MSIS



Web Services Security Core Specification

Working Draft <u>05</u>, <u>02 December</u> 2002

Deleted: 04 Deleted: 17

Deleted: November

Document identifier:

WSS-Core-04

7 Location:

5

8

10

11

12 13

15

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TBD

9 Editors:

Phillip Hallam-Baker, VeriSign

Chris Kaler, Microsoft Ronald Monzillo, Sun Anthony Nadalin, IBM

14 Contributors:

TBD - Revise this list to include WSS TC contributors

Bob Atkinson, Microsoft Giovanni Della-Libera, Microsoft Satoshi Hada, IBM Phillip Hallam-Baker, VeriSign Maryann Hondo, IBM Chris Kaler, Microsoft Johannes Klein, Microsoft Brian LaMacchia, Microsoft Paul Leach, Microsoft John Manferdelli, Microsoft Hiroshi Maruyama, IBM Anthony Nadalin, IBM Nataraj Nagaratnam, IBM Hemma Prafullchandra, VeriSign John Shewchuk, Microsoft Dan Simon, Microsoft Kent Tamura, IBM Hervey Wilson, Microsoft

16 Abstract:

This specification describes enhancements to the SOAP messaging to provide *quality of protection* through message integrity, message confidentiality, and single message authentication. These mechanisms can be used to accommodate a wide variety of security models and encryption technologies.

This specification also provides a general-purpose mechanism for associating security tokens with messages. No specific type of security token is required; t is designed to be extensible (e.g. support multiple security token formats). For example, a client might provide one format for proof of identity and provide another format for proof that they have a particular business certification.

Additionally, this specification describes how to encode binary security tokens, a framework for XML-based tokens, and describes how to include opaque encrypted keys. It also includes extensibility mechanisms that can be used to further describe the characteristics of the tokens that are included with a message.

30	
31 32 33	Status: This is an interim draft. Please send comments to the editors.
34 35 36 37	Committee members should send comments on this specification to the wss@lists.oasis-open.orglist. Others should subscribe to and send comments to the wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org list. To subscribe, visit http://lists.oasis-open.org/ob/adm.pl.
38 39 40 41	For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Security Services TC web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml).

Table of Contents

43	1	Introduction	5
44		1.1 Goals and Requirements	
45		1.1.1 Requirements	5
46		1.1.2 Non-Goals	5
47	2	Notations and Terminology	7
48		2.1 Notational Conventions	7
49		2.2 Namespaces	7
50		2.3 Terminology	7
51	3	Message Protection Mechanisms	9
52		3.1 Message Security Model	9
53		3.2 Message Protection	9
54		3.3 Invalid or Missing Claims	
55		3.4 Example	10
56	4	ID References	12
57		4.1 ld Attribute	12
58		4.2 ld Schema	12
59	5	Security Header	14
60	6	Security Tokens	16
61		6.1 User Name Tokens	_
62		6.1.1 Usernames and Passwords	
63		6.2 Binary Security Tokens	18
64		6.2.1 Attaching Security Tokens	18
65		6.2.2 Processing Rules	
66		6.2.3 Encoding Binary Security Tokens	
67		6.3 XML Tokens	19
68		6.3.1 Attaching Security Tokens	20
69		6.3.2 Identifying and Referencing Security Tokens	20
70		6.3.3 Subject Confirmation	20
71		6.3.4 Processing Rules	20
72	7	Token References	
73		7.1 SecurityTokenReference Element	21
74		7.2 Direct References	21
75		7.3 Key Identifiers	
76		7.4 ds:KeyInfo	23
77		7.5 Key Names	
78		7.6 Token Reference Lookup Processing Order	
79	8	Signatures	
80		8.1 Algorithms	
81		8.2 Signing Messages	
82		8.3 Signature Validation	26
83		8.4 Example	26

84	9	Encryption	28	
85	9	1 xenc:ReferenceList	28	
86	9	2 xenc:EncryptedKey	29	
87	9	3 xenc:EncryptedData	30	
88	9.	4 Processing Rules	30	
89		9.4.1 Encryption	31	
90		9.4.2 Decryption	31	
91	9.	5 Decryption Transformation	31	
92	10	Message Timestamps	33	
93	10	0.1 Model	33	
94	10	0.2 Timestamp Elements	33	
95		10.2.1 Expiration	33	
96		10.2.2 Creation	34	
97	10	0.3 Timestamp Header	35	
98	10	0.4 TimestampTrace Header	36	
99	11	Extended Example	38	
100	12	Error Handling	41	
101	13	Security Considerations	42	
102	14	Privacy Considerations	44	
103	15	Acknowledgements	45	
104	16	References	46	,
105	App	endix A: Revision History	48	Deleted: 47
106	App	endix B: Notices	49	Deleted: 48
107	•			

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

1 Introduction

- 109 This specification proposes a standard set of SOAP extensions that can be used when building
- 110 secure Web services to implement message level integrity and confidentiality. This specification
- 111 refers to this set of extensions as the "Web Services Security Core Language" or "WSS-Core".
- 112 This specification is flexible and is designed to be used as the basis for securing Web services
- 113 within a wide variety of security models including PKI, Kerberos, and SSL. Specifically, this
- specification provides support for multiple security token formats, multiple trust domains, multiple 114
- 115 signature formats, and multiple encryption technologies. The token formats and semantics for
- using these are defined in the associated binding doc uments. 116
- 117 This specification provides three main mechanisms: ability to send security token as part of a
- message, message integrity, and message confidentiality. These mechanisms by themselves do 118
- not provide a complete security solution for Web services. Instead, this specification is a building 119
- 120 block that can be used in conjunction with other Web service extensions and higher-level
- application-specific protocols to accommodate a wide variety of security models and security 121
- 122 technologies.

108

- 123 These mechanisms can be used independently (e.g., to pass a security token) or in a tightly
- 124 coupled manner (e.g., signing and encrypting a message and providing a security token hierarchy
- 125 associated with the keys used for signing and encryption).

1.1 Goals and Requirements

- 127 The goal of this specification is to enable applications to construct secure SOAPmessage
- 128 exchanges.

126

138

143

- 129 This specification is intended to provide a flexible set of mechanisms that can be used to
- 130 construct a range of security protocols; in other words this specification intentionally does not
- 131 describe explicit fixed security protocols.
- 132 As with every security protocol, significant efforts must be applied to ensure that security
- 133 protocols constructed using this specification are not vulnerable to a wide range of attacks.
- 134 The focus of this specification is to describe a single-message security language that provides for
- 135 message security that may assume an established session, security context and/or policy
- 136 agreement.
- 137 The requirements to support secure message exchange are listed below.

1.1.1 Requirements

- 139 The Web services security language must support a wide variety of security models. The
- 140 following list identifies the key driving requirements for this specification:
- 141 Multiple security token formats
- 142 Multiple trust domains
 - Multiple signature formats
- 144 Multiple encryption technologies
- 145 End-to-end message-level security and not just transport-level security

1.1.2 Non-Goals 146

- 147 The following topics are outside the scope of this document:
- 148 Establishing a security context or authentication mechanisms.

- Key derivation.
- Advertisment and exchange of security policy.
- How trust is established or determined.

2 Notations and Terminology

This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification. 154

2.1 Notational Conventions

- 156
- The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be 157
- interpreted as described in RFC2119. 158
- 159 Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represent some application-dependent or 160 context-dependent URI as defined in RFC2396.
- 161 This specification is designed to work with the general SOAPmessage structure and message
- 162 processing model, and should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.2
- 163 namespace URI is used herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the
- applicability of this specification to a single version of SOAP. 164
- 165 Readers are presumed to be familiar with the terms in the Internet Security Glossary.

2.2 Namespaces

The XML namespace URIs that MUST be used by implementations of this specification are as 167 follows (note that elements used in this specification are from various namespaces): 168

```
http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext
http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility
```

The following namespaces are used in this document:

171 172

173

170

166

153

155

Prefix	Namespace
S	http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope
ds	http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#
xenc	http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#
wsse	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext
wsu	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility

2.3 Terminology

- Defin ed below are the basic definitions for the security terminology used in this specification.
- Claim A claim is a declaration made by a client (e.g. name, identity, key, group, privilege, 175
- 176 capability, etc).
- 177 Security Token - A security token represents a collection of claims.
- Signed Security Token A signed security token is a security token that is asserted and 178
- cryptographically signed by a specific authority (e.g. an X.509 certificate or a Kerberos ticket). 179

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002

Page 7 of 49

Deleted: is a statement that a client makes

Deleted: endorsed

Security Tokens		
Unsigned Security Tokens	Signed Security Tokens	
→ Username	→ X.509 Certificates → Kerberos tickets	

181 182 183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190 191

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203204

205 206

207

208

209

210

Proof-of-Possession – *Proof-of-possession* information <u>authentication data that is provided</u> with a message to prove that the message was sent and or created by a claimed identity <u>based</u> on knowledge of information that should only be known to the claimed identity.

Integrity - Integrity is the property that data has not been modified.

Message Integrity - Message Integrity is a property of the message and digital signature is the service or mechanism by with this property of the message is provided.

Confidentiality – *Confidentiality* is the <u>property that data is not made available to</u> unauthorized individuals, entities, or processes.

Message Confidentiality - Message Confidentiality is a property of the message and encryption is the service or mechanism by with this property of the message is provided.

192 **Digest** – A *digest* is a cryptographic checksum of an octet stream.

Signature - A *signature* is a cryptographic binding of a proof-of-possession and a digest. This covers both symmetric key-based and public key-based signatures. Consequently, non-repudiation is not always achieved.

Attachment – An *attachment* is a generic term referring to additional data that travels with a SOAP message, but is not part of the SOAP Envelope.

Trust - *Trust is* the characteristic that one entity is willing to rely upon a second entity to execute a set of actions and/or to make set of assertions about a set of subjects and/or scopes.

Trust Domain – A *Trust Domain* is a security space in which the target of a request can determine whether particular sets of credentials from a source satisfy the relevant security policies of the target. The target may defer trust to a third party thus including the trusted third party in the Trust Domain.

End-To_End Messgae Level Security – *End-to-end message level security* is established when a message that traverses multiple applications within and between business entities, i.e. companies, divisions, business units, is secure over its full route through and between those business entities. This includes not only messages that are initiated within the entity but also those messages that originate outside the entity, whether they are Web Services or the more traditional messages.

Deleted: is data that is used in a proof process to demonstrate that a sender is acting on behalf of a (claimed) client, where the (claimed) client and the sender are the same principal, based on knowledge of information that should only be known to the client. Proof -of-possession information is used to bind a client and a sender acting on behalf of a client within a security token.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Deleted: process by which it is guaranteed that information is not modified

Formatted: Label Embedded,le

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Label Embedded,le

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: process by which data is protected such that only authorized roles or security token owners can view the data

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 8 of 49

3 Message Protection Mechanisms

- 212 When securing SOAP messages, various types of threats should be considered. This includes,
- 213 but is not limited to: 1) the message could be modified or read by antagonists or 2) an antagonist
- 214 could send messages to a service that, while well-formed, lack appropriate security claims to
- 215 warrant processing.

211

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238 239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

249

250

251

252

253

216 To understand these threats this specification defines a message security model.

3.1 Message Security Model

This document specifies an abstract message security model in terms of security tokens combined with digital signatures to protect and authenticate SOAP messages. Security tokens assert claims and can be used to assert the binding between authentication secrets or keys and security identities. An authority can vouch for or endorse the claims in a security token by using its key to sign or encrypt the security token and thus authenticate the claims in the security token. An X.509 certificate, claiming the binding between one's identity and public key, is an example of a signed security token, and thus endorsed by the certificate authority, security token. In the absence of endorsement by a third party, the recipient of a security token may chose to accept the claims made in the token based on its trust of the sender of the containing message.

Signatures are also used by message senders to demonstrate knowledge of the key claimed in a security token and thus to authenticate or bind their identity (and any other claims occurring in the security token) to the messages they create. A signature created by a message sender to demonstrate knowledge of an authentication key is referred to as a Proof-of-Possession and may serve as a message authenticator if the signature is performed over the message.

A claim can be either signed or unsigned by a trusted authority. A set of signed claims is usually represented as a signed security token that is digitally signed or encrypted by the authority. An X.509 certificate, claiming the binding between one's identity and public key, is an example of a signed security token. An signed claim can also be represented as a reference to an authority so that the recipient can "pull" the claim from the referenced authority.

An unsigned claim can be trusted if there is a trust relationship between the sender and the recipient. For example, the unsigned claim that the sender is Bob is sufficient for a certain recipient to believe that the sender is in fact Bob, if the sender and the recipient use a trusted connection and there is an out-of-band trust relationship between them.

One special type of <u>unsigned</u> claim is <u>Proof-of-Possession</u>. Such a claim proves that the sender has a particular piece of knowledge that is verifiable by appropriate <u>SOAProles</u>. For example, a username/password is a security token with this type of claim. A <u>Proof-of-Possession claim</u> is sometimes combined with other security tokens to prove the claims of the sender. Note that a digital signature used for message integrity can also be used as a <u>Proof-of-Possession claim</u>, although this specification does not consider such a digital signature as a type of security token.

247 It should be noted that this security model, by itself, is subject to multiple security attacks. Refer to the Security Considerations section for additional details.

3.2 Message Protection

Protecting the message content from being disclosed (confidentiality) or modified without detection (integrity) are primary security concerns. This specification provides a means to protect a message by encrypting and/or digitally signing a body, a header, an attachment, or any combination of them (or parts of them).

Message integrity is provided by leveraging XML Signature in conjunction with security tokens to ensure that messages are transmitted without modifications. The integrity mechanisms are

Formatted: Space Before: 4 pt, After: 4 pt, Line spacing: single, Don't keep lines together, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Deleted: This document specifies an abstract message security model in terms of security tokens combined with digital signatures as proof of possession of the security token (key).

Security tokens assert claims and signatures provide a mechanism for proving the sender's knowledge of the key. As well, the signaturecan be used to "bind" or "associate" the message with the claims in the security token (assuming the token is trusted). Note that such a binding is limited to those elements covered by the signature. Furthermore note that this document does not specify a particular method for authentication, it simply indicates that security tokens MAY be bound to messages. ¶

Deleted: endorsed

Deleted: unendorsed

Deleted: endorsed

Deleted: endorsed

Deleted: unendorsed

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: unendorsed

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: unendorsed

Deleted: roles

Deleted: Protecting the message content from being intercepted (confidentiality) or illegally modified (integrity) are primary security concerns

Deleted:

- 256 designed to support multiple signatures, potentially by multiple SOAP roles, and to be extensible to support additional signature formats.
- 258 Message confidentiality leverages XML Encryption in conjunction with security tokens to keep
- portions of a SOAP message confidential. The encryption mechanisms are designed to support
- 260 additional encryption processes and operations by multiple <u>SOAP</u>roles.
- 261 WS-Security defines syntax and semantics of signatures within <wsse:Security> header block.
- 262 WS-Security does not specify any signature appearing outside of <wsse:Security>, if any.

3.3 Invalid or Missing Claims

The message recipient SHOULD reject a message with a signature determined to be invalid, missing or unacceptable claims as it is an unauthorized (or malformed) message. This specification provides a flexible way for the message sender to make a claim about the security properties by associating zero or more security tokens with the message. An example of a security claim is the identity of the sender; the sender can claim that he is Bob, known as an employee of some company, and therefore he has the right to send the message.

3.4 Example

263

270271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

The following example illustrates the use of a username security token containing a claimed security identity to establish a password derived signing key. The password is not provided in the security token. The message sender combines the password with the nonce and timestamp appearing in the security token to define an HMAC signing key that it then uses to sign the message. The message receiver uses its knowledge of the shared secret to repeat the HMAC key calculation which it uses to validate the signature and in the process confirm that the message was authored by the claimed user identity. The nonce and timestamp are used in the key calculation to introduce variability in the keys derived from a given password value.

```
279
           (001) <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
280
           (002) <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
281
                       xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
282
           (003)
                   <S:Header>
283
           (004)
                      <wsse:Security</pre>
284
                        xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
285
           (005)
                          <\wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="MyID">
286
           (006)
                              <wsse:IJsername>Zoe</wsse:IJsername>
                              <wsse:Nonce>FKJh...
287
           (007)
288
           (800)
                              <wsu:Created> 2001-10-13T09:00:00Z </wsu:Created>
289
           (009)
                          </wsse: UsernameToken>
290
           (010)
                          <ds:Signature>
291
                             <ds:SignedInfo>
           (011)
292
           (012)
                                <ds:CanonicalizationMethod</pre>
293
                                    Algorithm=
294
                                       "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
295
           (013)
                                <ds:SignatureMethod
296
                                    Algorithm=
297
                                    "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-shal"/>
298
           (014)
                                <ds:Reference URI="#MsgBody">
299
           (015)
                                   <ds:DigestMethod
300
                                      Algorithm=
301
                                    "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
302
           (016)
                                   <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF0Pi4wPU...</ds:DigestValue>
303
           (017)
                                </ds:Reference>
304
           (018)
                             </ds:SignedInfo>
305
           (019)
                             <ds:SignatureValue>DJbchm5gK...</ds:SignatureValue>
306
           (020)
                             <ds:KevInfo>
307
           (021)
                                 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
308
           (022)
                                  <wsse:Reference URI="#MyID"/>
309
           (023)
                                 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
310
           (024)
                             </ds:KeyInfo>
```

Deleted: The following example illustrates a message with a username security token. In this example the password is not provided in plaintext. Instead, it is used as a "shared secret" which can be used, for example, as part of an HIMAC signature to authenticate messages. The exact algorithm is out -of-scope of this specification, however, in the example below, the information inside the susername Tokens element is

concatenated with the key so as to

timestamp). In some cases, the nonce may be provided as a

mechanism.

challenge using some out -d -band

include random elements (nonce and

Deleted: receiver

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 10 of 49

```
311
           (025)
                         </ds:Signature>
312
           (026)
                      </wsse:Security>
313
           (027)
                   </S:Header>
314
           (028)
                   <S:Body wsu:Id="MsgBody">
315
           (029)
                     <tru:StockSymbol xmlns:tru="http://fabrikam123.com/payloads">
316
317
                     </tru:StockSymbol>
318
                   </S:Body>
319
           (031) </S:Envelope>
```

The first two lines start the SOAP envelope. Line (003) begins the headers that are associated with this SOAP message.

Line (004) starts the <Security> header defined in this specification. This header contains security information for an intended recipient. This element continues until line (026)

Deleted: that is

Deleted: receiver

Lines (005) to (009) specify a security token that is associated with the message. In this case, it defines *username* of the client using the <usernameToken>. Note that here the assumption is that the service knows the password – in other words, it is a shared secret and the <Nonce> and <Created> are used to generate the key

Lines (010) to (025) specify a digital signature. This signature ensures the integrity of the signed elements. The signature uses the XML Signature specification identified by the ds namespace declaration in Line (002). In this example, the signature is based on a key generated from the users' password; typically stronger signing mechanisms would be used (see the Extended Example later in this document).

Lines (011) to (018) describe what is being signed and the type of canonicalization being used.

Line (012) specifies how to canonicalize (normalize) the data that is being signed. Lines (014) to

(017) select the elements that are signed and how to digest them. Specifically, line (014)

indicates that the <S:Body> element is signed. In this example only the message body is

signed; typically all critical elements of the message are included in the signature (see the

Extended Example below).

Line (019) specifies the signature value of the canonicalized form of the data that is being signed as defined in the XML Signature specification.

Lines (020) to (024) provide a *hint* as to where to find the security token associated with this sign ature. Specifically, lines (021) to (023) indicate that the security token can be found at (pulled from) the specified URL.

Lines (028) to (030) contain the *body* (payload) of the SOAP message.

345

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331 332

> WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

17 November 2002 Page 11 of 49

4 ID References

346

351

352

353

357

- There are many motivations for referencing other message elements such as signature references or correlating signatures to security tokens. However, because arbitrary ID attributes require the schemas to be available and processed, ID attributes which can be referenced in a signature are restricted to the following list:
 - ID attributes from XML Signature
 - ID attributes from XML Encryption
 - · wsu:ld global attribute described below

In addition, when signing a part of an envelope such as the body, it is RECOMMENDED that an ID reference is used instead of a more general transformation, especially XPath. This is to simplify processing.

4.1 Id Attribute

There are many situations where elements within SOAP messages need to be referenced. For example, when signing a SOAP message, selected elements are included in the signature. XML Schema Part 2 provides several built-in data types that may be used for identifying and

referencing elements, but their use requires that consumers of the SOAPmessage either to have or be able to obtain the schemas where the identity or reference mechanisms are defined. In some circumstances, for example, intermediaries, this can be problematic and not desirable.

Consequently a mechanism is required for identifying and referencing elements, based on the
SOAP foundation, which does not rely upon complete schema knowledge of the context in which
an element is used. This functionality can be integrated into SOAP processors so that elements
can be identified and referred to without dynamic schema discovery and processing.

This section specifies a namespace-qualified global attribute for identifying an element which can be applied to any element that either allows arbitrary attributes or specifically allows a particular

370 attribute.

371 372

373 374

376

377

379

380

4.2 Id Schema

To simplify the processing for intermediaries and recipients, a common attribute is defined for identifying an element. This attribute utilizes the XML Schema ID type and specifies a common attribute for indicating this information for elements.

375 The syntax for this attribute is as follows:

<anyElement wsu:Id="...">...</anyElement>

The following describes the attribute illustrated above:

378 .../@wsu:ld

This attribute, defined as type xsd:ID, provides a well-known attribute for specifying the local ID of an element.

Two wsu: Id attributes within an XML document MUST NOT have the same value.

Implementations MAY rely on XML Schema validation to provide rudimentary enforcement for
 intra-document uniqueness. However, applications SHOULD NOT rely on schema validation
 alone to enforce uniqueness.

This specification does not specify how this attribute will be used and it is expected that other specifications MAY add additional semantics (or restrictions) for their usage of this attribute.

387 The following example illustrates use of this attribute to identify an element:

Deleted: receiver

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 12 of 49

388 389	<pre><x:myelement <="" th="" wsu:id="ID1" xmlns:x=""></x:myelement></pre>
390 391	Conformant processors that do support XML Schema MUST treat this attribute as if it was defined using a global attribute declaration.
392 393 394 395 396	Conformant processors that do not support XML Schema or DTDs are strongly encouraged to treat this attribute information item as if its PSVI has a [type definition] which {target namespace} is "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" and which {name} is "Id." Specifically, implementations MAY support the value of the wsu:Id as the valid identifier for use as an XPointer shorthand pointer.

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

5 Security Header

04

The <wsse:Security> header block provides a mechanism for attaching security-related
information targeted at a specific recipient (SOAP role). This MAY be either the ultimate recipient
of the message or an intermediary. Consequently, this header block MAY be present multiple
times in a SOAPmessage. An intermediary on the message path MAY add one or more new
sub-elements to an existing <wsse:Security> header block if they are targeted for the same
SOAPnode or it MAY add one or more new headers for additional targets.

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: receiver

As stated, a message MAY have multiple <wsse:Security> header blocks if they are targeted
for separate recipients. However, only one <wsse:Security> header block can omit the
S:role attribute and no two <wsse:Security> header blocks can have the same value for
S:role. Message security information targeted for different recipients MUST appear in different
<wsse:Security> header blocks. The <wsse:Security> header block without a specified
S:role can be consumed by anyone, but MUST NOT be removed prior to the final destination or

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: receiver

S:role can be consumed by anyone, but MUST NOT be removed prior to the final destination or endpoint.

As elements are added to the <wsse:Security> header block, they SHOULD be prepended to the existing elements. As such, the <wsse:Security> header block represents the signing and encryption steps the message sender took to create the message. This prepending rule ensures

encryption steps the message sender took to create the message. This prepending rule ensures that the receiving application MAY process sub-elements in the order they appear in the <wsse:Security> header block, because there will be no forward dependency among the sub-elements. Note that this specification does not impose any specific order of processing the sub-elements. The receiving application can use whatever policy is needed.

When a sub-element refers to a key carried in another sub-element (for example, a signature sub-element that refers to a binary security token sub-element that contains the X.509 certificate used for the signature), the key-bearing security token SHOULD be prepended to the key-using sub-element being added, so that the key material appears before the key-using sub-element.

The following illustrates the syntax of this header:

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

434 /wsse: Security

This is the header block for passing security-related message information to a recipient.

Deleted: receiver

/wsse:Security/@S:role

This attribute allows a specific SOAProle to be identified. This attribute is optional, however, no two instances of the header block may omit a role or specify the same role.

/wsse: Security/{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security information, based on a schema, to be passed.

/wsse: Security/@{any}

443 444	I his is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the header.
445	All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a <pre>wsse:Security> element.</pre>
446 447 448	All compliant implementations must declare which profiles they support and MUST be able to process a <wsse:security> element including any sub-elements which may be defined by profile.</wsse:security>
449 450	The next few sections outline elements that are expected to be used within the <wsse:security> header.</wsse:security>

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

6 Security Tokens

451

453

454

465 466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474 475

476

477 478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

489

491

492

452 This chapter discusses different types of security tokens and how they are attached to messages.

6.1 User Name Tokens

6.1.1 Usernames and Passwords

455 | The The

Within this element, a <wsse:Password> element MAY be specified. The password has an associated type - either wsse:PasswordText or wsse:PasswordDigest. The

460 wsse:PasswordText is not limited to only the actual password. Any password equivalent such as a derived password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used.

The wsse:PasswordDigest is defined as a base64-encoded SHA1 hash value of the UTF8-encoded password. However, unless this digested password is sent on a secured channel, the digest offers no real additional security than wsse:PasswordText.

To address this issue, two optional elements are introduced in the <wsse:UsernameToken> element: <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created>. If either of these is present, they MUST be included in the digest value as follows:

```
Password_digest = SHA1 ( nonce + created + password )
```

That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or password equivalent) and include the digest of the combination. This helps obscure the password and offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. It is RECOMMENDED that timestamps and nonces be cached for a given period of time, as a guideline a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect replays, and that timestamps older than that given period of time set be rejected.

Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the element.

Note that password digests SHOULD NOT be used unless the plain text password, secret, or password equivalent is available to both the requestor and the <u>recipient</u>.

The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
<wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="...">
    <wsse:Username>...</wsse:Username>
    <wsse:Password Type="...">...</wsse:Password>
    <wsse:Nonce EncodingType="...">...</wsse:Nonce>
    <wsu:Created>...</wsu:Created>
</wsse:UsernameToken>
```

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

488 /wsse:UsernameToken

This element is used for sending basic authentication information.

490 /wsse: UsernameToken/@wsu:Id

A string label for this security token.

/wsse: UsernameToken/Username

Deleted: receiver

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 16 of 49 493 This required element specifies the username of the authenticated or the party to be 494 authenticated. 495 /wsse: UsernameToken/Username/@{any} 496 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the header. 497 498 /wsse:UsernameToken/Password 499 This optional element provides password information. It is RECOMMENDED that this 500 element only be passed when a secure transport is being used. 501 /wsse: UsernameToken/Password/@Type 502 This optional attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The following table 503 identifies the pre-defined types: Value Description wsse:PasswordText (default) The actual password for the username or derived password or S/KEY. wsse:PasswordDigest The digest of the password for the username

using the algorithm described above.

504 /wsse: UsernameToken/Password/@{any}

> This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the header.

/wsse: UsernameToken//wsse:Nonce

505

506

507 508

510

511

512

513

514

516

517

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527 528

529

530

531

532

533 534

This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce.

509 /wsse:UsernameToken//wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType

> This optional attribute specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see definition of <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then the default of Base64 encoding is used.

/wsse:UsernameToken//wsu:Created

This optional element which specifies a timestamp.

515 /wsse: UsernameToken/{any}

> This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security information, based on a schema, to be passed.

518 /wsse: UsernameToken/@{any}

> This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the header.

All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a wsse:UsernameToken> element.

The following illustrates the use of this element (note that in this example the password is sent in clear text and the message should therefore be sent over a confidential channel:

```
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
           xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
    <S:Header>
        <wsse:Security>
            <wsse:UsernameToken>
                <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
                <wsse:Password>ILoveDogs</wsse:Password>
            </wsse:UsernameToken>
        </wsse:Security>
```

```
535
                </S:Header>
536
537
           </S:Envelope>
```

The following example illustrates a hashed password using both a nonce and a timestamp with the password hashed:

```
540
          <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
541
                      xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
542
              <S:Header>
543
544
545
                   <wsse:Security>
                    <wsse:UsernameToken</pre>
546
                      xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
547
                      xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">
548
549
                       <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>
                       <wsse:Password Type="wsse:PasswordDigest">
550
                          FEdR...</wsse:Password>
551
                       <wsse:Nonce>FKJh...
                       <wsu:Created>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z </wsu:Created>
552
553
                     </wsse:UsernameToken>
554
                   </wsse:Security>
555
556
              </S:Header>
557
558
          </S:Envelope>
```

6.2 Binary Security Tokens

6.2.1 Attaching Security Tokens

- 561 For binary-formatted security tokens, this specification provides a
- 562 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> element that can be included in the <wsse:Security>
- 563 header block..

538

539

559

560

564

571 572

573

574

575

577

583

6.2.2 Processing Rules

565 This specification describes the processing rules for using and processing XML Signature and 566 XML Encryption. These rules MUST be followed when using any type of security token including 567 XML-based tokens. Note that this does NOT mean that binary security tokens MUST be signed 568 or encrypted - only that if signature or encryption is used in conjunction with binary security tokens, they MUST be used in a way that conforms to the processing rules defined by this 569 570 specification.

6.2.3 Encoding Binary Security Tokens

Binary security tokens (e.g., X.509 certificates and Kerberos tickets) or other non-XML formats require a special encoding format for inclusion. This section describes a basic framework for using binary security tokens. Subsequent specifications describe rules and processes for specific binary security token formats.

576 The <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> element defines two attributes that are used to interpret it. The ValueType attribute indicates what the security token is, for example, a Kerberos ticket. 578

The EncodingType tells how the security token is encoded, for example Base64Binary.

579 The following is an overview of the syntax:

```
580
           <wsse:BinarySecurityToken wsu:Id=..</pre>
581
                                        EncodingType=...
582
                                        ValueType=.../>
```

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 584 /wsse:BinarySecurityToken

This element is used to include a binary-encoded security token.

586 /wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@wsu:Id

An optional string label for this security token.

588 /wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@ValueType

The ValueType attribute is used to indicate the "value space" of the encoded binary data (e.g. an X.509 certificate). The ValueType attribute allows a qualified name that defines the value type and space of the encoded binary data. This attribute is extensible using XML namespaces.

/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@EncodingType

The <code>EncodingType</code> attribute is used to indicate, using a QName, the encoding format of the binary data (e.g., <code>wsse:Base64Binary</code>). A new attribute is introduced, as there are currently issues that make derivations of mixed simple and complex types difficult within <code>XML Schema</code>. The <code>EncodingType</code> attribute is interpreted to indicate the encoding format of the element. The following encoding formats are pre-defined:

QName	Description
wsse:Base64Binary	XML Schema base 64 encoding

/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added.

All compliant implementations MUST be able to support a wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
element.

When a <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> is included in a signature—that is, it is referenced from a <ds:Signature> element—care should be taken so that the canonicalization algorithm (e.g., Exclusive XML Canonicalization) does not allow unauthorized replacement of namespace prefixes of the QNames used in the attribute or element values. In particular, it is RECOMMENDED that these namespace prefixes are declared within the

<wsse: BinarySecurityToken> element if this token does not carry the validating key (and consequently it is not cryptographically bound to the signature). For example, if we wanted to sign the previous example, we need to include the consumed namespace definitions.

In the following example, a custom <code>ValueType</code> is used. Consequently, the namespace definition for this <code>ValueType</code> is included in the <code><wsse:BinarySecurityToken></code> element. Note that the definition of <code>wsse</code> is also included as it is used for the encoding type and the element.

```
<wsse:BinarySecurityToken
        xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
        wsu:Id="myToken"
        ValueType="x:MyType" xmlns:x="http://www.fabrikam123.com/x"
        EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary">
        MIIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0...
</wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
```

6.3 XML Tokens

This section presents the basic principles and framework for using XML-based security tokens. Subsequent specifications describe rules and processes for specific XML-based security token formats.

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

6.3.1 Attaching Security Tokens 626 627 This specification defines the <wsse:Security> header as a mechanism for conveying security information with and about a SOAP message. This header is, by design, extensible to support 628 629 many types of security information. 630 For security tokens based on XML, the extensibility of the <wsse:Security> header allows for 631 these security tokens to be directly inserted into the header. 6.3.2 Identifying and Referencing Security Tokens 632 633 This specification also defines multiple mechanisms for identifying and referencing security 634 tokens using the wsu:Id attribute and the <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element (as well 635 as some additional mechanisms). Please refer to the specific binding documents for the appropriate reference mechanism. However, specific extensions MAY be made to the 636 637 wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element. 638 6.3.3 Subject Confirmation 639 This specification does not dictate if and how subject confirmation must be done, however, it does 640 define how signatures can be used and associated with security tokens (by referencing them in Deleted: 641 the signature) as a form of Proof-of-Posession. 6.3.4 Processing Rules 642 This specification describes the processing rules for using and processing XML Signature and 643 644 XML Encryption. These rules MUST be followed when using any type of security token including XML-based tokens. Note that this does NOT mean that XML-based tokens MUST be signed or 645

encrypted – only that if signature or encryption is used in conjunction with XML-based tokens,

they MUST be used in a way that conforms to the processing rules defined by this specification.

646

647

7 Token References

648

650

654

655

656

657

658

659

660 661

662

663

664

665 666

668

669 670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686 687

688

This chapter discusses and defines mechanisms for referencing security tokens.

7.1 SecurityTokenReference Element

A security token conveys a set of claims. Sometimes these claims reside somewhere else and need to be "pulled" by the receiving application. The <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element provides an extensible mechanism for referencing security tokens.

This element provides an open content model for referencing security tokens because not all tokens support a common reference pattern. Similarly, some token formats have closed schemas and define their own reference mechanisms. The open content model allows appropriate reference mechanisms to be used when referencing corresponding token types.

The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
<wsse:SecurityTokenReference wsu:Id="...">
    ...
</wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

The following describes the elements defined above:

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference

This element provides a reference to a security token.

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/@wsu:Id

A string label for this security token reference.

667 / wsse:SecurityTokenReference/{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security references, based on a schema, to be passed.

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the header.

The following illustrates the use of this element:

All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a

<wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element.

This element can also be used as a direct child element of <ds:KeyInfo> to indicate a hint to retrieve the key information from a security token placed somewhere else. In particular, it is RECOMMENDED, when using XML Signature and XML Encryption, that a

<wsse: SecurityTokenReference> element be placed inside a <ds:KeyInfo> to reference
the security token used for the signature or encryption.

7.2 Direct References

The <wsse:Reference> element provides an extensible mechanism for directly referencing security tokens using URIs.

The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
<wsse:SecurityTokenReference wsu:Id="...">
```

```
691
              <wsse:Reference URI="..." ValueType="..."/>
692
          </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
693
```

The following describes the elements defined above:

694 / wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717 718

719

720

721

722

723

724 725

726

727

728 730 731

732

733

734

735

736

737

This element is used to identify a URI location for locating a securty token.

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@URI

This optional attribute specifies a URI for where to find a security token.

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@ValueType

This optional attribute specifies a QName that is used to identify the type of token being referenced (see <wsse:BinarySecurityToken>). This specification does not define any processing rules around the usage of this attribute, however, specification for individual token types MAY define specific processing rules and semantics around the value of the URI and how it is interpreted. If this attribute is not present, the URI is processed as a normal URI.

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security references, based on a schema, to be passed.

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the header.

The following illustrates the use of this element:

```
<wsse:SecurityTokenReference</pre>
          xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
   <wsse:Reference</pre>
             URI="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/tokens/Zoe#X509token"/>
</wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

7.3 Key Identifiers

If a direct reference is not possible, then it is RECOMMENDED to use a key identifier to specify/reference a security token instead of a key name. The <wsse:KeyIdentifier> element is placed in the <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element to reference a token using an identifier. This element SHOULD be used for all key identifiers.

The processing model assumes that the key identifier for a security token is constant. Consequently, processing a key identifier is simply looking for a security token whose key identifier matches a given specified constant.

The following is an overview of the syntax:

```
<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
   <wsse:KeyIdentifier wsu:Id="..."</pre>
                            ValueType="..." > EncodingType= "..." >
   </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
</wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier

This element is used to include a binary-encoded key identifier.

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@wsu:Id

An optional string label for this identifier.

738 / wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@ValueType

> WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

17 November 2002

Page 22 of 49

Deleted: consant

The ValueType attribute is used to optionally indicate the type of token with the specified identifier. If specified, this is a *hint* to the recipient. Any value specified for binary security tokens, or any XML token element QName can be specified here. If this attribute isn't specified, then the identifier applies to any type of token.

Deleted: receiver

/ wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@EncodingType

The optional EncodingType attribute is used to indicate, using a QName, the encoding format of the binary data (e.g., wsse:Base64Binary). The base values defined in this specification are used:

QName	Description
wsse:Base64Binary	XML Schema base 64 encoding (default)

747 / wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added.

7.4 ds:KeyInfo

739

741

742743

744

745

746

748

749

750 751

752 753

754 755

756

757

758

759

760

761 762

763

764

765

766 767

768

769

770

771 772

773

774

740

The <ds:KeyInfo> element (from XML Signature) can be used for carrying the key information and is allowed for different key types and for future extensibility. However, in this specification, the use of <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> is the RECOMMENDED way to carry key material if the key type contains binary data. Please refer to the specific binding documents for the appropriate way to carry key material.

The following example illustrates use of this element to fetch a named key:

7.5 Key Names

It is strongly RECOMMEND to use key identifiers. However, if key names are used, then it is strongly RECOMMENDED that <code><ds:KeyName></code> elements conform to the attribute names in section 2.3 of RFC 2253 (this is recommended by XML Signature for <code><X509SubjectName></code>) for interoperability.

Additionally, defined are the following convention for e-mail addresses, which SHOULD conform to RFC 822:

EmailAddress=ckaler@microsoft.com

7.6 Token Reference Lookup Processing Order

There are a number of mechanisms described in XML Signature and this specification for referencing security tokens. To resolve possible ambiguities when more than one of these reference constructs is included in a single KeyInfo element, the following processing order SHOULD be used:

- Resolve any <wsse:Reference> elements (specified within <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>).
- 775 2. Resolve any <wsse:KeyIdentifier> elements (specified within
 776 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>).
- 777 3. Resolve any <ds:KeyName> elements.

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 23 of 49 4. Resolve any other <ds:KeyInfo> elements.

778

8 Signatures

780 Message senders may want to enable message recipients to determine whether a message was 781 altered in transit and to verify that a message was sent by the possessor of a particular security 782 token.

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: receiver

783 The validation of an XML signature that uses a SecurityTokenReference to identify the key used 784

to create the signature, supports the application (by the relying party frecipient) of any other claims made within the referenced token (most notably the identity bound to the key) to the signature

786 author (that is, if the relying party trusts the authority responsible for the claims in the referenced

787

779

785

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802 803

804

805

808

809

788 Because of the mutability of some SOAPheaders, senders SHOULD NOT use the Enveloped 789 Signature Transform defined in XML Signature. Instead, messages SHOULD explicitly include 790 the desired elements to be signed. Similarly, senders SHOULD NOT use the Enveloping 791 Signature defined in XML Signature.

This specification allows for multiple signatures and signature formats to be attached to a message, each referencing different, even overlapping, parts of the message. This is important for many distributed applications where messages flow through multiple processing stages. For example, a sender may submit an order that contains an orderID header. The sender signs the orderID header and the body of the request (the contents of the order). When this is received by the order processing sub-system, it may insert a shippingID into the header. The order subsystem would then sign, at a minimum, the orderID and the shippingID, and possibly the body as well. Then when this order is processed and shipped by the shipping department, a shippedInfo header might be appended. The shipping department would sign, at a minimum, the shippedInfo and the shippingID and possibly the body and forward the message to the billing department for processing. The billing department can verify the signatures and determine a valid chain of trust for the order, as well as who did what.

All compliant implementations MUST be able to support the XML Signature standard.

8.1 Algorithms

806 This specification builds on XML Signature and therefore has the same algorithm requirements as 807 those specified in the XML Signature specification.

The following table outlines additional algorithms that are strongly RECOMMENDED by this specification:

Algorithm Type	Algorithm	Algorithm URI
Canonicalization	Exclusive XML Canonicalization	http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#
Transformations	XML Decryption Transformation	http://www.w3.org/2001/04/decrypt#

810 The Exclusive XML Canonicalization algorithm addresses the pitfalls of general canonicalization 811 that can occur from leaky namespaces with pre-existing signatures.

812 Finally, if a sender wishes to sign a message before encryption, they should use the Decryption 813 Transformation for XML Signature.

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 25 of 49

8.2 Signing Messages

814 815

816 817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

843

844

845

846 847

848

849

850

851

852 853

854

855

856

857

858

859

The <wsse:Security> header block is used to carry a signature compliant with the XML Signature specification within a SOAP Envelope for the purpose of signing one or more elements in the SOAP Envelope. Multiple signature entries MAY be added into a single SOAP Envelope within the <wsse:Security> header block. Senders should take care to sign all important elements of the message, but care must be taken in creating a signing policy that will not to sign parts of the message that might legitimately be altered in transit.

SOAPapplications MUST satisfy the following conditions:

- The application MUST be capable of processing the required elements defined in the XML Signature specification.
- 2. To add a signature to a wsse:Security> header block, a <ds:Signature> element conforming to the XML Signature specification SHOULD be prepended to the existing content of the wsse:Security> header block. That is, the new information would be before (prepended to) the old. All the <ds:Reference> elements contained in the signature SHOULD refer to a resource within the enclosing SOAPenvelope, α in an attachment.

xpath filtering can be used to specify objects to be signed, as described in the XML Signature specification. However, since the SOAP message exchange model allows intermediate applications to modify the Envelope (add or delete a header block; for example), XPath filtering does not always result in the same objects after message delivery. Care should be taken in using XPath filtering so that there is no subsequent validation failure due to such modifications.

835 | The problem of modification by intermediaries is applicable to more than just XPath processing.
 836 Digital signatures, because of canonicalization and digests, present particularly fragile examples
 837 of such relationships. If overall message processing is to remain robust, intermediaries must
 838 exercise care that their transformations do not occur within the scope of a digitally signed
 839 component.

Due to security concerns with namespaces, this specification strongly RECOMMENDS the use of the "Exclusive XML Canonicalization" algorithm or another canonicalization algorithm that provides equivalent or greater protection.

For processing efficiency it is RECOMMENDED to have the signature added and then the security token pre-pended so that a processor can read and cache the token before it is used.

8.3 Signature Validation

The validation of a <ds:Signature> element inside an <wsse:Security> header block fails if

- 1. the syntax of the content of the entry does not conform to this specification, or
- the validation of the signature contained in the entry fails according to the core validation of the XML Signature specification, or
- the application applying its own validation policy rejects the message for some reason (e.g., the signature is created by an untrusted key – verifying the previous two steps only performs cryptographic verification of the signature).

If the verification of the signature entry fails, applications MAY report the failure to the sender using the fault codes defined in Section 12 Error Handling.

8.4 Example

The following sample message illustrates the use of integrity and security tokens. For this example, we sign only the message body.

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>

Field Code Changed

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 26 of 49

```
860
           <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
861
                       xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
862
                       xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
863
                       xmlns:xenc="ht tp://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
864
              <S:Header>
865
                 <wsse:Security>
866
                    <wsse:BinarySecurityToken</pre>
867
                                 ValueType="wsse:X509v3"
868
                                 EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"
869
                                 wsu:Id="X509Token">
870
                             MIIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0rqrKh5i...
871
                    </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
872
                    <ds:Signature>
873
                       <ds:SignedInfo>
                          <ds:CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm=</pre>
874
875
                                 "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
876
                          <ds:SignatureMethod Algorithm=</pre>
877
                                 "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
                          <ds:Reference URI="#myBody">
878
879
                              <ds:Transforms>
880
                                 <ds:Transform Algorithm=
881
                                       "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
882
                              </ds:Transforms>
883
                             <ds:DigestMethod Algorithm=</pre>
884
                                   "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#shal"/>
885
                              <ds:DigestValue>EULddytSol...</ds:DigestValue>
886
                          </ds:Reference>
887
                       </ds:SignedInfo>
888
                       <ds:SignatureValue>
889
                         BL8jdfToEb11/vXcMZNNjPOV...
890
                       </ds:SignatureValue>
891
                       <ds:KeyInfo>
892
                           <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
893
                               <wsse: Reference URI=" #X509Token"/>
894
                           </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
895
                       </ds:KeyInfo>
896
                    </ds:Signature>
897
                 </wsse:Security>
898
              </S:Header>
899
              <S:Body wsu:Id="myBody" >
900
                 <tru:StockSymbol xmlns:tru="http://www.fabrikam123.com/payloads">
901
                   000
902
                 </tru:StockSymbol>
903
              </S:Body>
904
          </S:Envelope>
```

9 Encryption

This specification allows encryption of any combination of body blocks, header blocks, any of these sub-structures, and attachments by either a common symmetric key shared by the sender and the <u>recipient</u> or a key carried in the message in an encrypted form.

In order to allow this flexibility, this specification leverages the XML Encryption standard.

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: receiver

All compliant implementations MUST be able to support the XML Encryption standard.

919 920

921 922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

905 906

907

908

909

910

911 912

913

914

915

916

917

918

9.1 xenc:ReferenceList

When encrypting elements or element contents within a SOAP envelope, the

<xenc:ReferenceList> element from XML Encryption MAY be used to create a manifest of
encrypted portion(s), which are expressed as <xenc:EncryptedData> elements within the
envelope. An element or element content to be encrypted by this encryption step MUST be
replaced by a corresponding <xenc:EncryptedData> according to XML Encryption. All the
<xenc:EncryptedData> elements created by this encryption step SHOULD be listed in
<xenc:DataReference> elements inside an <xenc:ReferenceList> element.

Deleted: receiver

```
937
          <S:Envelope
938
              xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
939
             xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#
              xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
940
941
              xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
942
               <S:Header>
943
                   <wsse:Security>
944
                       <xenc:ReferenceList>
945
                           <xenc:DataReference URI="#bodyID"/>
946
                       </xenc:ReferenceList>
947
                   </wsse:Security>
948
               </S:Header>
949
               <S:Body>
950
                   <xenc:EncryptedData Id="bodyID">
951
                     <ds:KevInfo>
952
                       <ds:KeyName>CN=Hiroshi Maruyama, C=JP</ds:KeyName>
                     </ds:KevInfo>
```

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 28 of 49

9.2 xenc:EncryptedKey

960 961

962

963 964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

1005

1006

1007

This construct is useful when encryption is done by a randomly generated symmetric key that is in turn encrypted by the recipient's public key. The following illustrates the use of this element:

```
972
           <S:Envelope
 973
              xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
 974
              xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#
 975
              xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
 976
              xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
 977
               <S:Header>
 978
                   <wsse:Security>
 979
                       <xenc:EncryptedKey>
 980
                           <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="..."/>
 981
                           <ds:KeyInfo>
 982
                             <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
 983
                           <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"</pre>
 984
                                 ValueType= "wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...
 985
                           </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
 986
                              </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
 987
                           </ds:KeyInfo>
 988
                           <xenc:CipherData>
 989
                               <xenc:CipherValue>...
 990
                           </xenc:CipherData>
 991
                           <xenc:ReferenceList>
 992
                             <xenc:DataReference URI="#bodyID"/>
 993
                           </xenc:ReferenceList>
 994
                       </xenc:EncryptedKey>
 995
                   </wsse:Security>
 996
               </S:Header>
 997
               <S:Body>
 998
                   <xenc:EncryptedData Id="bodyID">
 999
                       <xenc:CipherData>
1000
                          <xenc:CipherValue>.../xenc:CipherValue>
1001
                       </xenc:CipherData>
1002
                   </xenc:EncryptedData>
               </S:Body>
1003
1004
           </S:Envelope>
```

Comment: A naked wsse:Keyldentifier would be illegal.

While XML Encryption specifies that <mc:EncryptedKey> elements MAY be specified in <mc:EncryptedData> elements, this specification strongly RECOMMENDS that <mc:EncryptedKey> elements be placed in the <wsse:Security> header.

9.3 xenc:EncryptedData

1008 1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018 1019

1020

1021

1047 1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

In some cases security-related information is provided in a purely encrypted form or non-XML can be used for these scenarios. For each part of the encrypted attachment, one encryption step is needed; that is, for each attachment to be encrypted, one senc:EncryptedData> subelement MUST be added with the following rules (note that steps 2-4 applies only if MIME types are being used for attachments).

- 1. The contents of the attachment MUST be replaced by the encrypted octet string.
- 2. The replaced MIME part MUST have the media type application/octet-stream.
- 3. The original media type of the attachment MUST be declared in the MimeType attribute of the <xenc:EncryptedData> element.
- 4. The encrypted MIME part MUST be referenced by an <xenc:CipherReference> element with a URI that points to the MIME part with cid: as the scheme component of

The following illustrates the use of this element to indicate an encrypted attachment:

```
1022
1023
           <S:Envelope
1024
               xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
1025
              xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
1026
               xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
1027
              xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
1028
                <S:Header>
1029
                    <wsse:Security>
1030
                        <xenc:EncryptedData MimeType="image/png">
1031
                        <ds:KeyInfo>
1032
                               <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1033
                           <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="..."/>
1034
                           <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"</pre>
                                 ValueType= "wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...
1035
1036
                           </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
1037
                               </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1038
                           </ds:KeyInfo>
1039
                           <xenc: CipherData>
1040
                               <xenc:CipherReference URI="cid:image"/>
1041
                           </xenc:CipherData>
1042
                        </xenc:EncryptedData>
1043
                    </wsse:Security>
1044
                </S:Header>
1045
                <S:Body> </S:Body>
1046
           </S:Envelope>
```

9.4 Processing Rules

Encrypted parts or attachments to the SOAPmessage using one of the sub-elements defined above MUST be in compliance with the XML Encryption specification. An encrypted SOAP envelope MUST still be a valid SOAP envelope. The message creator MUST NOT encrypt the <S:Envelope>, <S:Header>, or <S:Body> elements but MAY encrypt child elements of either the <S:Header> and <S:Body> elements. Multiple steps of encryption MAY be added into a single <Security> header block if they are targeted for the same recipient.

When an element or element content inside a SOAPenvelope (e.g. of the contents of <S:Body>) is to be encrypted, it MUST be replaced by an <xenc: EncryptedData>, according to XML by this encryption step. This specification allows placing the encrypted octet stream in an

stream SHALL replace the <xenc:EncryptedData>. However, if the <enc:EncryptedData>
1061 element is located in the <Security> header block and it refers to an attachment, then the
1062 decrypted octet stream MUST replace the encrypted octet stream in the attachment.

9.4.1 Encryption

- 1. Create a new SOAP envelope.
- Create an <xenc:ReferenceList> sub-element, an <xenc:EncryptedKey> sub-element, or an <xenc:EncryptedData> sub-element in the <Security> header block (note that if the SOAP"role" and "mustUnderstand" attributes are different, then a new header block may be necessary), depending on the type of encryption.
- 3. Locate data items to be encrypted, i.e., XML elements, element contents within the target SOAP envelope, and attachments.
- 5. The optional <ds:KeyInfo> element in the <xenc:EncryptedData> element MAY reference another <ds:KeyInfo> element. Note that if the encryption is based on an attached security token, then a <SecurityTokenReference> element SHOULD be added to the <ds:KeyInfo> element to facilitate locating it.
- 6. Create an <xenc:DataReference> element referencing the generated <xenc:EncryptedData> elements. Add the created <xenc:DataReference> element to the <xenc:ReferenceList>.

9.4.2 Decryption

On receiving a SOAP envelope with encryption header entries, for each encryption header entry the following general steps should be processed (non-normative):

- Locate the <xenc:EncryptedData> items to be decrypted (possibly using the <xenc:ReferenceList>).
- Decrypt them as follows: For each element in the target SOAPenvelope, decrypt it according to the processing rules of the XML Encryption specification and the processing rules listed above.
- 3. If the decrypted data is part of an attachment and MIME types were used, then revise the MIME type of the attachment to the original MIME type (if one exists).

If the decryption fails for some reason, applications MAY report the failure to the sender using the fault code defined in Section 12 Error Handling.

9.5 Decryption Transformation

- 1100 The ordering semantics of the <wsse:Security> header are sufficient to determine if
 1101 signatures are over encrypted or unencrypted data. However, when a signature is included in
- one <wsse:Security> neader and the encryption takes place in
 header, the order may not be explicitly understood.

If the sender wishes to sign a message that is subsequently encrypted by an intermediary along the transmission path, the sender MAY use the Decryption Transform for XML Signature to explicitly specify the order of decryption.

1104

1105 1106 1107

10 Message Timestamps

1109 When requestors and services are exchanging messages, it is often important to be able to 1110 understand the freshness of a message. In some cases, a message may be so stalethat the 1111 recipient may decide to ignore it.

Deleted: receiver

This specification does not provide a mechanism for synchronizing time. The assumption is

either that the recipient is using a mechanism to synchronize time (e.g. NTP) or, more likely for

Deleted: receiver

1114 federated applications, that they are making assessments about time based on three factors:

1115 creation time of the message, transmission checkpoints, and transmission delays. 1116

Deleted: receiver

To assist a recipient in making an assessment of staleness, a requestor may wish to indicate a 1117 suggested expiration time, beyond which the requestor recommends ignoring the message. The

1118 specification provides XML elements by which the requestor may express the expiration time of a

1119 message, the requestor's clock time at the moment the message was created, checkpoint

1120 timestamps (when an SOAP role received the message) along the communication path, and the

1121 delays introduced by transmission and other factors subsequent to creation. The quality of the 1122

delays is a function of how well they reflect the actual delays (e.g., how well they reflect

1123 transmission delays).

1124 It should be noted that this is not a protocol for making assertions or determining when, or how

1125 fast, a service produced or processed a message.

1126 This specification defines and illustrates time references in terms of the dateTimetype defined in

1127 XML Schema. It is RECOMMENDED that all time references use this type. It is further

1128 RECOMMENDED that all references be in UTC time. If, however, other time types are used,

1129 then the ValueType attribute (described below) MUST be specified to indicate the data type of the

1130 time format.

1108

1112

1113

1131

1140

1141

1142

1147

1150

1151

10.1 Model

1132 This specification provides several tools for recipient s to use to assess the expiration time 1133 presented by the requestor. The first is the creation time. Recipients can use this value to

Deleted: receiver Deleted: Receiver Deleted: receiver

1134 assess possible clock synchronization issues. However, to make some assessments, the time 1135

required to go from the requestor to the <u>recipient</u> may also be useful in making this assessment. Two mechanisms are provided for this. The first is that intermediaries may add timestamp 1136

1137 elements indicating when they received the message. This knowledge can be useful to get a

1138 holistic view of clocks along the message path. The second is that intermediaries can specify any

1139 delays they imposed on message delivery. It should be noted that not all delays can be

accounted for, such as wire time and parties that don't report. Recipients need to take this into

account when evaluating clock trust.

Deleted: Receiver

10.2 Timestamp Elements

1143 This specification defines the following message timestamp elements. These elements are

1144 defined for use with the <wsu:Timestamp> header for SOAP messages, but they can be used

1145 anywhere within the header or body that creation, expiration, and intermediary markers are

1146

10.2.1 Expiration

1148 The <wsu: Expires> element specifies the expiration timestamp. The exact meaning and

1149 processing rules for expiration depend on the context in which the element is used. The syntax

for this element is as follows:

<wsu:Expires ValueType="..." wsu:Id="...">...</wsu:Expires>

1152 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above: 1153 /wsu:Expires 1154 This element's value represents an expiration time. The time specified SHOULD be a 1155 UTC format as specified by the ValueType attribute (default is XML Schema type 1156 dateTime). 1157 / wsu:Expires/@ValueType 1158 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data. This is specified as the XML 1159 Schema type. If this attribute isn't specified, the default value is xsd:dateTime. 1160 / wsu:Expires/@wsu:Id 1161 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this 1162 element. 1163 The expiration is relative to the requestor's clock. In order to evaluate the expiration time, 1164 recipient's need to recognize that the requestor's clock may not be synchronized to the recipient's Deleted: receiver 1165 clock. The recipient, therefore, will need to make a assessment of the level of trust to be placed Deleted: receiver 1166 in the requestor's clock, since the <u>recipient</u> is called upon to evaluate whether the expiration time Deleted: receiver 1167 is in the past relative to the requestor's, not the recipient's, clock. The recipient may make a judgment of the requestor's likely current clock time by means not described in this specification, 1168 Deleted: receiver for example an out-of-band clock synchronization protocol. The recipient may also use the 1169 Deleted: receiver 1170 creation time and the delays introduced by intermediate SOAP roles to estimate the degree of Deleted: receiver 1171 clock synchronization. Deleted: receiver 1172 One suggested formula for estimating synchronization is 1173 skew = recipient's arrival time - creation time - transmission time Deleted: receiver 1174 Transmission time may be estimated by summing the values of delay elements, if present. It 1175 should be noted that wire-time is only part of this if delays include it in estimates. Otherwise the 1176 transmission time will not reflect the on-wire time. If no delays are present, there are no special 1177 assumptions that need to be made about processing time. 10.2.2 Creation 1178 1179 The <wsu:Created> element specifies a creation timestamp. The exact meaning and 1180 semantics are dependent on the context in which the element is used. The syntax for this 1181 element is as follows: 1182 <wsu:Created ValueType="..." wsu:Id="...">...</wsu:Created> 1183 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above: 1184 1185 This element's value is a creation timestamp. The time specified SHOULD be a UTC 1186 format as specified by the ValueType attribute (default is XML Schema type dateTime). A 1187 conformant implementation MUST understand the UTC format. 1188 / wsu:Created/@ValueType 1189 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data. This is specified as the XML 1190 Schema type. If this attribute isn't specified, the default value is xsd:dateTime.

This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this

/ wsu:Created/@wsu:Id

element.

11911192

1193

1194

10.3 Timestamp Header

- 1196 A <wsu:Timestamp> header provides a mechanism for expressing the creation and expiration
- 1197 times of a message introduced throughout the message path. Specifically, is uses the previously
- 1198 defined elements in the context of message creation, receipt, and processing.
- 1199 All times SHOULD be in UTC format as specified by the XML Schematype (dateTime). It should
- 1200 be noted that times support time precision as defined in the XML Schema specification.
- 1201 Multiple <wsu:Timestamp> headers can be specified if they are targeted at different SOAP
- 1202 roles. The ordering within the header is as illustrated below.
- 1203 The ordering of elements in this header is fixed and MUST be preserved by intermediaries.
- 1204 To preserve overall integrity of each <wsu:Timestamp> header, it is strongly RECOMMENDED 1205 that each <u>SOAP</u> role create or update the appropriate <wsu:Timestamp> header destined to 1206 itself.
- 1207 The schema outline for the <wsu:Timestamp> header is as follows:

```
1208
           <wsu:Timestamp wsu:Id="...">
1209
                <wsu:Created>...</wsu:Created>
1210
                <wsu:Expires>...</wsu:Expires>
1211
1212
           </wsu:Timestamp>
```

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1214 / wsu:Timestamp

1195

1213

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220 1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1231

1232

1234

1235

1237

1238

1240

1241

1242

This is the header for indicating message timestamps.

/ wsu:Timestamp/Created

This represents the creation time of the message. This element is optional, but can only be specified once in a Timestamp header. Within the SOAP processing model, creation is the instant that the infoset is serialized for transmission. The creation time of the message SHOULD NOT differ substantially from its transmission time. The difference in time should be minimized.

/ wsu:Timestamp/Expires

This represents the expiration of the message. This is optional, but can appear at most once in a Timestamp header. Upon expiration, the requestor asserts that the message is no longer valid. It is strongly RECOMMENDED that recipients (anyone who processes this message) discard (ignore) any message that has passed its expiration. A Fault code (wsu:MessageExpired) is provided if the recipient wants to inform the requestor that its message was expired. A service MAY issue a Fault indicating the message has expired.

Deleted: receiver

Deleted: receiver

1230 / wsu:Timestamp/{any}

> This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional elements to be added to the header.

1233 / wsu:Timestam p/@wsu:Id

This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this

1236 / wsu:Timestamp/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes to be added to the

1239 The following example illustrates the use of the <wsu:Timestamp> element and its content.

```
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"</pre>
            xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">
  <S:Header>
```

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002

Page 35 of 49

```
1243
                <wsu:Timestamp>
1244
                   <wsu:Created>2001-09-13T08:42:00Z</wsu:Created>
1245
                    <wsu: Expires>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu: Expires>
1246
                </wsu:Timestamp>
1247
1248
              </S:Header>
1249
              <S:Body>
1250
1251
              </S:Body>
1252
            </S:Envelope>
```

10.4 TimestampTrace Header

- 1254 A <wsu:TimestampTrace> header provides a mechanism for expressing the delays introduced throughout the message path. Specifically, is uses the previously defined elements in the context of message creation, receipt, and processing.
- All times SHOULD be in UTC format as specified by the XML Schema type (dateTime). It should be noted that times support time precision as defined in the XML Schema specification.
- 1259 | Multiple <wsu:TimestampTrace> headers can be specified if they reference a different SOAP role.
- 1261 The <wsu:Received> element specifies a receipt timestamp with an optional processing delay.

 1262 The exact meaning and semantics are dependent on the context in which the element is used.
- 1263 | It is also strongly RECOMMENDED that each <u>SOAP</u>role sign its elements by referencing their 1264 | ID, NOT by signing the TimestampTrace header as the header is mutable.
- 1265 The syntax for this element is as follows:

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

/ wsu:Received

1253

1270

1271

1272

1273

1275

1276

1277

1279

1280

1281

1283

1284

1285

1287

1288

This element's value is a receipt timestamp. The time specified SHOULD be a UTC format as specified by the ValueType attribute (default is XML Schema type dateTime).

1274 / wsu:Received/@Role

A required attribute, Role, indicates which SOAP role is indicating receipt. Roles MUST include this attribute, with a value matching the role value as specified as a SOAP intermediary.

1278 / wsu:Received/@Delay

The value of this optional attribute is the delay associated with the SOAP role expressed in milliseconds. The delay represents processing time by the Role after it received the message, but before it forwarded to the next recipient.

1282 / wsu:Received/@ValueType

This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data (the element value). This is specified as the XML Schema type. If this attribute isn't specified, the default value is xsd:dateTime.

1286 / wsu:Received/@wsu:Id

This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this element.

1289 | The delay attribute indicates the time delay attributable to an SOAP role (intermediate
 1290 processor). In some cases this isn't known; for others it can be computed as *role's send time – role's receipt time*.

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 36 of 49 Each delay amount is indicated in units of milliseconds, without fractions. If a delay amount would exceed the maximum value expressible in the datatype, the value should be set to the maximum value of the datatype.

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

The following example illustrates the use of the <wsu:Timestamp> header and a <wsu:TimestampTrace> header indicating a processing delay of one minute subsequent to the receipt which was two minutes after creation.

```
1298
            <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
             xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">
<S:Header>
1299
1300
1301
                <wsu:Timestamp>
1302
                  <wsu:Created>2001-09-13T08:42:00Z</wsu:Created>
                   <wsu: Expires>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu: Expires>
1303
1304
                </wsu:Timestamp>
1305
                <wsu:TimespampTrace>
1306
                  <wsu:Received Role="http://x.com/" Delay="60000">
1307
                           2001-09-13T08:44:00Z</wsu:Received>
1308
                </wsu:TimestampTrace>
1309
1310
              </S:Header>
1311
             <S:Body>
1312
1313
             </S:Body>
1314
            </S:Envelope>
1315
```

11 Extended Example

1316 1317

1318

1319 1320 The following sample message illustrates the use of security tokens, signatures, and encryption. For this example, the timestamp and the message body are signed prior to encryption. The decryption transformation is not needed as the signing/encryption order is specified within the <wsse:Security> header.

```
1321
            (001) <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
1322
            (002) <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
1323
                         xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#
1324
                        xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
1325
                        xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility"
1326
                        xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
1327
            (003)
                    <S:Header>
1328
            (004)
                         <wsu:Timestamp>
1329
            (005)
                            <wsu:Created wsu:Id="T0">
1330
            (006)
                                 2001-09-13T08:42:00Z
1331
            (007)
                            </wsu:Created>
1332
            (800)
                         </wsu:Timestamp>
1333
            (009)
                       <wsse:Security>
                          <wsse:BinarySecurityToken</pre>
1334
            (010)
1335
                                  ValueType="wsse:X509v3"
1336
                                  wsu:Id="X509Token"
1337
                                  EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary">
1338
            (011)
                          MIIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0rqrKh5i...
                           </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
1339
            (012)
1340
            (013)
                           <xenc:EncryptedKey>
1341
            (014)
                               <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm=</pre>
1342
                                      "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
1343
            (015)
                               <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"</pre>
1344
                                  ValueType= "wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...
            (016)
1345
            (017)
                               </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
1346
            (018)
                               <xenc:CipherData>
1347
            (019)
                                  <xenc:CipherValue>d2FpbmdvbGRfE0lm4byV0...
1348
            (020)
                                  </xenc:CipherValue>
1349
            (021)
                               </xenc:CipherData>
1350
            (022)
                               <xenc:ReferenceList>
1351
            (023)
                                   <xenc:DataReference URI="#enc1"/>
1352
            (024)
                               </xenc:ReferenceList>
1353
            (025)
                           </xenc:EncryptedKey>
1354
            (026)
                           <ds:Signature>
1355
            (027)
                              <ds:SignedInfo>
1356
            (028)
                                 <ds:CanonicalizationMethod
1357
                               Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
1358
            (029)
                                 <ds:SignatureMethod
1359
                           Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-shal"/>
1360
            (039)
                                 <ds:Reference URI="#T0">
1361
            (031)
                                    <ds:Transforms>
1362
            (032)
                                       <ds:Transform</pre>
1363
                               Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
1364
            (033)
                                    </ds:Transforms>
1365
            (034)
                                    <ds:DigestMethod
1366
                                Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#shal"/>
1367
            (035)
                                    <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF094hPi4wPU...
1368
            (036)
                                     </ds:DigestValue>
1369
            (037)
                                 </ds:Reference>
1370
            (038)
                                 <ds:Reference URI="#body">
1371
            (039)
                                    <ds:Transforms>
1372
            (040)
                                       <ds:Transform
```

```
1373
                               Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
1374
                                     </ds:Transforms>
            (041)
1375
            (042)
                                     <ds:DigestMethod
1376
                                Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#shal"/>
1377
            (043)
                                     <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF094hPi4wPU...
1378
            (044)
                                      </ds:DigestValue>
1379
            (045)
                                 </ds:Reference>
1380
            (046)
                              </ds:SignedInfo>
1381
            (047)
                              <ds:SignatureValue>
1382
            (048)
                                        Hp1ZkmFZ/2kQLXDJbchm5gK...
1383
            (049)
                              </ds:SignatureValue>
1384
            (050)
                              <ds:KeyInfo>
1385
            (051)
                                  <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1386
            (052)
                                      <wsse:Reference URI=" #X509Token"/>
1387
            (053)
                                  </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1388
            (054)
                              </ds:KeyInfo>
1389
            (055)
                           </ds:Signature>
1390
            (056)
                        </wsse:Security>
1391
            (057)
                    </S:Header>
                    <S:Body wsu:Id="body">
1392
            (058)
1393
            (059)
                       <xenc:EncryptedData</pre>
                               Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element"
1394
1395
                               wsu:Id="enc1">
1396
            (060)
                           <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
                           Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#3des-cbc"/>
1397
1398
            (061)
                           <xenc:CipherData>
1399
            (062)
                              <xenc:CipherValue>d2FpbmdvbGRfE0lm4byV0...
1400
            (063)
                              </xenc:CipherValue>
1401
            (064)
                           </xenc:CipherData>
1402
            (065)
                       </xenc:EncryptedData>
1403
                    </S:Body>
            (066)
1404
            (067) </S:Envelope>
```

- 1405 Let's review some of the key sections of this example:
- 1406 Lines (003)-(057) contain the SOAP message headers.
- 1407 Lines (004)-(008) specify the timestamp information. In this case it indicates the creation time of the message.
- 1411 Lines (010)-(012) specify a security token that is associated with the message. In this case, it
- 1412 specifies an X.509 certificate that is encoded as Base64. Line (011) specifies the actual Base64
- 1413 encoding of the certificate.
- 1414 Lines (013)-(025) specify the key that is used to encrypt the body of the message. Since this is a
- 1415 symmetric key, it is passed in an encrypted form. Line (014) defines the algorithm used to
- 1416 encrypt the key. Lines (015)-(017) specify the name of the key that was used to encrypt the
- 1417 symmetric key. Lines (018)-(021) specify the actual encrypted form of the symmetric key. Lines
- 1418 (022)-(024) identify the encryption block in the message that uses this symmetric key. In this
- 1419 case it is only used to encrypt the body (Id="enc1").
- 1420 Lines (026)-(055) specify the digital signature. In this example, the signature is based on the
- 1421 X.509 certificate. Lines (027)-(046) indicate what is being signed. Specifically, Line (039)
- 1422 references the creation timestamp and line (038) references the message body.
- 1423 Lines (047)-(049) indicate the actual signature value specified in Line (042).
- 1424 Lines (051)-(053) indicate the key that was used for the signature. In this case, it is the X.509
- 1425 certificate included in the message. Line (052) provides a URI link to the Lines (010)-(012).
- 1426 The body of the message is represented by Lines (056) (066).
- Lines (059)-(065) represent the encrypted metadata and form of the body using XML Encryption.
- 1428 Line (059) indicates that the "element value" is being replaced and identifies this encryption. Line

(060) specifies the encryption algorithm - Triple-DES in this case. Lines (062)-(063) contain the actual cipher text (i.e., the result of the encryption). Note that we don't include a reference to the key as the key references this encryption – Line (023). 1430

1429

1431

12 Error Handling

1433 There are many circumstances where an *error* can occur while processing security information.

1434 For example:

1432

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440 1441

1442

1443

14441445

1446

1447

- Invalid or unsupported type of security token, signing, or encryption
- Invalid or unauthenticated or unauthenticatable security token
- Invalid signature
- Decryption failure
 - Referenced security token is unavailable

These can be grouped into two *classes* of errors: unsupported and failure. For the case of unsupported errors, the recipient MAY provide a response that informs the sender of supported formats, etc. For failure errors, the recipient MAY choose not to respond, as this may be a form of Denial of Service (DOS) or cryotographic attack. We combine signature and encryption

of Denial of Service (DOS) or cryptographic attack. We combine signature and encryption failures to mitigate certain types of attacks.

If a failure is returned to a sender then the failure MUST be reported using SOAPs Fault mechanism. The following tables outline the predefined security fault codes. The "unsupported" class of errors are:

Error that occurred	faultcode
An unsupported token was provided	wsse:UnsupportedSecurityToken
An unsupported signature or encryption algorithm was used	wsse:UnsupportedAlgorithm

1448 The "failure" class of errors are:

Error that occurred	faultcode
An error was discovered processing the <pre><wsse:security> header.</wsse:security></pre>	wsse:InvalidSecurity
An invalid security token was provided	wsse:InvalidSecurityToken
The security token could not be authenticated or authorized	wsse:FailedAuthentication
The signature or decryption was invalid	wsse:FailedCheck
Referenced security token could not be retrieved	wsse:SecurityTokenUnavailable

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 41 of 49 Deleted: receiver

13 Security Considerations

1450 It is strongly RECOMMENDED that messages include digitally signed elements to allow message recipients to detect replays of the message when the messages are exchanged via an open 1451 1452

network. These can be part of the message or of the headers defined from other SOAP extensions. Four typical approaches are:

Timestamp

1449

1453

1454

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459 1460

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

- Sequence Number
- **Expirations**
 - Message Correlation

This specification defines the use of XML Signature and XML Encryption in SOAPheaders. As one of the building blocks for securing SOAPmessages, it is intended to be used in conjunction with other security techniques. Digital signatures need to be understood in the context of other security mechanisms and possible threats to an entity.

Digital signatures alone do not provide message authentication. One can record a signed message and resend it (a replay attack). To prevent this type of attack, digital signatures must be combined with an appropriate means to ensure the uniqueness of the message, such as timestamps or sequence numbers (see earlier section for additional details).

1466 When digital signatures are used for verifying the identity of the sending party, the sender must 1467 prove the possession of the private key. One way to achieve this is to use a challenge-response 1468 type of protocol. Such a protocol is outside the scope of this document.

1469 To this end, the developers can attach timestamps, expirations, and sequences to messages.

1470 Implementers should also be aware of all the security implications resulting from the use of digital 1471 signatures in general and XML Signature in particular. When building trust into an application 1472 based on a digital signature there are other technologies, such as certificate evaluation, that must 1473

be incorporated, but these are outside the scope of this document.

1474 Requestors should use digital signatures to sign security tokens that do not include signatures (or 1475 other protection mechanisms) to ensure that they have not been altered in transit.

1476 Also, as described in XML Encryption, we note that the combination of signing and encryption 1477 over a common data item may introduce some cryptographic vulnerability. For example, 1478 encrypting digitally signed data, while leaving the digital signature in the clear, may allow plain 1479 text guessing attacks. The proper useage of nonce guards aginst replay attacts.

1480 In order to trust Ids and timestamps, they SHOULD be signed using the mechanisms outlined in 1481 this specification. This allows readers of the IDs and timestamps information to be certain that 1482 the IDs and timestamps haven't been forged or altered in any way. It is strongly

1483 RECOMMENDED that IDs and timestamp elements be signed.

1484 Timestamps can also be used to mitigate replay attacks. Signed timestamps MAY be used to 1485 keep track of messages (possibly by caching the most recent timestamp from a specific service) and detect replays of previous messages. It is RECOMMENDED that timestamps and nonces be 1486 1487 cached for a given period of time, as a guideline a value of five minutes can be used as a 1488 minimum to detect replays, and that timestamps older than that given period of time set be 1489 rejected. in interactive scenarios.

When a password in a <usernameToken> is used for authentication, the password needs to be properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough protection against eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in Section 6.1.1. Even so, the password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing attacks will not reveal the

secret from a captured message.

Formatted: Code Embedded,ce, Font color: Auto

Deleted: receiver

WSS-Core-04 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved. 17 November 2002 Page 42 of 49

1495	In one-way message authentication, it is RECOMMENDED that the sender and the recipient re-
1496	use the elements and structure defined in this specification for proving and validating freshness of
1497	a message. It is RECOMMEND that the nonce value be unique per message (never been used
1498	as a nonce before by the sender and recipient) and use the <wsse:nonce> element within the Deleted: receiver</wsse:nonce>
1499	<pre><wsse:security> header. Further, the <wsu:timestamp> header SHOULD be used with a</wsu:timestamp></wsse:security></pre>
1500	<pre><wsu:created> element. It is strongly RECOMMENDED that the <wsu:created> ,</wsu:created></wsu:created></pre>
1501	<pre><wsse:nonce> elements be included in the signature</wsse:nonce></pre>

14Privacy Considerations

1503 TBD

1502

15 Acknowledgements

- 1505 This specification was developed as a result of joint work of many individuals from the WSS TC
- 1506 including: TBD

1504

- 1507 The input specifications for this document were developed as a result of joint work with many
- 1508 individuals and teams, including: Keith Ballinger, Microsoft, Bob Blakley, IBM, Allen Brown,
- 1509 Microsoft, Joel Farrell, IBM, Mark Hayes, VeriSign, Kelvin Lawrence, IBM, Scott Konersmann,
- 1510 Microsoft, David Melgar, IBM, Dan Simon, Microsoft, Wayne Vicknair, IBM.

16 References 1511 1512 [DIGSIG] Informational RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary," May 2000. 1513 [Kerberos] J. Kohl and C. Neuman, "The Kerberos Network Authentication Service 1514 (V5)," RFC 1510, September 1993, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1510.txt. 1515 [KEYWORDS] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," 1516 RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997 1517 [SHA -1] FIPS PUB 180-1. Secure Hash Standard. U.S. Department of Commerce / National Institute of Standards and Technology. 1518 http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-1/fip180-1.txt 1519 W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000. 1520 [SOAP11] W3C Working Draft, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging 1521 [SOAP12] 1522 Framework", 26 June 2002 1523 [SOAP-SEC] W3C Note, "SOAP Security Extensions: Digital Signature," 06 February 1524 2001. T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers 1525 [URI] 1526 (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 2396, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox 1527 Corporation, August 1998. 1528 [WS-Security] "Web Services Security Language", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, April 2002. 1529 "WS-Security Addendum", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, August 2002. "WS-Security XML Tokens", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, August 2002. 1530 W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 1531 [XML-C14N] 1532 [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March 1533 2002. 1534 [XML-ns] W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001. 1535 [XML-Schema] 1536 1537 [XML Signature] W3C Recommendation, "XML Signature Syntax and Processing," 12 1538 February 2002. 1539 [X509] S. Santesson, et al, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Qualified 1540 Certificates Profile," 1541 http://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?type=items&lang=e&parent= T-REC-X.509-200003-I 1542 1543 [XPath] W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999 OASIS Working Draft 02, "Web Services Security SAML Token Binding, 1544 [WSS-SAML] 1545 23 September 2002 1546 [WSS-XrML] OASIS Working Draft 01, "Web Services Security XrML Token Binding, 1547 20 September 2002 1548 [WSS-X509] OASIS Working Draft 01, "Web Services Security X509 Binding, 18 1549 September 2002 1550 [WSS-Kerberos] OASIS Working Draft 01, "Web Services Security Kerberos Binding, 18 1551 September 2002

1552 [XPointer] "XML Pointer Language (XPointer) Version 1.0, Candidate Recommendation", Formatted: Defined Term,dt, Indent: First line: 0.25"

1554 Formatted: Ref Char

1555

Appendix A: Revision History

Rev	Date	What
01	20-Sep-02	Initial draft based on input documents and editorial review
02	24-Oct-02	Update with initial comments (technical and grammatical)
03	03-Nov-02	Feedback updates
04	17-Nov-02	Feedback updates

1557

1556

Appendix B: Notices

1558

1577

1579

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587 1588

1559 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights 1560 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this 1561 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; 1562 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on 1563 OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS 1564 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses 1565 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission 1566 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be 1567 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

1568 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to 1569 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director. 1570

1571 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

1572 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works 1573 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, 1574 published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the 1575 above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the 1576 copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS 1578 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other 1580 than English.

1581 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its 1582 successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.