <attribute name="Format" type="anyURI" use="optional"/>
  <attribute name="SPProvidedIdentifier" type="string" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```

2.3.3 Element <EncryptedIdentifier>

The <EncryptedIdentifier> element extends BaseldentifierAbstractType to carry the content of the element in encrypted fashion, as defined by the XML Encryption Syntax and Processing specification [XMLEnc]. The <EncryptedIdentifier> element contains the following additional elements and attributes:

- **<xenc:EncryptedData> [Required]**
  The encrypted content and associated encryption details, as defined by [XMLEnc]. The encrypted content MUST contain an element that has a type that is derived from BaseldentifierAbstractType or from AssertionType.
Wrapped decryption keys, as defined by [XMLEnc]. Each wrapped key SHOULD include a Recipient attribute that specifies the entity for whom the key has been encrypted.

Encrypted identifiers are intended as a privacy protection when the plain-text value passes through an intermediary; as such, the ciphertext MUST be unique to any given encryption operation. For more on such issues, see [XMLEnc]§6.3.

The following schema fragment defines the <EncryptedIdentifier> element and its EncryptedIdentifierType complex type:

```xml
<element name="EncryptedIdentifier" type="saml:EncryptedIdentifierType"/>
<complexType name="EncryptedIdentifierType" mixed="false">
  <complexContent>
    <restriction base="saml:BaseIdentifierType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="xenc:EncryptedData"/>
        <element ref="xenc:EncryptedKey" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </sequence>
    </restriction>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

2.3.4 Element <Issuer>

The <Issuer> element, with complex type NameIdentifierType, provides information about the issuer of a SAML assertion or protocol message. The element requires the use of a string to carry the issuer's name, but permits various attributes of descriptive metadata.

The following schema fragment defines the <Issuer> element:

```xml
<element name="Issuer" type="saml:NameIdentifierType"/>
```

2.4 Assertions

The following sections define the SAML constructs that contain assertion information.

2.4.1 Element <AssertionIDReference>

The <AssertionIDReference> element makes a reference to a SAML assertion by its unique identifier. The specific authority who issued the assertion or from whom the assertion can be obtained is not specified as part of the reference.

The following schema fragment defines the <AssertionIDReference> element:

```xml
<element name="AssertionIDReference" type="NCName"/>
```

2.4.2 Element <AssertionURIReference>

The <AssertionURIReference> element makes a reference to a SAML assertion by its uniform resource identifier (URI). Dereferencing the URI (in a fashion dictated by the URI) is intended to produce the assertion.

The following schema fragment defines the <AssertionURIReference> element:

```xml
<element name="AssertionURIReference" type="anyURI"/>
```
The `<Assertion>` element is of `AssertionType` complex type. This type specifies the basic information that is common to all assertions, including the following elements and attributes:

- **MajorVersion** [Required]
  The major version of this assertion. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this specification is 2.1. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

- **MinorVersion** [Required]
  The minor version of this assertion. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this specification is 0.1. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

- **AssertionID** [Required]
  The identifier for this assertion. It is of type `xsd:ID`, and MUST follow the requirements specified in Section 1.2.3 for identifier uniqueness.

- **Issuer** [Required]
  The SAML authority that created the assertion. The name of the issuer is provided as a string. The issuer name SHOULD be unambiguous to the intended relying parties. SAML authorities may use an identifier such as a URI reference that is designed to be unambiguous regardless of context.

- **IssueInstant** [Required]
  The time instant of issue in UTC, as described in Section Time Values.

- **<Issuer>** [Required]
  The SAML authority that is making the claim(s) in the assertion. The issuer identity SHOULD be unambiguous to the intended relying parties. If the Format attribute is omitted, the identifier `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:nameid-format:unspecified` (see section 7.3.1) is assumed.

- **IssueInstant** [Required]
  The subject of the statement(s) in the assertion.

- **<ds:Signature>** [Optional]
  An XML Signature that authenticates the assertion, as described in Section SAML and XML Signature Syntax and Processing.

- **<Conditions>** [Optional]
  Conditions that MUST be taken into account in assessing the validity of the assertion.

- **<Advice>** [Optional]
  Additional information related to the assertion that assists processing in certain situations but which MAY be ignored by applications that do not support its use.

- **<ds:Signature>** [Optional]
  An XML Signature that authenticates the assertion, as described in Section SAML and XML Signature Syntax and Processing.

One or more of the following statement elements:
A statement defined in an extension schema.

A subject statement defined in an extension schema.

An authentication statement.

An authorization decision statement.

An attribute statement.

The following schema fragment defines the <Assertion> element and its AssertionType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Assertion" type="saml:AssertionType"/>
<complexType name="AssertionType">
    <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:Issuer"/>
        <element ref="saml:Subject"/>
        <element ref="ds:Signature" minOccurs="0"/>
        <element ref="saml:Conditions" minOccurs="0"/>
        <element ref="saml:Advice" minOccurs="0"/>
        <choice maxOccurs="unbounded">
            <element ref="saml:Statement"/>
            <element ref="saml:SubjectStatement"/>
            <element ref="saml:AuthenticationStatement"/>
            <element ref="saml:AuthorizationDecisionStatement"/>
            <element ref="saml:AttributeStatement"/>
        </choice>
        <element ref="ds:Signature" minOccurs="0"/>
    </sequence>
    <attribute name="MajorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
    <attribute name="MinorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
    <attribute name="AssertionID" type="ID" use="required"/>
    <attribute name="Issuer" type="string" use="required"/>
    <attribute name="IssueInstant" type="dateTime" use="required"/>
</complexType>
```

2.4.3.1 **Element <Subject>**

The <Subject> element specifies the principal that is the subject of all of the (one or more) statements in the assertion. It contains a name identifier, a series of one or more subject confirmations, or both:

- `<NameIdentifier>`, `<EncryptedIdentifier>`, or `<BaseIdentifier>`
- `<SubjectConfirmation>`

Identifies the subject.

Information that allows the subject to be authenticated. If more than one subject confirmation is provided, then usage of any one of them is sufficient to confirm the subject for the purpose of applying the assertion.

If the <Subject> element contains both an identifier and one or more subject confirmations, the SAML authority is asserting that if the SAML relying party performs the specified `<SubjectConfirmation>`, it can treat the entity presenting the assertion to the relying party as the entity that the SAML authority...
associates with the name identifier. A <Subject> element SHOULD NOT identify more than one principal.

The following schema fragment defines the <Subject> element and its SubjectType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Subject" type="saml:SubjectType"/>
<complexType name="SubjectType">
  <choice>
    <sequence>
      <choice>
        <element ref="saml:BaseIdentifier"/>
        <element ref="saml:NameIdentifier"/>
        <element ref="saml:EncryptedIdentifier"/>
      </choice>
      <element ref="saml:SubjectConfirmation" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </sequence>
    <element ref="saml:SubjectConfirmation" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </choice>
</complexType>
```

2.4.3.2 Element <Conditions>

The <Conditions> element MAY contain the following elements and attributes:

NotBefore [Optional]

Specifies the earliest time instant at which the assertion is valid. The time value is encoded in UTC as described in Section Time Values.

NotOnOrAfter [Optional]

Specifies the time instant at which the assertion has expired. The time value is encoded in UTC as described in Section Time Values.

<Condition> [Any Number]

Provides an extension point allowing extension schemas to define new conditions.

<AudienceRestrictionCondition> [Any Number]

Specifies that the assertion is addressed to a particular audience.

<DoNotCacheCondition> [Any Number]

Specifies that the assertion SHOULD be used immediately and MUST NOT be retained for future use.

<ProxyRestrictionCondition> [Any Number]

Specifies limitations that the asserting party imposes on relying parties that wish to issue subsequent assertions of their own on the basis of the information contained in the original assertion.

The following schema fragment defines the <Conditions> element and its ConditionsType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Conditions" type="saml:ConditionsType"/>
<complexType name="ConditionsType">
  <choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <element ref="saml:AudienceRestrictionCondition"/>
    <element ref="saml:DoNotCacheCondition"/>
    <element ref="saml:ProxyRestrictionCondition"/>
    <element ref="saml:Condition"/>
  </choice>
  <attribute name="NotBefore" type="dateTime" use="optional"/>
```
If an assertion contains a `<Conditions>` element, the validity of the assertion is dependent on the sub-elements and attributes provided. When processing the sub-elements and attributes of a `<Conditions>` element, the following rules MUST be used in the order shown to determine the overall validity of the assertion:

1. If no sub-elements or attributes are supplied in the `<Conditions>` element, then the assertion is considered to be **Valid**.

2. If any sub-element or attribute of the `<Conditions>` element is determined to be invalid, then the assertion is **Invalid**.

3. If any sub-element or attribute of the `<Conditions>` element cannot be evaluated, then the validity of the assertion cannot be determined and is deemed to be **Indeterminate**.

4. If all sub-elements and attributes of the `<Conditions>` element are determined to be **Valid**, then the assertion is considered to be **Valid**.

The `<Conditions>` element MAY be extended to contain additional conditions. If an element contained within a `<Conditions>` element is encountered that is not understood, the status of the condition cannot be evaluated and the validity status of the assertion MUST be deemed to be **Indeterminate** in accordance with rule 3 above.

Note that an assertion that has validity status **Valid** may not be trustworthy for reasons such as not being issued by a trustworthy SAML authority or not being authenticated by a trustworthy means.

Also note that some conditions may not directly impact the validity of the containing assertion (they always evaluate to **Valid**), but may restrict the behavior of relying parties with respect to the use of the assertion.

### 2.4.3.2.1 Attributes NotBefore and NotOnOrAfter

The **NotBefore** and **NotOnOrAfter** attributes specify time limits on the validity of the assertion.

- **NotBefore** attribute specifies the time instant at which the validity interval begins.
- **NotOnOrAfter** attribute specifies the time instant at which the validity interval has ended.

If the value for either **NotBefore** or **NotOnOrAfter** is omitted it is considered unspecified. If the **NotBefore** attribute is unspecified (and if any other conditions that are supplied evaluate to **Valid**), the assertion is valid at any time before the time instant specified by the **NotOnOrAfter** attribute. If the **NotOnOrAfter** attribute is unspecified (and if any other conditions that are supplied evaluate to **Valid**), the assertion is valid from the time instant specified by the **NotBefore** attribute with no expiry. If neither attribute is specified (and if any other conditions that are supplied evaluate to **Valid**), the assertion is valid at any time.

The **NotBefore** and **NotOnOrAfter** attributes are defined to have the **dateTime** simple type that is built in to the W3C XML Schema Datatypes specification [Schema2]. All time instants are specified in Universal Coordinated Time (UTC) as described in Section Time Values.

Implementations MUST NOT generate time instants that specify leap seconds.

### 2.4.3.2.2 Element `<Condition>`

The `<Condition>` element serves as an extension point for new conditions. Its **ConditionAbstractType** complex type is abstract and is thus usable only as the base of a derived type.
The following schema fragment defines the `<Condition>` element and its `ConditionAbstractType` complex type:

```
<element name="Condition" type="saml:ConditionAbstractType"/>
<complexType name="ConditionAbstractType" abstract="true"/>
```

### 2.4.3.2.3 Elements `<AudienceRestrictionCondition>` and `<Audience>`

The `<AudienceRestrictionCondition>` element specifies that the assertion is addressed to one or more specific audiences identified by `<Audience>` elements. Although a SAML relying party that is outside the audiences specified is capable of drawing conclusions from an assertion, the SAML authority explicitly makes no representation as to accuracy or trustworthiness to such a party. It contains the following elements:

- `<Audience>`
  - A URI reference that identifies an intended audience. The URI reference MAY identify a document that describes the terms and conditions of audience membership.

The audience restriction condition evaluates to **Valid** if and only if the SAML relying party is a member of one or more of the audiences specified.

The SAML authority cannot prevent a party to whom the assertion is disclosed from taking action on the basis of the information provided. However, the `<AudienceRestrictionCondition>` element allows the SAML authority to state explicitly that no warranty is provided to such a party in a machine- and human-readable form. While there can be no guarantee that a court would uphold such a warranty exclusion in every circumstance, the probability of upholding the warranty exclusion is considerably improved.

The following schema fragment defines the `<AudienceRestrictionCondition>` element and its `AudienceRestrictionConditionType` complex type:

```
<element name="AudienceRestrictionCondition" type="saml:AudienceRestrictionConditionType"/>
<complexType name="AudienceRestrictionConditionType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="saml:ConditionAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:Audience" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

### 2.4.3.2.4 Element `<DoNotCacheCondition>`

Indicates that the assertion SHOULD be used immediately by the relying party and MUST NOT be retained for future use. **Note that no relying party is required to perform caching. However, any that do so MUST observe this condition**. A SAML authority SHOULD NOT include more than one element within a `<Conditions>` element of an assertion. **Note that no Relying Party implementation is required to perform caching. However, any that do so MUST observe this condition. If multiple `<DoNotCacheCondition>` elements appear within a `<Conditions>` element, a Relying Party MUST treat the multiple elements as though a single element was specified. For the purposes of determining the validity of the `<Conditions>` element, the `<DoNotCacheCondition>` (see Section ) is considered to always be valid. **A SAML authority SHOULD NOT include more than one `<DoNotCacheCondition>` element within a `<Conditions>` element of an assertion. If multiple `<DoNotCacheCondition>` elements appear within**
a `<Conditions>` element, a Relying Party MUST treat the multiple elements as though a single
`<DoNotCacheCondition>` element was specified.

For the purposes of determining the validity of the `<Conditions>` element, the
`<DoNotCacheCondition>` is considered to always be valid.

The following schema fragment defines the `<DoNotCacheCondition>` element and its
DoNotCacheConditionType complex type:

```xml
<element name="DoNotCacheCondition" type="saml:DoNotCacheConditionType"/>
<complexType name="DoNotCacheConditionType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="saml:ConditionAbstractType"/>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

### 2.4.3.2.5 Element `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>`

Specifies limitations that the asserting party imposes on relying parties that wish to issue subsequent assertions of their own on the basis of the information contained in the original assertion. A relying party MUST NOT issue an assertion that itself violates the restrictions specified in this condition on the basis of an assertion containing such a condition.

The `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` element contains the following elements and attributes:

- `<Count>` [Optional]
  - Specifies the number of indirections that MAY exist between this assertion and an assertion which has ultimately been issued on the basis of it.

- `<Audience>` [Zero or More]
  - Specifies the set of audiences to whom new assertions MAY be issued on the basis of this assertion.

A `Count` value of zero indicates that a relying party MUST NOT issue an assertion to another relying party on the basis of this assertion. If greater than zero, any assertions so issued MUST themselves contain a `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` element with a `Count` value of at most one less than this value.

If no `<Audience>` elements are specified, then no restrictions are made upon the relying parties to whom subsequent assertions can be issued. Otherwise, any assertions so issued MUST themselves contain an `<AudienceRestrictionCondition>` element with at least one of the `<Audience>` elements present in the previous `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` element, and no `<Audience>` elements present that were not in the previous `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` element.

A SAML authority SHOULD NOT include more than one `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` element within a `<Conditions>` element of an assertion. If multiple `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` elements appear within a `<Conditions>` element, a relying party MUST treat the multiple elements as though a single `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` element was specified, with a `Count` value equal to the lowest of any specified, and the set of `<Audience>` elements consisting of the union of the elements specified.

For the purposes of determining the validity of the `<Conditions>` element, the `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` is considered to always be valid.

The following schema fragment defines the `<ProxyRestrictionCondition>` element and its ProxyRestrictionConditionType complex type:
<element name="ProxyRestrictionCondition" type="saml:ProxyRestrictionConditionType"/>
<complexType name="ProxyRestrictionConditionType">
    <complexContent>
        <extension base="saml:ConditionAbstractType">
            <sequence>
                <element ref="saml:Audience" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </sequence>
            <attribute name="Count" type="nonNegativeInteger" use="optional"/>
        </extension>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>

2.4.3.3 Element <Advice>

The <Advice> element contains any additional information that the SAML authority wishes to provide. This information MAY be ignored by applications without affecting either the semantics or the validity of the assertion.

The <Advice> element contains a mixture of zero or more <Assertion> elements, <AssertionIDReference> elements, <AssertionURIReference> elements, and elements in other namespaces, with lax schema validation in effect for these other elements.

Following are some potential uses of the <Advice> element:

- Include evidence supporting the assertion claims to be cited, either directly (through incorporating the claims) or indirectly (by reference to the supporting assertions).
- State a proof of the assertion claims.
- Specify the timing and distribution points for updates to the assertion.

The following schema fragment defines the <Advice> element and its AdviceType complex type:

```
<element name="Advice" type="saml:AdviceType"/>
<complexType name="AdviceType">
    <choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
        <element ref="saml:AssertionIDReference"/>
        <element ref="saml:AssertionURIReference"/>
        <element ref="saml:Assertion"/>
        <any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
    </choice>
</complexType>
```

2.5 Statements

The following sections define the SAML constructs that contain statement information.

2.5.1 Element <Statement>

The <Statement> element is an extension point that allows other assertion-based applications to reuse the SAML assertion framework. Its StatementAbstractType complex type is abstract and is thus usable only as the base of a derived type. **This element has an optional attribute:**

- **SessionIndex [Optional]**

  Indexes a particular session between the subject and the authority issuing this statement. The value of the attribute SHOULD be a small, positive integer, but may be any string of text. This value MUST
NOT be a globally unique value for a principal's session at the authority.

The following schema fragment defines the `<Statement>` element and its `StatementAbstractType` complex type:

```
<element name="Statement" type="saml:StatementAbstractType"/>
<complexType name="StatementAbstractType" abstract="true">
  <attribute name="SessionIndex" type="string" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```

The `<SubjectStatement>` element is an extension point that allows other assertion-based applications to reuse the SAML assertion framework. It contains a `<Subject>` element that allows a SAML authority to describe a subject. Its `SubjectStatementAbstractType` complex type, which extends `StatementAbstractType`, is abstract and is thus usable only as the base of a derived type.

The following schema fragment defines the `<SubjectStatement>` element and its `SubjectStatementAbstractType` abstract type:

```
<element name="SubjectStatement" type="saml:SubjectStatementAbstractType"/>
<complexType name="SubjectStatementAbstractType" abstract="true">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="saml:StatementAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:Subject"/>
        <element ref="saml:SubjectConfirmation" minOccurs="0"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

### 2.5.1.1 Element `<Subject>`

The `<Subject>` element specifies the principal that is the subject of the statement. It contains either or both of the following elements:

- `<NameIdentifier>`
  - An identification of a subject by its name and security domain.
- `<SubjectConfirmation>`
  - Information that allows the subject to be authenticated.

If the `<Subject>` element contains both a `<NameIdentifier>` and a `<SubjectConfirmation>`, the SAML authority is asserting that if the SAML relying party performs the specified `<SubjectConfirmation>`, it can be confident that the entity presenting the assertion to the relying party is the entity that the SAML authority associates with the `<NameIdentifier>`. A `<Subject>` element SHOULD NOT identify more than one principal.

The following schema fragment defines the `<Subject>` element and its `SubjectType` complex type:

```
<element name="Subject" type="saml:SubjectType"/>
<complexType name="SubjectType">
  <choice>
    <sequence>
      <element ref="saml:NameIdentifier"/>
      <element ref="saml:SubjectConfirmation" minOccurs="0"/>
    </sequence>
  </choice>
</complexType>
```
2.5.1.2 Element <BaseNameIdentifier>

The <BaseNameIdentifier> element is an extension point that allows applications to add new kinds
of name identifiers. Its BaseNameIdentifierAbstractType complex type is abstract and is thus usable
only as the base of a derived type. It defines the following common attributes for all name identifier
representations:

NameQualifier [Optional]

The security or administrative domain that qualifies the name identifier of the subject. This
attribute provides a means to federate names from disparate user stores without collision.

SPNameQualifier [Optional]

Further qualifies a federated name identifier with the name of the service provider or affiliation of
providers which has federated the principal's identity.

NotBefore [Optional]

The date and time at which the name identifier becomes usable for referring to the subject.
Generally used when encrypting the resulting element to indicate the time at which the
encryption was performed, so that decrypting parties may enforce time-sensitive policies on use.

NotOnOrAfter [Optional]

Indicates the time at which the identifier should no longer be used to refer to the subject.
Generally used with encrypted or transient identifiers.

The NotBefore and NotOnOrAfter attributes do not affect or interact with the validity of an assertion
whose subject contains a name identifier decorated with them. Rather, they represent the validity of the
binding of the name identifier to the subject of the assertion.

The following schema fragment defines the <BaseNameIdentifier> element and its
BaseNameIdentifierType complex type:

```
<element name="BaseNameIdentifier" type="saml:BaseNameIdentifierAbstractType"/>
<complexType name="BaseNameIdentifierAbstractType" abstract="true">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="anyType">
      <attribute name="NameQualifier" type="string" use="optional"/>
      <attribute name="SPNameQualifier" type="string" use="optional"/>
      <attribute name="NotBefore" type="dateTime" use="optional"/>
      <attribute name="NotOnOrAfter" type="dateTime" use="optional"/>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

2.5.1.3 Element <NameIdentifier>

The <NameIdentifier> element is of type NameIdentifierType, which restricts
BaseNameIdentifierAbstractType to simple string content and provides additional attributes as follows:

Format [Optional]

A URI reference representing the classification of string-based identifier information. See Section
NameIdentifier Format Identifiers for some URI references that MAY be used as the value of the
Format attribute, and associated descriptions of the content, and processing rules. If no
Format value is provided, the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:nameid-format:unspecified
(see Section Unspecified) is in effect. When a Format value other than those specified in
Section NameIdentifier Format Identifiers is used, the content of the <NameIdentifier>
element is to be interpreted according to the specification of that format as defined outside of this
specification. If not otherwise indicated by the specification of the format, issues of anonymity, pseudonymity, and the persistence of the identifier with respect to the asserting and relying parties are implementation-specific.

**SPProvidedIdentifier [Optional]**

The name identifier established by the service provider or affiliation of providers for the principal, if different from the primary name identifier given in the content of the `<NameIdentifier>` element.

The following schema fragment defines the `<NameIdentifier>` element and its `NameIdentifierType` complex type:

```xml
<element name="NameIdentifier" type="saml:NameIdentifierType" substitutionGroup="saml:BaseNameIdentifier"/>
<complexType name="NameIdentifierType" mixed="false">
    <simpleContent>
        <restriction base="saml:BaseNameIdentifierAbstractType">
            <simpleType>
                <restriction base="string"/>
                <attribute name="Format" type="anyURI" use="optional"/>
                <attribute name="SPProvidedIdentifier" type="string" use="optional"/>
            </restriction>
        </restriction>
    </simpleContent>
</complexType>
```

### 2.5.1.4 Element `<EncryptedNameIdentifier>`

The `<EncryptedNameIdentifier>` element extends `BaseNameIdentifierAbstractType` to carry the content of the element in encrypted fashion, as defined by [XMLEnc]. The `<EncryptedNameIdentifier>` element contains the following additional elements and attributes:

- `<xenc:EncryptedData>` [Required]
  - The encrypted content and associated encryption details, as defined by [XMLEnc]. The encrypted content MUST be a `<BaseNameIdentifier>` element or a derivation of it.

- `<xenc:EncryptedKey>` [Optional]
  - A wrapped decryption key, as defined by [XMLEnc].

Encrypted identifiers are intended as a privacy protection when the plain-text value passes through an intermediary; as such, the ciphertext MUST be unique to any given encryption operation. For more on such issues, see [XMLEnc] §6.3.

The following schema fragment defines the `<EncryptedNameIdentifier>` element and its `EncryptedNameIdentifierType` complex type:

```xml
<element name="EncryptedNameIdentifier" type="saml:EncryptedNameIdentifierType" substitutionGroup="saml:BaseNameIdentifier"/>
<complexType name="EncryptedNameIdentifierType" mixed="false">
    <complexContent>
        <restriction base="saml:BaseNameIdentifierType">
            <sequence>
                <element ref="xenc:EncryptedData" minOccurs="0"/>
                <element ref="xenc:EncryptedKey" minOccurs="0"/>
            </sequence>
        </restriction>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>
```
2.5.1.5 Elements <SubjectConfirmation>, <ConfirmationMethod>, and <SubjectConfirmationData>

The <SubjectConfirmation> element specifies a subject by supplying data that allows the subject to be authenticated. It contains the following elements in order:

- <ConfirmationMethod> [Required One or more]
  - A URI reference that identifies a protocol to be used to authenticate the subject. URI references identifying SAML-defined confirmation methods are currently defined with the SAML profiles in the SAML profiles specification [SAMLProf]. Additional methods may be added by defining new URIs and bindings and profiles specification [SAMLBind]. Additional methods may be added by defining new profiles or by private agreement.

- <SubjectConfirmationData> [Optional]
  - Additional authentication information to be used by a specific authentication protocol.

- <ds:KeyInfo> [Optional]
  - An XML Signature [XMLSig] element that identifies a cryptographic key provided access to a cryptographic key held by the subject.

The following schema fragment defines the <SubjectConfirmation> element and its SubjectConfirmationType complex type, along with the <SubjectConfirmationData> element and the <ConfirmationMethod> element:

```
<element name="SubjectConfirmation" type="saml:SubjectConfirmationType"/>
<complexType name="SubjectConfirmationType">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="saml:ConfirmationMethod" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <element ref="saml:SubjectConfirmationData" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element ref="ds:KeyInfo" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```

2.5.2 Element <AuthenticationStatement>

The <AuthenticationStatement> element describes a statement by the SAML authority asserting that the statement’s subject was authenticated by a particular means at a particular time. It is of type AuthenticationStatementType, which extends SubjectStatementAbstractType with the addition of the following elements and attributes:

- AuthenticationMethod [Required]
  - A URI reference that specifies the type of authentication that took place. URI references identifying common authentication protocols are listed in Section Authentication Method Identifiers. A value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:am:authncontext indicates that an <AuthnContext> element is included in the statement that describes further details of the authentication.

- AuthenticationInstant [Required]
  - Specifies the time at which the authentication took place. The time value is encoded in UTC as described in Section Time Values.

- <SubjectLocality> [Optional]
  - Specifies the DNS domain name and IP address for the system entity from which the subject was apparently authenticated.
The context used by the identity provider in the authentication event that yielded this statement.

Contains an authentication context statement or a reference to one. Optionally contains a reference to an authentication context class.

Note: The <AuthorityBinding> element and its corresponding type were removed from <AuthenticationStatement> for V2.0 of SAML.

The following schema fragment defines the <AuthenticationStatement> element and its AuthenticationStatementType complex type:

```
<element name="AuthenticationStatement"
         type="saml:AuthenticationStatementType"/>
<complexType name="AuthenticationStatementType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="saml:SubjectStatementAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:SubjectLocality" minOccurs="0"/>
        <element ref="saml:AuthnContext" minOccurs="0"/>
      </sequence>
      <attribute name="AuthenticationMethod" type="anyURI" use="required"/>
      <attribute name="AuthenticationInstant" type="dateTime" use="required"/>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

### 2.5.2.1 Element <SubjectLocality>

The <SubjectLocality> element specifies the DNS domain name and IP address for the system from which the subject that was authenticated. It has the following attributes:

- **IPAddress [Optional]**
  - The IP address of the system from which the subject that was authenticated.

- **DNSAddress [Optional]**
  - The DNS address of the system from which the subject that was authenticated.

This element is entirely advisory, since both these fields are quite easily “spoofed,” but current practice appears to require its inclusion.

The following schema fragment defines the <SubjectLocality> element and its SubjectLocalityType complex type:

```
<element name="SubjectLocality"
         type="saml:SubjectLocalityType"/>
<complexType name="SubjectLocalityType">
  <attribute name="IPAddress" type="string" use="optional"/>
  <attribute name="DNSAddress" type="string" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```
2.5.2.2 Element <AuthnContext>

The <AuthnContext> element specifies the context of an authentication event with an optional context URI followed by an authentication context statement or statement reference. It’s complex AuthnContextType has the following elements:

- <AuthnContextClassRef> [Optional]
  A URI identifying an authentication context class that describes the authentication context statement that follows.

- <AuthnContextStatement> or <AuthnContextStatementRef> [Required]
  Either an authentication context statement, or a URI that identifies such a statement. The URI MAY directly resolve into an XML document containing the referenced statement.

The following schema fragment defines the <AuthnContext> element and its AuthnContextType complex type:

```xml
<element name="AuthnContext" type="saml:AuthnContextType"/>
<complexType name="AuthnContextType">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="saml:AuthnContextClassRef" minOccurs="0"/>
    <choice>
      <element ref="saml:AuthnContextStatement"/>
      <element ref="saml:AuthnContextStatementRef"/>
    </choice>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
<element name="AuthnContextClassRef" type="anyURI"/>
<element name="AuthnContextStatementRef" type="anyURI"/>
<element name="AuthnContextStatement" type="anyType"/>
```

2.5.3 Element <AttributeStatement>

The <AttributeStatement> element describes a statement by the SAML authority asserting that the statement’s subject is associated with the specified attributes. It is of type AttributeStatementType, which extends SubjectStatementAbstractType with the addition of the following element:

- <Attribute> [One or More]

  The <Attribute> element specifies an attribute of the subject.

The following schema fragment defines the <AttributeStatement> element and its AttributeStatementType complex type:

```xml
<element name="AttributeStatement" type="saml:AttributeStatementType"/>
<complexType name="AttributeStatementType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="saml:SubjectStatementAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:Attribute" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

2.5.3.1 Elements <AttributeDesignator> and <Attribute>

The <AttributeDesignator> element identifies an attribute name within an attribute namespace. It has the AttributeDesignatorType complex type. It is used in an attribute query to request that attribute
values within a specific namespace be returned (see Section Element <AttributeQuery> for more information). The <AttributeDesignator> element contains the following XML attributes:

- **AttributeNamespace [Required]**
  - The namespace in which the AttributeName elements are interpreted.

- **AttributeName [Required]**
  - The name of the attribute.

- **NameFormat [Required]**
  - A URI reference representing the classification of the attribute name for purposes of interpreting the name. See Section 7.x for some URI references that MAY be used as the value of the NameFormat attribute and their associated descriptions and processing rules. If no NameFormat value is provided, the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attname-format:unspecified (see Section 7.x) is in effect.

- **ValueType [Optional]**
  - A URI reference representing the datatype of the desired or supplied attribute. If no ValueType value is provided, the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:saml:2.0:valuetype-format:appSpecific (see Section 7.x) is in effect.

The following schema fragment defines the <AttributeDesignator> element and its **AttributeDesignatorType** complex type:

```xml
<element name="AttributeDesignator" type="saml:AttributeDesignatorType"/>
<complexType name="AttributeDesignatorType">
  <attribute name="AttributeName" type="string" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="NameFormatAttributeNamespace" type="anyURI" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="ValueType" type="anyURI" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```

The <Attribute> element supplies the value for an attribute of an assertion subject. It has the **AttributeType** complex type, which extends AttributeDesignatorType with the addition of the following element and attributes:

- **Source [Optional]**
  - The source location or database from which the attribute came. Interpretation of the source information is application-specific.

The <Attribute> element supplies the value for an attribute of an assertion subject. It has the **AttributeType** complex type, which extends AttributeDesignatorType with the addition of the following element:

- **<AttributeValue> [Any Number]**
  - The value of the attribute. If an attribute contains more than one discrete value, it is RECOMMENDED that each value appear in its own <AttributeValue> element. If the attribute exists but has no value, then the <AttributeValue> element MUST be omitted. If more than one <AttributeValue> element is supplied for an attribute, and any of the elements have a datatype assigned through xsi:type, then all of the <AttributeValue> elements must have the identical datatype assigned.
Arbitrary attributes

This complex type uses an `<xsd:anyAttribute>` extension point to allow for arbitrary XML attributes to be added to `<Attribute>` constructs without the need for an explicit schema extension. This allows additional fields to be added as needed to supply the context in which the attribute should be understood. SAML extensions MUST NOT add local (non-namespace-qualified) XML attributes to the AttributeType complex type or to any element bound to this type or a derivation of it; such attributes are reserved for future maintenance and enhancement of SAML itself.

The following schema fragment defines the `<Attribute>` element and its AttributeType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Attribute" type="saml:AttributeType"/>
<complexType name="AttributeType">
    <complexContent>
        <extension base="saml:AttributeDesignatorType">
            <sequence>
                <element ref="saml:AttributeValue" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </sequence>
        </extension>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

2.5.3.1.1 Element `<AttributeValue>`

The `<AttributeValue>` element supplies the value of a specified attribute. It is of the `anyType` simple type, which allows any well-formed XML to appear as the content of the element.

If the data content of an AttributeValue element is of an XML Schema simple type (such as `xsd:integer` or `xsd:string`), the data type MAY be declared explicitly by means of an `xsi:type` declaration in the `<AttributeValue>` element. If the attribute value contains structured data, the necessary data elements MAY be defined in an extension schema.

Note: Specifying a datatype on `<AttributeValue>` using `xsi:type` will require the presence of the extension schema that defines the datatype in order for schema processing to proceed.

The following schema fragment defines the `<AttributeValue>` element:

```xml
<element name="AttributeValue" type="anyType"/>
```

2.5.4 Element `<AuthorizationDecisionStatement>`

Note: The `<AuthorizationDecisionStatement>` feature has been frozen as of SAML V2.0, with no future enhancements planned. Users who require additional functionality may want to consider the eXtensible Access Control Markup Language [XACML], which offers enhanced authorization decision features.

The `<AuthorizationDecisionStatement>` element describes a statement by the SAML authority asserting that a request for access by the statement's subject to the specified resource has resulted in the specified authorization decision on the basis of some optionally specified evidence.

The resource is identified by means of a URI reference. In order for the assertion to be interpreted correctly and securely, the SAML authority and SAML relying party MUST interpret each URI reference in a consistent manner. Failure to achieve a consistent URI reference interpretation can result in different
authorization decisions depending on the encoding of the resource URI reference. Rules for normalizing
URI references are to be found in IETF RFC 2396 [RFC 2396] §6:

In general, the rules for equivalence and definition of a normal form, if any, are scheme
dependent. When a scheme uses elements of the common syntax, it will also use the common
syntax equivalence rules, namely that the scheme and hostname are case insensitive and a URL
with an explicit ":port", where the port is the default for the scheme, is equivalent to one where
the port is elided.

To avoid ambiguity resulting from variations in URI encoding SAML system entities SHOULD employ the
URI normalized form wherever possible as follows:

- SAML authorities SHOULD encode all resource URI references in normalized form.
- Relying parties SHOULD convert resource URI references to normalized form prior to processing.

Inconsistent URI reference interpretation can also result from differences between the URI reference
syntax and the semantics of an underlying file system. Particular care is required if URI references are
employed to specify an access control policy language. The following security conditions should be
satisfied by the system which employs SAML assertions:

- Parts of the URI reference syntax are case sensitive. If the underlying file system is case insensitive,
a requester SHOULD NOT be able to gain access to a denied resource by changing the case of a
part of the resource URI reference.
- Many file systems support mechanisms such as logical paths and symbolic links, which allow users
to establish logical equivalences between file system entries. A requester SHOULD NOT be able to
gain access to a denied resource by creating such an equivalence.

The <AuthorizationDecisionStatement> element is of type
AuthorizationDecisionStatementType, which extends SubjectStatementAbstractType with the
addition of the following elements (in order) and attributes:

Resource [Required]
A URI reference identifying the resource to which access authorization is sought. It is permitted for
this attribute to have the value of the empty URI reference (""), and the meaning is defined to be "the
start of the current document", as specified by IETF RFC 2396 [RFC 2396] §4.2.

Decision [Required]
The decision rendered by the SAML authority with respect to the specified resource. The value is of
the DecisionType simple type.

<Action> [One or more]
The set of actions authorized to be performed on the specified resource.

<Evidence> [Optional]
A set of assertions that the SAML authority relied on in making the decision.

The following schema fragment defines the <AuthorizationDecisionStatement> element and its
AuthorizationDecisionStatementType complex type:
2.5.4.1 Element <Action>

The <Action> element specifies an action on the specified resource for which permission is sought. It has the following attribute and string-data content:

- **Namespace** [Optional]
  A URI reference representing the namespace in which the name of the specified action is to be interpreted. If this element is absent, the namespace urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwdc-negation specified in Section Read/Write/Execute/Delete/Control with Negation is in effect.

- **String data** [Required]
  An action sought to be performed on the specified resource.

The following schema fragment defines the <Action> element and its Action complex type:

```xml
<element name="Action" type="saml:ActionType"/>
<complexType name="ActionType">
  <simpleContent>
    <extension base="string">
      <attribute name="Namespace" type="anyURI"/>
    </extension>
  </simpleContent>
</complexType>
```

2.5.4.2 Element <Evidence>

The <Evidence> element contains an assertion or assertion reference that the SAML authority relied on in issuing the authorization decision. It has the EvidenceType complex type. It contains a mixture of one or more of the following elements:

- `<AssertionIDReference>` [Any number]
  Specifies an assertion by reference to the value of the assertion's AssertionID attribute.

- `<AssertionURIReference>` [Any number]
  Specifies an assertion by reference to a URI.

- `<Assertion>` [Any number]
  Specifies an assertion by value.

Providing an assertion as evidence MAY affect the reliance agreement between the SAML relying party and the SAML authority making the authorization decision. For example, in the case that the SAML relying party presented an assertion to the SAML authority in a request, the SAML authority MAY use that assertion as evidence in making its authorization decision without endorsing the <Evidence> element's assertion as valid either to the relying party or any other third party.

The following schema fragment defines the <Evidence> element and its EvidenceType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Evidence" type="saml:EvidenceType"/>
<complexType name="EvidenceType">
  <choice maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <element name="AssertionIDReference" type="saml:AssertionIDReferenceType"/>
    <element name="AssertionURIReference" type="saml:AssertionReferenceType"/>
    <element name="Assertion" type="saml:AssertionType"/>
  </choice>
</complexType>
```
<element ref="saml:AssertionIDReference"/>
<element ref="saml:AssertionURIReference"/>
<element ref="saml:Assertion"/>
</choice>
</complexType>
3 SAML Protocols

SAML assertions and related/supporting messages MAY be generated and exchanged using a variety of protocols. The bindings specification for SAML [SAMLBind] describes specific means of transporting queries, assertions, and other messages using existing widely deployed transport. The bindings and profiles specification for SAML [SAMLBind] describes specific means of transporting assertions using existing widely deployed protocols.

Specific SAML request and response messages derive from common types. The requester sends an element derived from RequestAbstractType to a SAML responder, and the responder generates an element adhering to or deriving from StatusResponseType. SAML-aware requesters MAY in addition use the SAML request-response protocol defined by the <Request> and <Response> elements. The requester sends a <Request> element to a SAML responder, and the responder generates a <Response> element, as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: SAML Request-Response Protocol](image)

The protocols defined by SAML are as follows:

- Assertion request (includes a direct request of the desired assertions, as well as querying for assertions that meet particular criteria)
- Request for authentication to be performed
- Request to register a federated name
- Request to retrieve a protocol message by means of an artifact
- Request to terminate a federated name registration
- Request for a near-simultaneous logout of a collection of related sessions ("single logout")
- Request a name identifier mapping

3.1 Schema Header and Namespace Declarations

The following schema fragment defines the XML namespaces and other header information for the protocol schema:
3.2 Requests and Responses

The following sections define the SAML constructs that underlie request and response messages that contain request information.

3.2.1 Complex Type RequestAbstractType

All SAML requests are of types that are derived from the abstract RequestAbstractType complex type. This type defines common attributes and elements that are associated with all SAML requests:

- **RequestID** [Required]
  - An identifier for the request. It is of type xsd:ID and MUST follow the requirements specified in Section 1.2.3 for identifier uniqueness. The values of the RequestID attribute in a request and the InResponseTo attribute in the corresponding response MUST match.

- **MajorVersion** [Required]
  - The major version of this request. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this specification is 2.0. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

- **MinorVersion** [Required]
  - The minor version of this request. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this specification is 0.0. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

- **IssueInstant** [Required]
  - The time instant of issue of the request. The time value is encoded in UTC as described in Section Time Values.

- **Consent** [Optional]
  - Indicates whether or not consent has been obtained from a user in the sending this request.

- **<Issuer>** [Optional]
  - Identifies the entity that generated the request message.

- **<ds:Signature>** [Optional]
  - An XML Signature that authenticates the request, as described in Section SAML and XML Signature Syntax and Processing.
<RelayState> [Optional]
This contains state information that MUST be relayed back in the associated response.

<Extensions> [Optional]
This contains optional protocol message extension elements that are agreed upon between the communicating parties.

Note: The <RespondWith> element has been removed from <Request> for V2.0 of SAML.

The following schema fragment defines the RequestAbstractType complex type:

```xml
<complexType name="RequestAbstractType" abstract="true">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="saml:Issuer" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element ref="ds:Signature" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element ref="samlp:RelayState" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element ref="samlp:Extensions" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
  <attribute name="RequestID" type="ID" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="MajorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="MinorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="IssueInstant" type="dateTime" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="Consent" type="anyURI" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```

### 3.2.1.1 Element <RelayState>

SAML requests MAY contain a string-valued element containing state information that the requester wishes the responder to include in the response. This is particularly useful with asynchronous bindings of protocol messages, such as the encoding of messages in browser URLs. This data SHOULD be integrity-protected by the requester and MAY have other protections placed on it by the requester, such as confidentiality. The length of this value SHOULD be kept as short as possible because of limitations of the bindings in which it may be needed.

The following schema fragment defines the <RelayState> element:

```xml
<element name="RelayState" type="string"/>
```

### 3.2.2 Complex Type StatusResponseType

All SAML responses are of types that are derived from the StatusResponseType complex type. This type defines common attributes and elements that are associated with all SAML responses:

**ResponseID [Required]**
An identifier for the response. It is of type xsd:ID, and MUST follow the requirements specified in Section 1.2.3 for identifier uniqueness.

**InResponseTo [Optional]**
A reference to the identifier of the request to which the response corresponds, if any. If the response is not generated in response to a request, or if the RequestID attribute value of a request cannot be
determined (because the request is malformed), then this attribute MUST NOT be present.
Otherwise, it MUST be present and its value MUST match the value of the corresponding
RequestID attribute value.

**MajorVersion [Required]**

The major version of this response. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this
specification is 2. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

**MinorVersion [Required]**

The minor version of this response. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this
specification is 0. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

**IssueInstant [Required]**

The time instant of issue of the response. The time value is encoded in UTC as described in Section
Time Values.

**Recipient [Optional]**

The intended recipient of this response. This is useful to prevent malicious forwarding of responses
to unintended recipients, a protection that is required by some use profiles. It is set by the generator
of the response to a URI reference that identifies the intended recipient. If present, the actual
recipient MUST check that the URI reference identifies the recipient or a resource managed by the
recipient. If it does not, the response MUST be discarded.

**<Issuer> [Optional]**

Identifies the entity that generated the response message.

**<ds:Signature> [Optional]**

An XML Signature that authenticates the response, as described in Section SAML and XML
Signature Syntax and Processing.

**<RelayState> [Optional]**

This contains state information from the associated request being relayed back in the response. It
MUST match the <RelayState> value in the associated request, if any.

**<Extensions> [Optional]**

This contains optional protocol message extension elements that are agreed upon between the
communicating parties.

**<Status> [Required]**

A code representing the status of the corresponding request.

The following schema fragment defines the **StatusResponseType** complex type:
3.2.2.1 Element <Status>

The <Status> element contains the following elements:

- <StatusCode> [Required]
  A code representing the status of the corresponding request.

- <StatusMessage> [Optional]
  A message which MAY be returned to an operator.

- <StatusDetail> [Optional]
  Additional information concerning an error condition.

The following schema fragment defines the <Status> element and its StatusType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Status" type="samlp:StatusType"/>
<complexType name="StatusType">
  <sequence>
  <element ref="samlp:StatusCode"/>
  <element ref="samlp:StatusMessage" minOccurs="0"/>
  <element ref="samlp:StatusDetail" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```

3.2.2.2 Element <StatusCode>

The <StatusCode> element specifies one or more possibly nested, codes representing the status of the corresponding request. The <StatusCode> element has the following element and attribute:

- Value [Required]
  The status code value. This attribute contains an XML Schema QName; a namespace prefix MUST be provided. The value of the topmost <StatusCode> element MUST be from the top-level list provided in this section.

- <StatusCode> [Optional]
  A subordinate status code that provides more specific information on an error condition.

The top-level <StatusCode> values are QNames associated with the SAML protocol namespace. The local parts of these QNames are as follows:

- Success
  The request succeeded.

- VersionMismatch
  The SAML responder could not process the request because the version of the request message was incorrect.

- Requester
  The request could not be performed due to an error on the part of the requester.

- Responder
  The request could not be performed due to an error on the part of the SAML responder or SAML authority.
The following second-level status codes are referenced at various places in the specification. Additional second-level status codes MAY be defined in future versions of the SAML specification.

- **RequestVersionTooHigh**
  The SAML responder cannot process the request because the protocol version specified in the request message is a major upgrade from the highest protocol version supported by the responder.

- **RequestVersionTooLow**
  The SAML responder cannot process the request because the protocol version specified in the request message is too low.

- **RequestVersionDeprecated**
  The SAML responder can not process any requests with the protocol version specified in the request.

- **TooManyResponses**
  The response message would contain more elements than the SAML responder will return.

- **RequestDenied**
  The SAML responder or SAML authority is able to process the request but has chosen not to respond. This status code MAY be used when there is concern about the security context of the request message or the sequence of request messages received from a particular requester.

- **RequestUnsupported**
  The SAML responder or SAML authority does not support the request.

- **ResourceNotRecognized**
  The SAML authority does not wish to support resource-specific attribute queries, or the resource value provided in the request message is invalid or unrecognized.

- **FederationDoesNotExist**
  The responding provider does not recognize the federated `<NameIdentifier>` in the request.

- **UnknownPrincipal**
  The responding provider does not recognize the principal specified or implied by the request.

- **NoAuthnContext**
  The specified authentication context requirements cannot be met by the responder.

- **InvalidNameIDPolicy**
  The responding provider does not support the specified name identifier format for the requested subject.

- **NoPassive**
  Indicates the identity provider cannot authenticate the principal passively, as has been requested.

- **ProxyCountExceeded**
  Indicates that an identity provider cannot authenticate the principal directly and is not permitted to proxy the request further.

- **NoAvailableIDP**
  Used by an intermediary to indicate that none of the supported identity provider `<Loc>` elements in an `<IDPList>` can be resolved or that none of the supported identity providers are available.
NoSupportedIDP

Used by an intermediary to indicate that none of the identity providers in an <IDPList> are supported by the intermediary.

SAML system entities are free to define more specific status codes in other namespaces, but MUST NOT define additional codes in the SAML assertion or protocol namespace.

The QNames defined as status codes SHOULD be used only in the <StatusCode> element's Value attribute and have the above semantics only in that context.

The following schema fragment defines the <StatusCode> element and its StatusCodeType complex type:

```
<element name="StatusCode" type="samlp:StatusCodeType"/>
<complexType name="StatusCodeType">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="samlp:StatusCode" minOccurs="0"/>
    <attribute name="Value" type="QName" use="required"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```

### 3.2.2.3 Element <StatusMessage>

The <StatusMessage> element specifies a message that MAY be returned to an operator.

The following schema fragment defines the <StatusMessage> element:

```
<element name="StatusMessage" type="string"/>
```

### 3.2.2.4 Element <StatusDetail>

The <StatusDetail> element MAY be used to specify additional information concerning an error condition.

The following schema fragment defines the <StatusDetail> element and its StatusDetailType complex type:

```
<element name="StatusDetail" type="samlp:StatusDetailType"/>
<complexType name="StatusDetailType">
  <sequence>
    <any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```

### 3.3Assertion Query and Request Protocol

This section defines messages and processing rules for requesting existing assertions by reference or querying for assertions by subject and statement type.

#### 3.3.1 Element <AssertionIDRequest>

If the requester knows the unique identifier of one or more assertions, the <AssertionIDRequest> message can be used to request that the assertion(s) be returned in a <Response> message. The <saml:AssertionIDReference> element is used to specify the assertion(s) to return. See Section Element <AssertionIDReference> for more information on this element.

The following schema fragment defines the <AssertionIDRequest> element:
3.3.2 Element <Request>

The <Request> element specifies a SAML request. It provides either a query or a request for a specific assertion identified by <AssertionIDReference> or <AssertionArtifact>. It has the complex type RequestType, which extends RequestAbstractType by adding a choice of one of the following elements:

- <Query>
  An extension point that allows extension schemas to define new types of query.

- <SubjectQuery>
  An extension point that allows extension schemas to define new types of query that specify a single SAML subject.

- <AuthenticationQuery>
  Makes a query for authentication information.

- <AttributeQuery>
  Makes a query for attribute information.

- <AuthorizationDecisionQuery>
  Makes a query for an authorization decision.

- <AssertionIDReference> [One or more]
  Requests an assertion by reference to the value of its AssertionID attribute.

- <AssertionArtifact> [One or more]
  Requests assertions by supplying an assertion artifact that represents it.

The following schema fragment defines the <Request> element and its RequestType complex type:
3.3.2.1 Requests for Assertions by Reference

In the context of a `<Request>` element, the `<saml:AssertionIDReference>` element is used to request an assertion by means of its ID. See Section Element `<AssertionIDReference>` for more information on this element.

3.3.2.2 Element `<AssertionArtifact>`

The `<AssertionArtifact>` element is used to specify the assertion artifact that represents an assertion being requested. Its use is governed by the specific profile of SAML that is being used; see the SAML specification for bindings and profiles [SAMLBind] for more information on the use of assertion artifacts in profiles.

The following schema fragment defines the `<AssertionArtifact>` element:

```xml
<element name="AssertionArtifact" type="string"/>
```

3.3.3 Queries

The following sections define the SAML query request messages constructs that contain query information.

3.3.4 Element `<Query>`

The `<Query>` element is an extension point that allows new SAML queries to be defined. Its `QueryAbstractType` is abstract and is thus usable only as the base of a derived type.

`QueryAbstractType` is the base type from which all SAML query elements are derived.

The following schema fragment defines the `<Query>` element and its `QueryAbstractType` complex type:

```xml
<element name="Query" type="samlp:QueryAbstractType"/>
<complexType name="QueryAbstractType" abstract="true"/>
```

3.3.4.1 Element `<SubjectQuery>`

The `<SubjectQuery>` message element is an extension point that allows new SAML queries to be defined that specify a single SAML subject. Its `SubjectQueryAbstractType` complex type is abstract and is thus usable only as the base of a derived type. `SubjectQueryAbstractType` adds the `<Subject>` element and an optional `SessionIndex` attribute to `RequestAbstractType` element is an extension point that allows new SAML queries that specify a single SAML subject. Its `SubjectQueryAbstractType` complex type is abstract and is thus usable only as the base of a derived type. `SubjectQueryAbstractType` adds the `<Subject>` element.

*SessionIndex [Optional]*

If present, specifies a filter for possible responses. Such a query asks the question "What assertions containing subject statements do you have for this subject within the context of the supplied session information?"

If the `SessionIndex` attribute is present in any defined query, at least one element that extends `StatementAbstractType` in the set of returned assertions MUST contain an `SessionIndex` attribute that matches the `SessionIndex` attribute in the query. It is OPTIONAL for the complete set of all such matching assertions to be returned in the response.

The following schema fragment defines the `<SubjectQuery>` element and its `SubjectQueryAbstractType` complex type:
3.3.4.2 Element <AuthenticationQuery>

The <AuthenticationQuery> message element is used to make the query “What assertions containing authentication statements are available for this subject?” A successful <Response> will contain one or more element is used to make the query “What assertions containing authentication statements are available for this subject?” A successful response will be in the form of assertions containing authentication statements.

The <AuthenticationQuery> message element MUST NOT be used as a request for a new authentication using credentials provided in the request. <AuthenticationQuery> is a request for statements about authentication acts that have occurred in a previous interaction between the indicated subject and the Authentication Authority.

This element is of type AuthenticationQueryType, which extends SubjectQueryAbstractType with the addition of the following attribute:

- **AuthenticationMethod** [Optional]
  - If present, specifies a filter for possible responses. Such a query asks the question “What assertions containing authentication statements do you have for this subject with the supplied authentication method?”

In response to an authentication query, a SAML authority returns assertions with authentication statements as follows:

- Rules given in Section Responses to for matching against the <Subject> element of the query identify the assertions that may be returned.

- If the AuthenticationMethod attribute is present in the query, at least one <AuthenticationStatement> element in the set of returned assertions MUST contain an AuthenticationMethod attribute that matches the AuthenticationMethod attribute in the query. It is OPTIONAL for the complete set of all such matching assertions to be returned in the response.

The following schema fragment defines the <AuthenticationQuery> element and its AuthenticationQueryType complex type:
3.3.4.3 Element <AttributeQuery>

The <AttributeQuery> element is used to make the query “Return the requested attributes for this subject.” A successful response will be in the form of assertions containing attribute statements. This element is of type AttributeQueryType, which extends SubjectQueryAbstractType with the addition of the following element and attribute:

Resource [Optional]

If present, specifies that the attribute query is being made in order to evaluate a specific access request relating to the resource. The SAML authority MAY use the resource attribute to establish the scope of the request. It is permitted for this attribute to have the value of the empty URI reference (“”), and the meaning is defined to be “the start of the current document”, as specified by [RFC 2396] §4.2.

If the resource attribute is specified and the SAML authority does not wish to support resource-specific attribute queries, or if the resource value provided is invalid or unrecognized, then the Attribute Authority SHOULD respond with a top-level <StatusCode> value of Responder and a second-level <StatusCode> value of ResourceNotRecognized.

<AttributeDesignator> [Any Number] (see Section Elements <AttributeDesignator> and <Attribute>)

Each <AttributeDesignator> element specifies an attribute whose value is to be returned. If no attributes are specified, it indicates that all attributes allowed by policy are requested.

In response to an attribute query, a SAML authority returns assertions with attribute statements as follows:

- Rules given in Section Responses to for matching against the <Subject> element of the query identify the assertions that may be returned.
- If any <AttributeDesignator> elements are present in the query, they constrain the attribute values returned, as noted above.
- The SAML authority MAY take the Resource attribute into account in further constraining the values returned, as noted above.
- The attribute values returned MAY be constrained by application-specific policy considerations.

The following schema fragment defines the <AttributeQuery> element and its AttributeQueryType complex type:

```
<element name="AttributeQuery" type="samlp:AttributeQueryType"/>
<complexType name="AttributeQueryType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="samlp:SubjectQueryAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:AttributeDesignator" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </sequence>
      <attribute name="Resource" type="anyURI" use="optional"/>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

3.3.4.4 Element <AuthorizationDecisionQuery>

The <AuthorizationDecisionQuery> element is used to make the query “Should these actions on this resource be allowed for this subject, given this evidence?” A successful response will be in the form
of assertions containing authorization decision statements. This element is of type AuthorizationDecisionQueryType, which extends SubjectQueryAbstractType with the addition of the following elements and attribute:

Note: The <AuthorizationDecisionQuery> feature has been frozen as of SAML V2.0, with no future enhancements planned. Users who require additional functionality may want to consider the eXtensible Access Control Markup Language [XACML], which offers enhanced authorization decision features.

This element is of type AuthorizationDecisionQueryType, which extends SubjectQueryAbstractType with the addition of the following elements and attribute:

Resource [Required]
A URI reference indicating the resource for which authorization is requested.

<Action> [One or More]
The actions for which authorization is requested.

<Evidence> [Optional]
A set of assertions that the SAML authority MAY rely on in making its authorization decision.

In response to an authorization decision query, a SAML authority returns assertions with authorization decision statements as follows:

- Rules given in Section 3.3.4.1 Responses to matching against the <Subject> element of the query identify the assertions that may be returned.

The following schema fragment defines the <AuthorizationDecisionQuery> element and its AuthorizationDecisionQueryType complex type:

```
<element name="AuthorizationDecisionQuery" type="samlp:AuthorizationDecisionQueryType"/>
<complexType name="AuthorizationDecisionQueryType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="samlp:SubjectQueryAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:Action" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <element ref="saml:Evidence" minOccurs="0"/>
      </sequence>
      <attribute name="Resource" type="anyURI" use="required"/>
    </complexTypeContent>
  </complexType>
</complexType>
```

### 3.4 Responses

The following sections define the SAML constructs that contain response information.

#### 3.4.1 Complex-Type ResponseAbstractType

All SAML responses are of types that are derived from the abstract ResponseAbstractType complex type. This type defines common attributes and elements that are associated with all SAML responses:

ResponseID [Required]

An identifier for the response. It is of type xsd:string, and MUST follow the requirements specified in Section 1.2.3 for identifier uniqueness.
InResponseTo [Optional]

A reference to the identifier of the request to which the response corresponds, if any. If the response is not generated in response to a request, or if the RequestID attribute value of a request cannot be determined (because the request is malformed), then this attribute MUST NOT be present. Otherwise, it MUST be present and its value MUST match the value of the corresponding RequestID attribute value.

MajorVersion [Required]

The major version of this response. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this specification is 1. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

MinorVersion [Required]

The minor version of this response. The identifier for the version of SAML defined in this specification is 1. SAML versioning is discussed in Section SAML Versioning.

IssueInstant [Required]

The time instant of issue of the response. The time value is encoded in UTC as described in Section Time Values.

Recipient [Optional]

The intended recipient of this response. This is useful to prevent malicious forwarding of responses to unintended recipients, a protection that is required by some use profiles. It is set by the generator of the response to a URI reference that identifies the intended recipient. If present, the actual recipient MUST check that the URI reference identifies the recipient or a resource managed by the recipient. If it does not, the response MUST be discarded.

<ds:Signature> [Optional]

An XML Signature that authenticates the response, as described in Section SAML and XML Signature Syntax and Processing.

The following schema fragment defines the ResponseAbstractType complex type:

```xml
<complexType name="ResponseAbstractType" abstract="true">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="ds:Signature" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
  <attribute name="ResponseID" type="ID" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="InResponseTo" type="NCName" use="optional"/>
  <attribute name="MajorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="MinorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="IssueInstant" type="dateTime" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="Recipient" type="anyURI" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```

### 3.4.2 Element <Response>

The `<Response>` message element is used when a response consists of a list of zero or more assertions that answer the request. It has the complex type ResponseType, which extends StatusResponseType by adding the following elements:

- `<Status>` [Required]

  A code representing the status of the corresponding request.
3.4.2.1 Processing Rules

In response to a query message, every assertion returned by a SAML authority MUST contain a <Subject> element that strongly matches the <Subject> element found in the query. A <Subject> element S1 strongly matches S2 if and only if the following two conditions both apply:

- If S2 includes an identifier element (any element whose type is derived from BaseIdentifierAbstractType), then S1 must include an identical identifier element.
- If S2 includes one or more <SubjectConfirmation> elements, then S1 must include at least one <SubjectConfirmation> element such that the assertion's subject can be confirmed in the manner described by at least one element in the requested set.

If the SAML authority cannot provide an assertion with any statements satisfying the constraints expressed by a query, the <Response> element MUST NOT contain an <Assertion> element and MUST include a <StatusCode> element with value Success. It MAY return a <StatusMessage> element with additional information.

3.5 Authentication Request Protocol

When a principal (or an agent acting on the principal's behalf) wishes to obtain assertions containing authentication statements to establish a security context at one or more relying parties, it can use the authentication request protocol to send an <AuthnRequest> message to a SAML authority and request that it return a <Response> message containing one or more such assertions. A SAML authority that supports this protocol is also termed an identity provider. Such assertions MAY contain additional statements of any type, but at least one assertion MUST contain at least one authentication statement. Apart from this requirement, the specific contents of the returned assertions depend on the profile or context of use. Also, the exact means by which the principal or agent authenticates to the identity provider are not specified, though the means of authentication MAY impact the content of the response. Other issues related to the validation of authentication credentials by the identity provider or any communication between the identity provider and any other entities involved in the authentication process are also out of scope of this protocol.

The descriptions and processing rules in the following sections reference the following actors, many of whom might be the same entity in a particular profile of use:

- **Request Issuer**
  - The entity who creates the authentication request and to whom the response is to be returned.
Presenter
The entity who presents the request to the authority and either authenticates itself during the sending of the message, or relies on an existing security context to establish its identity. If not the request issuer, the sender acts as an intermediary between the request issuer and the responding identity provider.

Requested Subject
The entity about whom one or more assertions are being requested.

Confirming Subject
The entity or entities expected to be able to satisfy one of the <SubjectConfirmation> elements of the resulting assertion(s).

Relying Party
The entity or entities expected to consume the assertion(s) to accomplish a purpose defined by the profile or context of use, generally to establish a security context.

3.5.1 Element <AuthnRequest>
To request that an identity provider issue an authentication assertion, an entity authenticates to it (or relies on an existing security context) and sends it an <AuthnRequest> message that describes the properties that the resulting assertion needs to have to satisfy its purpose. Among these properties may be information that relates to the content of the assertion and/or information that relates to how the resulting <Response> message should be delivered to the request issuer.

The request issuer might not be the same as the presenter of the request, if for example the request issuer is a relying party that intends to use the resulting assertion to authenticate or authorize the requested subject to provide a service.

The <AuthnRequest> message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

This message has the complex type AuthnRequestType, which extends RequestAbstractType and adds the following elements and attributes, all of which are optional in general, but may be required by specific profiles:

<tr><td><b><Subject> [Optional]</b></td></tr>
Specifies the requested subject of the resulting assertion(s). This may include one or more <SubjectConfirmation> elements to indicate how and/or by whom the resulting assertions' can be confirmed.

If entirely omitted or if no identifier is included, the presenter of the message is presumed to be the requested subject. If no <SubjectConfirmation> elements are included, then the presenter is presumed to be the only confirming entity required and the method is implied by the profile of use and/or the policies of the identity provider.

<tr><td><b><NameIDPolicy> [Optional]</b></td></tr>
Specifies constraints on the name identifier to be used to represent the requested subject. If omitted, then any type of identifier supported by the identity provider for the requested subject can be used, constrained by any relevant deployment-specific policies, with respect to privacy, for example.

<tr><td><b><Conditions> [Optional]</b></td></tr>
Specifies the SAML conditions the request issuer expects to govern the validity and/or use of the
resulting assertion(s). The responder MAY modify or supplement this set as it deems necessary.

<RequestAuthnContext> [Optional]

Specifies the requirements, if any, that the request issuer places on the authentication context that applies to the responding provider's authentication of the presenter.

<Scoping> [Optional]

Specifies the identity providers trusted by the request issuer to authenticate the presenter, as well as limitations and context related to proxying of the <AuthnRequest> message to subsequent identity providers by the responder.

IsPassive [Optional]

A Boolean value. If "true", the identity provider and the user agent itself MUST NOT take control of the user interface from the request issuer and interact with the presenter in a noticeable fashion. If a value is not provided, the default is "true".

ForceAuthn [Optional]

A Boolean value. If "true", the identity provider MUST authenticate the presenter directly rather than rely on a previous security context. If a value is not provided, the default is "false". However, if both ForceAuthn and IsPassive are "true", the identity provider MUST NOT freshly authenticate the presenter unless the constraints of IsPassive can be met.

ProtocolBinding [Optional]

A URI that identifies a SAML protocol binding to be used when returning the <Response> message.

AssertionConsumerServiceID [Optional]

References one of a set of <AssertionConsumerService> elements in the request issuer's metadata as the one to which the <Response> should be returned. It applies only to profiles that specify use of this metadata element, in which the request issuer is different than the presenter. If omitted, the metadata element labeled with the isDefault attribute MUST be used with such profiles.

AssertionConsumerServiceURL [Optional]

If the would-be presenter of an <AuthnRequest> recognizes that the issuer's request cannot be satisfied for some reason, this attribute specifies where a <Response> message generated by that would-be presenter MUST be returned. This attribute can be required by certain profiles.

ProviderName [Optional]

Specifies the human-readable name of the request issuer for use by the presenter's user agent or the identity provider.

See Section 3.4.1.8 for general processing rules regarding this message.

The following schema fragment defines the <AuthnRequest> element and its AuthnRequestType complex type:

```
<element name="AuthnRequest" type="samlp:AuthnRequestType"/>
<complexType name="AuthnRequestType">
    <complexContent>
        <extension base="samlp:RequestAbstractType">
            <sequence>
                <element ref="saml:Subject" minOccurs="0"/>
                <element ref="samlp:NameIDPolicy" minOccurs="0"/>
                <element ref="samlp:RequestAuthnContext" minOccurs="0"/>
            </sequence>
        </extension>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>
```
3.5.1.1 Element <NameIDPolicy>

The <NameIDPolicy> element tailors the name identifier in the subjects of assertions resulting from an <AuthnRequest>. Its NameIDPolicyType complex type defines the following attributes:

- **Format** [Required]
  - Specifies the URI of a name identifier format defined in this or another specification (see Section 7.3 for examples).

- **SPNameQualifier** [Optional]
  - Used with a format of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:federated or urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted, it optionally specifies that a federated identifier be returned (or created) in the namespace of a service provider other than the issuing service provider, or an affiliation group.

When this element is used, if the content is not understood by or acceptable to the identity provider, then a <Response> MUST be returned with a <Status> containing a second-level <StatusCode> of samlp:InvalidNameIDPolicy.

A Format of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:federated expresses the request issuer's willingness, at the discretion of the requested subject, to establish an identity federation for the subject with the identity provider, if one does not already exist. But note that when <NameIDPolicy> is omitted, the identity provider MAY, at its (and the subject's) discretion, also establish such an identity federation with the understanding that the issuing service provider might ignore the federated and persistent aspect of the identifier.

A Format of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted indicates that the resulting assertion(s) MUST contain <EncryptedIdentifier> elements instead of plaintext. The underlying name identifier's unencrypted form can be of any type supported by the identity provider for the requested subject.

Any format value (or the omission of this element) MAY result in an <EncryptedIdentifier> in the resulting assertion(s), if the identity provider's (or the subject's) policies regarding privacy dictate this.

The following schema fragment defines the <NameIDPolicy> element and its NameIDPolicyType complex type:

```xml
<element name="NameIDPolicy" type="samlp:NameIDPolicyType"/>
<complexType name="NameIDPolicyType">
  <sequence/>
  <attribute name="Format" type="anyURI" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="SPNameQualifier" type="string" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```
3.5.1.2 Element <RequestAuthnContext>

The <RequestAuthnContext> element specifies the authentication context requirements of the request issuer with respect to the authentication of the presenter. Its RequestAuthnContextType complex type defines the following elements and attributes:

- `<AuthnContextClassRef>` or `<AuthnContextStatementRef>` [One or More]
  Specifies one or more URIs identifying authentication context classes or statements.

- **Comparison** [Optional]
  Specifies the comparison method used to evaluate the requested context classes or statements, one of "exact", "minimum", "maximum", or "better". The default is "exact".

If <RequestAuthnContext> is specified in an <AuthnRequest> message, the authentication statement in the resulting assertion MUST contain an authentication context that conforms to the requested context as described below.

Either a set of class references or statement references can be used. Additionally, the set of supplied references MUST be evaluated as an ordered set, where the first element is the most preferred authentication context class or statement. If none of the specified classes or statements can be satisfied in accordance with the rules below, then the identity provider MUST return a <Response> message with a second-level <StatusCode> of `samlp:NoAuthnContext`.

If **Comparison** is set to "exact" or omitted, then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement MUST be the exact match of at least one of the authentication contexts specified.

If **Comparison** is set to "minimum", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement MUST be at least as strong (as deemed by the identity provider) as one of the authentication contexts specified.

If **Comparison** is set to "better", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement MUST be stronger (as deemed by the identity provider) than any one of the authentication contexts specified.

If **Comparison** is set to "maximum", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement MUST be as strong as possible (as deemed by the identity provider) without exceeding the strength of at least one of the authentication contexts specified.

The following schema fragment defines the <RequestAuthnContext> element and its RequestAuthnContextType complex type:

```xml
<element name="RequestAuthnContext" type="samlp:RequestAuthnContextType"/>
<complexType name="RequestAuthnContextType">
<choice>
  <element ref="saml:AuthnContextClassRef" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  <element ref="saml:AuthnContextStatementRef" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
</choice>
<attribute name="Comparison" type="samlp:AuthnContextComparisonType" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
<simpleType name="AuthnContextComparisonType">
<restriction base="string">
  <enumeration value="exact"/>
  <enumeration value="minimum"/>
  <enumeration value="maximum"/>
  <enumeration value="better"/>
</restriction>
</simpleType>
```
3.5.1.3 Element <Scoping>

The <Scoping> element specifies the identity providers trusted by the request issuer to authenticate the presenter, as well as limitations and context related to proxying of the <AuthnRequest> message to subsequent identity providers by the responder. Its ScopingType complex type defines the following elements and attribute:

- <IDPList> [Optional]
  - An advisory list of identity providers and associated information that the request issuer deems acceptable to respond to the request.
- <RequesterID> [Zero or More]
  - Identifies the set requesting entities on whose behalf the request issuer is acting. Used to communicate the chain of request issuers when proxying occurs, as described in section 3.4.1.9.
- ProxyCount [Optional]
  - Specifies the number of proxying indirections permissible between the identity provider that receives this <AuthnRequest> and the identity provider who ultimately authenticates the principal. A count of zero permits no proxying, while omitting this attribute expresses no such restriction.

In profiles specifying an active intermediary, the intermediary MAY examine the list and return a <Response> message with a second-level <StatusCode> of samlp:NoAvailableIDP or samlp:NoSupportedIDP if it cannot contact or does not support any of the specified identity providers.

The following schema fragment defines the <Scoping> element and its ScopingType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Scoping" type="samlp:ScopingType"/>
<complexType name="ScopingType">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="samlp:IDPList" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element ref="samlp:RequesterID" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <element ref="samlp:ProxyCount" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```

3.5.2 Element <Status>

The <Status> element contains the following elements:

- <StatusCode> [Required]
  - A code representing the status of the corresponding request.
- <StatusMessage> [Optional]
  - A message which MAY be returned to an operator.
- <StatusDetail> [Optional]
  - Additional information concerning an error condition.

The following schema fragment defines the <Status> element and its StatusType complex type:

```xml
<element name="Status" type="samlp:StatusType"/>
<complexType name="StatusType">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="samlp:IDPList" minOccurs="0"/>
    <element ref="samlp:RequesterID" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <element ref="samlp:ProxyCount" minOccurs="0"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```
3.5.2.1 Element <IDPList>

The <IDPList> element specifies the identity providers trusted by the request issuer to authenticate the presenter. Its IDPListType complex type defines the following elements:

- <IDPEntry> [One or More]
  Information about a single identity provider

- <GetComplete> [Optional]
  If the <IDPList> is not complete, this element may specify a URI that resolves to the complete list.

The following schema fragment defines the <IDPList> element and its IDPListType complex type:

```
<element name="IDPList" type="samlp:IDPListType"/>
<complexType name="IDPListType">
  <element name="IDPEntry"
```

The <StatusCode> element specifies one or more possibly nested, codes representing the status of the corresponding request. The <StatusCode> element has the following element and attribute:

- Value [Required]
  The status code value. This attribute contains an XML Schema QName; a namespace prefix MUST be provided. The value of the topmost <StatusCode> element MUST be from the top level list provided in this section.

- <StatusCode> [Optional]
  A subordinate status code that provides more specific information on an error condition.

The top-level <StatusCode> values are QNames associated with the SAML protocol namespace. The local parts of these QNames are as follows:

- Success
- VersionMismatch
- Requester
- Responder
- RequestVersionTooHigh
- RequestVersionTooLow

The following second-level status codes are referenced at various places in the specification. Additional second-level status codes MAY be defined in future versions of the SAML specification.

- Requester or SAML authority.

- The request could not be performed due to an error on the part of the requester.

- The request could not be performed due to an error on the part of the SAML responder or SAML authority.

- The SAML responder cannot process the request because the protocol version specified in the request message is a major upgrade from the highest protocol version supported by the responder.

- The SAML responder cannot process the request because the protocol version specified in the request message is a minor upgrade from the highest protocol version supported by the responder.
request message is too low.
The SAML responder can not process any requests with the protocol version specified in the request.
The response message would contain more elements than the SAML responder will return.
The SAML responder or SAML authority is able to process the request but has chosen not to respond. This status code MAY be used when there is concern about the security context of the request message or the sequence of request messages received from a particular requester.
The SAML authority does not wish to support resource-specific attribute queries, or the resource value provided in the request message is invalid or unrecognized.
SAML system entities are free to define more specific status codes in other namespaces, but MUST NOT define additional codes in the SAML assertion or protocol namespace.
The QNames defined as status codes SHOULD be used only in the <StatusCode> element's Value attribute and have the above semantics only in that context.

The following schema fragment defines the <StatusCode> element and its StatusCodeType complex type:

```
<element name="StatusCode" type="samlp:StatusCodeType"/>
<complexType name="StatusCodeType">
  <sequence>
    <element ref="samlp:IDPEntry" minOccurs="unboundedStatusCodes" maxOccurs="unboundedStatusCodes"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```

### 3.5.2.2 Element <IDPEntry>

The <IDPEntry> element specifies a single identity provider trusted by the request issuer to authenticate the presenter. Its IDPEntryType complex type defines the following elements:

- **<ID> [Required]**
  - The unique identifier of the identity provider
- **<Name> [Optional]**
  - A human readable name for the identity provider
- **<Loc> [Optional]**
  - The location of a profile-specific endpoint supporting the authentication request protocol. The binding to be used must be understood from the profile of use.

The following schema fragment defines the <IDPEntry> element and its IDPEntryType complex type:

```
<element name="IDPEntry" type="samlp:IDPEntryType"/>
<complexType name="IDPEntryType">
  <sequence/>
  <attribute name="ID" type="anyURI" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="Name" type="string" use="optional"/>
</complexType>
```
3.5.2.3 Processing Rules

The `<AuthnRequest>` and `<Response>` exchange supports a variety of usage scenarios and is therefore typically profiled for use in a specific context in which this optionality is constrained and specific kinds of input and output are required or prohibited. The following processing rules apply as invariant behavior across any profile of this protocol exchange.

The recipient MUST validate any signature present on the request or response message. To be considered valid, the signature provided MUST be the signature of the `<Issuer>` contained in the message.

The responder MUST ultimately reply to an `<AuthnRequest>` with a `<Response>` message containing one or more assertions that meet the specifications defined by the request, or a `<Status>` describing the error that occurred. The responder MAY conduct additional message exchanges with the request sender as needed to initiate or complete the authentication process, subject to the nature of the protocol binding and the authentication mechanism. As described in the next section, this includes proxying the request by directing the presenter to another identity provider by issuing its own `<AuthnRequest>` message, so that the resulting assertion can be used to authenticate the presenter to the original responder.

If the responder is unable to authenticate the presenter or does not recognize the requested subject, it MUST return a `<Response>` with a `<Status>` containing a second-level `<StatusCode>` of `samlp:UnknownPrincipal`.

If the `<Subject>` element in the request is present, then the resulting assertions’ `<Subject>` MUST strongly match the request `<Subject>`, as described in section 3.3.4.1, except that the identifier MAY be in a different form if specified by `<NameIDPolicy>`.

All of the content defined specifically within `<AuthnRequest>` is optional, although some may be required by certain profiles. In the absence of any specific content at all, the following behavior is assumed:

- The assertion(s) returned MUST contain a `<Subject>` element that represents the presenter. The identifier type and format are determined by the identity provider. At least one statement MUST be an `<AuthenticationStatement>` that describes the authentication performed by the responder or authentication service associated with it.
- The request presenter should, to the extent possible, be the only entity able to satisfy the `<SubjectConfirmation>` of the assertion(s). In the case of weaker confirmation methods, binding-specific or other mechanisms will be used to help satisfy this requirement.
- The resulting assertion(s) MUST contain an `<AudienceRestrictionCondition>` element referencing the request issuer as an acceptable relying party. Other audiences MAY be included as deemed appropriate by the identity provider.

3.5.2.4 Proxying

If an identity provider that receives an `<AuthnRequest>` has not yet authenticated the presenter or cannot directly authenticate him/her, but believes that the presenter has already authenticated to another identity provider, it may respond to the request by issuing a new `<AuthnRequest>` on its own behalf to
be presented to the other identity provider. The original identity provider is termed the proxying identity provider.

Upon the successful return of a <Response> to the proxying provider, the enclosed assertion MAY be used to authenticate the presenter so that the proxying provider can issue an assertion of its own in response to the original <AuthnRequest>, completing the overall message exchange. Both the proxying and authenticating identity providers MAY include constraints on proxying activity in the messages and assertions they issue, as described in previous sections, and below.

The request issuer can influence proxy behavior by including a <Scoping> element where the provider sets a desired ProxyCount value and/or indicates a list of preferred identity providers which may be proxied by including an ordered <IDPList> of preferred providers.

An identity provider can control secondary use of its assertions by proxying identity providers using a <ProxyRestrictionCondition> element in the assertions it issues.

### 3.5.2.4.1 Processing Rules

An identity provider MAY proxy an <AuthnRequest> if the <ProxyCount> attribute is omitted or is greater than zero. Whether it chooses to proxy or not is a matter of local policy. An identity provider MAY choose to proxy for a provider specified in the <IDPList>, if provided, but is not required to do so.

An identity provider MUST NOT proxy a request where the <ProxyCount> attribute is set to zero. The identity provider MUST return an error containing a second-level <samlp:StatusCode> value of samlp:ProxyCountExceeded, unless it can directly authenticate the presenter.

If it chooses to proxy, when creating the new <AuthnRequest>, an identity provider MUST include equivalent or stricter forms of all the information included in the original request (such as authentication context policy). Note however that the proxying provider is free to specify whatever <NameIDPolicy> it wishes to maximize the chances of a successful response.

If the authenticating identity provider is not a SAML identity provider, then the proxying provider MUST have some other way to ensure that the elements governing user agent interaction (<IsPassive>, for example) will be honored by the authenticating provider.

The new <AuthnRequest> MUST contain a <ProxyCount> attribute with a value of at most one less than the original value. If the original request does not contain a <ProxyCount> attribute, then the new request SHOULD contain a <ProxyCount> attribute.

If an <IDPList> was specified in the original request, the new request MUST also contain an <IDPList>. The proxying identity provider MAY add additional identity providers to the end of the <IDPList>, but MUST NOT remove any from the list.

The authentication request and response are processed in normal fashion, in accordance with the rules given in Section 3.4.1.8 and the profile of use. Once the presenter has authenticated to the proxying identity provider (by delivering a <Response>), the following steps are followed:

- The proxying identity provider prepares a new assertion on its own behalf by copying in the relevant information from the original assertion. The original assertion will be restricted by <AudienceRestrictionCondition> to (at least) the proxying identity provider, while the new assertion’s condition will reference (at least) the original request issuer.
- The new assertion’s <Subject> should contain an identifier that satisfies the original request issuer’s preferences, as defined by its <NameIDPolicy> element.
• The <AuthenticationStatement> in the new assertion MUST include an <AuthnContext> element containing an <ac:AuthenticatingAuthority> element referencing the identity provider to which the proxying identity provider referred the presenter. If the original assertion contains <AuthnContext> information that includes one or more <ac:AuthenticatingAuthority> elements, those elements SHOULD be included in the new assertion, with the new element placed after them.

• If the authenticating identity provider is not a SAML provider, then the proxying identity provider MUST generate a unique identifier value for the authenticating provider. This value SHOULD be consistent over time across different requests. The value MUST not conflict with values used or generated by other SAML providers.

• Any other <AuthnContext> information MAY be copied, translated, or omitted in accordance with the policies of the proxying identity provider, provided that the original requirements dictated by the request issuer are met.

If, in the future, the identity provider is asked to authenticate the same presenter for a second request issuer and this request is equally or less strict than the original request, the identity provider MAY skip the creation of a new <AuthnRequest> to the authenticating identity provider and immediately issue another assertion (assuming the original assertion it received is still valid). The concrete definition of "equally or less strict" is up to the proxying identity provider.

3.6 Artifact Protocol

The artifact protocol provides a mechanism by which SAML protocol messages can be transported in a SAML binding by reference instead of by value. Both requests and responses can be obtained by reference using this specialized protocol. A message sender, instead of binding a message to a transport protocol, sends a small piece of data called an artifact using the binding. An artifact can take a variety of forms, but must support a means by which the receiver can determine who sent it. If the receiver wishes, it can then use this protocol in conjunction with a different (generally synchronous) SAML binding protocol to dereference the artifact into the original protocol message. The most common use for this mechanism is with bindings that cannot easily carry a message because of size constraints.

Depending on the characteristics of the underlying message being passed by reference, the artifact protocol MAY require protections such as mutual authentication, integrity protection, confidentiality, etc. from the protocol binding used to dereference the artifact. In all cases, the artifact MUST exhibit a single-use semantic such that once it has been successfully dereferenced, it can no longer be used by any party.

Regardless of the protocol message obtained, the result of dereferencing an artifact MUST be treated exactly as if the message so obtained had been sent originally in place of the artifact.

3.6.1 Element <ArtifactRequest>

The <ArtifactRequest> message is used to request that a protocol message be returned in an <ArtifactResponse> message by specifying an artifact that represents the protocol message. The original transmission of the artifact is governed by the specific binding or profile of SAML that is being used; see the SAML specifications for bindings [SAMLBind] and profiles [SAMLProf] for more information on the use of artifacts in bindings and profiles.

The <ArtifactRequest> message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The <Issuer> of the request MUST contain the unique identifier of the requesting provider, with a Format value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider.
This message has the complex type ArtifactRequestType, which extends RequestAbstractType and
adds the following element:

<Artifact> [Required]
The artifact value that the requester received and now wishes to translate into the protocol message
it represents. See [SAMLBind] for specific artifact format information.

The following schema fragment defines the <ArtifactRequest> element and its
ArtifactRequestType complex type:

```
<element name="ArtifactRequest" type="samlp:ArtifactRequestType"/>
<complexType name="ArtifactRequestType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="samlp:RequestAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="samlp:Artifact"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

### 3.6.2 Element <ArtifactResponse>

The recipient of an <ArtifactRequest> message MUST respond with an <ArtifactResponse>
message, which is of complex type ArtifactResponseType, which extends StatusResponseType with a
single optional wildcard element corresponding to the protocol message being returned. This wrapped
message element can be a request or a response.

The <ArtifactResponse> message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity
protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The <Issuer> of the response MUST contain the unique identifier of the responding provider, with a

The following schema fragment defines the <ArtifactResponse> element and its
ArtifactResponseType complex type:

```
<element name="ArtifactResponse" type="samlp:ArtifactResponseType"/>
<complexType name="ArtifactResponseType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="samlp:StatusResponseType">
      <sequence>
        <any namespace="#any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

### 3.6.3 Processing Rules

The recipient MUST validate any signature present on the request or response message. To be
considered valid, the signature provided MUST be the signature of the <Issuer> contained in the
message.

If the responder recognizes the artifact as valid, then it responds with the associated protocol message
in an <ArtifactResponse> message. Otherwise, it responds with an <ArtifactResponse>
message with no embedded message. In both cases, the <Status> element MUST include a
<StatusCode> element with the code value Success. A response message with no embedded message inside it is termed an empty response in the remainder of this section.

The responder MUST enforce a one-time-use property on the artifact by insuring that any subsequent request with the same artifact by any requester results in an empty response as described above.

Some SAML protocol messages, most particularly the <AuthnRequest> message in some profiles, MAY be intended for consumption by any party that receives it and can respond appropriately. In most other cases, however, a message is intended for a specific entity. In such cases, the artifact when issued MUST be associated with the intended recipient of the message that the artifact represents. If the artifact issuer receives an <ArtifactRequest> from a requester that cannot authenticate itself as the original intended recipient, then the artifact issuer MUST return an empty response.

The artifact issuer SHOULD enforce the shortest practical time limit on the usability of an artifact, such that an acceptable window of time (but no more) exists for the artifact receiver to obtain the artifact and return it in an <ArtifactRequest> to the issuer.

Note that the <ArtifactResponse>'s InResponseTo attribute MUST contain the value of the corresponding <AssertionRequest>'s RequestID attribute, but the embedded protocol message will contain its own message identifier, and in the case of an embedded response, may contain a different InResponseTo value that corresponds to the original request message to which the embedded message is responding.

3.7 Federated Name Registration Protocol

When an identity provider and service provider first federate a principal's identity using a <NameIdentifier> element with a Format of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:federated, the identity provider generates an opaque value that serves as the initial name identifier that both the service provider and the identity provider use in referring to the principal when communicating with each other.

Subsequent to federation, the service provider MAY register a different opaque value with the identity provider. This opaque value is an attribute termed the SPProvidedIdentifier. Until the service provider registers a different name, this attribute is omitted from <NameIdentifier> elements referring to the principal.

Either the service provider or the identity provider MAY register a new name identifier for a principal with each other at any time following federation. The name identifiers specified by providers SHOULD be unique across the identity providers with which the principal's identity is federated and SHOULD be unique within the group of name identifiers that have been registered with the identity provider by this service provider.

Only federated identifiers (as defined by a Format of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:federated) can be replaced and set with this protocol; non-federated, encrypted, or transient identifiers MUST NOT be used.

3.7.1 Element <RegisterNameIdentifierRequest>

To register an SPProvidedIdentifier attribute with an identity provider, the service provider sends a <RegisterNameIdentifierRequest> message. The same message may be sent by an identity provider, seeking to change the <NameIdentifier> value stored by the service provider.

The <RegisterNameIdentifierRequest> message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.
The <Issuer> of the request MUST contain the unique identifier of the requesting provider, with a Format value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider.

This message has the complex type RegisterNameIdentifierRequestType, which extends RequestAbstractType and adds the following elements:

- **<NameIdentifier> [Required]**
  The federated name identifier and associated attributes that specify the principal as currently recognized by the identity and service providers prior to this request.

- **<NewIdentifier> [Required]**
  The new federated identifier value to be used when communicating with the requesting provider concerning this principal. If the requester is the service provider, the new identifier will appear in subsequent <NameIdentifier> elements in the SPProvidedIdentifier attribute. If the requester is the identity provider, the new value will appear in subsequent <NameIdentifier> elements as the element's value.

The following schema fragment defines the <RegisterNameIdentifierRequest> element and its RegisterNameIdentifierRequestType complex type:

```xml
<element name="NewIdentifier" type="string">
  <extension base="samlp:RegisterNameIdentifierRequest">
    <complexType name="RegisterNameIdentifierRequestType">
      <complexContent>
        <extension base="samlp:RequestAbstractType">
          <sequence>
            <element ref="saml:NameIdentifier"/>
            <element ref="samlp:NewIdentifier"/>
          </sequence>
        </extension>
      </complexContent>
    </complexType>
  </extension>
</element>
```

### 3.7.2 Element <RegisterNameIdentifierResponse>

The recipient of a <RegisterNameIdentifierRequest> message MUST respond with a <RegisterNameIdentifierResponse> message, which is of type StatusResponseType with no additional content.

The <RegisterNameIdentifierResponse> message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The <Issuer> of the response MUST contain the unique identifier of the responding provider, with a Format value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider.

The following schema fragment defines the <RegisterNameIdentifierResponse> element:

```xml
<element name="RegisterNameIdentifierResponse" type="samlp:StatusResponseType"/>
```

### 3.7.3 Processing Rules

The recipient MUST validate any signature present on the request or response message. To be considered valid, the signature provided MUST be the signature of the <Issuer> contained in the message.
If the request includes a `<NameIdentifier>` for which no federation exists between the service provider and the identity provider, the responding provider MUST respond with a `<Status>` containing a second-level `<StatusCode>` of `samlp:FederationDoesNotExist`.

If the service provider requests that its identifier be changed, the identity provider MUST include the `<NewIdentifier>` element's value as the `SPProvidedIdentifier` when subsequently communicating to the service provider regarding this principal.

If the identity provider requests that its identifier be changed, the service provider MUST use the `<NewIdentifier>` element's value as the `<NameIdentifier>` element value when subsequently communicating with the identity provider regarding this principal.

In either case, the `<NameIdentifier>` value in the request and its associated `SPProvidedIdentifier` attribute MUST contain the most recent name identifier information established between the providers for the principal. The `NameQualifier` attribute MUST contain the unique identifier of the identity provider. If the principal's identity federation is between the identity provider and an affiliation group of which the service provider is a member, then the `SPNameQualifier` attribute MUST contain the unique identifier of the affiliation group. Otherwise, it MUST contain the unique identifier of the service provider.

Changes to these identifiers may take a potentially significant amount of time to propagate through the systems at both the requester and the responder. Implementations might wish to allow each party to accept either identifier for some period of time following the successful completion of a name identifier change. Not doing so could result in the inability of the principal to access resources.

All other processing rules associated with the underlying request and response messages MUST be observed.

### 3.8 Federation Termination Protocol

When a principal (or an appropriate agent acting on his or her behalf) terminates an identity federation between a service provider and an identity provider through an interaction with the service provider, the service provider MUST send a `<FederationTerminationNotification>` message to the identity provider. The service provider is stating that it will no longer accept authentication assertions from the identity provider for the specified principal.

Likewise, when a principal terminates an identity federation through an interaction with the identity provider, the identity provider MUST send a `<FederationTerminationNotification>` message to the service provider. In this case, the identity provider is stating that it will no longer provide authentication assertions to the service provider for the specified principal.

Only federated identifiers (as defined by a `Format` of `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:federated`) can be replaced and set with this protocol; non-federated, encrypted, or transient identifiers MUST NOT be used.

#### 3.8.1 Element `<FederationTerminationNotification>`

A provider sends a `<FederationTerminationNotification>` to the provider with which it is terminating a federation. The `<FederationTerminationNotification>` message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The `<Issuer>` of the request MUST contain the unique identifier of the requesting provider, with a `Format` value of `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider`. 
This message has the complex type `FederationTerminationNotificationType`, which extends `RequestAbstractType` and adds the following elements:

- `<NameIdentifier> [Required]`

  The federated name identifier and associated attributes that specify the principal as currently recognized by the identity and service providers prior to this request. Format MUST be `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:federated`.

The following schema fragment defines the `<RegisterNameIdentifierRequest>` element and its `RegisterNameIdentifierRequestType` complex type:

```xml
<complexType name="FederationTerminationNotificationType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="samlp:RequestAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <element ref="saml:NameIdentifier"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

### 3.8.2 Element `<FederationTerminationResponse>`

The recipient of a `<FederationTerminationNotification>` message MUST respond with a `<FederationTerminationResponse>` message, which is of type `StatusResponseType` with no additional content.

The `<FederationTerminationResponse>` message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The `<Issuer>` of the response MUST contain the unique identifier of the responding provider, with a `Format value` of `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider`.

The following schema fragment defines the `<FederationTerminationResponse>` element:

```xml
<element name="FederationTerminationResponse" type="samlp:StatusResponseType"/>
```

### 3.8.3 Processing Rules

The recipient MUST validate any signature present on the request or response message. To be considered valid, the signature provided MUST be the signature of the `<Issuer>` contained in the message.

If the request includes a `<NameIdentifier>` for which no federation exists between the service provider and the identity provider, the responding provider MUST respond with a `<samlp:Status>` containing a second-level `<samlp:StatusCode>` of `samlp:FederationDoesNotExist`.

Otherwise, the provider MAY perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the federation has been terminated. A provider MAY choose to invalidate the session of a user for whom federation has been terminated.

All other processing rules associated with the underlying request and response messages MUST be observed.
3.9  Single Logout Protocol

The single logout protocol provides a message exchange protocol by which all sessions provided by a particular session authority are near-simultaneously terminated. The single logout protocol is used either when a principal logs out at a session participant or when the principal logs out directly at the session authority. This protocol may also be used to logout a principal due to a timeout. The reason for the logout event may be indicated through the reason attribute.

The principal may have established authenticated sessions both with the session authority, and individual session participants, based on authentication assertions supplied by the session authority.

When the principal invokes the single logout process at a session participant, the session participant MUST send a <LogoutRequest> message to the session authority that provided the authentication service related to that session at the session participant.

When either the principal invokes a logout at the session authority, or a session participant sends a logout request to the session authority specifying that principal, the session authority MUST send a <LogoutRequest> message to each session participant to which it provided authentication assertions under its current session with the principal, with the exception of the session participant that sent the <LogoutRequest> message to the session authority.

3.9.1  Element <LogoutRequest>

A session participant or session authority sends a <LogoutRequest> message to indicate that a session has been terminated.

The <LogoutRequest> message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

This message has the complex type LogoutRequestType, which extends RequestAbstractType, and adds the following elements and attributes:

- <NameIdentifier> [Required]
  - The name identifier and associated attributes that specify the principal as currently recognized by the identity and service providers prior to this request.
- <SessionIndex> [Optional]
  - The identifier that indexes this session at the message recipient.
- NotOnOrAfter [Optional]
  - The time at which the request expires.
- Reason [Optional]
  - An indication of the reason for the logout.
- The following schema fragment defines the <LogoutRequest> element and associated LogoutRequestType complex type:
3.9.2 Element <LogoutResponse>

The recipient of a <LogoutRequest> message MUST respond with a <LogoutResponse> message, of type StatusResponseType, with no additional content specified.

The <LogoutResponse> message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The following schema fragment defines the <LogoutResponse> element:

```xml
<element name="LogoutResponse" type="samlp:StatusResponseType"/>
```

3.9.3 Processing Rules

The <Issuer> of either message in this protocol MUST contain the unique identifier of the requesting or responding provider, with a Format value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider.

Message recipients MUST validate any signature present on the messages specified in this protocol. To be considered valid, the signature provided must be the signature of the <Issuer> contained in the message.

The message sender MAY use the Reason attribute to indicate the reason for sending the <LogoutRequest>. Other values MAY be agreed upon between participants, but the following values are defined directly by this specification for use by all message senders:

- urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:logout:user
  Specifies that the message is being sent because the principal wishes to terminate the indicated session.
- urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:logout:admin
  Specifies that the message is being sent because an administrator wishes to terminate the indicated session for that principal.

All other processing rules associated with the underlying request and response messages MUST be observed.

3.9.3.1 Session Participant Rules

When a session participant receives a <LogoutRequest>, the session participant MUST authenticate the message. If the sender is the authority that provided an assertion linked to the principal's current session, the session participant MUST invalidate the principal's session(s) referred to by the <NameIdentifier> element, and any <SessionIndex> elements supplied in the message.
The session participant MUST apply the logout request message to any assertion that meets the following conditions, even if the assertion arrives after the logout request:

- The `<SessionIndex>` of the assertion's statements matches one specified in the logout request.
- The assertion would otherwise be valid.
- The logout request has not yet expired (determined by examining the `NotOnOrAfter` attribute on the message).

### 3.9.3.2 Session Authority Rules

When a session authority receives a `<LogoutRequest>`, the session authority MUST authenticate the sender. If the sender is a session participant to which the session authority provided an assertion for the current session, then the session authority SHOULD do the following:

- Send a `<LogoutRequest>` message to each session participant for which the session authority provided assertions in the current session, other than the originator of a current `<LogoutRequest>`.
- Send a `<LogoutRequest>` message to any session authority on behalf of whom the session authority proxied the user's authentication, unless the second authority is the originator of the `<LogoutRequest>`.
- Terminate the principal's current session as specified by the `<NameIdentifier>` element, and any `<SessionIndex>` elements present in the logout request message.

It should be noted that a session authority MAY initiate a logout for reasons other than having received a `<LogoutRequest>` from a session participant – these include, but are not limited to:

- If some timeout period was agreed out-of-band with an individual session participant, the session authority MAY send a `<LogoutRequest>` to that individual participant alone.
- An agreed global timeout period has been exceeded.
- The principal, or some other trusted entity has requested logout of the principal, directly at the session authority.
- The session authority has determined that the principal's credentials may have been compromised.

When constructing a logout request message, the session authority MUST set the value of the `NotOnOrAfter` attribute of the message to a time value, indicating an expiration time for the message.

In addition to the values specified in section 3.6.3 for the `Reason` attribute, the following values are also available for use by the session authority only:

- `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:logout:global-timeout` Specifies that the message is being sent because of the global session timeout interval period being exceeded.
- `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:logout:sp-timeout` Specifies that the message is being sent because a timeout interval period agreed between a participant and the authority has been exceeded.

If an error occurs during this further processing of the logout (for example, relying session participants may not all implement the particular single logout protocol binding used by the requesting session participant), then the session authority MUST respond to the original requester with a `<LogoutResponse>` message, indicating the status of the logout request. The value
samlp:UnsupportedBinding is provided for a second-level <samlp:StatusCode>, indicating that a session participant should retry the <LogoutRequest> using a different protocol binding.

3.10 Name Identifier Mapping Protocol

When an entity that shares an identifier for a principal with an identity provider wishes to obtain a name identifier for the same principal in a particular format or federation namespace, it can send a request to the identity provider using this protocol.

For example, a service provider that wishes to communicate with another service provider with whom it does not share an identity federation for the principal can use an identity provider that shares an identity federation with both service providers to map from its own federated identifier to a new identifier, generally encrypted, with which it can communicate with the second service provider.

Regardless of the type of identifier involved, the mapped identifier SHOULD be encrypted into an <EncryptedIdentifier> element unless a specific deployment dictates such protection is unnecessary.

3.10.1 Element <NameIdentifierMappingRequest>

To request an alternate name identifier for a principal from an identity provider, a requester sends an <NameIdentifierMappingRequest> message. This message has the complex type NameIdentifierMappingRequestType, which extends RequestAbstractType and adds the following element:

<BaseIdentifier> or <NameIdentifier> or <EncryptedIdentifier> [Required]

The identifier and associated attributes that specify the principal as currently recognized by the requester and the responder.

<NameIDPolicy>

The format and optional name qualifier that describes the requirements for the identifier to be returned.

The message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The following schema fragment defines the <NameIdentifierMappingRequest> element and its NameIdentifierMappingRequestType complex type:

```xml
<element name="NameIdentifierMappingRequest" type="samlp:NameIdentifierMappingRequestType"/>
<complexType name="NameIdentifierMappingRequestType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="samlp:RequestAbstractType">
      <sequence>
        <choice>
          <element ref="saml:BaseIdentifier"/>
          <element ref="saml:NameIdentifier"/>
          <element ref="saml:EncryptedIdentifier"/>
        </choice>
        <element ref="samlp:NameIDPolicy"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```
3.10.2 Element <NameIdentifierMappingResponse>StatusMessage>

The recipient of a <NameIdentifierMappingRequest> message MUST respond with a <NameIdentifierMappingResponse> message. This message has the complex type NameIdentifierMappingResponseType, which extends RequestResponseType and adds the following element <StatusMessage> element specifies a message that MAY be returned to an operator.

The identifier and associated attributes that specify the principal in the manner requested, usually in encrypted form.

The message SHOULD be signed or otherwise authenticated and integrity protected by the protocol binding used to deliver the message.

The <Issuer> of the response MUST contain the unique identifier of the responding provider, with a Format value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider.

The following schema fragment defines the <NameIdentifierMappingResponse> element and its NameIdentifierMappingResponseType complex type:

```
<element name="NameIdentifierMappingResponse" type="samlp:NameIdentifierMappingResponseType"/>
<complexType name="NameIdentifierMappingResponseType">
  <extension base="samlp:StatusResponseType">
    <choice>
      <element ref="saml:NameIdentifier"/>
      <element ref="saml:EncryptedIdentifier"/>
    </choice>
  </extension>
</complexType>
```

The following schema fragment defines the <StatusMessage> element and its StatusMessageType complex type:

```
<element name="StatusMessage" type="string"/>
```

3.10.2.1 Element <StatusDetail>

The <StatusDetail> element MAY be used to specify additional information concerning an error condition.

The following schema fragment defines the <StatusDetail> element and its StatusDetailType complex type:

```
<element name="StatusDetail" type="samlp:StatusDetailType"/>
<complexType name="StatusDetailType">
  <sequence>
    <any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </sequence>
</complexType>
```

3.10.3 Processing RuleResponses to Queries

The recipient MUST validate any signature present on the request or response message. To be considered valid, the signature provided MUST be the signature of the <Issuer> contained in the message.
If the responder does not recognize the principal identified in the request, it MUST respond with a
<Status> containing a second-level <StatusCode> of samlp:UnknownPrincipal.

At the responder's discretion, the samlp:InvalidNameIDPolicy status code MAY be returned to
indicate an inability or unwillingness to supply an identifier in the requested format. Likewise, the
samlp:FederationDoesNotExist status code MAY be used to indicate that a requested federated
identifier cannot be returned.

All other processing rules associated with the underlying request and response messages MUST be
observed.

In response to a query, every assertion returned by a SAML authority MUST contain at least one
statement whose <saml:Subject> element strongly matches the <saml:Subject> element found in
the query.

A <saml:Subject> element S1 strongly matches S2 if and only if the following two conditions both
apply:

- If S2 includes a <saml:NameIdentifier> element, then S1 must include an identical
  <saml:NameIdentifier> element.

- If S2 includes a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element, then S1 must include an identical
  <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element.

If the SAML authority cannot provide an assertion with any statements satisfying the constraints
expressed by a query, the <Response> element MUST NOT contain an <Assertion> element and
MUST include a <StatusCode> element with value Success. It MAY return a <StatusMessage>
element with additional information.
4 SAML Versioning

The SAML specification set is versioned in two independent ways. Each is discussed in the following sections, along with processing rules for detecting and handling version differences, when applicable. Also included are guidelines on when and why specific version information is expected to change in future revisions of the specification.

When version information is expressed as both a Major and Minor version, it may be expressed discretely, or in the form Major.Minor. The version number MajorB.MinorB is higher than the version number MajorA.MinorA if and only if:

\[ \text{MajorB} > \text{MajorA} \lor ( \text{MajorB} = \text{MajorA} \land \text{MinorB} > \text{MinorA}) \]

4.1 SAML Specification Set Version

Each release of the SAML specification set will contain a major and minor version designation describing its relationship to earlier and later versions of the specification set. The version will be expressed in the content and filenames of published materials, including the specification set document(s), and XML schema instance(s). There are no normative processing rules surrounding specification set versioning, since it merely encompasses the collective release of normative specification documents which themselves contain processing rules.

The overall size and scope of changes to the specification set document(s) will informally dictate whether a set of changes constitutes a major or minor revision. In general, if the specification set is backwards compatible with an earlier specification set (that is, valid older messages, protocols, and semantics remain valid), then the new version will be a minor revision. Otherwise, the changes will constitute a major revision. Note that SAML V1.1 has made one backwards-incompatible change to SAML V1.0, described in Section.

4.1.1 Schema Version

As a non-normative documentation mechanism, any XML schema instances published as part of the specification set will contain a schema “version” attribute in the form Major.Minor, reflecting the specification set version in which it has been published. Validating implementations MAY use the attribute as a means of distinguishing which version of a schema is being used to validate messages, or to support a multiplicity of versions of the same logical schema.

4.1.2 SAML Assertion Version

The SAML <Assertion> element contains attributes for expressing the major and minor version of the assertion using a pair of integers. Each version of the SAML specification set will be construed so as to document the syntax, semantics, and processing rules of the assertions of the same version. That is, specification set version 1.0 describes assertion version 1.0, and so on.

There is explicitly NO relationship between the assertion version and the SAML assertion XML namespace that contains the schema definitions for that assertion version.

The following processing rules apply:

- A SAML authority MUST NOT issue any assertion with an assertion version number not supported by the authority.
- A SAML relying party MUST NOT process any assertion with a major assertion version number not supported by the relying party.
A SAML relying party MAY process or MAY reject an assertion whose minor assertion version number is higher than the minor assertion version number supported by the relying party. However, all assertions that share a major assertion version number MUST share the same general processing rules and semantics, and MAY be treated in a uniform way by an implementation. That is, if a V1.1 assertion shares the syntax of a V1.0 assertion, an implementation MAY treat the assertion as a V1.0 assertion without ill effect.

### 4.1.3 SAML Protocol Version

The SAML protocol `<Request>` and `<Response>` elements contain attributes for expressing the major and minor version of the request or response message using a pair of integers. Each version of the SAML specification set will be construed so as to document the syntax, semantics, and processing rules of the protocol messages of the same version. That is, specification set version 1.0 describes request and response version V1.0, and so on.

There is explicitly NO relationship between the protocol version and the SAML protocol XML namespace that contains the schema definitions for protocol messages for that protocol version.

The version numbers used in SAML protocol `<Request>` and `<Response>` elements will be the same for any particular revision of the SAML specification set.

#### 4.1.3.1 Request Version

The following processing rules apply to requests:

- A SAML requester SHOULD issue requests with the highest request version supported by both the SAML requester and the SAML responder.
- If the SAML requester does not know the capabilities of the SAML responder, then it should assume that it supports requests with the highest request version supported by the requester.
- A SAML requester MUST NOT issue a request message with a request version number matching a response version number that the requester does not support.
- A SAML responder MUST reject any request with a major request version number not supported by the responder.
- A SAML responder MAY process or MAY reject any request whose minor request version number is higher than the highest supported request version that it supports. However, all requests that share a major request version number MUST share the same general processing rules and semantics, and MAY be treated in a uniform way by an implementation. That is, if a V1.1 request shares the syntax of a V1.0 request, a responder MAY treat the request message as a V1.0 request without ill effect.

#### 4.1.4 Response Version

The following processing rules apply to responses:

- A SAML responder MUST NOT issue a response message with a response version number higher than the request version number of the corresponding request message.
- A SAML responder MUST NOT issue a response message with a major response version number lower than the major request version number of the corresponding request message except to report the error `RequestVersionTooHigh`.

An error response resulting from incompatible SAML protocol versions MUST result in reporting a top-level `<StatusCode>` value of `VersionMismatch`, and MAY result in reporting one of the following
4.1.5 Permissible Version Combinations

In general, assertions of a particular major version may appear in response messages of the same major version, as permitted by the importation of the SAML assertion namespace into the SAML protocol schema. Future versions of this specification are expected to explicitly describe the permitted combinations across major versions.

Specifically, this permits a V1.1 assertion to appear in a V1.0 response message and a V1.0 assertion to appear in a V1.1 response message.

4.2 SAML Namespace Version

XML schema instances and “qualified names” (QNames) published as part of the specification set contain one or more target namespaces into which the type, element, and attribute definitions are placed. Each namespace is distinct from the others, and represents, in shorthand, the structural and syntactical definitions that make up that part of the specification.

The namespace URIs defined by the specification set will generally contain version information of the form Major.Minor somewhere in the URI. The major and minor version in the URI MUST correspond to the major and minor version of the specification set in which the namespace is first introduced and defined. This information is not typically consumed by an XML processor, which treats the namespace opaquely, but is intended to communicate the relationship between the specification set and the namespaces it defines.

As a general rule, implementers can expect the namespaces (and the associated schema definitions) defined by a major revision of the specification set to remain valid and stable across minor revisions of the specification. New namespaces may be introduced, and when necessary, old namespaces replaced, but this is expected to be rare. In such cases, the older namespaces and their associated definitions should be expected to remain valid until a major specification set revision.

4.2.1 Schema Evolution

In general, maintaining namespace stability while adding or changing the content of a schema are competing goals. While certain design strategies can facilitate such changes, it is complex to predict how older implementations will react to any given change, making forward compatibility difficult to achieve. Nevertheless, the right to make such changes in minor revisions is reserved, in the interest of namespace stability. Except in special circumstances (for example to correct major deficiencies or fix errors), implementations should expect forward compatible schema changes in minor revisions, allowing new messages to validate against older schemas.

Implementations SHOULD expect and be prepared to deal with new extensions and message types in accordance with the processing rules laid out for those types. Minor revisions MAY introduce new types that leverage the extension facilities described in Section SAML Extensions. Older implementations SHOULD reject such extensions gracefully when they are encountered in contexts that dictate mandatory semantics. Examples include new query, statement, or condition types.
SAML assertions and SAML protocol request and response messages may be signed, with the following benefits:

- An assertion signed by the SAML authority supports:
  - Assertion integrity.
  - Authentication of the SAML authority to a SAML relying party.
  - If the signature is based on the SAML authority’s public-private key pair, then it also provides for non-repudiation of origin.

- A SAML protocol request or response message signed by the message originator supports:
  - Message integrity.
  - Authentication of message origin to a destination.
  - If the signature is based on the originator's public-private key pair, then it also provides for non-repudiation of origin.

A digital signature is not always required in SAML. For example, it may not be required in the following situations:

- In some circumstances signatures may be “inherited,” such as when an unsigned assertion gains protection from a signature on the containing protocol response message. "Inherited" signatures should be used with care when the contained object (such as the assertion) is intended to have a non-transitory lifetime. The reason is that the entire context must be retained to allow validation, exposing the XML content and adding potentially unnecessary overhead.

- The SAML relying party or SAML requester may have obtained an assertion or protocol message from the SAML authority or SAML responder directly (with no intermediaries) through a secure channel, with the SAML authority or SAML responder having authenticated to the relying party or SAML responder by some means other than a digital signature.

Many different techniques are available for “direct” authentication and secure channel establishment between two parties. The list includes TLS/SSL, HMAC, password-based mechanisms, etc. In addition, the applicable security requirements depend on the communicating applications and the nature of the assertion or message transported.

It is recommended that, in all other contexts, digital signatures be used for assertions and request and response messages. Specifically:

- A SAML assertion obtained by a SAML relying party from an entity other than the SAML authority SHOULD be signed by the SAML authority.

- A SAML protocol message arriving at a destination from an entity other than the originating site SHOULD be signed by the origin site.

Profiles may specify alternative signature mechanisms such as S/MIME or signed Java objects that contain SAML documents. Caveats about retaining context and interoperability apply. XML Signatures are intended to be the primary SAML signature mechanism, but the specification attempts to ensure compatibility with profiles that may require other mechanisms.

Unless a profile specifies an alternative signature mechanism, enveloped XML Digital Signatures MUST be used if signing.
5.1 Signing Assertions

All SAML assertions MAY be signed using the XML Signature. This is reflected in the assertion schema as described in Section Assertions.

5.2 Request/Response Signing

All SAML protocol request and response messages MAY be signed using the XML Signature. This is reflected in the schema as described in Sections Requests and Responses and Responses.

5.3 Signature Inheritance

A SAML assertion may be embedded within another SAML element, such as an enclosing <Assertion> or a <Request> or <Response>, which may be signed. When a SAML assertion does not contain a <ds:Signature> element, but is contained in an enclosing SAML element that contains a <ds:Signature> element, and the signature applies to the <Assertion> element and all its children, then the assertion can be considered to inherit the signature from the enclosing element. The resulting interpretation should be equivalent to the case where the assertion itself was signed with the same key and signature options.

Many SAML use cases involve SAML XML data enclosed within other protected data structures such as signed SOAP messages, S/MIME packages, and authenticated SSL connections. SAML profiles may define additional rules for interpreting SAML elements as inheriting signatures or other authentication information from the surrounding context, but no such inheritance should be inferred unless specifically identified by the profile.

5.4 XML Signature Profile

The XML Signature specification [XMLSig] calls out a general XML syntax for signing data with flexibility and many choices. This section details the constraints on these facilities so that SAML processors do not have to deal with the full generality of XML Signature processing. This usage makes specific use of the xsd:ID-typed attributes optionally present on the root elements to which signatures can apply: the AssertionID attribute on <Assertion>, the RequestID attribute on <Request>, and the ResponseID attribute on <Response>. These three attributes are collectively referred to in this section as the identifier attributes.

5.4.1 Signing Formats and Algorithms

XML Signature has three ways of relating a signature to a document: enveloping, enveloped, and detached.

SAML assertions and protocols MUST use enveloped signatures when signing assertions and protocol messages. SAML processors SHOULD support the use of RSA signing and verification for public key operations in accordance with the algorithm identified by http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1.

5.4.2 References

Signed SAML assertions and protocol messages MUST supply a value for the identifier attribute on the root element (< Assertion>, < Request>, or < Response>). The assertion’s or message’s root element may or may not be the root element of the actual XML document containing the signed assertion or message.
Signatures MUST contain a single <ds:Reference> containing a URI reference to the identifier attribute value of the root element of the message being signed. For example, if the attribute value is "foo", then the URI attribute in the <ds:Reference> element MUST be "#foo".

5.4.3 Canonicalization Method

SAML implementations SHOULD use Exclusive Canonicalization, with or without comments, both in the <ds:CanonicalizationMethod> element of <ds:SignedInfo>, and as a <ds:Transform> algorithm. Use of Exclusive Canonicalization ensures that signatures created over SAML messages embedded in an XML context can be verified independent of that context.

5.4.4 Transforms

Signatures in SAML messages SHOULD NOT contain transforms other than the enveloped signature transform (with the identifier http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature) or the exclusive canonicalization transforms (with the identifier http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n# or http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#WithComments).

Verifiers of signatures MAY reject signatures that contain other transform algorithms as invalid. If they do not, verifiers MUST ensure that no content of the SAML message is excluded from the signature. This can be accomplished by establishing out-of-band agreement as to what transforms are acceptable, or by applying the transforms manually to the content and reverifying the result as consisting of the same SAML message.

5.4.5 KeyInfo

XML Signature [XMLSig] defines usage of the <ds:KeyInfo> element. SAML does not require the use of <ds:KeyInfo> nor does it impose any restrictions on its use. Therefore, <ds:KeyInfo> MAY be absent.

5.4.6 Binding Between Statements in a Multi-Statement Assertion

Use of signing does not affect semantics of statements within assertions in any way, as stated in Section SAML Assertions.

5.4.7 Interoperability with SAML V1.0

The use of XML Signature [XMLSig] described above is incompatible with the usage described in the SAML V1.0 specification [SAMLCore1.0]. The original profile was underspecified and was insufficient to ensure interoperability. It was constrained by the inability to use URI references to identify the SAML content to be signed. With this limitation removed by the addition of SAML identifier attributes, a decision has been made to forge backwards compatibility with the older specification in this respect.

5.4.8 Example

Following is an example of a signed response containing a signed assertion. Line breaks have been added for readability; the signatures are not valid and cannot be successfully verified.

```xml
<Response
  IssueInstant="2003-04-17T00:46:02Z"
  MajorVersion="1"
  MinorVersion="1"
  Recipient="www.opensaml.org"
>
<AuthenticationStatement
AuthenticationInstant="2003-04-17T00:46:00Z"
AuthenticationMethod="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:password">
  <Subject>
    <NameIdentifier Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:emailAddress">
      scott@example.org
    </NameIdentifier>
    <SubjectConfirmation>
      <ConfirmationMethod>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:cm:bearer</ConfirmationMethod>
    </SubjectConfirmation>
    <SubjectLocality IPAddress="127.0.0.1"/>
  </Subject>
  <ds:Signature xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
    <ds:SignedInfo>
      <ds:CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
      <ds:SignatureMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
      <ds:Reference URI="#_a75adf55-01d7-40cc-929f-db8372ebdfe"/>
        <ds:Transforms>
          <ds:Transform Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature"/>
            <InclusiveNamespaces PrefixList="#default saml samlp ds xsd xsi" xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
        </ds:Transforms>
      <ds:DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
      <ds:DigestValue>Kclet6XcaOgOWXM4gty6/UNdviI=</ds:DigestValue>
    </ds:Reference>
    <ds:KeyInfo>
      <ds:X509Data>
        <ds:X509Certificate>
          MIICyjCCAjOgAwIBAgICAnUwDQYJKoZIhvcNAQEEBQAwgakxCzAJBgNVBAYTAlVT
          MRIweAYDVQQIEwtxaXNjbjZ5zaW4xEXDAQBgNVBAcTB01hZGl2b24xID4eAgEB
          F1VuaxZ2cnNpdkg2YyV2IyF2ucZ2luMSswWQYDVQQLEyJXaXZpc21lbIzV2IbVzI
          bmZvcmlhmdGlvbBUZWNobm9sb2d5MSUwIwYDVQQDEwxIRVBDLSBTZXJ2ZXJqOGEx
          LS0gNjAmMjA3MDphMB4xDTayMcyNNjA3McjLVovDm02MDkwNDA3MjClMVowYxsk
          CzaJbgNVBAYTA1VTMRewYDVQQIEwNvaWoOaVdxMq72u5uIIF'y
          Ym9yMQ4wDAYDVQQKEwNvaWoOaVdxMpq72u5uIIF'y
          dTeMhCUGCsQGSLhDQEBJARYcm9vdEBzaGl1MS5pbnpRlcm51dDIuZWRIMGFMA0G
          CsgQSGhDQEBQAAUA4GNADBixKBkQgDZSAa2sxvJvAIXnXV1VTx8vUray+x50z7GJj
          IHRYQyq1v64aGq04eTCmVMhooKEhBjD54QvBiaAOfPSB21L3R+6KY1Ey7x4AX1rCR+
          c2M2NeTeYxYrZl2YlOn+Jh4HxwKPfMzBcgyX1Ur6dxF8voPW97O27rhrjE
          pmq0IeGTWQIDAAQBoxOWGzAM8gNVRWMBF4EIjeJAAAAsGAlUdQwEwIwFDoDANbqkol
          hkiLg9sW0AQQFAAOgBFqEqBn4O7jgBQHlZhuJN/FIrzd78/z4D5i3pKWDJ2n
          g6717IFV6DkkmTvtBjtmN53n7/vd56r7WxwCBrwbnmIFz6QAv2FU78pLX
          813bshbRauq4UP9Ph6ABfVq4QKMKnux1xQxLhpRly1GPdoWMTvERGCcX3w/w==
        </ds:X509Certificate>
      </ds:X509Data>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
  </ds:Signature>
</Assertion>
</Response>
6 SAML Extensions

The SAML schemas support extensibility. An example of an application that extends SAML assertions is the Liberty Protocols and Schema Specification [LibertyProt]. The following sections explain how to use the extensibility features in SAML to create extension schemas.

Note that elements in the SAML schemas are blocked from substitution, which means that no SAML elements can serve as the head element of a substitution group. However, SAML types are not defined as final, so that all SAML types MAY be extended and restricted. The following sections discuss only elements and types not blocked from substitution, so that all SAML elements MAY serve as the head element of a substitution group. Also, types are not defined as final, so that all SAML types MAY be extended and restricted. The following sections discuss only elements that have been specifically designed to support extensibility.

6.1 Assertion Schema Extension

The SAML assertion schema is designed to permit separate processing of the assertion package and the statements it contains, if the extension mechanism is used for either part.

The following elements are intended specifically for use as extension points in an extension schema; their types are set to abstract, and are thus usable only as the base of a derived type:

- `<Condition>`
- `<Statement>`
- `<SubjectStatement>`

The following elements that are directly usable as part of SAML MAY be extended:

- `<AuthenticationStatement>`
- `<AuthorizationDecisionStatement>`
- `<AttributeStatement>`
- `<AudienceRestrictionCondition>`

The following elements are defined to allow elements from arbitrary namespaces within them, which serves as a built-in extension point without requiring an extension schema:

- `<BaseIdentifier>`
- `<SubjectConfirmationData>`
- `<AttributeValue>`
- `<Advice>`
- `<AuthnContext>`

6.2 Protocol Schema Extension

The following SAML protocol elements are intended specifically for use as extension points in an extension schema; their types are set to abstract, and are thus usable only as the base of a derived type:
The following elements that are directly usable as part of SAML MAY be extended:

- <Request>
- <AuthenticationQuery>
- <AuthorizationDecisionQuery>
- <AttributeQuery>
- <Response>

### 6.3 Use of Type Derivation and Substitution Groups

W3C XML Schema provides two principal mechanisms for specifying an element of an extended type: type derivation and substitution groups.

For example, a `<Statement>` element can be assigned the type `NewStatementType` by means of the `xsi:type` attribute. For such an element to be schema valid, `NewStatementType` needs to be derived from `StatementType`. The following example of a SAML assertion assumes that the extension schema (represented by the `new:` prefix) has defined this new type:

```xml
<saml:Assertion ...>
  <saml:Statement xsi:type="new:NewStatementType">
    ...
  </saml:Statement>
</saml:Assertion>
```

Alternatively, the extension schema can define a `<NewStatement>` element that is a member of a substitution group that has `<Statement>` as a head element. For the substituted element to be schema valid, it needs to have a type that matches or is derived from the head element's type. The following is an example of an extension schema fragment that defines this new element:

```xml
<xsd:element name="NewStatement" type="new:NewStatementType"
  substitutionGroup="saml:Statement"/>
```

The substitution group declaration allows the `<NewStatement>` element to be used anywhere the SAML `<Statement>` element can be used. The following is an example of a SAML assertion that uses the extension element:

```xml
<saml:Assertion ...>
  <new:NewStatement>
    ...
  </new:NewStatement>
</saml:Assertion>
```

The choice of extension method has no effect on the semantics of the XML document but does have implications for interoperability.

The advantages of type derivation are as follows:

- A document can be more fully interpreted by a parser that does not have access to the extension schema because a "native" SAML element is available.
- At the time of this writing, some W3C XML Schema validators do not support substitution groups, whereas the `xsi:type` attribute is widely supported.

The advantage of substitution groups is that a document can be explained without the need to explain the functioning of the `xsi:type` attribute.
The following sections define URI-based identifiers for common authentication methods, resource access actions, and subject name identifier formats.

Where possible an existing URN is used to specify a protocol. In the case of IETF protocols the URN of the most current RFC that specifies the protocol is used. URI references created specifically for SAML have one of the following stems:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.1 Authentication Method Identifiers

The `AuthenticationMethod` attribute of an `<AuthenticationStatement>` and the `<SubjectConfirmationMethod>` element of a SAML subject perform different functions, although both can refer to the same underlying mechanisms. An authentication statement with an `AuthenticationMethod` attribute describes an authentication act that occurred in the past. The `AuthenticationMethod` attribute indicates how that authentication was done. Note that the authentication statement does not provide the means to perform that authentication, such as a password, key, or certificate.

In contrast, `<SubjectConfirmationMethod>` is a part of the `<SubjectConfirmation>` element, which is an optional part of a SAML subject. `<SubjectConfirmation>` is used to allow the SAML relying party to confirm that the request or message came from a system entity that corresponds to the subject in the statement or query. The `<SubjectConfirmationMethod>` element indicates the method that the relying party can use to do this in the future. This may or may not have any relationship to an authentication that was performed previously. Unlike the authentication method, the subject confirmation method may be accompanied by some piece of information, such as a certificate or key, that will allow the relying party to perform the necessary check.

Subject confirmation methods are defined in the SAML profiles in which they are used; see the SAML bindings and profiles specification [SAMLProf] for more information. Additional methods may be added by defining new profiles or by private agreement.

The following identifiers refer to SAML-specified authentication methods.

#### 7.1.1 Password

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:password

The authentication was performed by means of a password.

#### 7.1.2 Kerberos

**URI:** urn:ietf:rfc:1510

The authentication was performed by means of the Kerberos protocol [RFC 1510], an instantiation of the Needham-Schroeder symmetric key authentication mechanism [Needham78].

#### 7.1.3 Secure Remote Password (SRP)

**URI:** urn:ietf:rfc:2945
7.1.4 Hardware Token

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:HardwareToken

The authentication was performed using some (unspecified) hardware token.

7.1.5 SSL/TLS Certificate Based Client Authentication:

URI: urn:ietf:rfc:2246

The authentication was performed using either the SSL or TLS protocol with certificate-based client authentication. TLS is described in [RFC 2246].

7.1.6 X.509 Public Key

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:X509-PKI

The authentication was performed by some (unspecified) mechanism on a key authenticated by means of an X.509 PKI [X.500][PKIX]. It may have been one of the mechanisms for which a more specific identifier has been defined below.

7.1.7 PGP Public Key

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:PGP

The authentication was performed by some (unspecified) mechanism on a key authenticated by means of a PGP web of trust [PGP]. It may have been one of the mechanisms for which a more specific identifier has been defined below.

7.1.8 SPKI Public Key

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:SPKI

The authentication was performed by some (unspecified) mechanism on a key authenticated by means of a SPKI PKI [SPKI]. It may have been one of the mechanisms for which a more specific identifier has been defined below.

7.1.9 XKMS Public Key

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:XKMS

The authentication was performed by some (unspecified) mechanism on a key authenticated by means of a XKMS trust service [XKMS]. It may have been one of the mechanisms for which a more specific identifier has been defined below.

7.1.10 XML Digital Signature

URI: urn:ietf:rfc:3075

The authentication was performed by means of an XML digital signature [RFC 3075].
7.1.11 Authentication Context

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:am:authncontext

The authentication method is described by the proximal `<AuthnContext>` element.

7.1.12 Unspecified

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:unspecified

The authentication was performed by an unspecified means.

7.2 Action Namespace Identifiers

The following identifiers MAY be used in the Namespace attribute of the `<Action>` element (see Section Element `<Action>`) to refer to common sets of actions to perform on resources.

7.2.1 Read/Write/Execute/Delete/Control

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwedc

**Defined actions:**

- Read
- Write
- Execute
- Delete
- Control

These actions are interpreted as follows:

- **Read**
  - The subject may read the resource.
- **Write**
  - The subject may modify the resource.
- **Execute**
  - The subject may execute the resource.
- **Delete**
  - The subject may delete the resource.
- **Control**
  - The subject may specify the access control policy for the resource.

7.2.2 Read/Write/Execute/Delete/Control with Negation

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwedc-negation

**Defined actions:**

- Read
- Write
- Execute
- Delete
- Control

The actions specified in Section Read/Write/Execute/Delete/Control are interpreted in the same manner described there. Actions prefixed with a tilde (~) are negated permissions and are used to affirmatively specify that the stated permission is denied. Thus a subject described as being authorized to perform the action ~Read is affirmatively denied read permission.
A SAML authority MUST NOT authorize both an action and its negated form.

### 7.2.3 Get/Head/Put/Post

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:ghpp

Defined actions:

```
GET HEAD PUT POST
```

These actions bind to the corresponding HTTP operations. For example a subject authorized to perform the **GET** action on a resource is authorized to retrieve it.

The **GET** and **HEAD** actions loosely correspond to the conventional read permission and the **PUT** and **POST** actions to the write permission. The correspondence is not exact however since an HTTP GET operation may cause data to be modified and a POST operation may cause modification to a resource other than the one specified in the request. For this reason a separate Action URI reference specifier is provided.

### 7.2.4 UNIX File Permissions

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:unix

The defined actions are the set of UNIX file access permissions expressed in the numeric (octal) notation.

The action string is a four-digit numeric code:

```
extended user group world
```

Where the *extended* access permission has the value

- +2 if sgid is set
- +4 if suid is set

The *user group* and *world* access permissions have the value

- +1 if execute permission is granted
- +2 if write permission is granted
- +4 if read permission is granted

For example, **0754** denotes the UNIX file access permission: user read, write and execute; group read and execute; and world read.

### 7.3 NameIdentifier Format Identifiers

The following identifiers MAY be used in the **Format** attribute of the `<NameIdentifier>` element (see Section Element `<NameIdentifier>`) to refer to common formats for the content of the `<NameIdentifier>` element and the associated processing rules, if any.

**Note:** Several identifiers that were deprecated in V1.1 have been removed for V2.0 of SAML.
### 7.3.1 Unspecified

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:unspecified

The interpretation of the content of the element is left to individual implementations.

### 7.3.2 Email Address

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:emailAddress

Indicates that the content of the element is in the form of an email address, specifically "addr-spec" as defined in IETF RFC 2822 [RFC 2822] §3.4.1. An addr-spec has the form local-part@domain. Note that an addr-spec has no phrase (such as a common name) before it, has no comment (text surrounded in parentheses) after it, and is not surrounded by "<" and ">".

### 7.3.3 X.509 Subject Name

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:X509SubjectName

Indicates that the content of the element is in the form specified for the contents of the `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element in the XML Signature Recommendation [XMLSig]. Implementors should note that the XML Signature specification specifies encoding rules for X.509 subject names that differ from the rules given in IETF RFC 2253 [RFC 2253].

### 7.3.4 Windows Domain Qualified Name

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:WindowsDomainQualifiedName

Indicates that the content of the element is a Windows domain qualified name. A Windows domain qualified user name is a string of the form "DomainName\UserName". The domain name and "\" separator MAY be omitted.

### 7.3.5 Provider Identifier

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:provider

Indicates that the content of the element is the identifier of a provider of SAML-based services (such as a SAML authority) or a participant in SAML profiles (such as a service provider supporting the browser profiles). Such an identifier can be used to make assertions about system entities that can issue SAML requests, responses, and assertions.

### 7.3.6 Federated Identifier

**URI:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:federated

Indicates that the content of the element is a persistent opaque identifier that corresponds to an identity federation between an identity provider and a service provider (or affiliation of service providers). Federated name identifiers generated by identity providers MUST be constructed using pseudo-random values that have no discernible correspondence with the subject's actual identifier (for example, username). The intent is to create a non-public pseudonym to prevent the discovery of the subject's identity or activities. Federated name identifier values MUST NOT exceed a length of 256 characters.

The element's content MUST contain the most recent identifier of the subject set by the identity provider.
The element's NameQualifier attribute, if present, MUST contain the name of the identity provider participating in the identity federation. It MAY be omitted if the value can be derived from the context of the message containing the element, such as the issuer of an assertion.

The element's SPNameQualifier attribute, if present, MUST contain the name of the service provider or affiliation of providers participating in the identity federation. It MAY be omitted if the element is contained in a message intended only for consumption directly by the service provider, and the value would be the name of that service provider.

The element's SPProvidedIdentifier attribute MUST contain the alternative identifier of the subject most recently set by the service provider or affiliation, if any. If no such identifier has been established, than the attribute MUST be omitted.

Federated identifiers are intended as a privacy protection; as such they MUST NOT be shared in clear text with providers other than the providers that have established the identity federation. Furthermore, they MUST NOT appear in log files or similar locations without appropriate controls and protections. Deployments without such requirements are free to use other kinds of identifiers in their SAML exchanges.

Note also that while federated identifiers are typically used to reflect an account linking relationship between a pair of providers, a service provider is not obligated to recognize or make use of the long term nature of the persistent identifier or establish such a link. Such a "one-sided" identity federation is not discernibly different and does not affect the behavior of the identity provider or any processing rules specific to federated identifiers in the protocols defined in this specification.

7.3.7 Transient Identifier

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format: transient

Indicates that the content of the element is an identifier with transient semantics and SHOULD be treated as an opaque and temporary value by the relying party. Transient identifier values MUST be generated in accordance with the rules for SAML identifiers (see Section 1.2.3), and MUST NOT exceed a length of 256 characters.

The NameQualifier and SPNameQualifier attributes MAY be used to signify that the identifier represents a transient and temporary identity federation, as described in Section Federated Identifier. In such a case, they MAY be omitted in accordance with the rules specified in that section.

7.4 Attribute NameFormat Identifiers

The following identifiers MAY be used in the NameFormat attribute defined on the AttributeDesignatorType complex type (see Section x) to refer to the classification of the attribute name for purposes of interpreting the name.

7.4.1 Unspecified

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attname-format:unspecified

The interpretation of the attribute name is left to individual implementations.

7.4.2 URI Reference

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attname-format:uri
The attribute name follows the convention for URI references [RFC 2396], for example as used in XACML [XACML] attribute identifiers. The interpretation of the URI content or naming scheme is application-specific.

7.5 Attribute ValueType Identifiers

The following identifier MAY be used in the ValueType attribute defined on the AttributeDesignatorType complex type (see Section x) to refer to the URI-based datatype of the desired or supplied attribute.

7.5.1 Application-Specific Value Type

URI: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:valuetype-format:appSpecific

Indicates that the datatype of the desired or supplied attribute is application-specific. Note that any ValueType setting (default or explicit) in an attribute query, including this setting, needs to be exactly matched (in addition to other exact matches) in order for an attribute to be returned.
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