



Semantic Web Services Architecture and Information Model

Working Draft 0, 30 November 2005

Artifact Identifier:

wd-see-arch-v0-r1

Location:

Current: docs.oasis-open.org/ex-semantics/architecture/latest

This Version: docs.oasis-open.org/ex-semantics/architecture/0.1

Previous Version: docs.oasis-open.org/ex-semantics/architecture/0.1

Artifact Type:

architecture

Technical Committee:

OASIS SEE TC

Chair(s):

Dieter Fensel, DERI, <dieter.fensel@deri.org>

Michal Zaremba, DERI, <michal.zaremba@deri.org>

Editor(s):

Michal Zaremba, DERI, <michal.zaremba@deri.org>

Matthew Moran, DERI, <matthew.moran@deri.org>

OASIS Conceptual Model topic area:

SOA

Related work:

This specification replaces or supercedes:

- [specifications replaced by this standard]
- [specifications replaced by this standard]

This specification is related to:

- [related specifications]
- [related specifications]

Abstract:

[Summary of the technical purpose of the document]

Status:

This document is in DRAFT status. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at www.oasis-open.org/committees/ex-semantics.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (www.oasis-open.org/committees/ipr.php).

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at www.oasis-open.org/committees/ex-semantics.

Notices

Copyright © OASIS Open 2005. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	6
1.1	What is the Semantic Execution Environment.....	6
1.2	Audience.....	6
1.3	Relationships to Other Specifications.....	6
1.4	Terminology.....	6
1.5	Organization of the document.....	6
1.6	Normative References.....	6
1.7	Non-Normative References.....	7
2	Motivation for SEE.....	8
2.1	Service Oriented Architectures.....	8
2.2	Open SOA.....	8
2.3	Nature of Existing Service Execution Environments.....	8
2.4	Benefits of adding Semantics to SOA.....	8
2.5	Scope and Requirements for SEE.....	8
3	Architecture Overview.....	9
3.1	Overview of SEE Infrastructure.....	9
3.2	A P2P Network for SEE Components.....	9
3.3	Overview of Single Node.....	9
3.4	Overview of Single Abstract Component.....	9
4	Structural View.....	10
4.1	Compulsory Vertical Components.....	10
4.1.1	Communication Space/Object Repository.....	10
4.1.2	Reasoner.....	10
4.1.3	Service Discovery.....	10
4.1.4	Process Mediator.....	10
4.1.5	Data Mediator.....	10
4.1.6	Service Selection.....	10
4.1.7	Communication Manager.....	10
4.1.8	Choreography.....	10
4.1.9	Orchestration.....	10
4.1.10	Parser.....	10
4.2	Compulsory Horizontal Components.....	10
4.2.1	Security.....	10
4.2.2	Reliability.....	10
4.3	Services.....	10
4.4	Interface for End Users and Developers.....	10
5	SEE Behavioral View.....	11
5.1	External Behavior.....	11
5.2	Execution Semantics.....	11
6	Related Work.....	12
7	SEE API.....	13
8	Summary.....	14
A.	Acknowledgements.....	15

B. Non-Normative Text 16
C. Revision History..... 17

1 Introduction

1.1 What is the Semantic Execution Environment

1.2 Audience

The anticipated audience for this work includes all OASIS Web Service and ebXML TCs, non-OASIS Web Service standards groups, Semantic Web Services research and interest groups, SOA architects and programmers, vendors and users. The work should be of interest to anyone involved with Semantic Web Services and more generally also in Service Oriented Architectures (SOAs).

1.3 Relationships to Other Specifications

All Web Services and Service Oriented Architecture groups are the primary target of this work. It is anticipated that liaisons may be needed for many SOA-related Technical Committees such as the following:

- OASIS SOA Reference Model TC
- OASIS ebSOA TC
- OASIS Web Services Resource Framework (WSRF) TC
- OASIS ebXML Registry TC
- OASIS UDDI TC
- OASIS FWSI TC
- OASIS SOA Adoption Blueprints TC
- OASIS ebXML BP TC
- W3C WS Description Working Group
- W3C WS Choreography Working Group
- W3C Resource Description Framework
- Web Services Modelling Ontology Working Group
- Web Services Modelling Language Working Group
- WS-BPEL
- SWSI
- Meteor-S
- OWL-S

1.4 Terminology

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

1.5 Organization of the document

1.6 Normative References

- [RFC2119] S. Bradner, *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>, IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.

38 **2 Motivation for SEE**

39 **2.1 Service Oriented Architectures**

40 **2.2 Open SOA**

41 **2.3 Nature of Existing Service Execution Environments**

42 Briefly describe existing pros and cons of existing execution environments like BizTalk, WebSphere, Artix
43 etc. Should be a discussion at the conceptual level abstracting the common pro's and cons of these
44 systems.

45 **2.4 Benefits of adding Semantics to SOA**

46 Then describe how the introduction of semantics can solve problems. Might be in terms of modeling,
47 adaptiveness, runtime data and behaviour interoperability.

48 **2.5 Scope and Requirements for SEE**

49 Not sure if this is the right place for this but I think it is very important to describe the scope and
50 requirements. These should be based on what is extracted from case-studies.

51	3 Architecture Overview
52	3.1 Overview of SEE Infrastructure
53	3.2 A P2P Network for SEE Components
54	3.3 Overview of Single Node
55	3.4 Overview of Single Abstract Component

56	4 Structural View
57	4.1 Compulsory Vertical Components
58	4.1.1 Communication Space/Object Repository
59	4.1.2 Reasoner
60	4.1.3 Service Discovery
61	4.1.4 Process Mediator
62	4.1.5 Data Mediator
63	4.1.6 Service Selection
64	4.1.7 Communication Manager
65	4.1.8 Choreography
66	4.1.9 Orchestration
67	4.1.10 Parser
68	4.2 Compulsory Horizontal Components
69	4.2.1 Security
70	I think this needs to be addressed from the beginning
71	4.2.2 Reliability
72	I think this needs to be addressed from the beginning too but might not require an own component?
73	4.3 Services
74	4.4 Interface for End Users and Developers

75 **5 SEE Behavioral View**

76 **5.1 External Behavior**

77 **5.2 Execution Semantics**

78 **6 Related Work**

79 Put the work of the TC into context with external work e.g. Semantic Web Service Initiative – SWS
80 Architecture, IEEE 1471, GERAM, OMG MD etc.

81 **7 SEE API**

82 Should this be an appendix?

84 **A. Acknowledgements**

85 The following individuals have participated in the creation of this specification and are gratefully
86 acknowledged:

87 **Participants:**

88 Michal Zaremba, DERI

89 Matthew Moran, DERI

90

92

C. Revision History

93

[optional; should not be included in OASIS Standards]

94

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
1	30/11/2005	michal.zaremba@deri.org	Document created. Initial document structure proposed

95