

1 | OASIS WEB SERVICES SECURE EXCHANGE TC

2  
3 a. Name of the TC

4  
5 OASIS Web Services Secure Exchange (WS-SX) Technical  
6 Committee

7  
8 b. Statement of Purpose

9  
10 The purpose of the Web Services Secure Exchange (WS-SX)  
11 Technical Committee (TC) is to define extensions to OASIS Web  
12 Services Security [1] to enable trusted SOAP message exchanges  
13 involving multiple message exchanges and to define security policies  
14 that govern the formats and tokens of such messages. This work will  
15 be carried out through continued refinement of the Web Services  
16 SecureConversation, SecurityPolicy and Trust specifications [2-4]  
17 submitted to the TC as referenced in this charter.

18  
19 c. Scope of Work

20  
21 The TC will accept as input the February 2005 Version 1.2 of the  
22 WS-SecureConversation [2] and the February 2005 Version 1.2 of  
23 the WS-Trust [3] as published by Actional Corporation, BEA  
24 Systems, Inc., Computer Associates International, Inc., IBM, Layer 7  
25 Technologies, Microsoft Corporation, Oblix Inc., OpenNetwork  
26 Technologies Inc., Ping Identity Corporation, Reactivity Inc., RSA  
27 Security Inc., and VeriSign Inc and the July 2005 Version 1.1 WS-  
28 SecurityPolicy [4] specifications (the Input Documents) as published  
29 by IBM, Microsoft, RSA Security and VeriSign.

30  
31 Other contributions and changes to the input documents will be  
32 accepted for consideration without any prejudice or restrictions and  
33 evaluated based on technical merit in so far as they conform to this  
34 charter. OASIS members with extensive experience and knowledge  
35 in these areas are particularly invited to participate.

36  
37 In order to support general secure Web Service messaging,  
38 additional facilities are needed beyond what is provided in OASIS  
39 Web Services Security [1]. The OASIS Web Services Security  
40 specification describes a base mechanism for securing SOAP

41 messages but does not deal with trust brokering, multi-message  
42 exchanges, and policies describing how to secure message  
43 exchanges with a Web service. The following sub-sections describe  
44 the charter of the WS-SX TC with respect to these areas.  
45 | The scope of the TC's work is to continue further refinement and  
46 finalization of the Input Documents to produce as output modular  
47 specifications that standardize the concepts, WSDL documents and  
48 XML Schema renderings of the areas described below.

49  
50 Trusted Brokering of SOAP message exchanges

51  
52 OASIS Web Services Security [1] defines the basic mechanism for  
53 providing secure SOAP messaging. It describes how to use security  
54 tokens to obtain message integrity, confidentiality and authentication  
55 of the message sender. In order to establish the authenticity of any  
56 message sender, the recipient needs to “trust” the asserted  
57 credentials of the sender. The WS-SX TC will add additional  
58 primitives to enable the establishing and brokering of these trust  
59 relationships between parties in a SOAP message exchange as  
60 defined by the policy expressions associated with the SOAP  
61 endpoints.

62  
63 The scope of this work is to develop extensions to OASIS Web  
64 Services Security [1] that facilitate “trusted” SOAP message  
65 exchanges. This will be done by enabling the web services to  
66 participate in the establishment and brokering of trust relationships  
67 by means of an exchange and issuance of the relevant security  
68 tokens. In addition, some token and message validation may require  
69 the definition of specialized SOAP messages and header blocks.

70  
71 This work will focus on:

- 72 1. Describing a protocol for brokering trust on behalf of a requestor  
73 by obtaining designated security tokens containing required claims  
74 from the trusted authorities.
- 75 2. Describing a framework for interactions with trusted authorities  
76 known as security token services. This includes describing the  
77 request/response elements for interactions with a security token  
78 service. This base framework for requesting and returning of security  
79 tokens should be usable for a variety of purposes related to security  
80 token services. Web service trust bindings define how this

81 framework is used for specific usage patterns. This specification  
82 defines Web service trust bindings for issuance, renewal, cancellation  
83 and validation of security tokens.

84 3. Declaring specific Web service bindings to a security token  
85 service for security token issuance including, but not limited to the  
86 following cases:

- 87 a. Actions and elements for requesting a security token (or  
88 tokens).
- 89 b. Actions and elements for responding with a security token (or  
90 tokens).
- 91 c. Specifying the scope of each requested and returned security  
92 token using WS-Policy [5] <wsp:AppliesTo> (eg.  
93 wsa:endpointReference).
- 94 d. Specifying mechanisms for issuing, computing or utilizing  
95 existing keys as proof keys associated with the issued token.
- 96 e. Support for requesting and returning bearer tokens
- 97 f. Requesting or returning multiple security tokens.
- 98 g. Transferring security tokens as part of application messages as  
99 well as part of the SOAP body of a separate response message
- 100 h. Requesting a security token (or tokens) on behalf of another  
101 entity (or entities).
- 102 i. Requesting a security token (or tokens) that may be forwardable  
103 or delegatable.
- 104 j. Specifying characteristics of the requested type of keys.

105 k. Enabling additional negotiation and challenge protocol  
106 mechanisms to be used (e.g. SASL mechanisms, SPNEGO)  
107 initiated by either client or server.

109 4. Declaring specific Web service bindings of the security token  
110 service framework for security token renewal. Renewal is the process  
111 by which a previously issued token with expiration is presented at a  
112 security token service and the same token is returned with new  
113 expiration characteristics. Such a renewal binding should be defined  
114 for (but not be limited to) the following:

- 115 a. Actions and elements for requesting the renewal of a single  
116 token.
- 117 b. Actions and elements for responding with a renewed token (or  
118 tokens).
- 119 c. Allowing for direct or indirect references to the security tokens

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/7/05 4:41 PM  
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.24"

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:12 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:13 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:13 PM  
Formatted: Font:Times New Roman

120 being renewed.

121 5. Declaring specific Web service trust bindings of the security token  
122 service framework for cancellation. When a previously issued token  
123 is no longer needed, the cancel binding can be used to cancel the  
124 token,  
125 terminating its use. Such cancel binding should define (but not be  
126 limited to) the following cases:

- 127 a. Actions and elements for requesting the cancellation of a single  
128 token.
- 129 b. Actions and elements for responding with the cancellation  
130 result.
- 131 c. Allowing for direct or indirect references to the security tokens  
132 being cancelled.

133 6. Declaring specific Web service trust bindings of the security token  
134 service framework for token validation. Validation binding is used to  
135 evaluate a security token (or OASIS Web Services Security [1]  
136 compliant message) and the result is returned as a status, token or  
137 both. Such a validation binding should be defined for (but not be  
138 limited to) the following:

- 139 a. Actions and elements for requesting the validation of a token  
140 (or message).
- 141 b. Actions and elements for responding about the validity of a  
142 token (or tokens).
- 143 c. Allowing for direct or indirect references to the security tokens  
144 being validated.

145 7. Generalizing the mechanism for a security token service to allow  
146 for multi-leg exchanges. Such exchange should allow for, but not be  
147 limited to "challenges", tunnelling of legacy binary protocols, and  
148 tunnelling of  
149 hardware-based legacy protocols. Specifically, the following models  
150 of challenge and exchanges should be defined by this specification:

- 151 a. Signature challenge that requires the other party to sign  
152 specified information.
- 153 b. Binary exchanges involving the usage of binary data from  
154 existing non-Web Services protocols.
- 155 c. Exchanges involving request and passing of a key exchange  
156 token

157  
158 Shared security contexts  
159

160 OASIS Web Services Security [1] describes using security  
161 credentials to implement message integrity, confidentiality and  
162 authentication. In cases where multiple messages need to be  
163 exchanged securely, typically a shared security context is established  
164 between the communicating parties and used for the life time of the  
165 message exchange. This TC will also address adding extensions to  
166 Web Services Security [1] and define the appropriate secure SOAP  
167 message exchanges (see above) to permit the definition of shared  
168 security contexts.

169

170 This work will encompass:

- 171 1. Defining mechanisms for establishing a shared security context in  
172 the following cases:
  - 173 a. When one of the communicating parties creates the context and  
174 propagates it to other parties.
  - 175 b. When the shared context is achieved through a sequence of  
176 negotiations.
  - 177 c. When the shared context is brokered through a third party  
178 security token service.
- 179 2. Defining specific Web service bindings for security context  
180 establishment by utilizing the Web service trust binding elements for  
181 requesting and responding with security context tokens.
- 182 3. Defining specific Web service bindings for renewal of the security  
183 context token.
- 184 4. Defining specific Web service bindings for cancellation of the  
185 security context token.
- 186 5. Defining specific Web service bindings for amendment of the  
187 claims associated with a security context.
- 188 6. Since a shared security context may contain or imply a shared  
189 key, this specification must contain descriptions of common elements  
190 for key derivation models, where such a scheme is desirable for  
191 improving the security characteristics of the keys being used.
- 192 7. Defining a token profile for use of security context tokens with  
193 OASIS Web Services Security [1].
- 194 8. Defining a token profile for use of derived key tokens with OASIS  
195 Web Services Security [1].

196

197 Security policies

198

199 OASIS Web Services Security [1], WS-SecureConversation [2] and

200 WS-Trust [3] define open-ended wire formats. WS-Policy [5]  
201 defines a framework for allowing web services to express their  
202 constraints and requirements as policy assertions. WS-SecurityPolicy  
203 [4] uses the facilities of WS-Policy [5] to express the conditions and  
204 restrictions on the wire formats defined by OASIS Web Services  
205 Security [1], WS-SecureConversation [2] and WS-Trust [3] to a  
206 specific set of typed message interchanges. That is to say WS-  
207 SecurityPolicy "strongly types" the supported security messages.  
208 This type of policy enablement allows the supported message  
209 exchanges to be analyzed from a security perspective to indicate  
210 which security protocols an end point supports.

211

212 This work will specifically define the following:

213 1. Mechanism for specifying what parts of the message must be  
214 secured, called protection assertions

215 a. Such protection assertions must be able to specify integrity  
216 requirements at both the element and header/body level in a security  
217 policy binding (defined below) neutral manner.

218 b. Such protection assertions must be able to specify  
219 confidentiality requirements at both the element and header/body  
220 level in a security policy binding (defined below) neutral manner.

221 c. Such mechanisms must not require the use of XPath [21] but  
222 may provide it as an option.

223 2. Mechanism for specifying pre-conditions of security, called  
224 conditional assertions

225 a. Such conditional assertions must be able to specify the required  
226 elements in the message

227 3. General mechanism for specifying tokens to use in protecting the  
228 message or binding claims to the message, called token assertions

229 a. Such token assertions should facilitate the specification of at  
230 least the following token types defined by OASIS SOAP Message  
231 Security, WS-Trust and WS-SecureConversation: Username token,  
232 X509 token, Kerberos token, SPNego Context Token, Security  
233 Context Token, Secure Conversation Token, SAML token, REL  
234 token, HTTPS token as well as any opaque token issued by a  
235 security token service.

236 b. Such token assertions should specify conditions for inclusion in  
237 the message such as whether the token should be included in every  
238 message explicitly, whether the token should be always excluded  
239 from the message and a reference included in the message, whether

Frederick Hirsch 12/7/05 4:40 PM

Deleted: 1.0

240 the token should be included once in a message exchange and  
241 external reference should be used subsequently.

242 c. Such token assertions should support specification of derived  
243 keys.

244 4. An abstraction for describing some of the common security usage  
245 patterns called security policy bindings.

246 a. Such an abstraction should contain a description of the required  
247 and optional elements of such a security policy binding, including  
248 minimal token requirements, necessary key transfer mechanism,  
249 structure and contents of elements in wsse:security header, and  
250 correlation mechanisms.

251 b. Such a binding framework should also include properties for  
252 describing algorithm suite to be used, whether a timestamp should be  
253 included, signature/encryption ordering in the message, whether  
254 signatures are encrypted, and whether the signing token should also  
255 be covered by the signature.

256 c. Specific security policy binding assertions for the patterns  
257 where transport is used, where a symmetric key token is used for  
258 message security or where an asymmetric key token pair is used for  
259 message security.

260 5. A mechanism for specifying additional token types that provide  
261 additional claims, called supporting token assertions. Such a  
262 mechanism should support the following cases:

263 a. When additional tokens are used to sign additional parts of the  
264 message

265 b. When additional tokens are signed by the primary signature  
266 token

267 c. When additional tokens sign the primary signature

268 d. When additional tokens sign the primary signature and are  
269 signed by the primary signature token

270 6. A mechanism for specifying token referencing and token issuance  
271 called WSS assertions and Trust assertions that meet the referencing  
272 mechanisms and properties defined in OASIS Web Services  
273 Security 1.0 (and associated token profiles) [1], OASIS Web  
274 Services Security 1.1 (and associated token profiles) [6], in WS-Trust  
275 [3] and WS-SecureConversation [2]. Such a mechanism should  
276 include:

277 a. Properties for indicating the Web Services Security 1.0 [1] defined  
278 reference mechanism to use

279 b. Properties for indicating the Web Services Security 1.1 [6]

280 defined reference mechanism to use including thumbprint reference  
281 and encryptedkey reference  
282 c. Signature confirmation requirement  
283 d. Properties for indicating the type of challenges required (as  
284 defined in WS-Trust [3])  
285 e. Properties for indicating the type of entropy mechanism  
286 required in a negotiation sequence (as defined in WS-Trust [3])

Frederick Hirsch 12/7/05 4:53 PM  
Deleted: on

## 287 288 General Notes on Scope

289  
290 The output specifications will uphold the basic principles of other  
291 Web services specifications of independence and composition and be  
292 composable with the other specifications in the Web services  
293 architecture, such as the specifications listed in the References  
294 section, numbers 1, 5-12 and 18-20. The TC will also take into  
295 consideration the following specifications/works listed in the  
296 References section, numbers 13, 14, 15 and 16.

297 If any of the above specifications is outside of a standardization  
298 process at the time this TC moves to ratify its deliverables, or is not  
299 far enough along in the standardization process, any normative  
300 references to it in the TC output will be expressed in an abstract  
301 manner, and the incarnation will be left at that time as an exercise in  
302 interoperability.

303 While composition with other specifications is a goal of the TC, it is  
304 also a goal to leave the specifics of how that composition is achieved  
305 outside the scope of this TC.

306 Each of the protocol elements will use implementation and language  
307 neutral XML formats defined in XML Schema [17].

## 308 309 Out of Scope

310  
311 The following is a non-exhaustive list. It is provided only for the sake  
312 of clarity. If some function, mechanism or feature is not mentioned  
313 here, and it is not mentioned in the Scope of Work section either,  
314 then it will be deemed to be out of scope.

315 The TC will not define a mapping of the functions and elements  
316 described in the specifications to any programming language, to any  
317 particular messaging middleware, nor to specific network transports.

318  
319 The following items are specifically out of scope of the work of the



320 TC:

321 1. Definition and management of trust policy expressions (that is,  
322 statements about who is trusted to make what claims about an entity);  
323 these are different from the in-scope "trust assertions" referred to in  
324 the Scope

325 of Work section above

326 2. Token revocation notifications and revocation management (e.g.  
327 via CRLs)

328 3. Schemas for specific tokens issued, renewed, cancelled or  
329 validated as part of the trust process.

330 4. The establishment of trust between two or more business parties

331 5. Definition of new key derivation algorithms

332 6. Providing a general purpose boxcaring model

333 7. Definition of APIs

334 8. Definition of additional negotiation and challenge protocol  
335 mechanisms.

336 9. Developing the roadmaps [15], [16] or other specifications  
337 mentioned in those roadmaps, beyond the material listed explicitly  
338 as within the scope of this charter.

339

340 The TC will not attempt to define concepts or renderings for  
341 functions that are of wider applicability including but not limited to:

342 -- Addressing

343 -- Policy language frameworks

344 -- Routing

345 -- Reliable message exchange

346 -- Transactions and compensation

347 Where required these functions are achieved by composition with  
348 other Web services specifications.

349

350 The TC will not attempt to define functionality duplicating that of  
351 any normatively referenced specification in the input WS-  
352 SecureConversation [2], WS-Trust [3] or WS-SecurityPolicy [4]  
353 specifications. If the referenced specification is outside of a  
354 standardization process at the time this TC moves to ratify its  
355 deliverables, or is not far along enough in the standardization  
356 process, any normative references to it in the TC output will be  
357 expressed in an abstract manner, and the incarnation will be left at  
358 that time as an exercise in interoperability.

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:15 PM

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 16 pt

Frederick Hirsch 12/6/05 2:15 PM

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman

359

360 d. Deliverables

361

362 The TC has the following set of deliverables:

363 \* A revised Web Services SecureConversation specification and  
364 associated Schema. A Committee Specification is scheduled for  
365 completion within 18 months of the first TC meeting.

366 \* A revised Web Services Trust specification with associated  
367 Schema and WSDL. A Committee Specification is scheduled for  
368 completion within 18 months of the first TC meeting.

369 \* A revised Web Services SecurityPolicy specification and  
370 associated Schema. A Committee Specification is scheduled for  
371 completion within 18 months of the first TC meeting.

372

373 These specifications will reflect refinements, corrections or material  
374 technological improvements with respect to the input documents and  
375 in accordance with this charter.

376 Ratification of the above specifications as OASIS standards,  
377 including a brief period to address any errata will mark the end of the  
378 TC's lifecycle.

379

Frederick Hirsch 12/7/05 4:55 PM

Deleted: '

380 e. Anticipated Audience

381

382 The anticipated audience for this work includes:

383 \* Vendors offering web services products

384 \* Other specification authors that need security for Web services

385 \* Software architects and programmers, who design, write or  
386 integrate applications for Web services

387 \* End users implementing Web services-based solutions that  
388 require an interoperable, composable solution for trusted SOAP  
389 message exchanges, security policies and shared security contexts.

390 \* Vendors making gateway and router class products (both  
391 hardware and software)

392

393 f. Language

394

395 TC business will be conducted in English.

396

397 g. IPR Policy

398

399 This TC will operate under the "RF (Royalty Free) on RAND  
400 Terms" IPR mode as defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property  
401 Rights (IPR) Policy, effective 15 April 2005.  
402