# TGF TC Call

26/5/16

Present:-

Geoff Clarke, Peter Brown, Nig Greenaway

# Introduction

The meeting was not quorate but discussed aspects of ISO-IEC JTC1activity relevant to the TGF and some possible future deliverables (to be formally agreed by the TC).

# Report from the ISO/IEC JTC 1 SC40 Plenary Meeting in China

Both Peter and Geoff attended this event. Geoff reported as liaison officer. Three specific areas are relevant to the TGF:-

## SC40 Governance

The mapping of the TGF to ISO 38500 document is now publically available and will be shared with the TC. The document makes public the idea of the using the TGF pattern language for standards definition.

Geoff is co-editor on the governance of data aspects (value, constraints and risk). That is a DIS (Draft International Standard) Part 1 - to be published in February 2017. Part 2 will go deeper into this area deeper and being worked upon with the Chinese.

Work has started on a Benefits Realisation standard (38506 - Governance of IT Enabled Investments) that will look at the high-level governance of disaggregated systems.

## Services Management ISO 20000 series

Looks at drawing the distinction between service governance and service management. There are 11 parts to this standard.

# Business Process Outsourcing

Most players in this area work to the Indian outsourcing model (e.g. Wipro) and are developing ISO/IEC 30105 - IT-Enabled services. There are 5 Parts to this. The committee looked at doing something from the customer perspective but decided to stay with the supplier perspective - it may become a management system.

## General

The Chinese were well represented in JTC 1 SC40 and the scale and quality of their inputs is impressive. It might be interesting to introduce them to the TGF. We would need to ensure that they applied it the right way but it could give us the statements of use that we require. Geoff and Peter have some contacts through their standards work and could introduce the topic.

However BSI PAS 180, PAS 181 and PAS 182 have all been submitted for international development, either forming the basis for international standards, or informing them (PAS 180 influenced ISO 37102 Vocabulary, PAS 181 is being developed as an ISO almost unaltered, and PAS 182 is being fast-tracked in ISO/IEC/JTC1 Information Technology).

We felt that it might be better to wait for these to go through the ISO process before pushing too hard but Geoff will talk to his contact to sound out any potential interest. He will also take a similar approach with a Uruguayan contact.

# Assisting possible users who may provide Statements of Use for TGF v2

Earl Anderson from Jamaica has responded to N PPROACH FROM Peter. This was forwarded to Chris Parker in order to ascertain if there is CSTransform interest in engaging with Earl.

# Discussion around the application of the TGF to the private sector

Geoff raised this in an earlier discussion. Nig suggested that a way of approaching this would be to develop a Committee Note that identifies some of the Public – Private Partnership models that are becoming more common in a range of Smart City and other projects, where the private sector (some of them small organisations) have little government knowledge and find it difficult to engage effectively. Nig has started to draft some initial thoughts around the guidance that could be documented in the CN. This is an initial thought document and a version will be made available for discussion at the next TC meeting.

We briefly discussed common government platforms and feel that this is an area that could be explored in the future.

Another aspect that the CN would bring into focus is that of Identity Management where there are differences between the private and public sectors. For example proxies can be legitimate but are not handled by many systems and have different implications in the private and public sectors. They do need to be very well defined e.g. in a health context a proxy cannot take the patient’s medicine but might be allowed to collect them.

The CN needs to be backed by real-world experience and we felt that the TC could provide this.

# Discussion on possible use of the Pattern Language in other scenarios.

Some people are fans of the pattern approach for standards but many people didn’t really want to rethink current work that are using current approaches.

There is a new BSI proposal to set up a new ISO technical committee (TC) around organisational governance. This would cover a broad range of materials, and the pattern language approach would be a good candidate for providing a common method in doing that. The Institute of Directors in London is interested in looking at how the structure of a pattern language could support some of their training materials.

However, both of these are really opportunities for espousing the benefits of pattern languages in general rather than exploiting the TGF material in particular, so we felt that this is not an appropriate area for activity at the moment.

# Next Meeting

It is proposed to hold the next meeting on 23rd June at 15:30 EST/ 05:30 AEST 20:30 BST.

Please let me know if this date and time is likely to be acceptable to you ASAP so we can ensure a quorum and start to move on some deliverables.

Best Regards

Nig Greenaway

Fujitsu Fellow

28th May 2016