



Web Services Security Core Specification

Working Draft 03, 03 November 2002

Deleted: 2
Deleted: 24
Deleted: October

Document identifier:
WSS-Core-02

Location:
TBD

Editors:
Phillip Hallam-Baker, VeriSign
Chris Kaler, Microsoft
Ronald Monzillo, Sun
Anthony Nadalin, IBM

Contributors:

TBD – Revise this list to include WSS TC contributors

Bob Atkinson, Microsoft	John Manferdelli, Microsoft
Giovanni Della-Libera, Microsoft	Hiroshi Maruyama, IBM
Satoshi Hada, IBM	Anthony Nadalin, IBM
Phillip Hallam-Baker, VeriSign	Nataraj Nagaratnam, IBM
Maryann Hondo, IBM	Hemma Prafullchandra, VeriSign
Chris Kaler, Microsoft	John Shewchuk, Microsoft
Johannes Klein, Microsoft	Dan Simon, Microsoft
Brian LaMacchia, Microsoft	Kent Tamura, IBM
Paul Leach, Microsoft	Hervey Wilson, Microsoft

Abstract:

This specification describes enhancements to the SOAP messaging to provide *quality of protection* through message integrity, message confidentiality, and single message authentication. These mechanisms can be used to accommodate a wide variety of security models and encryption technologies.

This specification also provides a general-purpose mechanism for associating security tokens with messages. No specific type of security token is required; it is designed to be extensible (e.g. support multiple security token formats). For example, a client might provide one format for proof of identity and provide another format for proof that they have a particular business certification.

Additionally, this specification describes how to encode binary security tokens, a framework for XML-based tokens, and describes how to include opaque encrypted keys. It also includes extensibility mechanisms that can be used to further describe the characteristics of the tokens that are included with a message.

30

31 **Status:**

32 This is an interim draft. Please send comments to the editors.

33

34 Committee members should send comments on this specification to the [wss@lists.oasis-](mailto:wss@lists.oasis-open.org)
35 [open.org](mailto:wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org) list. Others should subscribe to and send comments to the [wss-](mailto:wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org)
36 [comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org) list. To subscribe, visit [http://lists.oasis-](http://lists.oasis-open.org/ob/adm.pl)
37 [open.org/ob/adm.pl](http://lists.oasis-open.org/ob/adm.pl).

38 For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to
39 implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to
40 the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Security Services TC web page
41 (<http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml>).

Table of Contents

43	1	Introduction	5
44	1.1	Goals and Requirements	5
45	1.1.1	Requirements.....	5
46	1.1.2	Non-Goals	5
47	2	Notations and Terminology	7
48	2.1	Notational Conventions.....	7
49	2.2	Namespaces	7
50	2.3	Terminology.....	7
51	3	Message Protection Mechanisms	9
52	3.1	Message Security Model.....	9
53	3.2	Message Protection	9
54	3.3	Invalid or Missing Claims	10
55	3.4	Example	10
56	4	ID References	12
57	4.1	Id Attribute	12
58	4.2	Id Schema	12
59	5	Security Header.....	14
60	6	Security Tokens	16
61	6.1	User Name Tokens	16
62	6.1.1	Usernames and Passwords.....	16
63	6.2	Binary Security Tokens	18
64	6.2.1	Attaching Security Tokens.....	18
65	6.2.2	Processing Rules	18
66	6.2.3	Encoding Binary Security Tokens	18
67	6.3	XML Tokens	19
68	6.3.1	Attaching Security Tokens.....	20
69	6.3.2	Identifying and Referencing Security Tokens	20
70	6.3.3	Subject Confirmation	20
71	6.3.4	Processing Rules	20
72	7	Token References	21
73	7.1	SecurityTokenReference Element	21
74	7.2	Direct References	21
75	7.3	Key Identifiers.....	22
76	7.4	ds:KeyInfo	23
77	7.5	Key Names	23
78	7.6	Token Reference Lookup Processing Order.....	23
79	8	Signatures	24
80	8.1	Algorithms	24
81	8.2	Signing Messages.....	25
82	8.3	Signature Validation.....	25
83	8.4	Example	25

84	9	Encryption.....	27
85	9.1	xenc:ReferenceList.....	27
86	9.2	xenc:EncryptedKey.....	28
87	9.3	xenc:EncryptedData.....	28
88	9.4	Processing Rules.....	29
89	9.4.1	Encryption.....	29
90	9.4.2	Decryption.....	30
91	9.5	Decryption Transformation.....	30
92	10	Message Timestamps.....	31
93	10.1	Model.....	31
94	10.2	Timestamp Elements.....	31
95	10.2.1	Expiration.....	31
96	10.2.2	Creation.....	32
97	10.3	Timestamp Header.....	33
98	10.4	TimestampTrace Header.....	34
99	11	Extended Example.....	36
100	12	Error Handling.....	39
101	13	Security Considerations.....	40
102	14	Privacy Considerations.....	42
103	15	Acknowledgements.....	43
104	16	References.....	44
105		Appendix A: Revision History.....	45
106		Appendix B: Notices.....	46
107			

108 1 Introduction

109 This specification proposes a standard set of SOAP extensions that can be used when building
110 secure Web services to implement message level integrity and confidentiality. This specification
111 refers to this set of extensions as the "Web Services Security Core Language" or "WSS-Core".

112 This specification is flexible and is designed to be used as the basis for the construction of a wide
113 variety of security models including PKI, Kerberos, and SSL. Specifically, this specification
114 provides support for multiple security token formats, multiple trust domains, multiple signature
115 formats, and multiple encryption technologies.

116 This specification provides three main mechanisms: ability to send security token as part of a
117 message, message integrity, and message confidentiality. These mechanisms by themselves do
118 not provide a complete security solution for Web services. Instead, this specification is a building
119 block that can be used in conjunction with other Web service extensions and higher-level
120 application-specific protocols to accommodate a wide variety of security models and security
121 technologies.

Deleted: message level

Deleted: propagation

122 These mechanisms can be used independently (e.g., to pass a security token) or in a tightly
123 coupled manner (e.g., signing and encrypting a message and providing a security token hierarchy
124 associated with the keys used for signing and encryption).

125 1.1 Goals and Requirements

126 The goal of this specification is to enable applications to construct secure SOAP message
127 exchanges.

128 This specification is intended to provide a flexible set of mechanisms that can be used to
129 construct a range of security protocols; in other words this specification intentionally does not
130 describe explicit fixed security protocols.

131 As with every security protocol, significant efforts must be applied to ensure that security
132 protocols constructed using this specification are not vulnerable to a wide range of attacks.

133 The focus of this specification is to describe a single-message security language that provides for
134 message security that may assume an established session, security context and/or policy
135 agreement.

136 The requirements to support secure message exchange are listed below.

137 1.1.1 Requirements

138 The Web services security language must support a wide variety of security models. The
139 following list identifies the key driving requirements for this specification:

- 140 • Multiple security token formats
- 141 • Multiple trust domains
- 142 • Multiple signature formats
- 143 • Multiple encryption technologies
- 144 • End-to-end message-level security and not just transport-level security

145 1.1.2 Non-Goals

146 The following topics are outside the scope of this document:

- 147 • Establishing a security context or authentication mechanisms.
- 148 • key derivation

- 149
- How trust is established or determined.
- 150

2 Notations and Terminology

151

This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

152

2.1 Notational Conventions

153

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC2119.

154

155

156

Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represent some application-dependent or context-dependent URI as defined in RFC2396.

157

158

This specification is designed to work with the general SOAP message structure and message processing model, and should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.2 namespace URI is used herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this specification to a single version of SOAP.

159

160

161

162

Readers are presumed to be familiar with the terms in the Internet Security Glossary.

163

2.2 Namespaces

164

The XML namespace URIs that MUST be used by implementations of this specification are as follows (note that different elements in this specification are from different namespaces):

165

166

167

168

```
http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext
http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility
```

The following namespaces are used in this document:

169

170

Prefix	Namespace
S	http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope
ds	http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#
xenc	http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#
wsse	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext
wsu	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility

2.3 Terminology

171

Defined below are the basic definitions for the security terminology used in this specification.

172

Claim – A *claim* is a statement that a client makes (e.g. name, identity, key, group, privilege, capability, etc).

173

174

Security Token – A *security token* represents a collection of claims.

175

Signed Security Token – A *signed security token* is a security token that is asserted and cryptographically endorsed by a specific authority (e.g. an X.509 certificate or a Kerberos ticket).

176

177



179

180 **Proof-of-Possession** – *Proof-of-possession* information is data that is used in a proof
 181 process to demonstrate that a sender is acting on behalf of a (claimed) client, based on
 182 knowledge of information that should only be known to the client. Proof-of-possession
 183 information is used to bind a client and a sender acting on behalf of a client within a security
 184 token.

185 **Integrity** – *Integrity* is the process by which it is guaranteed that information is not modified. .

186 **Confidentiality** – *Confidentiality* is the process by which data is protected such that only
 187 authorized roles or security token owners can view the data

188 **Digest** – A *digest* is a cryptographic checksum of an octet stream.

189 **Signature** - A *signature* is a cryptographic binding of a proof-of-possession and a digest. This
 190 covers both symmetric key-based and public key-based signatures. Consequently, non-
 191 repudiation is not always achieved.

192 **Attachment** – An *attachment* is a generic term referring to additional data that travels with a
 193 SOAP message, but is not part of the SOAP Envelope.

194 3 Message Protection Mechanisms

195 In order to secure a SOAP message, two types of threats should be considered: 1) the message
196 could be modified or read by antagonists or 2) an antagonist could send messages to a service
197 that, while well-formed, lack appropriate security claims to warrant processing.
198 To understand these threats this specification defines a message security model.

199 3.1 Message Security Model

200 This document specifies an abstract *message security model* in terms of security tokens
201 combined with digital signatures as proof of possession of the security token (key).

202 Security tokens assert claims and signatures provide a mechanism for proving the sender's
203 knowledge of the key. As well, the signature can be used to "bind" or "associate" the signature
204 with the claims in the security token (assuming the token is trusted). Note that such a binding is
205 limited to those elements covered by the signature. Furthermore note that this document does
206 not specify a particular method for authentication, it simply indicates that security tokens MAY be
207 bound to messages.

208 A claim can be either endorsed or unendorsed by a trusted authority. A set of endorsed claims is
209 usually represented as a signed security token that is digitally signed or encrypted by the
210 authority. An X.509 certificate, claiming the binding between one's identity and public key, is an
211 example of a signed security token. An endorsed claim can also be represented as a reference
212 to an authority so that the receiver can "pull" the claim from the referenced authority.

213 An unendorsed claim can be trusted if there is a trust relationship between the sender and the
214 receiver. For example, the unendorsed claim that the sender is Bob is sufficient for a certain
215 receiver to believe that the sender is in fact Bob, if the sender and the receiver use a trusted
216 connection and there is an out-of-band trust relationship between them.

217 One special type of unendorsed claim is Proof-of-Possession. Such a claim proves that the
218 sender has a particular piece of knowledge that is verifiable by, appropriate roles. For example, a
219 username/password is a security token with this type of claim. A Proof-of-Possession claim is
220 sometimes combined with other security tokens to prove the claims of the sender. Note that a
221 digital signature used for message integrity can also be used as a Proof-of-Possession claim,
222 although in this specification does not consider such a digital signature as a type of security
223 token.

224 It should be noted that this security model, by itself, is subject to multiple security attacks. Refer
225 to the Security Considerations section for additional details.

226 3.2 Message Protection

227 Protecting the message content from being intercepted (confidentiality) or illegally modified
228 (integrity) are primary security concerns. This specification provides a means to protect a
229 message by encrypting and/or digitally signing a body, a header, an attachment, or any
230 combination of them (or parts of them).

231 Message integrity is provided by leveraging XML Signature in conjunction with security tokens to
232 ensure that messages are transmitted without modifications. The integrity mechanisms are
233 designed to support multiple signatures, potentially by multiple roles, and to be extensible to
234 support additional signature formats.

235 Message confidentiality leverages XML Encryption in conjunction with security tokens to keep
236 portions of a SOAP message confidential. The encryption mechanisms are designed to support
237 additional encryption processes and operations by multiple roles.

238 [WS-Security defines syntax and semantics of signatures within <wsse:Security> header block.](#)
239 [WS-Security does not specify any signature appearing outside of <wsse:Security>, if any.](#)

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Space Before: 4 pt, After: 4 pt, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tabs: Not at 1.2"

Deleted: ¶

240 3.3 Invalid or Missing Claims

241 The message receiver SHOULD reject a message with signature determined to be invalid,
242 missing or unauthorized [claims](#) as it is an unauthorized (or malformed) message. This
243 specification provides a flexible way for the message sender to make a [claim](#) about the security
244 properties by associating zero or more [security tokens](#) with the message. An example of a
245 security [claim](#) is the identity of the sender; the sender can [claim](#) that he is Bob, known as an
246 employee of some company, and therefore he has the right to send the message.

247 3.4 Example

248 The following example illustrates a message with a username security token:

```
249 (001) <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>  
250 (002) <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
251         xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">  
252 (003)   <S:Header>  
253 (004)     <wsse:Security  
254             xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">  
255 (005)       <wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="MyID">  
256 (006)         <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>  
257 (007)         <wsse:Nonce>FKJh...</wsse:Nonce>  
258 (008)         <wsu:Created>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu:Created>  
259 (009)       </wsse:UsernameToken>  
260 (010)     <ds:Signature>  
261 (011)       <ds:SignedInfo>  
262 (012)         <ds:CanonicalizationMethod  
263             Algorithm=  
264             "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />  
265 (013)         <ds:SignatureMethod  
266             Algorithm=  
267             "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-shal" />  
268 (014)         <ds:Reference URI="#MsgBody">  
269 (015)           <ds:DigestMethod  
270             Algorithm=  
271             "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />  
272 (016)           <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF0Pi4wPU...</ds:DigestValue>  
273 (017)         </ds:Reference>  
274 (018)       </ds:SignedInfo>  
275 (019)       <ds:SignatureValue>DJBchm5gK...</ds:SignatureValue>  
276 (020)       <ds:KeyInfo>  
277 (021)         <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>  
278 (022)           <wsse:Reference URI="#MyID" />  
279 (023)         </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>  
280 (024)       </ds:KeyInfo>  
281 (025)     </ds:Signature>  
282 (026)   </wsse:Security>  
283 (027) </S:Header>  
284 (028) <S:Body wsu:Id="MsgBody">  
285 (029)   <tru:StockSymbol xmlns:tru="http://fabrikam123.com/payloads">  
286     QQQ  
287   </tru:StockSymbol>  
288 (030) </S:Body>  
289 (031) </S:Envelope>
```

290 The first two lines start the [SOAP envelope](#). Line (003) begins the headers that are associated
291 with this [SOAP message](#).

292 Line (004) starts the `<Security>` header that is defined in this specification. This header
293 contains security information for an intended receiver. This element continues until line (026)
294 Lines (006) to (009) specify a [security token](#) that is associated with the message. In this case, it
295 defines *username* of the client using the `<UsernameToken>`. Note that here that the assumption
296 is that the service knows the password – in other words, it is a shared secret and the `<Nonce>`
297 and `<Created>` are used to generate the key
298 Lines (010) to (025) specify a digital signature. This signature ensures the [integrity](#) of the signed
299 elements (that they aren't modified). The signature uses the [XML Signature](#) specification. In this
300 example, the signature is based on a key generated from the users' password; typically stronger
301 signing mechanisms would be used (see the [Extended Example](#) later in this document).
302 Lines (011) to (018) describe the digital signature. Line (012) specifies how to canonicalize
303 (normalize) the data that is being signed.
304 Lines (014) to (017) select the elements that are signed and how to digest them. Specifically, line
305 (014) indicates that the `<S:Body>` element is signed. In this example only the message body is
306 signed; typically all critical elements of the message are included in the signature (see the
307 [Extended Example](#) below).
308 Line (019) specifies the signature value of the canonicalized form of the data that is being signed
309 as defined in the [XML Signature](#) specification.
310 Lines (020) to (024) provide a *hint* as to where to find the [security token](#) associated with this
311 signature. Specifically, lines (021) to (023) indicate that the [security token](#) can be found at (pulled
312 from) the specified URL.
313 Lines (028) to (030) contain the *body* (payload) of the [SOAP](#) message.
314

315 4 ID References

316 There are many motivations for referencing other message elements such as signature references
317 or correlating signatures to security tokens. However, because arbitrary ID attributes require the
318 schemas to be available and processed, ID attributes which can be referenced in a signature are
319 restricted to the following list:

- 320 • ID attributes from XML Signature
- 321 • ID attributes from XML Encryption
- 322 • wsu:Id global attribute described below

323 In addition, when signing a part of an envelope such as the body, it is RECOMMENDED that an
324 ID reference is used instead of a more general transformation, especially XPath. This is to
325 simplify processing.

326 4.1 Id Attribute

327 There are many situations where elements within SOAP messages need to be referenced. For
328 example, when signing a SOAP message, selected elements are included in the signature. XML
329 Schema Part 2 provides several built-in data types that may be used for identifying and
330 referencing elements, but their use requires that consumers of the SOAP message either to have
331 or be able to obtain the schemas where the identity or reference mechanisms are defined. In
332 some circumstances, for example, intermediaries, this can be problematic and not desirable.

333 Consequently a mechanism is required for identifying and referencing elements, based on the
334 SOAP foundation, that does not rely upon complete schema knowledge of the context in which an
335 element is used. This functionality can be integrated into SOAP processors so that elements can
336 be identified and referred to without dynamic schema discovery and processing.

337 This section specifies a namespace-qualified global attribute for identifying an element which can
338 be applied to any element that either allows arbitrary attributes or specifically allows a particular
339 attribute.

340 4.2 Id Schema

341 To simplify the processing for intermediaries and receivers, a common attribute is defined for
342 identifying an element. This attribute utilizes the XML Schema ID type and specifies a common
343 attribute for indicating this information for elements.

344 The syntax for this attribute is as follows:

```
345 <anyElement wsu:Id="...">...</anyElement>
```

346 The following describes the attribute illustrated above:

347 *.../@wsu:Id*

348 This attribute, defined as type `xsd:ID`, provides a well-known attribute for specifying the
349 local ID of an element.

350 Two `wsu:Id` attributes within an XML document MUST NOT have the same value.
351 Implementations MAY rely on XML Schema validation to provide rudimentary enforcement for
352 intra-document uniqueness. However, applications SHOULD NOT rely on schema validation
353 alone to enforce uniqueness.

354 This specification does not specify how this attribute will be used and it is expected that other
355 specifications MAY add additional semantics (or restrictions) for their usage of this attribute.

356 The following example illustrates use of this attribute to identify an element:

357 `<x:myElement wsu:Id="ID1" xmlns:x="..."`
358 `xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility"/>`

359 Conformant processors that do support XML Schema MUST treat this attribute as if it was
360 defined using a global attribute declaration.

361 Conformant processors that do not support XML Schema or DTDs are strongly encouraged to
362 treat this attribute information item as if its PSVI has a [type definition] which {target namespace}
363 is "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" and which {name} is "Id." Specifically,
364 implementations MAY support the value of the `wsu:Id` as the valid identifier for use as an
365 [XPointer](#) shorthand pointer.

5 Security Header

367 The `<wsse:Security>` header block provides a mechanism for attaching security-related
 368 information targeted at a specific receiver ([SOAP role](#)). This MAY be either the ultimate receiver
 369 of the message or an intermediary. Consequently, this header block MAY be present multiple
 370 times in a [SOAP](#) message. An intermediary on the message path MAY add one or more new
 371 sub-elements to an existing `<wsse:Security>` header block if they are targeted for the same
 372 [SOAP](#) node or it MAY add one or more new headers for additional targets.

373 As stated, a message MAY have multiple `<wsse:Security>` header blocks if they are targeted
 374 for separate receivers. However, only one `<wsse:Security>` header block can omit the
 375 `S:role` attribute and no two `<wsse:Security>` header blocks can have the same value for
 376 `S:role`. Message security information targeted for different receivers MUST appear in different
 377 `<wsse:Security>` header blocks. The `<wsse:Security>` header block without a specified
 378 `S:role` can be consumed by anyone, but MUST NOT be removed prior to the final destination or
 379 endpoint.

380 As elements are added to the `<wsse:Security>` header block, they SHOULD be prepended to
 381 the existing elements. As such, the `<wsse:Security>` header block represents the signing and
 382 encryption steps the message sender took to create the message. This prepending rule ensures
 383 that the receiving application MAY process sub-elements in the order they appear in the
 384 `<wsse:Security>` header block, because there will be no forward dependency among the sub-
 385 elements. Note that this specification does not impose any specific order of processing the sub-
 386 elements. The receiving application can use whatever policy is needed.

387 When a sub-element refers to a key carried in another sub-element (for example, a signature
 388 sub-element that refers to a binary security token sub-element that contains the [X.509](#) certificate
 389 used for the signature), the key-bearing security token SHOULD be prepended to the key-using
 390 sub-element being added, so that the key material appears before the key-using sub-element.

391 The following illustrates the syntax of this header:

```

392 <S:Envelope>
393   <S:Header>
394     ...
395     <wsse:Security S:role="..." S:mustUnderstand="...">
396       ...
397     </wsse:Security>
398     ...
399   </S:Header>
400   ...
401 </S:Envelope>
  
```

402 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

403 `/wsse:Security`

404 This is the header block for passing security-related message information to a receiver.

405 `/wsse:Security/@S:role`

406 This attribute allows a specific [SOAP](#) role to be identified. This attribute is optional,
 407 however, no two instances of the header block may omit a role or specify the same role.

408 `/wsse:Security/{any}`

409 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
 410 information, based on a schema, to be passed.

411 `/wsse:Security/@{any}`

412 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
413 added to the header.

414 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a `<wsse:Security>` element.

415 All compliant implementations must declare which profiles they support and MUST be able to
416 process a `<wsse:Security>` element including any sub-elements which may be defined by profile.

417 The next few sections outline elements that are expected to be used within the
418 `<wsse:Security>` header.

419 6 Security Tokens

420 This chapter discusses different types of security tokens and how they are attached to messages.

421 6.1 User Name Tokens

422 6.1.1 Usernames and Passwords

423 The `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element is introduced as a way of proving a username and
424 optional password information. This element is optionally included in the `<wsse:Security>`
425 header.

426 Within this element, a `<wsse:Password>` element can be specified. The password has an
427 associated type – either `wsse:PasswordText` or `wsse:PasswordDigest`. The
428 `wsse:PasswordText` is not limited to only the actual password. Any password equivalent such
429 as a derived password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used.

430 The `wsse:PasswordDigest` is defined as a “*base64-encoded SHA1 hash value of the UTF8-
431 encoded password*”. However, unless this digested password is sent on a secured channel, the
432 digest offers no real additional security than `wsse:PasswordText`.

433 To address this issue, two additional optional elements are introduced in the
434 `<wsse:UsernameToken>`: `<wsse:Nonce>` and `<wsu:Created>`. If either of these is present,
435 they are included in the digest value as follows:

```
436 Password_digest = SHA1 ( nonce + created + password )
```

437 That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or
438 password equivalent) and include the digest of the combination. This helps obscure the
439 password and offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. It is RECOMMENDED that timestamps
440 and nonces be cached for a given period of time, as a guideline a value of five minutes can be
441 used as a minimum to detect replays, and that timestamps older than that given period of time set
442 be rejected.

443 Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp
444 is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the
445 element.

446 Note that password digests SHOULD NOT be used unless the plain text password, secret, or
447 password-equivalent is available to both the requestor and the receiver.

448 The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
449 <wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="...">  
450   <wsse:Username>...</wsse:Username>  
451   <wsse:Password Type="...">...</wsse:Password>  
452   <wsse:Nonce EncodingType="...">...</wsse:Nonce>  
453   <wsu:Created>...</wsu:Created>  
454 </wsse:UsernameToken>
```

455 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

456 `/wsse:UsernameToken`

457 This element is used for sending basic authentication information.

458 `/wsse:UsernameToken/@wsu:Id`

459 A string label for this [security token](#).

460 `/wsse:UsernameToken/Username`

461 This required element specifies the username of the authenticating party.

462 */wsse:UsernameToken/Username/@{any}*
 463 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
 464 added to the header.
 465 */wsse:UsernameToken/Password*
 466 This optional element provides password information. It is RECOMMENDED that this
 467 element only be passed when a secure transport is being used.
 468 */wsse:UsernameToken/Password/@Type*
 469 This optional attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The following table
 470 identifies the pre-defined types:

Value	Description
wsse:PasswordText (default)	The actual password for the username or derived password or S/KEY .
wsse:PasswordDigest	The digest of the password for the username using the algorithm described above.

471 */wsse:UsernameToken/Password/@{any}*
 472 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
 473 added to the header.
 474 */wsse:UsernameToken//wsse:Nonce*
 475 This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce.
 476 */wsse:UsernameToken//wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType*
 477 This optional attribute specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see definition of
 478 `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then
 479 the default of Base64 encoding is used.
 480 */wsse:UsernameToken//wsu:Created*
 481 This optional element which specifies a timestamp.
 482 */wsse:UsernameToken/{any}*
 483 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
 484 information, based on a schema, to be passed.
 485 */wsse:UsernameToken/@{any}*
 486 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
 487 added to the header.

488 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element.
 489 The following illustrates the use of this element (note that in this example the password is sent in
 490 clear text and the message should therefore be sent over a confidential channel:

```

491 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
492           xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
493   <S:Header>
494     ...
495     <wsse:Security>
496       <wsse:UsernameToken >
497         <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
498         <wsse:Password>ILoveDogs</wsse:Password>
499       </wsse:UsernameToken>
500     </wsse:Security>
501     ...
502   </S:Header>
503   ...
504 </S:Envelope>
  
```

505 The following example illustrates a hashed password using both a nonce and a timestamp with
506 the password hashed:

```
507 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
508           xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">  
509   <S:Header>  
510     ...  
511     <wsse:Security>  
512       <wsse:UsernameToken  
513         xmlns:wssse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext "  
514         xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">  
515         <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>  
516         <wsse:Password Type="wsse:PasswordDigest">  
517           FEdR...</wsse:Password>  
518         <wsse:Nonce>FKJh...</wsse:Nonce>  
519         <wsu:Created>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z </wsu:Created>  
520       </wsse:UsernameToken>  
521     </wsse:Security>  
522     ...  
523   </S:Header>  
524   ...  
525 </S:Envelope>
```

526 6.2 Binary Security Tokens

527 6.2.1 Attaching Security Tokens

528 This specification defines the `<wsse:Security>` header as a mechanism for conveying security
529 information with and about a [SOAP](#) message. This header is, by design, extensible to support
530 many types of security information.

531 6.2.2 Processing Rules

532 This specification describes the processing rules for using and processing [XML Signature](#) and
533 [XML Encryption](#). These rules **MUST** be followed when using any type of security token including
534 XML-based tokens. Note that this does **NOT** mean that binary security tokens **MUST** be signed
535 or encrypted – only that if signature or encryption is used in conjunction with binary security
536 tokens, they **MUST** be used in a way that conforms to the processing rules defined by this
537 specification.

538 6.2.3 Encoding Binary Security Tokens

539 Binary security tokens (e.g., [X.509](#) certificates and [Kerberos](#) tickets) or other non-XML formats
540 require a special encoding format for inclusion. This section describes a basic framework for
541 using binary security tokens. Subsequent specifications describe rules and processes for specific
542 binary security token formats.

543 The `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` element defines two attributes that are used to interpret
544 it. The `ValueType` attribute indicates what the security token is, for example, a [Kerberos](#) ticket.
545 The `EncodingType` tells how the security token is encoded, for example `Base64Binary`.

546 The following is an overview of the syntax:

```
547 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken wsu:Id=...  
548                           EncodingType=...  
549                           ValueType=.../>
```

550 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

551 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken`

552 This element is used to include a binary-encoded security token.

553 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@wsu:Id`
 554 An optional string label for this [security token](#).
 555 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@ValueType`
 556 The `ValueType` attribute is used to indicate the "value space" of the encoded binary
 557 data (e.g. an [X.509](#) certificate). The `ValueType` attribute allows a qualified name that
 558 defines the value type and space of the encoded binary data. This attribute is extensible
 559 using [XML namespaces](#).
 560 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@EncodingType`
 561 The `EncodingType` attribute is used to indicate, using a QName, the encoding format of
 562 the binary data (e.g., `wsse:Base64Binary`). A new attribute is introduced, as there are
 563 currently issues that make derivations of mixed simple and complex types difficult within
 564 [XML Schema](#). The `EncodingType` attribute is interpreted to indicate the encoding
 565 format of the element. The following encoding formats are pre-defined:

QName	Description
<code>wsse:Base64Binary</code>	XML Schema base 64 encoding
<code>wsse:Hex Binary</code>	XML Schema hex encoding

566 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@{any}`
 567 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
 568 added.

569 All compliant implementations MUST be able to support a `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>`
 570 element.

571 When a `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` is used in validating a signature—that is, it is
 572 referenced from a `<ds:Signature>` element—care should be taken so that the canonicalization
 573 algorithm (e.g., [Exclusive XML Canonicalization](#)) does not allow unauthorized replacement of
 574 namespace prefixes of the QNames used in the attribute or element values. In particular, it is
 575 RECOMMENDED that these namespace prefixes are declared within the
 576 `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` element if this token does not carry the validating key (and
 577 consequently it is not cryptographically bound to the [signature](#)). For example, if we wanted to
 578 sign the previous example, we need to include the consumed namespace definitions.

579 In the following example, a custom `ValueType` is used. Consequently, the namespace definition
 580 for this `ValueType` is included in the `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` element. Note that the
 581 definition of `wsse` is also included as it is used for the encoding type and the element.

```
582 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken
583   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext "
584   wsu:Id="myToken"
585   ValueType="x:MyType" xmlns:x="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/x"
586   EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary">
587   MIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0...
588 </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
```

589 **6.3 XML Tokens**

590 This section presents the basic principles and framework for using XML-based security tokens.
 591 Subsequent specifications describe rules and processes for specific XML-based security token
 592 formats.

593 **6.3.1 Attaching Security Tokens**

594 This specification defines the `<wsse:Security>` header as a mechanism for conveying security
595 information with and about a [SOAP](#) message. This header is, by design, extensible to support
596 many types of security information.

597 For security tokens based on XML, the extensibility of the `<wsse:Security>` header allows for
598 these security tokens to be directly inserted into the header.

599 **6.3.2 Identifying and Referencing Security Tokens**

600 This specification also defines multiple mechanisms for identifying and referencing security
601 tokens using the `wsu:id` attribute and the `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` element (as well
602 as some additional mechanisms). Where possible, the `wsu:id` attribute SHOULD be used to
603 reference XML-based tokens. However, specific extensions MAY be made to the
604 `wsse:SecurityTokenReference` element.

605 **6.3.3 Subject Confirmation**

606 This specification does not dictate if and how subject confirmation must be done, however, it does
607 define how signatures can be used and associated with security tokens (by referencing them in
608 the signature) as a form of Proof-of-Possession..

609 **6.3.4 Processing Rules**

610 This specification describes the processing rules for using and processing [XML Signature](#) and
611 [XML Encryption](#). These rules MUST be followed when using any type of security token including
612 XML-based tokens. Note that this does NOT mean that XML-based tokens MUST be signed or
613 encrypted – only that if signature or encryption is used in conjunction with XML-based tokens,
614 they MUST be used in a way that conforms to the processing rules defined by this specification.

615 7 Token References

616 This chapter discusses and defines mechanisms for referencing security tokens.

617 7.1 SecurityTokenReference Element

618 A [security token](#) conveys a set of [claims](#). Sometimes these claims reside somewhere else and
619 need to be "pulled" by the receiving application. The `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>`
620 element provides an extensible mechanism for referencing [security tokens](#).

621 The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
622 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference wsu:Id="..." >  
623   ...  
624 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

625 The following describes the elements defined above:

626 */SecurityTokenReference*

627 This element provides a reference to a security token.

628 */SecurityTokenReference/@wsu:Id*

629 A string label for this [security token](#) reference.

630 */SecurityTokenReference/{any}*

631 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
632 references, based on a schema, to be passed.

633 */SecurityTokenReference/@{any}*

634 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
635 added to the header.

636 The following illustrates the use of this element:

```
637 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference  
638   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">  
639   <wsse:Reference  
640     URI="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/tokens/Zoe#X509token"/>  
641 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

642 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a

643 `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` element.

644 This element can also be used as a direct child element of `<ds:KeyInfo>` to indicate a hint to
645 retrieve the key information from a security token placed somewhere else. In particular, it is

646 RECOMMENDED, when using [XML Signature](#) and [XML Encryption](#), that a

647 `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` element be placed inside a `<ds:KeyInfo>` to reference
648 the [security token](#) used for the signature or encryption.

649 7.2 Direct References

650 The `<wsse:Reference>` element provides an extensible mechanism for directly referencing
651 [security tokens](#) using URIs.

652 The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
653 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference wsu:Id="..." >  
654   <wsse:Reference URI="..." ValueType="..." />  
655 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

656 The following describes the elements defined above:

657 */SecurityTokenReference/Reference*

658 This element is used to identify a URI location for locating a security token.
659 */SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@URI*
660 This optional attribute specifies a URI for where to find a security token.
661 */SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@ValueType*
662 This required attribute specifies a QName that is used to identify the *type* of token being
663 referenced (see `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>`). This specification does not define
664 any processing rules around the usage of this attribute, however, specification for
665 individual token types MAY define specific processing rules and semantics around the
666 value of the URI and how it is interpreted. If this attribute is not present, the URI is
667 processed as a normal URI.

668 */SecurityTokenReference/Reference/{any}*

669 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
670 references, based on a schema, to be passed.

671 */SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@{any}*

672 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
673 added to the header.

674 The following illustrates the use of this element:

```
675 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference  
676     xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">  
677   <wsse:Reference  
678     URI="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/tokens/Zoe#X509token"/>  
679 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

680 7.3 Key Identifiers

681 If a direct reference is not possible, then it is RECOMMENDED to use a key identifier to
682 specify/reference a security token instead of a key name. The `<wsse:KeyIdentifier>`
683 element is placed in the `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` element to reference a token
684 using an identifier. This element SHOULD be used for all key identifiers.

685 The processing model assumes that the key identifier for a security token is constant.
686 Consequently, processing a key identifier is simply looking for a security token whose key
687 identifier matches a given specified constant.

688 The following is an overview of the syntax:

```
689 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>  
690   <wsse:KeyIdentifier wsu:Id="..."  
691     ValueType="..."  
692     EncodingType="...">  
693     ...  
694   </wsse:KeyIdentifier>  
695 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

696 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

697 */SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier*

698 This element is used to include a binary-encoded key identifier.

699 */SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@wsu:Id*

700 An optional string label for this identifier.

701 */SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@ValueType*

702 The `ValueType` attribute is used to optionally indicate the type of token with the
703 specified identifier. If specified, this is a *hint* to the receiver. Any value specified for
704 binary security tokens, or any XML token element QName can be specified here. If this
705 attribute isn't specified, then the identifier applies to any type of token.

706 /SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@EncodingType

707 The optional EncodingType attribute is used to indicate, using a QName, the encoding
708 format of the binary data (e.g., wsse:Base64Binary). The base values defined in this
709 specification are used:

QName	Description
wsse:Base64Binary	XML Schema base 64 encoding (default)
wsse:Hex Binary	XML Schema hex encoding

710 /SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@{any}

711 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
712 added.

713 7.4 ds:KeyInfo

714 The <ds:KeyInfo> element (from [XML Signature](#)) can be used for carrying the key information
715 and is allowed for different key types and for future extensibility. However, in this specification,
716 the use of <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> is the RECOMMENDED way to carry key material
717 if the key type contains binary data.

718 The following example illustrates use of this element to fetch a named key:

```
719 <ds:KeyInfo Id="..." xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">  
720   <ds:KeyName>CN=Hiroshi Maruyama, C=JP</ds:KeyName>  
721 </ds:KeyInfo>
```

722 7.5 Key Names

723 It is strongly RECOMMEND to use key identifiers. However, if key names are used, then it is
724 strongly RECOMMENDED that <ds:KeyName> elements conform to the attribute names in
725 section 2.3 of RFC 2253 (this is recommended by XML Signature for <X509SubjectName>) for
726 interoperability.

727 Additionally, defined are the following convention for e-mail addresses, which SHOULD conform
728 to RFC 822:

```
729 EmailAddress=ckaler@microsoft.com
```

730 7.6 Token Reference Lookup Processing Order

731 There are a number of mechanisms described in [XML Signature](#) and this specification
732 for referencing security tokens. To resolve possible ambiguities, the following
733 processing order SHOULD be used:

- 734 1. Resolve any <wsse:Reference> elements (specified within
735 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>).
- 736 2. Resolve any <wsse:KeyIdentifier> elements (specified within
737 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>).
- 738 3. Resolve any <ds:KeyName> elements.
- 739 4. Resolve any other <ds:KeyInfo> elements.

8 Signatures

740

741 Message senders may want to enable message receivers to determine whether a message was
742 altered in transit and to verify that a message was sent by the possessor of a particular [security](#)
743 [token](#).

744 [The validation of an XML signature that uses a SecurityTokenReference to identify the key used](#)
745 [to create the signature, supports the application \(by the relying party/receiver\) of any other claims](#)
746 [made within the referenced token \(most notably the identity bound to the key\) to the signature](#)
747 [author \(that is, if the relying party trusts the authority responsible for the claims in the referenced](#)
748 [token\).](#)

749 Because of the mutability of some [SOAP](#) headers, senders SHOULD NOT use the *Enveloped*
750 *Signature Transform* defined in [XML Signature](#). Instead, messages SHOULD explicitly include
751 the desired elements to be signed. Similarly, senders SHOULD NOT use the *Enveloping*
752 *Signature* defined in [XML Signature](#).

Deleted: When an XML Signature is used in conjunction with the <wss:SecurityTokenReference> element, the security token of a message signer may be correlated and a mapping made between the claims of the security token and the message as evaluated by the application.¶

753 This specification allows for multiple signatures and signature formats to be attached to a
754 message, each referencing different, even overlapping, parts of the message. This is important
755 for many distributed applications where messages flow through multiple processing stages. For
756 example, a sender may submit an order that contains an orderID header. The sender signs the
757 orderID header and the body of the request (the contents of the order). When this is received by
758 the order processing sub-system, it may insert a shippingID into the header. The order sub-
759 system would then sign, at a minimum, the orderID and the shippingID, and possibly the body as
760 well. Then when this order is processed and shipped by the shipping department, a shippedInfo
761 header might be appended. The shipping department would sign, at a minimum, the shippedInfo
762 and the shippingID and possibly the body and forward the message to the billing department for
763 processing. The billing department can verify the signatures and determine a valid chain of trust
764 for the order, as well as who did what.

765 All compliant implementations MUST be able to support the [XML Signature](#) standard.

8.1 Algorithms

766

767 This specification builds on [XML Signature](#) and therefore has the same algorithm requirements as
768 those specified in the [XML Signature](#) specification.

769 The following table outlines additional algorithms that are strongly RECOMMENDED by this
770 specification:

Algorithm Type	Algorithm	Algorithm URI
Canonicalization	Exclusive XML Canonicalization	http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#
Transformations	XML Decryption Transformation	http://www.w3.org/2001/04/decrypt#

771 The [Exclusive XML Canonicalization](#) algorithm addresses the pitfalls of general canonicalization
772 that can occur from *leaky* namespaces with pre-existing signatures.

773 Finally, if a sender wishes to sign a message before encryption, they should use the [Decryption](#)
774 [Transformation for XML Signature](#).

775 8.2 Signing Messages

776 The <wss:Security> header block is used to carry a signature compliant with the [XML](#)
777 [Signature](#) specification within a [SOAP](#) Envelope for the purpose of signing one or more elements
778 in the [SOAP](#) Envelope. Multiple signature entries MAY be added into a single [SOAP](#) Envelope
779 within the <wss:Security> header block. Senders should take care to sign all important
780 elements of the message, but care must be taken in creating a signing policy that will not to sign
781 parts of the message that might legitimately be altered in transit.

782 [SOAP](#) applications MUST satisfy the following conditions:

- 783 1. The application MUST be capable of processing the required elements defined in the
784 [XML Signature](#) specification.
- 785 2. To add a signature to a <wss:Security> header block, a <ds:Signature> element
786 conforming to the [XML Signature](#) specification SHOULD be prepended to the existing
787 content of the <wss:Security> header block. That is, the new information would be
788 before (prepended to) the old. All the <ds:Reference> elements contained in the
789 signature SHOULD refer to a resource within the enclosing [SOAP](#) envelope, or in an
790 attachment.

791 [XPath](#) filtering can be used to specify objects to be signed, as described in the [XML Signature](#)
792 specification. However, since the [SOAP](#) message exchange model allows intermediate
793 applications to modify the Envelope (add or delete a header block; for example), [XPath](#) filtering
794 does not always result in the same objects after message delivery. Care should be taken in using
795 [XPath](#) filtering so that there is no subsequent validation failure due to such modifications.

796 The problem of modification by intermediaries is applicable to more than just [XPath](#) processing.
797 Digital signatures, because of canonicalization and [digests](#), present particularly fragile examples
798 of such relationships. If overall message processing is to remain robust, intermediaries must
799 exercise care that their transformations do not occur within the scope of a digitally signed
800 component.

801 Due to security concerns with namespaces, this specification strongly RECOMMENDS the use of
802 the "[Exclusive XML Canonicalization](#)" algorithm or another canonicalization algorithm that
803 provides equivalent or greater protection.

804 For processing efficiency it is RECOMMENDED to have the signature added and then the
805 security token pre-pended so that a processor can read and cache the token before it is used.

806

807 8.3 Signature Validation

808 The validation of a <ds:Signature> element inside an <wss:Security> header block fails if

- 809 1. the syntax of the content of the entry does not conform to this specification, or
- 810 2. the validation of the [signature](#) contained in the entry fails according to the core validation
811 of the [XML Signature](#) specification, or
- 812 3. the application applying its own validation policy rejects the message for some reason
813 (e.g., the [signature](#) is created by an untrusted key – verifying the previous two steps only
814 performs cryptographic verification of the [signature](#)).

815 If the verification of the signature entry fails, applications MAY report the failure to the sender
816 using the fault codes defined in [Section 12](#) Error Handling.

817 8.4 Example

818 The following sample message illustrates the use of integrity and security tokens. For this
819 example, we sign only the message body.

```
820 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
```

```

821 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
822         xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
823         xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
824         xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
825   <S:Header>
826     <wsse:Security>
827       <wsse:BinarySecurityToken
828         ValueType="wsse:X509v3"
829         EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"
830         wsu:Id="X509Token">
831         MIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0rqrKh5i...
832       </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
833     <ds:Signature>
834       <ds:SignedInfo>
835         <ds:CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm=
836           "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
837         <ds:SignatureMethod Algorithm=
838           "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1" />
839         <ds:Reference URI="#myBody">
840           <ds:Transforms>
841             <ds:Transform Algorithm=
842               "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
843           </ds:Transforms>
844           <ds:DigestMethod Algorithm=
845             "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
846           <ds:DigestValue>EULddytSol...</ds:DigestValue>
847         </ds:Reference>
848       </ds:SignedInfo>
849       <ds:SignatureValue>
850       BL8jdfToEbl1/vXcMZNNjPOV...
851     </ds:SignatureValue>
852     <ds:KeyInfo>
853       <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
854         <wsse:Reference URI="#X509Token" />
855       </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
856     </ds:KeyInfo>
857   </ds:Signature>
858 </wsse:Security>
859 </S:Header>
860 <S:Body wsu:Id="myBody">
861   <tru:StockSymbol xmlns:tru="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/payloads">
862     QQQ
863   </tru:StockSymbol>
864 </S:Body>
865 </S:Envelope>

```

9 Encryption

866

867 This specification allows encryption of any combination of body blocks, header blocks, any of
868 these sub-structures, and attachments by either a common symmetric key shared by the sender
869 and the receiver or a key carried in the message in an encrypted form.

870 In order to allow this flexibility, this specification leverages the [XML Encryption](#) standard.
871 Specifically, described is how three elements (listed below and defined in [XML Encryption](#)) can
872 be used within the `<wsse:Security>` header block. When a sender or an intermediary
873 encrypts portion(s) of a [SOAP](#) message using [XML Encryption](#) they MUST add a sub-element to
874 the `<wsse:Security>` header block. Furthermore, the encrypting party MUST prepend the
875 sub-element into the `<wsse:Security>` header block for the targeted receiver that is expected
876 to decrypt these encrypted portions. The combined process of encrypting portion(s) of a
877 message and adding one of these sub-elements referring to the encrypted portion(s) is called an
878 *encryption step* hereafter. The sub-element should have enough information for the receiver to
879 identify which portions of the message are to be decrypted by the receiver.

880 All compliant implementations MUST be able to support the [XML Encryption](#) standard.

881

9.1 xenc:ReferenceList

883 When encrypting elements or element contents within a [SOAP](#) envelope, the
884 `<xenc:ReferenceList>` element from [XML Encryption](#) MAY be used to create a manifest of
885 encrypted portion(s), which are expressed as `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements within the
886 envelope. An element or element content to be encrypted by this encryption step MUST be
887 replaced by a corresponding `<xenc:EncryptedData>` according to [XML Encryption](#). All the
888 `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements created by this encryption step SHOULD be listed in
889 `<xenc:DataReference>` elements inside an `<xenc:ReferenceList>` element.

890 Although in [XML Encryption](#), `<xenc:ReferenceList>` is originally designed to be used within
891 an `<xenc:EncryptedKey>` element (which implies that all the referenced
892 `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements are encrypted by the same key), this specification allows
893 that `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements referenced by the same `<xenc:ReferenceList>`
894 MAY be encrypted by different keys. Each encryption key can be specified in `<ds:KeyInfo>`
895 within individual `<xenc:EncryptedData>`.

896 A typical situation where the `<xenc:ReferenceList>` sub-element is useful is that the sender
897 and the receiver use a shared secret key. The following illustrates the use of this sub-element:

```
898 <S:Envelope  
899   xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
900   xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"  
901   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext "  
902   xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">  
903   <S:Header>  
904     <wsse:Security>  
905       <xenc:ReferenceList>  
906         <xenc:DataReference URI="#bodyID" />  
907       </xenc:ReferenceList>  
908     </wsse:Security>  
909   </S:Header>  
910   <S:Body>  
911     <xenc:EncryptedData Id="bodyID">  
912       <ds:KeyInfo>  
913         <ds:KeyName>CN=Hiroshi Maruyama, C=JP</ds:KeyName>  
914       </ds:KeyInfo>
```

```

915     <xenc:CipherData>
916         <xenc:CipherValue>...</xenc:CipherValue>
917     </xenc:CipherData>
918 </xenc:EncryptedData>
919 </S:Body>
920 </S:Envelope>

```

921 9.2 xenc:EncryptedKey

922 When the encryption step involves encrypting elements or element contents within a SOAP
 923 envelope with a key, which is in turn to be encrypted by the recipient's key and embedded in the
 924 message, <xenc:EncryptedKey> MAY be used for carrying such an encrypted key. This sub-
 925 element SHOULD have a manifest, that is, an <xenc:ReferenceList> element, in order for
 926 the recipient to know the portions to be decrypted with this key (if any exist). An element or
 927 element content to be encrypted by this encryption step MUST be replaced by a corresponding
 928 <xenc:EncryptedData> according to XML Encryption. All the <xenc:EncryptedData>
 929 elements created by this encryption step SHOULD be listed in the <xenc:ReferenceList>
 930 element inside this sub-element.

931 This construct is useful when encryption is done by a randomly generated symmetric key that is
 932 in turn encrypted by the recipient's public key. The following illustrates the use of this element:

```

933 <S:Envelope
934   xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
935   xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
936   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
937   xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
938   <S:Header>
939     <wsse:Security>
940       <xenc:EncryptedKey>
941         <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="..."/>
942         <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"
943           ValueType="wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...
944         </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
945         <xenc:CipherData>
946           <xenc:CipherValue>...</xenc:CipherValue>
947         </xenc:CipherData>
948         <xenc:ReferenceList>
949           <xenc:DataReference URI="#bodyID"/>
950         </xenc:ReferenceList>
951       </xenc:EncryptedKey>
952     </wsse:Security>
953   </S:Header>
954   <S:Body>
955     <xenc:EncryptedData Id="bodyID">
956       <xenc:CipherData>
957         <xenc:CipherValue>...</xenc:CipherValue>
958       </xenc:CipherData>
959     </xenc:EncryptedData>
960   </S:Body>
961 </S:Envelope>

```

962 While XML Encryption specifies that <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements MAY be specified in
 963 <xenc:EncryptedData> elements, this specification strongly RECOMMENDS that
 964 <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements be placed in the <wsse:Security> header.

965 9.3 xenc:EncryptedData

966 In some cases security-related information is provided in a purely encrypted form or non-XML
 967 attachments MAY be encrypted. The <xenc:EncryptedData> element from XML Encryption
 968 can be used for these scenarios. For each part of the encrypted attachment, one encryption step

969 is needed; that is, for each attachment to be encrypted, one `<xenc:EncryptedData>` sub-
970 element MUST be added with the following rules (note that steps 2-4 applies only if MIME types
971 are being used for attachments).

- 972 1. The contents of the attachment MUST be replaced by the encrypted octet string.
- 973 2. The replaced MIME part MUST have the media type `application/octet-stream`.
- 974 3. The original media type of the attachment MUST be declared in the `MimeType` attribute
975 of the `<xenc:EncryptedData>` element.
- 976 4. The encrypted MIME part MUST be referenced by an `<xenc:CipherReference>`
977 element with a URI that points to the MIME part with `cid:` as the scheme component of
978 the URI.

979 The following illustrates the use of this element to indicate an encrypted attachment:

```
980 <S:Envelope  
981   xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
982   xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"  
983   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"  
984   xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">  
985   <S:Header>  
986     <wsse:Security>  
987       <xenc:EncryptedData MimeType="image/png">  
988         <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="foo:bar"/>  
989         <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"  
990           ValueType="wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...  
991         </wsse:KeyIdentifier>  
992         <xenc:CipherData>  
993           <xenc:CipherReference URI="cid:image"/>  
994         </xenc:CipherData>  
995       </xenc:EncryptedData>  
996     </wsse:Security>  
997   </S:Header>  
998   <S:Body> </S:Body>  
999 </S:Envelope>
```

1000 9.4 Processing Rules

1001 Encrypted parts or attachments to the [SOAP](#) message using one of the sub-elements defined
1002 above MUST be in compliance with the [XML Encryption](#) specification. An encrypted [SOAP](#)
1003 envelope MUST still be a valid [SOAP](#) envelope. The message creator MUST NOT encrypt the
1004 `<S:Envelope>`, `<S:Header>`, or `<S:Body>` elements but MAY encrypt child elements of
1005 either the `<S:Header>` and `<S:Body>` elements. Multiple steps of encryption MAY be added
1006 into a single `<Security>` header block if they are targeted for the same recipient.

1007 When an element or element content inside a [SOAP](#) envelope (e.g. of the contents of `<S:Body>`)
1008 is to be encrypted, it MUST be replaced by an `<xenc:EncryptedData>`, according to [XML](#)
1009 [Encryption](#) and it SHOULD be referenced from the `<xenc:ReferenceList>` element created
1010 by this encryption step. This specification allows placing the encrypted octet stream in an
1011 attachment. For example, if an `<xenc:EncryptedData>` appearing inside the `<S:Body>`
1012 element has `<xenc:CipherReference>` that refers to an attachment, then the decrypted octet
1013 stream SHALL replace the `<xenc:EncryptedData>`. However, if the `<xenc:EncryptedData>`
1014 element is located in the `<Security>` header block and it refers to an attachment, then the
1015 decrypted octet stream MUST replace the encrypted octet stream in the attachment.

1016 9.4.1 Encryption

1017 The general steps (non-normative) for creating an encrypted [SOAP](#) message in compliance with
1018 this specification are listed below (note that use of `<xenc:ReferenceList>` is
1019 RECOMMENDED).

- 1020 1. Create a new **SOAP** envelope.
- 1021 2. Create an `<xenc:ReferenceList>` sub-element, an `<xenc:EncryptedKey>` sub-
- 1022 element, or an `<xenc:EncryptedData>` sub-element in the `<Security>` header
- 1023 block (note that if the **SOAP** "role" and "mustUnderstand" attributes are different, then a
- 1024 new header block may be necessary), depending on the type of encryption.
- 1025 3. Locate data items to be encrypted, i.e., XML elements, element contents within the target
- 1026 **SOAP** envelope, and attachments.
- 1027 4. Encrypt the data items as follows: For each XML element or element content within the
- 1028 target **SOAP** envelope, encrypt it according to the processing rules of the **XML**
- 1029 **Encryption** specification. Each selected original element or element content **MUST** be
- 1030 removed and replaced by the resulting `<xenc:EncryptedData>` element. For an
- 1031 attachment, the contents **MUST** be replaced by encrypted cipher data as described in
- 1032 section 8.3 Signature Validation.
- 1033 5. The optional `<ds:KeyInfo>` element in the `<xenc:EncryptedData>` element **MAY**
- 1034 reference another `<ds:KeyInfo>` element. Note that if the encryption is based on an
- 1035 attached security token, then a `<SecurityTokenReference>` element **SHOULD** be
- 1036 added to the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element to facilitate locating it.
- 1037 6. Create an `<xenc:DataReference>` element referencing the generated
- 1038 `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements. Add the created `<xenc:DataReference>`
- 1039 element to the `<xenc:ReferenceList>`.

1040 9.4.2 Decryption

1041 On receiving a **SOAP** envelope with encryption header entries, for each encryption header entry

1042 the following general steps should be processed (non-normative):

- 1043 1. Locate the `<xenc:EncryptedData>` items to be decrypted (possibly using the
- 1044 `<xenc:ReferenceList>`).
- 1045 2. Decrypt them as follows: For each element in the target **SOAP** envelope, decrypt it
- 1046 according to the processing rules of the **XML Encryption** specification and the processing
- 1047 rules listed above.
- 1048 3. If the decrypted data is part of an attachment and MIME types were used, then revise the
- 1049 MIME type of the attachment to the original MIME type (if one exists).

1050 If the decryption fails for some reason, applications **MAY** report the failure to the sender using the

1051 fault code defined in [Section 12 Error Handling](#).

1052 9.5 Decryption Transformation

1053 The ordering semantics of the `<wsse:Security>` header are sufficient to determine if

1054 signatures are over encrypted or unencrypted data. However, when a signature is included in

1055 one `<wsse:Security>` header and the encryption takes place in another `<wsse:Security>`

1056 header, the order may not be explicitly understood.

1057 If the sender wishes to sign a message that is subsequently encrypted by an intermediary along

1058 the transmission path, the sender **MAY** use the Decryption Transform for XML Signature to

1059 explicitly specify the order of decryption.

1060

1061 **10 Message Timestamps**

1062 When requestors and services are exchanging messages, it is often important to be able to
1063 understand the *freshness* of a message. In some cases, a message may be so *stale* that the
1064 receiver may decide to ignore it.

1065 This specification does not provide a mechanism for synchronizing time. The assumption is
1066 either that the receiver is using a mechanism to synchronize time (e.g. NTP) or, more likely for
1067 federated applications, that they are making assessments about time based on three factors:
1068 creation time of the message, transmission checkpoints, and transmission delays.

1069 To assist a receiver in making an assessment of staleness, a requestor may wish to indicate a
1070 suggested expiration time, beyond which the requestor recommends ignoring the message. The
1071 specification provides XML elements by which the requestor may express the expiration time of a
1072 message, the requestor's clock time at the moment the message was created, checkpoint
1073 timestamps (when an role received the message) along the communication path, and the delays
1074 introduced by transmission and other factors subsequent to creation. The quality of the delays is
1075 a function of how well they reflect the actual delays (e.g., how well they reflect transmission
1076 delays).

1077 It should be noted that this is not a protocol for making assertions or determining when, or how
1078 fast, a service produced or processed a message.

1079 This specification defines and illustrates time references in terms of the *dateTime* type defined in
1080 XML Schema. It is RECOMMENDED that all time references use this type. It is further
1081 RECOMMENDED that all references be in UTC time. If, however, other time types are used,
1082 then the *ValueType* attribute (described below) MUST be specified to indicate the data type of the
1083 time format.

1084 **10.1 Model**

1085 This specification provides several tools for receivers to use to assess the expiration time
1086 presented by the requestor. The first is the [creation time](#). Receivers can use this value to assess
1087 possible clock synchronization issues. However, to make some assessments, the time required
1088 to go from the requestor to the receiver may also be useful in making this assessment. Two
1089 mechanisms are provided for this. The first is that [intermediaries](#) may add timestamp elements
1090 indicating when they received the message. This knowledge can be useful to get a holistic view
1091 of clocks along the message path. The second is that intermediaries can specify any delays they
1092 imposed on message delivery. It should be noted that not all [delays](#) can be accounted for, such
1093 as wire time and parties that don't report. Receivers need to take this into account when
1094 evaluating clock trust.

1095 **10.2 Timestamp Elements**

1096 This specification defines the following message timestamp elements. These elements are
1097 defined for use with the `<wsu:Timestamp>` header for SOAP messages, but they can be used
1098 anywhere within the header or body that creation, expiration, and intermediary markers are
1099 needed.

1100 **10.2.1 Expiration**

1101 The `<wsu:Expires>` element specifies the expiration timestamp. The exact meaning and
1102 processing rules for expiration depend on the context in which the element is used. The syntax
1103 for this element is as follows:

```
1104 <wsu:Expires ValueType="..." wsu:Id="...">...</wsu:Expires>
```

1105 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1106 */Expires*

1107 This element's value represents an expiration time. The time specified SHOULD be a
1108 UTC format as specified by the *ValueType* attribute (default is [XML Schema](#) type
1109 *dateTime*).

1110 */Expires/@ValueType*

1111 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data. This is specified as the XML
1112 Schema type. If this attribute isn't specified, the default value is *xsd:dateTime*.

1113 */Expires/@wsu:Id*

1114 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this
1115 element.

1116 The expiration is relative to the requestor's clock. In order to evaluate the expiration time,
1117 receivers need to recognize that the requestor's clock may not be synchronized to the receiver's
1118 clock. The receiver, therefore, will need to make a assessment of the level of trust to be placed in
1119 the requestor's clock, since the receiver is called upon to evaluate whether the expiration time is
1120 in the past relative to the requestor's, not the receiver's, clock. The receiver may make a
1121 judgment of the requestor's likely current clock time by means not described in this specification,
1122 for example an out-of-band clock synchronization protocol. The receiver may also use the
1123 creation time and the delays introduced by intermediate roles to estimate the degree of clock
1124 synchronization.

1125 One suggested formula for estimating synchronization is

1126 `skew = receiver's arrival time - creation time - transmission time`

1127 Transmission time may be estimated by summing the values of delay elements, if present. It
1128 should be noted that wire-time is only part of this if delays include it in estimates. Otherwise the
1129 transmission time will not reflect the on-wire time. If no delays are present, there are no special
1130 assumptions that need to be made about processing time.

1131 **10.2.2 Creation**

1132 The `<wsu:Created>` element specifies a creation timestamp. The exact meaning and
1133 semantics are dependent on the context in which the element is used. The syntax for this
1134 element is as follows:

1135 `<wsu:Created ValueType="..." wsu:Id="..." >...</wsu:Created>`

1136 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1137 */Created*

1138 This element's value is a creation timestamp. The time specified SHOULD be a UTC
1139 format as specified by the *ValueType* attribute (default is [XML Schema](#) type *dateTime*).

1140 */Created/@ValueType*

1141 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data. This is specified as the XML
1142 Schema type. If this attribute isn't specified, the default value is *xsd:dateTime*.

1143 */Created/@wsu:Id*

1144 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this
1145 element.

1146

1147 10.3 Timestamp Header

1148 A `<wsu:Timestamp>` header provides a mechanism for expressing the creation and expiration
1149 times of a message introduced throughout the message path. Specifically, it uses the previously
1150 defined elements in the context of message creation, receipt, and processing.

1151 All times SHOULD be in UTC format as specified by the [XML Schema](#) type (`dateTime`). It should
1152 be noted that times support time precision as defined in the [XML Schema](#) specification.

1153 Multiple `<wsu:Timestamp>` headers can be specified if they are targeted at different roles. The
1154 ordering within the header is as illustrated below.

1155 The ordering of elements in this header is fixed and MUST be preserved by intermediaries.

1156 To preserve overall integrity of each `<wsu:Timestamp>` header, it is strongly RECOMMENDED
1157 that each role create or update the appropriate `<wsu:Timestamp>` header destined to itself.

1158 The schema outline for the `<wsu:Timestamp>` header is as follows:

```
1159 <wsu:Timestamp wsu:Id="...">  
1160   <wsu:Created>...</wsu:Created>  
1161   <wsu:Expires>...</wsu:Expires>  
1162   ...  
1163 </wsu:Timestamp>
```

1164 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1165 */Timestamp*

1166 This is the header for indicating message timestamps.

1167 */Timestamp/Created*

1168 This represents the [creation time](#) of the message. This element is optional, but can only
1169 be specified once in a `Timestamp` header. Within the SOAP processing model, creation
1170 is the instant that the infonet is serialized for transmission. The creation time of the
1171 message SHOULD NOT differ substantially from its transmission time.

1172 */Timestamp/Expires*

1173 This represents the [expiration](#) of the message. This is optional, but can appear at most
1174 once in a `Timestamp` header. Upon expiration, the requestor asserts that the message
1175 is no longer valid. It is strongly RECOMMENDED that receivers (anyone who processes
1176 this message) discard (ignore) any message that has passed its expiration. A Fault code
1177 (`wsu:MessageExpired`) is provided if the receiver wants to inform the requestor that its
1178 message was expired. A service MAY issue a Fault indicating the message has expired.

1179

1180 */Timestamp/{any}*

1181 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional elements to be added to the
1182 header.

1183 */Timestamp/@wsu:Id*

1184 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this
1185 element.

1186 */Timestamp/@{any}*

1187 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes to be added to the
1188 header.

1189 The following example illustrates the use of the `<wsu:Timestamp>` element and its content.

```
1190 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
1191           xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">  
1192   <S:Header>  
1193     <wsu:Timestamp  
1194       <wsu:Created>2001-09-13T08:42:00Z</wsu:Created>
```

```

1195     <wsu:Expires>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu:Expires>
1196     </wsu:Timestamp>
1197     ...
1198   </S:Header>
1199   <S:Body>
1200     ...
1201   </S:Body>
1202 </S:Envelope>

```

1203 10.4 TimestampTrace Header

1204 A `<wsu:TimestampTrace>` header provides a mechanism for expressing the delays introduced
 1205 throughout the message path. Specifically, it uses the previously defined elements in the context
 1206 of message creation, receipt, and processing.

1207 All times SHOULD be in UTC format as specified by the [XML Schema](#) type (`dateTime`). It should
 1208 be noted that times support time precision as defined in the [XML Schema](#) specification.

1209 Multiple `<wsu:TimestampTrace>` headers can be specified if they reference a different role.

1210 The `<wsu:Received>` element specifies a receipt timestamp with an optional processing delay.
 1211 The exact meaning and semantics are dependent on the context in which the element is used.

1212 It is also strongly RECOMMENDED that each role sign its elements by referencing their ID, NOT
 1213 by signing the `TimestampTrace` header as the header is mutable.

1214 The syntax for this element is as follows:

```

1215 <wsu:TimestampTrace>
1216   <wsu:Received Role="..." Delay="..." ValueType="..."
1217     wsu:Id="..." >...</wsu:Received>
1218 </wsu:TimestampTrace>

```

1219 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1220 */Received*

1221 This element's value is a receipt timestamp. The time specified SHOULD be a UTC
 1222 format as specified by the `ValueType` attribute (default is [XML Schema](#) type `dateTime`).

1223 */Received/@Role*

1224 A required attribute, `Role`, indicates which role is indicating receipt. Roles MUST include
 1225 this attribute, with a value matching the role value as specified as a SOAP intermediary.

1226 */Received/@Delay*

1227 The value of this attribute is the delay associated with the role expressed in milliseconds.
 1228 The delay represents processing time by the Role after it received the message, but
 1229 before it forwarded to the next recipient.

1230 */Received/@ValueType*

1231 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data (the element value). This is
 1232 specified as the XML Schema type. If this attribute isn't specified, the default value is
 1233 `xsd:dateTime`.

1234 */Received/@wsu:Id*

1235 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this
 1236 element.

1237 The delay attribute indicates the time delay attributable to an role (intermediate processor). In
 1238 some cases this isn't known; for others it can be computed as *role's send time – role's receipt
 1239 time*.

1240 Each delay amount is indicated in units of milliseconds, without fractions. If a delay amount
 1241 would exceed the maximum value expressible in the datatype, the value should be set to the
 1242 maximum value of the datatype.

1243 The following example illustrates the use of the <wsu:Timestamp> header and a
1244 <wsu:TimestampTrace> header indicating a processing delay of one minute subsequent to the
1245 receipt which was two minutes after creation.

```
1246 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
1247           xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">  
1248   <S:Header>  
1249     <wsu:Timestamp>  
1250       <wsu:Created>2001-09-13T08:42:00Z</wsu:Created>  
1251       <wsu:Expires>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu:Expires>  
1252     </wsu:Timestamp>  
1253     <wsu:TimestampTrace>  
1254       <wsu:Received Role="http://x.com/" Delay="60000">  
1255         2001-09-13T08:44:00Z</wsu:Received>  
1256     </wsu:TimestampTrace>  
1257     ...  
1258   </S:Header>  
1259   <S:Body>  
1260     ...  
1261   </S:Body>  
1262 </S:Envelope>  
1263
```

1264

11 Extended Example

1265

The following sample message illustrates the use of security tokens, signatures, and encryption.

1266

For this example, the timestamp and the message body are signed prior to encryption. The

1267

decryption transformation is not needed as the signing/encryption order is specified within the

1268

<wsse:Security> header.

1269

```
(001) <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
(002) <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
      xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
      xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
      xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility"
      xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
(003)   <S:Header>
(004)     <wsu:Timestamp>
(005)       <wsu:Created wsu:Id="T0">
(006)         2001-09-13T08:42:00Z
(007)       </wsu:Created>
(008)     </wsu:Timestamp>
(009)     <wsse:Security>
(010)       <wsse:BinarySecurityToken
            ValueType="wsse:X509v3"
            wsu:Id="X509Token"
            EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary">
(011)         MIIIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0rqrKh5i...
(012)       </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
(013)       <xenc:EncryptedKey>
(014)         <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm=
            "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
(015)         <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"
            ValueType="wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...
(016)         </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
(017)         <xenc:CipherData>
(018)           <xenc:CipherValue>d2FpbmdvbGRfE0lm4byV0...
(019)           </xenc:CipherValue>
(020)         </xenc:CipherData>
(021)         <xenc:ReferenceList>
(022)           <xenc:DataReference URI="#enc1"/>
(023)         </xenc:ReferenceList>
(024)       </xenc:EncryptedKey>
(025)       <ds:Signature>
(026)         <ds:SignedInfo>
(027)           <ds:CanonicalizationMethod
            Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
(028)           <ds:SignatureMethod
            Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
(029)           <ds:Reference URI="#T0">
(030)             <ds:Transforms>
(031)               <ds:Transform
            Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
(032)             </ds:Transforms>
(033)           <ds:DigestMethod
            Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
(034)           <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF094hPi4wPU...
(035)           </ds:DigestValue>
(036)         </ds:SignedInfo>
(037)       </ds:Signature>
(038)       <ds:Reference URI="#body">
(039)         <ds:Transforms>
(040)           <ds:Transform
```

```

1321           Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
1322 (041)         </ds:Transforms>
1323 (042)         <ds:DigestMethod
1324           Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
1325 (043)         <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF094hPi4wPU...
1326 (044)         </ds:DigestValue>
1327 (045)         </ds:Reference>
1328 (046)       </ds:SignedInfo>
1329 (047)       <ds:SignatureValue>
1330 (048)         Hp1ZkmFZ/2kQLXDJbchm5gK...
1331 (049)       </ds:SignatureValue>
1332 (050)       <ds:KeyInfo>
1333 (051)         <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1334 (052)           <wsse:Reference URI="#X509Token" />
1335 (053)         </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1336 (054)       </ds:KeyInfo>
1337 (055)     </ds:Signature>
1338 (056)   </wsse:Security>
1339 (057) </S:Header>
1340 (058) <S:Body wsu:Id="body">
1341 (059)   <xenc:EncryptedData
1342     Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element"
1343     wsu:Id="enc1">
1344 (060)     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
1345     Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#3des-cbc" />
1346 (061)     <xenc:CipherData>
1347 (062)       <xenc:CipherValue>d2FpbmdvbGRfE0lm4byV0...
1348 (063)     </xenc:CipherValue>
1349 (064)     </xenc:CipherData>
1350 (065)   </xenc:EncryptedData>
1351 (066) </S:Body>
1352 (067) </S:Envelope>

```

1353 Let's review some of the key sections of this example:

1354 Lines (003)-(057) contain the SOAP message headers.

1355 Lines (004)-(008) specify the timestamp information. In this case it indicates the creation time of
1356 the message.

1357 Lines (009)-(056) represent the `<wsse:Security>` header block. This contains the security-
1358 related information for the message.

1359 Lines (010)-(012) specify a [security token](#) that is associated with the message. In this case, it
1360 specifies an [X.509](#) certificate that is encoded as Base64. Line (011) specifies the actual Base64
1361 encoding of the certificate.

1362 Lines (013)-(025) specify the key that is used to encrypt the body of the message. Since this is a
1363 symmetric key, it is passed in an encrypted form. Line (014) defines the algorithm used to
1364 encrypt the key. Lines (015)-(017) specify the name of the key that was used to encrypt the
1365 symmetric key. Lines (018)-(021) specify the actual encrypted form of the symmetric key. Lines
1366 (022)-(024) identify the encryption block in the message that uses this symmetric key. In this
1367 case it is only used to encrypt the body (Id="enc1").

1368 Lines (026)-(055) specify the digital signature. In this example, the signature is based on the
1369 [X.509](#) certificate. Lines (027)-(046) indicate what is being signed. Specifically, Line (039)
1370 references the creation timestamp and line (038) references the message body.

1371 Lines (047)-(049) indicate the actual signature value – specified in Line (042).

1372 Lines (051)-(053) indicate the key that was used for the signature. In this case, it is the [X.509](#)
1373 certificate included in the message. Line (052) provides a URI link to the Lines (010)-(012).

1374 The body of the message is represented by Lines (056)-(066).

1375 Lines (059)-(065) represent the encrypted metadata and form of the body using [XML Encryption](#).
1376 Line (059) indicates that the "element value" is being replaced and identifies this encryption. Line

1377 (060) specifies the encryption algorithm – Triple-DES in this case. Lines (062)-(063) contain the
1378 actual cipher text (i.e., the result of the encryption). Note that we don't include a reference to the
1379 key as the key references this encryption – Line (023).

1380 **12Error Handling**

1381 There are many circumstances where an *error* can occur while processing security information.
1382 For example:

- 1383 • Invalid or unsupported type of security token, signing, or encryption
- 1384 • Invalid or unauthenticated or unauthenticatable security token
- 1385 • Invalid signature
- 1386 • Decryption failure
- 1387 • Referenced security token is unavailable

1388 These can be grouped into two *classes* of errors: unsupported and failure. For the case of
1389 unsupported errors, the receiver MAY provide a response that informs the sender of supported
1390 formats, etc. For failure errors, the receiver MAY choose not to respond, as this may be a form of
1391 Denial of Service (DOS) or cryptographic attack. We combine signature and encryption failures
1392 to mitigate certain types of attacks.

1393 If a failure is returned to a sender then the failure MUST be reported using [SOAPs](#) Fault
1394 mechanism. The following tables outline the predefined security fault codes. The "unsupported"
1395 class of errors are:

Error that occurred	faultcode
An unsupported token was provided	wsse:UnsupportedSecurityToken
An unsupported signature or encryption algorithm was used	wsse:UnsupportedAlgorithm

1396 The "failure" class of errors are:

Error that occurred	faultcode
An error was discovered processing the <wsse:Security> header.	wsse:InvalidSecurity
An invalid security token was provided	wsse:InvalidSecurityToken
The security token could not be authenticated or authorized	wsse:FailedAuthentication
The signature or decryption was invalid	wsse:FailedCheck
Referenced security token could not be retrieved	wsse:SecurityTokenUnavailable

1397

13 Security Considerations

1398

It is strongly RECOMMENDED that messages include digitally signed elements to allow message receivers to detect replays of the message when the messages are exchanged via an open network. These can be part of the message or of the headers defined from other SOAP extensions. Four typical approaches are:

1399

1400

1401

1402

- Timestamp

1403

- Sequence Number

1404

- Expirations

1405

- Message Correlation

1406

This specification defines the use of XML Signature and XML Encryption in SOAP headers. As one of the building blocks for securing SOAP messages, it is intended to be used in conjunction with other security techniques. Digital signatures need to be understood in the context of other security mechanisms and possible threats to an entity.

1407

1408

1409

1410

Digital signatures alone do not provide message authentication. One can record a signed message and resend it (a replay attack). To prevent this type of attack, digital signatures must be combined with an appropriate means to ensure the uniqueness of the message, such as timestamps or sequence numbers (see earlier section for additional details).

1411

1412

1413

1414

When digital signatures are used for verifying the identity of the sending party, the sender must prove the possession of the private key. One way to achieve this is to use a challenge-response type of protocol. Such a protocol is outside the scope of this document.

1415

1416

1417

To this end, the developers can attach timestamps, expirations, and sequences to messages.

1418

1419

Implementers should also be aware of all the security implications resulting from the use of digital signatures in general and XML Signature in particular. When building trust into an application based on a digital signature there are other technologies, such as certificate evaluation, that must be incorporated, but these are outside the scope of this document.

1420

1421

1422

Requestors should use digital signatures to sign security tokens that do not include signatures (or other protection mechanisms) to ensure that they have not been altered in transit.

1423

1424

Also, as described in XML Encryption, we note that the combination of signing and encryption over a common data item may introduce some cryptographic vulnerability. For example, encrypting digitally signed data, while leaving the digital signature in the clear, may allow plain text guessing attacks. The proper useage of nonce guards against replay attacks.

1425

1426

1427

1428

In order to trust IDs and timestamps, they SHOULD be signed using the mechanisms outlined in this specification. This allows readers of the IDs and timestamps information to be certain that the IDs and timestamps haven't been forged or altered in any way. It is strongly RECOMMENDED that IDs and timestamp elements be signed.

1429

1430

1431

1432

Timestamps can also be used to mitigate replay attacks. Signed timestamps MAY be used to keep track of messages (possibly by caching the most recent timestamp from a specific service) and detect replays of previous messages. It is RECOMMENDED that timestamps and nonces be cached for a given period of time, as a guideline a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect replays, and that timestamps older than that given period of time set be rejected. in interactive scenarios.

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

In one-way message authentication, it is RECOMMENDED that the sender and the receiver re-use the elements and structure defined in this specification for proving and validating freshness of a message. It is RECOMMEND that the nonce value be unique per message (never been used as a nonce before by the sender and receiver) and use the <wsse:Nonce> element within the <wsse:Security> header. Further, the <wsu:Timestamp> header SHOULD be used with a

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443 <wsu:Created> element. It is strongly RECOMMENDED that the <wsu:Created> ,
1444 <wsse:Nonce> elements be included in the signature on <wsu:Timestamp> element.

1445 **14 Privacy Considerations**

1446 TBD

1447 **15 Acknowledgements**

1448 This specification was developed as a result of joint work of many individuals from the WSS TC
1449 including: TBD

1450 The input specifications for this document were developed as a result of joint work with many
1451 individuals and teams, including: Keith Ballinger, Microsoft, Bob Blakley, IBM, Allen Brown,
1452 Microsoft, Joel Farrell, IBM, Mark Hayes, VeriSign, Kelvin Lawrence, IBM, Scott Konersmann,
1453 Microsoft, David Melgar, IBM, Dan Simon, Microsoft, Wayne Vicknair, IBM.

1454 16References

- 1455 [DIGSIG] Informational RFC 2828, "[Internet Security Glossary](#)," May 2000.
- 1456 [Kerberos] J. Kohl and C. Neuman, "The Kerberos Network Authentication Service
1457 (V5)," RFC 1510, September 1993, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1510.txt>.
- 1458 [KEYWORDS] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels,"
1459 RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997
- 1460 [SHA -1] FIPS PUB 180-1. Secure Hash Standard. U.S. Department of
1461 Commerce / National Institute of Standards and Technology.
1462 <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-1/fip180-1.txt>
- 1463 [SOAP11] W3C Note, "[SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1](#)," 08 May 2000.
- 1464 [SOAP12] **W3C Working Draft**, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging
1465 Framework", 26 June 2002
- 1466 [SOAP-SEC] W3C Note, "[SOAP Security Extensions: Digital Signature](#)," 06 February
1467 2001.
- 1468 [URI] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers
1469 (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 2396, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox
1470 Corporation, August 1998.
- 1471 [WS-Security] "[Web Services Security Language](#)", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, April 2002.
1472 "[WS-Security Addendum](#)", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, August 2002.
1473 "[WS-Security XML Tokens](#)", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, August 2002.
- 1474 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "[Canonical XML Version 1.0](#)," 15 March 2001
- 1475 [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "[XML Encryption Syntax and Processing](#)," 04 March
1476 2002.
- 1477 [XML-ns] W3C Recommendation, "[Namespaces in XML](#)," 14 January 1999.
- 1478 [XML-Schema] W3C Recommendation, "[XML Schema Part 1: Structures](#)," 2 May 2001.
1479 W3C Recommendation, "[XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes](#)," 2 May 2001.
- 1480 [XML Signature] W3C Recommendation, "[XML Signature Syntax and Processing](#)," 12
1481 February 2002.
- 1482 [X509] S. Santesson, et al, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Qualified
1483 Certificates Profile,"
1484 <http://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?type=items&lang=e&parent=T-REC-X.509-200003-I>
1485
- 1486 [XPath] W3C Recommendation, "[XML Path Language](#)", 16 November 1999
1487

1488

Appendix A: Revision History

Rev	Date	What
01	20-Sep-02	Initial draft based on input documents and editorial review
02	24-Oct-02	Update with initial comments (technical and grammatical)

1489

1490

Appendix B: Notices

1491 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights
1492 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this
1493 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available;
1494 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on
1495 OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS
1496 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
1497 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission
1498 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be
1499 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

1500 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent
1501 applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to
1502 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

1503 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. *All Rights Reserved.*

1504 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works
1505 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,
1506 published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the
1507 above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.
1508 However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the
1509 copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS
1510 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual
1511 Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other
1512 than English.

1513 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its
1514 successors or assigns.

1515 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
1516 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
1517 ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE
1518 ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
1519 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

1520