OASIS UBL TC comments on the eBTWG Draft Core Components Specification 1.8

The UBL group believe that, whilst the current CCTS provides a strong basis for good semantic modeling and definition of Core Components and Basic Information Entities, some modifications and clarifications would make it even better. Our comments are heavily based on our initial experience at applying the CCTS to the development of the UBL library of BIEs.

Some of these modifications may appear significant, but we feel it necessary to raise these matters sooner rather than later, whilst the implementations of ebXML libraries (such as UBL) are still under development.

Having said that, we are mindful of the need for the CCTS work to mature and move forward. We do not want to detract from the team's momentum and hope that you will consider many of these proposals as simplifications rather than complications to your work.

This submission is designed to be read in conjunction with the accompanying document entitled "Feedback from OASIS UBL TC to Draft Core Components Specification 1.8" [UBL]. This provides further information, examples, diagrams and discussion on the background to our recommendations.

Questions regarding these comments can be addressed to the UBL CCTS Comments Editing Team, care of <u>Tim McGrath</u> (tmcgrath@portcomm.com.au).



| Line Numbers | Current text | Proposed text | | Reason for Change |
|-----------------|----------------|---|---|---|
| 840-856 | To be inserted | Component's "activity element named by an of This concept (property database models, "attrumember" in Java, "che "attribute" in UML. For example, imagine This ACC might have "Text", and "Country" | similar to the way a <i>Core</i> or object" is the model object class. To corresponds to "field" in ibute" in ER modeling, aild element" in XML, and an ACC called "Address". properties: "Street" of type of type "Code". operties could be defined | The CC model should explicitly include the concept of property. There appears to be an imprecise treatment of "properties". While the specification talks extensively about "property terms" – which are part of a "data element name" for a "data element", we are left to infer the existence and makeup of the "property" concept. We are trying to give "property terms" to things. What things are we trying to give them to? The specification doesn't tell us. The term "property" is used often in the specification, but it is never formally defined. Additionally, the term "child field" is sometimes used synonymously to "property", and is also left undefined. Furthermore, neither appears in any of the conceptual diagrams. |
| 840-856 | To be inserted | reflect the role played relative to the Object Class/Aggregate Core property is declared. | Property Term) should by that property's content Component in which that et class 'Shipping' might | Proposal 2 A property's name (i.e. Property Term) should reflect the role played by that property's content relative to the Object |

¹ CCTS lines 2162-2163



| | | have a 'From' property and a 'To' property. Each of these properties represents a 'Location'. The terms ('From' and 'To') reflect the role played by the respective 'Locations' and distinguish these two uses of the object class Location within the (Aggregate) object class 'Shipping', as in Object Property Representation Class Name/Term Term Shipping From Location Shipping To Location | which that property is declared. This new, formal concept of property allows us to identify (name) a Core Component (either a Basic or subsidiary Aggregate Core Component) within the Object Class/Aggregate Core Component that contains it. | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 1112 and 1235 and 2156 | This represents the logical data grouping or aggregation (in a logical data model) to which a data element belongs. | This represents the logical data grouping or aggregation (in a logical data model) to which a property belongs. | Proposal 3 The ISO 11179 term "Data Element" is identical to the "Property" concept described in Proposal 1 and Proposal 2 The ISO 11179 standard governs specification and standardization of data elements. The definition from that standard: | |
| | | | data element: A unit of data for which the identification, meaning, representation and permissible values are specified by means of a set of attributes (ISO/IEC 11179-3) Property as described in Proposal 1 and Proposal 2 is exactly such a data element. | |
| 1118, 1241 | Representation Torm This defines | Representation Term : This describes the form of the set of valid values of a data element. | Align the definition of Representation Term more clearly with ISO 11179-5. | |



| | the type of valid values for an information entity. | | | · | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1315-1316 | The Representation Term is the part of a Core Component name that describes the form of valid values in which the business information is expressed in a data item. | The representation term is a component that describes the form of representation of the property. | | | Align the definition of Representation Term more clearly with ISO 11179-5. | | |
| 2169 | Representation Term – The type of valid values for a Basic Core Component. | Representation term - Describes the form of the set of valid values of a property. | | | Align the definition of Representation Term more clearly with ISO 11179-5. | | |
| After line 1119 | To be inserted | The mapping of ISO 11179-5 to the proposed Core Components model is: | | | Proposal 4 | | |
| and after line 1232 (with CC changed to BIE) | | | ISO 11179 Data Element Name Components | CC Model | | A (tripartite) Data Element Name (ISO 11179) for a Property is constructed from the Property's ObjectClass, PropertyName/Term and RepresentationTerm | |
| | | | Object Class Term | The ACC playing the "Object Class" role relative to the Property | | | |

UBL

| | | | Representation Term | The CC playing the "Representation Term" role relative to the Property | | |
|--|--|--------------------|---|--|--------------|--|
| 335-336 344-354 389 (figure 4-2) 1008 1010 (figure 6-1) 1013-1017 1040-1045 1084-1085 1321 (table 6-1) 1331 (table 6-2) 1347 1734 2042 (section 8) 2082 2105 2143-2146 | Various definitions | | ions to be amende dation of RT, CC | | | Proposal 5 Merge the list of Representation Terms and Core Component Types. Proposal 6 Call that merged list of Representation Terms and Core Component Types "Basic Core Components". Proposal 7 A Basic Core Component will consist of a Primary Component and Supplementary Components. Proposal 8 A Basic Core Component will relate to its Primary and Supplementary Components through a BCCProperty. See UBL Feedback section 2 [UBL], for a more detailed explanation. |
| 1324-1327 | In addition to permissible representation terms for Core Components, | nan trip Cor | ne of that Core Co artite naming to p e Components is | on Term will be the omponent type, the oroperties of Aggre the same as with core Components. | , | Following from the previous suggestion, we can say that Aggregate Core Components define new Representation Terms. The list of all Representation Terms is "controlled" – in that all entries |



| | there are also | The list of all Representation Terms is | are defined Aggregate Core Components. |
|-------------|---|--|--|
| | permissible | "controlled" – in that all entries are defined | Since a Representation Term will be the |
| | representation terms | Aggregate Core Components. | name of that Core Component type, the |
| | for Aggregate | | tripartite naming to properties of |
| | Core | | Aggregate Core Components is the same |
| | Components and Core Component | | as with properties of Basic Core |
| | Types. | | Components. |
| | Table 6-2 contains | | This ensures the use of a meaningful Representation Term/ Core Component |
| | the permissible | | type name for Aggregate Core |
| | representation terms | | Components. |
| | that apply to | | Components. |
| | Aggregate Core | | See UBL Comments, Section 3. Consistent |
| | Components or | | Application of Tripartite Naming at the |
| | Core Component | | ACC Level and the BCC Level [UBL] for |
| | Types. | | a detailed explanation and examples. |
| | | | |
| 1209-1211 | The Dictionary | The Dictionary Entry Name of an Aggregate | A defined Aggregate Core Component |
| and | Entry Name of an | Core Component shall consist of a meaningful | becomes the Representation Term of the |
| 1305-1308 | Aggregate Core | Object Class. The Object Class may consist of | properties of other Object Classes that |
| (except | Component shall | more than one word. | subsequently use it. |
| ACC is | consist of | | |
| ABIE) | a meaningful Object | | |
| | Class followed by a dot, a space | | |
| | character, and the | | |
| | character, and the | | |
| | term | | |
| | term Details. The Object | | |
| | term Details. The Object Class may consist of | | |
| l | Details. The Object | | |
| | Details. The Object Class may consist of | | |
| 1323 (table | Details. The Object Class may consist of | <u>Code</u> | Proposal 9 |



| | Comments from OASIS UBL TC to Draft Core Components Specification 1.8 | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2051 (table | string | (exactly) represent others. | There is a need to clarify the semantics | | | | |
| 8-1) | (letters, | | of the terms 'code' and 'identifier'. | | | | |
| | figures or | Identifier | No one issue has caused as many | | | | |
| | symbols) that for | That which establishes the identity of | problems as the application of these two | | | | |
| | brevity and / | (something). | concepts. Unlike other proposals, this is | | | | |
| | or language | (Sometimes). | not a meta-model issue; it is an issue of | | | | |
| | independence | | content and terminology. | | | | |
| | may be used to | | There appears to be much confusion about | | | | |
| | represent or | | the terms 'codes' and 'identifiers'. The | | | | |
| | replace a | | | | | | |
| | definitive | | issue of when to declare a Basic Core | | | | |
| | value or text of an | | Component either a Code or an Identifier | | | | |
| | attribute. | | still needs clarification. Getting the | | | | |
| | Codes usually | | etymological roots of the terms correct | | | | |
| | are | | seems a reasonable first step. As has been | | | | |
| | maintained in | | evidenced in the various debates on these | | | | |
| | code lists per | | issues, when carried down to enumeration | | | | |
| | attribute type | | and validation, it gets more complicated. | | | | |
| | (e.g. | | For example, there is a natural tendency to | | | | |
| | colour). Identifier | | want to enumerate for validation purposes | | | | |
| | A character | | small sets of things that we have been | | | | |
| | string used to | | considering "codes", which are actually | | | | |
| | establish the | | "identifiers". | | | | |
| | identity of, | | Therefore, at this stage we would | | | | |
| | and | | | | | | |
| | distinguish | | encourage the CCTS to leave enumeration | | | | |
| | uniquely, one | | and validation out of the picture and | | | | |
| | instance of an object within | | concentrate on getting the semantics | | | | |
| | an | | correct. | | | | |
| | identification | | | | | | |
| | scheme from | | | | | | |
| | all | | | | | | |
| | other objects | | | | | | |
| | within the | | | | | | |
| | same scheme. | | | | | | |
| | [Note: Type | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



| | | * | <u> </u> | |
|---|--|---|----------|--|
| I C C C C C C C C C C | used when a person or an object is identified by its name. In this case the Representation Term "Name" | | | |
| | | | | |
| | useu.] | | | |

