OASIS WEB SERVICES SECURE EXCHANGE TC a. Name of the TC 3 4 OASIS Web Services Secure Exchange (WS-SX) Technical 5 Committee 6 b. Statement of Purpose 8 9 The purpose of the Web Services Secure Exchange (WS-SX) 10 Technical Committee (TC) is to define extensions to OASIS Web 11 Services Security [1] to enable trusted SOAP message exchanges 12 involving multiple message exchanges and to define security policies 13 14 that govern the formats and tokens of such messages. This work will be carried out through continued refinement of the Web Services 15 SecureConversation, SecurityPolicy and Trust specifications [2-4] 16 submitted to the TC as referenced in this charter. 17 18 19 c. Scope of Work 20 The TC will accept as input the February 2005 Version 1.2 of the 21 WS-SecureConversation [2] and the February 2005 Version 1.2 of 22 the WS-Trust [3] as published by Actional Corporation, BEA 23 Systems, Inc., Computer Associates International, Inc., IBM, Layer 7 24 25 Technologies, Microsoft Corporation, Oblix Inc., OpenNetwork Technologies Inc., Ping Identity Corporation, Reactivity Inc., RSA 26 Security Inc., and VeriSign Inc and the July 2005 Version 1.1 WS-27 SecurityPolicy [4] specifications (the Input Documents) as published 28 by IBM, Microsoft, RSA Security and VeriSign. 29 30 31 Other contributions and changes to the input documents will be accepted for consideration without any prejudice or restrictions and 32 evaluated based on technical merit in so far as they conform to this 33 charter. OASIS members with extensive experience and knowledge 34 in these areas are particularly invited to participate. 35 36 In order to support general secure Web Service messaging, 37 additional facilities are needed beyond what is provided in OASIS 38 Web Services Security [1]. The OASIS Web Services Security 39 specification describes a base mechanism for securing SOAP 40

- messages but does not deal with trust brokering, multi-message
- 42 exchanges, and policies describing how to secure message
- 43 exchanges with a Web service. The following sub-sections describe
- 44 the charter of the WS-SX TC with respect to these areas.
- The scope of the TC's work is to continue further refinement and
- 46 finalization of the Input Documents to produce as output modular
- 47 specifications that standardize the concepts, WSDL documents and
- 48 XML Schema renderings of the areas described below.

49 50

Trusted Brokering of SOAP message exchanges

51 52

- OASIS Web Services Security [1] defines the basic mechanism for
- 53 providing secure SOAP messaging. It describes how to use security
- tokens to obtain message integrity, confidentiality and authentication
- of the message sender. In order to establish the authenticity of any
- 56 message sender, the recipient needs to "trust" the asserted
- 57 credentials of the sender. The WS-SX TC will add additional
- 58 primitives to enable the establishing and brokering of these trust
- relationships between parties in a SOAP message exchange as
- defined by the policy expressions associated with the SOAD
- defined by the policy expressions associated with the SOAP
- 61 endpoints.

- 63 The scope of this work is to develop extensions to OASIS Web
- 64 Services Security [1] that facilitate "trusted" SOAP message
- exchanges. This will be done by enabling the web services to
- 66 participate in the establishment and brokering of trust relationships
- by means of an exchange and issuance of the relevant security
- tokens. In addition, some token and message validation may require
- 69 the definition of specialized SOAP messages and header blocks.
- 70 71
- This work will focus on:
- 1. Describing a protocol for brokering trust on behalf of a requestor
- by obtaining designated security tokens containing required claims
- 74 from the trusted authorities.
- 75 2. Describing a framework for interactions with trusted authorities
- 76 known as security token services. This includes describing the
- 77 request/response elements for interactions with a security token
- service. This base framework for requesting and returning of security
- 79 tokens should be usable for a variety of purposes related to security
- token services. Web service trust bindings define how this

- framework is used for specific usage patterns. This specification 81 defines Web service trust bindings for issuance, renewal, cancellation 82 and validation of security tokens. 83
 - 3. Declaring specific Web service bindings to a security token service for security token issuance including, but not limited to the following cases:
 - a. Actions and elements for requesting a security token (or

84

85

86

87 88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103 104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

- b. Actions and elements for responding with a security token (or tokens).
- c. Specifying the scope of each requested and returned security token using WS-Policy [5] <wsp:AppliesTo> (eg. wsa:endpointReference).
- d. Specifying mechanisms for issuing, computing or utilizing existing keys as proof keys associated with the issued token.
 - e. Support for requesting and returning bearer tokens
 - f. Requesting or returning multiple security tokens.
- g. Transferring security tokens as part of application messages as well as part of the SOAP body of a separate response message
- h. Requesting a security token (or tokens) on behalf of another entity (or entities).
- i. Requesting a security token (or tokens) that may be forwardable or delegatable.
 - j. Specifying characteristics of the requested type of keys.
- k. Enabling additional negotiation and challenge mechanisms (e.g. SASL, SPNEGO) initiated by either client or server.
- 4. Declaring specific Web service bindings of the security token service framework for security token renewal. Renewal is the process by which a previously issued token with expiration is presented at a security token service and the same token is returned with new expiration characteristics. Such a renewal binding should be defined for (but not be limited to) the following:
- a. Actions and elements for requesting the renewal of a single token.
- b. Actions and elements for responding with a renewed token (or
- c. Allowing for direct or indirect references to the security tokens being renewed.

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16

pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 16

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:13 PM

- 5. Declaring specific Web service trust bindings of the security token service framework for cancellation. When a previously issued token is no longer needed, the cancel binding can be used to cancel the
- 122 is no longer needed, the cancel binding can be used to cancel the 123 token,
- terminating its use. Such cancel binding should define (but not be limited to) the following cases:
 - a. Actions and elements for requesting the cancellation of a single token.
 - b. Actions and elements for responding with the cancellation result.
 - c. Allowing for direct or indirect references to the security tokens being cancelled.
- 6. Declaring specific Web service trust bindings of the security token service framework for token validation. Validation binding is used to evaluate a security token (or OASIS Web Services Security [1]
- compliant message) and the result is returned as a status, token or both. Such a validation binding should be defined for (but not be limited to) the following:
 - a. Actions and elements for requesting the validation of a token (or message).
 - b. Actions and elements for responding about the validity of a token (or tokens).
 - c. Allowing for direct or indirect references to the security tokens being validated.
 - 7. Generalizing the mechanism for a security token service to allow for multi-leg exchanges. Such exchange should allow for, but not be limited to "challenges", tunnelling of legacy binary protocols, and tunnelling of
 - hardware-based legacy protocols. Specifically, the following models of challenge and exchanges should be defined by this specification:
 - a. Signature challenge that requires the other party to sign specified information.
 - b. Binary exchanges involving the usage of binary data from existing non-Web Services protocols.
 - c. Exchanges involving request and passing of a key exchange token
- 157 Shared security contexts

126

127

128

129

130

131

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155156

158
159 OASIS Web Services Security [1] describes using security

- credentials to implement message integrity, confidentiality and 160
- authentication. In cases where multiple messages need to be 161
- exchanged securely, typically a shared security context is established 162
- between the communicating parties and used for the life time of the 163
- message exchange. This TC will also address adding extensions to 164
- Web Services Security [1] and define the appropriate secure SOAP 165
- message exchanges (see above) to permit the definition of shared 166

security contexts. 167

168 169

171

172 173

174

175

176

177 178

- This work will encompass:
- 1. Defining mechanisms for establishing a shared security context in 170 the following cases:
 - a. When one of the communicating parties creates the context and propagates it to other parties.
 - b. When the shared context is achieved through a sequence of negotiations.
 - c. When the shared context is brokered through a third party security token service.
 - 2. Defining specific Web service bindings for security context
- establishment by utilizing the Web service trust binding elements for 179 requesting and responding with security context tokens. 180
- 3. Defining specific Web service bindings for renewal of the security 181
- context token. 182
- 4. Defining specific Web service bindings for cancellation of the 183
- security context token. 184
- 5. Defining specific Web service bindings for amendment of the 185
- claims associated with a security context. 186
- 6. Since a shared security context may contain or imply a shared 187
- key, this specification must contain descriptions of common elements 188
- for key derivation models, where such a scheme is desirable for 189
- 190 improving the security characteristics of the keys being used.
- 7. Defining a token profile for use of security context tokens with 191
- OASIS Web Services Security [1]. 192
- 193 8. Defining a token profile for use of derived key tokens with OASIS
- Web Services Security [1]. 194

195 196

Security policies

- OASIS Web Services Security [1], WS-SecureConversation [2] and
- WS-Trust [3] define open-ended wire formats. WS-Policy [5] 199

defines a framework for allowing web services to express their 200 constraints and requirements as policy assertions. WS-SecurityPolicy 201 [4] uses the facilities of WS-Policy [5] to express the conditions and 202 restrictions on the wire formats defined by OASIS Web Services 203 Security [1], WS-SecureConversation [2] and WS-Trust [3] to a 204 specific set of typed message interchanges. That is to say WS-205 SecurityPolicy "strongly types" the supported security messages. 206 This type of policy enablement allows the supported message 207 exchanges to be analyzed from a security perspective to indicate 208 which security protocols an end point supports. 209

210211

212

213

214

215

216

217218

219220

221

222

223224

225

226

227

228

229230

231232

233

234

235

236237

238239

This work will specifically define the following:

- 1. Mechanism for specifying what parts of the message must be secured, called protection assertions
- a. Such protection assertions must be able to specify integrity requirements at both the element and header/body level in a security policy binding (defined below) neutral manner.
- b. Such protection assertions must be able to specify confidentiality requirements at both the element and header/body level in a security policy binding (defined below) neutral manner.
- c. Such mechanisms must not require the use of XPath 1.0 [21] but may provide it as an option.
- 2. Mechanism for specifying pre-conditions of security, called conditional assertions
- a. Such conditional assertions must be able to specify the required elements in the message
- 3. General mechanism for specifying tokens to use in protecting the message or binding claims to the message, called token assertions
- a. Such token assertions should facilitate the specification of at least the following token types defined by OASIS SOAP Message Security, WS-Trust and WS-SecureConversation: Username token, X509 token, Kerberos token, SPNego Context Token, Security Context Token, Secure Conversation Token, SAML token, REL token, HTTPS token as well as any opaque token issued by a security token service.
- b. Such token assertions should specify conditions for inclusion in the message such as whether the token should be included in every message explicitly, whether the token should be always excluded from the message and a reference included in the message, whether the token should be included once in a message exchange and

external reference should be used subsequently.

- c. Such token assertions should support specification of derived keys.
- 4. An abstraction for describing some of the common security usage patterns called security policy bindings.
- a. Such an abstraction should contain a description of the required and optional elements of such a security policy binding, including minimal token requirements, necessary key transfer mechanism, structure and contents of elements in wsse:security header, and correlation mechanisms.
 - b. Such a binding framework should also include properties for describing algorithm suite to be used, whether a timestamp should be included, signature/encryption ordering in the message, whether signatures are encrypted, and whether the signing token should also be covered by the signature.
 - c. Specific security policy binding assertions for the patterns where transport is used, where a symmetric key token is used for message security or where an asymmetric key token pair is used for message security.
- 5. A mechanism for specifying additional token types that provide additional claims, called supporting token assertions. Such a mechanism should support the following cases:
- a. When additional tokens are used to sign additional parts of the message
- b. When additional tokens are signed by the primary signature token
 - c. When additional tokens sign the primary signature
- d. When additional tokens sign the primary signature and are signed by the primary signature token
- signed by the primary signature token
 6. A mechanism for specifying token referencing and token issuance
 called WSS assertions and Trust assertions that meet the referencing
- 271 mechanisms and properties defined in OASIS Web Services
- Security 1.0 (and associated token profiles) [1], OASIS Web
- Services Security 1.1 (and associated token profiles) [6], in WS-Trust
- 274 [3] and WS-SecureConversation [2]. Such a mechanism should
- 275 include:

241242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252253

254

255

256

257258

259260

261

262

263

264265

266

- a. Properties for indicating the Web Services Security 1.0 [1] defined reference mechanism to use
- b. Properties for indicating the Web Services Security 1.1 [6] defined reference mechanism to use including thumbprint reference

and encryptedkey reference 280 c. Signature confirmation requirement 281 d. Properties for indicating the type of challenges required (as 282 defined in WS-Trust [3]) 283 e. Properties for indication the type of entropy mechanism 284 required in a negotiation sequence (as defined in WS-Trust [3]) 285 286 General Notes on Scope 287 288 The output specifications will uphold the basic principles of other 289 Web services specifications of independence and composition and be 290 composable with the other specifications in the Web services 291 architecture, such as the specifications listed in the References 292 293 section, numbers 1, 5-12 and 18-20. The TC will also take into consideration the following specifications/works listed in the 294 References section, numbers 13, 14, 15 and 16. 295 If any of the above specifications is outside of a standardization 296 process at the time this TC moves to ratify its deliverables, or is not 297 298 far enough along in the standardization process, any normative references to it in the TC output will be expressed in an abstract 299 manner, and the incarnation will be left at that time as an exercise in 300 interoperability. 301 While composition with other specifications is a goal of the TC, it is 302 also a goal to leave the specifics of how that composition is achieved 303 outside the scope of this TC. 304 Each of the protocol elements will use implementation and language 305 neutral XML formats defined in XML Schema [17]. 306 307

Out of Scope

308

309 310 The following is a non-exhaustive list. It is provided only for the sake of clarity. If some function, mechanism or feature is not mentioned 311 312 here, and it is not mentioned in the Scope of Work section either, then it will be deemed to be out of scope. 313 The TC will not define a mapping of the functions and elements 314 described in the specifications to any programming language, to any 315 particular messaging middleware, nor to specific network transports. 316 317

The following items are specifically out of scope of the work of the TC:

- 320 1. Definition and management of trust policy expressions (that is,
- statements about who is trusted to make what claims about an entity);
- these are different from the in-scope "trust assertions" referred to in
- 323 the Scope
- of Work section above
- 2. Token revocation notifications and revocation management (e.g.
- 326 via CRLs)
- 327 3. Schemas for specific tokens issued, renewed, cancelled or
- validated as part of the trust process.
- 4. The establishment of trust between two or more business parties
- 5. Definition of new key derivation algorithms
- 6. Providing a general purpose boxcaring model
- 332 7. Definition of APIs
- 333 <u>8. Definition of additional negotiation and challenge protocol</u>
- mechanisms.
- 9. Developing the roadmaps [15], [16] or other specifications
- mentioned in those roadmaps, beyond the material listed explicitly
- as within the scope of this charter.

The TC will not attempt to define concepts or renderings for functions that are of wider applicability including but not limited to:

- 341 -- Addressing
 - -- Policy language frameworks
- 343 -- Routing
- -- Reliable message exchange
 - -- Transactions and compensation

Where required these functions are achieved by composition with other Web services specifications.

The TC will not attempt to define functionality duplicating that of any normatively referenced specification in the input WS-

- SecureConversation [2], WS-Trust [3] or WS-SecurityPolicy [4]
- specifications. If the referenced specification is outside of a standardization process at the time this TC moves to ratify its
- deliverables, or is not far along enough in the standardization
- process, any normative references to it in the TC output will be
- expressed in an abstract manner, and the incarnation will be left at
- that time as an exercise in interoperability.

358

339

340

342

345

346

347348

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:15 PM

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:15 PM

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman

d. Deliverables 359 360 The TC has the following set of deliverables: 361 * A revised Web Services SecureConversation specification and 362 associated Schema. A Committee Specification is scheduled for 363 completion within 18 months of the first TC meeting. 364 * A revised Web Services Trust specification with associated 365 Schema and WSDL. A Committee Specification is scheduled for 366 completion within 18 months of the first TC meeting. 367 * A revised Web Services SecurityPolicy specification and 368 associated Schema. A Committee Specification is scheduled for 369 completion within 18 months of the first TC meeting. 370 371 372 These specifications will reflect refinements, corrections or material technological improvements with respect to the input documents and 373 in accordance with this charter. 374 Ratification of the above specifications as OASIS standards, 375 including a brief period to address any errata will mark the end of the 376 TC's lifecycle. 377 378 e. Anticipated Audience 379 380 The anticipated audience for this work includes: 381 * Vendors offering web services products 382 * Other specification authors that need security for Web services 383 * Software architects and programmers, who design, write or 384 integrate applications for Web services 385 * End users implementing Web services-based solutions that 386 require an interoperable, composable solution for trusted SOAP 387 message exchanges, security policies and shared security contexts. 388 389 * Vendors making gateway and router class products (both 390 hardware and software) 391 f. Language 392 393 394 TC business will be conducted in English. 395 396 g. IPR Policy

This TC will operate under the "RF (Royalty Free) on RAND

397

Terms" IPR mode as defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Policy, effective 15 April 2005.