

10.4.05
9:01:19 AM

WS-SEC TC

10.4.05 9:04:28 AM

- I Call to order/roll call

*Chairs: Kelvin Lawrence,
 Secretary: Don Flinn
 Minutes: Ron Williams*

Attendance

Attendance List

Voting Members

Maneesh	Sahu	Actional Corporation
Duane	Nickull	Adobe
Hal	Lockhart	BEA Systems, Inc.
Denis	Pilipchuk	BEA Systems, Inc.
Corinna	Witt	BEA Systems, Inc.
Rich	Levinson	Computer Associates
Thomas	DeMartini	ContentGuard
Dana	Kaufman	Forum Systems, Inc.
Toshihiro	Nishimura	Fujitsu Limited
Kefeng	Chen	GeoTrust
Irving	Reid	Hewlett-Packard
Kojiro	Nakayama	Hitachi
Derek	Fu	IBM
Kelvin	Lawrence	IBM
Mike	McIntosh	IBM
Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Ron	Williams	IBM
Don	Flinn	Individual
Kate	Cherry	Lockheed Martin
Paul	Cotton	Microsoft Corporation
Vijay	Gajjala	Microsoft Corporation
Martin	Gudgin	Microsoft Corporation
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft Corporation
Frederick	Hirsch	Nokia Corporation
Abbie	Barbir	Nortel
Prateek	Mishra	Oracle Corporation
Vamsi	Motukuru	Oracle Corporation
Ben	Hammond	RSA Security
John	Linn	RSA Security
Rob	Philpott	RSA Security
Pete	Wenzel	SeeBeyond
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun Microsystems
John	Weiland	US Dept of the Navy
Hans	Granqvist	VeriSign

Members

Steve	Anderson	BMC Software
Carolina	Canales-Valenzuela	Ericsson
Jeff	Hodges	NeuStar, Inc.
Blake	Dournaee	Sarvega
Will	Raymond	Tibco

Member that regained voting status after 10/4/05 Meeting

Steve	Anderson	BMC Software
Jeff	Hodges	NeuStar, Inc.
Will	Raymond	Tibco

10.4.05
9:01:19 AM

WS-SEC TC

19 REQUIRED - 31 ATTENDING - Quorum Achieved

- 2 Reading/Approving minutes of last meeting (Sept 20th) [1] 10.4.05 9:13:13 AM
 - Approved by unanimous consent (no objections registered)
- 3 Review of actions from prior meeting minutes [1] 10.4.05 9:14:30 AM
 - Actions are caught up - closed or made issues (Kelvin)
- 4 One Time Password proposal (continue discussion and try to reach closure) 10.4.05 9:14:37 AM

Chris - limit discussion to 30 minutes

Paul Cotton - had questions answered during dialogue.

Paul Cotton - proposed way forward. Not convinced work is in scope for TC.

Simple procedure: Have proponents move that work on OTP profile is in scope, triggering an electronic ballot to TC to determine whether or not this is to be a deliverable.

Hans - Seems ec vote would take a long time.

Kelvin - if such a motion was put forward - Oasis has 15 days in which to call an electronic ballot - + 7 to 15 days for actual vote, and Oasis is responsible for the ballot. We'd be clarifying the charter, not amending it.

Mary - 2/3 majority required for passage, no more than 1/4 voting no.

Rob - Work item is in scope - TC should decide whether we want to work on deliverable - asserts clarification not required.

Abby agrees with Paul as vote being the most efficient means to address issue.

Rob - TC has to decide whether or not TC wants to do the work, and then go forward with "official" mechanism (clarification vote).

Kelvin - decide as a TC how to close issue.

Ask chair to work with TC Admin to determine whether OTP profile is in scope.

Will (tibco) - RSA assures us that OTP is unencumbered.

Kelvin - This TC is still operating under old TC rules.

Paul - TC Admin will be reluctant to "rule" on scope issue.

Mary - a vote by the TC to

Abby (verisign) - Can we simply take a vote to see if the TC wants to do the work?

Hal - Only 3 or 4 individuals typically work on profiles, so issue of TC wanting to do the work boils down to those that do the work.

Kelvin - we get into situations that only a vote will break the stalemate. Pauls Proposal. Rob's work with TC admin offline. Mary said no admin ruling until a decision (vote) and appeal to admin.

Ron Monzillo - decide whether we want to take on the work.

(?) What is the objective of the TC following publication of the 1.1 specs.

TC - conversion to new IPR rules we have 18 months to switch or vote on

10.4.05
9:01:19 AM

WS-SEC TC

shifting to new.

Hal - suggest a motion be made . . .

Hal - propose to do work - see if TC by simple majority wants to do the work. If yes, Paul can still call for formal charter clarification resulting in formal Oasis vote.

Hans - move to "vote on the amended proposal as sent out by john linn, 8.2005, WSS-OTP token profile.

Hal - seconded

Abby - seconded

Two questions on

Tony - framework or technology - unclear as to what is being proposed as input, output, and ipr. Text is unclear.

Hans - input - two existing OTP proposals - RSA produced, and one that Verisign has produced - no IP on Verisign produced. This is a framework, not a mechanism.

John Lynn (RSA) - conceptually parallel - a method independant framework - no proposal for a particular method - the methods themselves are not in scope of this proposal.

RSA - no claims at the level of the document (IPR) - no claims and no evidence of any. Input document - won't submit if doesn't comply with IP rules.

Paul - have to disclose any IPR and that of any other contributor.

Asked and answered by RSA and Verisign

*Will - proposal - do the work to create a framework who's purpose is to support a proprietary format
(discussion) disputes this . . .*

Interop question - is there a common format to be implemented and support to enable interop testing.

Three companies attest to framework -

Paul - in the past - we actually physical interop testing - interop validates the framework - but underlying mechanisms don't need to be implemented by the participants.

Hal - thinks there are one or more mechanisms that could be implemented for use in interop.

Only one framework in the past, and that was the core document.

Paul puts the questions -

Hal and Abby Seconds

Kelvin - Roll Call Vote

(Ron's unofficial tally:

10.4.05
9:01:19 AM

WS-SEC TC

	<i>yes</i>	<i>no</i>	<i>abstain</i>
<i>sandhu</i>			(no response)
<i>nichol</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>thurston</i>			(no response)
<i>lockhart</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>fillchuck</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>witt</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>levinson</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>demaritni</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>kafuman</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>nishimuru</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>chen</i>			(no response)
<i>reid</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>nakamuro</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>foo</i>			(no response)
<i>hondo</i>			(no response)
<i>lawrence</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>mcintosh</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>nadalin</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>williams</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>flinn</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>cherry</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>cotton</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>gaya</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>gudge</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>kaler</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>hirsch</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>baiberi</i>		<i>n</i>	
<i>mishra</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>motokuru</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>hammond</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>linn</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>philpott</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>dubour</i>			(no response)
<i>wenzel</i>			<i>a</i>
<i>monzillo</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>waitand</i>	<i>y</i>		
<i>hans</i>	<i>y</i>		

Hal - majority of non-abstenstions
14 yes - 8 no - 9 abstensions: Motion Carries)

Don Flinn's official tally:
Vote Details

Maneesh	Sahu		
Duane	Nickull		A
Gene	Thurston		
Hal	Lockhart	Y	
Denis	Pilipchuk		A
Corinna	Witt	Y	
Rich	Levinson	Y	
Thomas	DeMartini		A
Dana	Kaufman		A

10.4.05
9:01:19 AM

WS-SEC TC

Toshihiro	Nishimura			A
Kefeng	Chen			
Irving	Reid	Y		
Kojiro	Nakayama			A
Derek	Fu			
Maryann	Hondo			
Kelvin	Lawrence			A
Mike	McIntosh		N	
Anthony	Nadalin		N	
Ron	Williams		N	
Don	Flinn			A
Kate	Cherry	Y		
Paul	Cotton		N	
Vijay	Gajjala		N	
Martin	Gudgin		N	
Chris	Kaler		N	
Frederick	Hirsch	Y		
Abbie	Barbir		N	
Prateek	Mishra	Y		
Vamsi	Motukuru	Y		
Ben	Hammond	Y		
John	Linn	Y		
Rob	Philpott	Y		
Martijn	de Boer			
Pete	Wenzel			A
Ronald	Monzillo	Y		
John	Weiland	Y		
Hans	Granqvist	Y		

yes 14
no 8
abstain 9

*Paul move to clarify charter to indicate OTP work is in scope (OTP one time password token profile), to add it to the deliverables.
Abby - seconded*

*Kellvin - Oasis must call the vote
Mary - believes Paul is asking whether the TC needs to change the vote. Any work undertaken by the TC may be appealed to TC admin.*

*Mary - Appeal to TC admin
TC votes they would like to clarify the charter and undertake the work item with wording as to what the charter should say.*

You can't clarify the charter and change it - these are two separate processes.

Why must whole TC take up the issue?

TC has voted to create an OTP profile.

Rob Philpott objects to Paul's motion. My opinion that when TC accepts work

10.4.05
9:01:19 AM

WS-SEC TC

item - its supposed to be in scope. There is an appeal process whereby 3 or more can appeal. - Withdrawn

Hal - agrees -

Tony - you can always call for a clarification.

Chris - vote on the final text of the charter clarification.

Paul withdraws motion to clarify charter, Abby agrees

● 5 Issues list review

10.4.05 10:25:16 AM

Pending Review

430 - comments on the call from Mishra/Oracle: closed w/out objection

432 - : closed w/out objection

433 - : closed w/out objection

434 - schema corrections to SAML token 1.1 (scott cantor): closed w/out objection

436 - comments from Mark Wahl: closed w/out objection

437 - comments from Mark Wahl - username profile: closed w/out objection

438 - comments from Wahl - : closed w/out objection

Pending

334 - XML Id Issue: Tony Nadalin to incorporate changes: closed w/out objection

404 - RFC 4120 and 1510: Tony - changes made, not on list: pending

405 - (405 done, 429 not complete- monzillo): move to PENDING REVIEW

429 - still being discussed; ron and gudge discussion, about encrypted key - related to kerberos token profile. Ref type should be changed to token type (gudge): OPEN w/out objections

Kelvin - for J Hodges - 428 "closed because no action proposed" - Gudge to take AI to trace 428 and was Jeff's proposal on the table when voted.

439 - comments from J Hodges on call - referenced but not cited. Editorial

Fixes - changes made, not posted (tony): Status Pending

443 - J Hodges - WSU timestamp description: made not posted (tony): PENDING

OPEN

444 - WSS Page contains 10.04 errata - but have backed out certain errata.

Paul requests it be taken out when fixed or adopt proposal via x.509v3 suggestion. Make errata reflect changes in 1.1 document (Paul). X.509 URI's are out of sync with current version (1.1) of the document. (Paul) Wants errata to reflect multiple decisions. (Gudge) replace "#X.509" with "#X.509v1". (Tony) we'll be breaking 1.0 versions by doing this. (Paul) by leaving the "incorrect" URI in the errata will encourage people to continue to do the wrong thing.

No objections to making the errata changes (URI Only).

Gudge - Net effect of three issues is to "fix" URI reference.: remains OPEN

427 - : CLOSED w/ no action w/out objections

435 - pratik sent a notice to vijay leaving a couple of items. (tony) open item of formal comback. (chris) have to close public comments - missing a few issues

10.4.05
9:01:19 AM

WS-SEC TC

from public interop., related to 431: OPEN

445 - changes from erratta no included in v1 - editorial change: moved to PENDING

446 - clarification for STR transform, request someone to make changes and propose text. (Gudge takes AI): OPEN

440, 441, 443, (Chris) Wants some discussion on the list so wee can close these.

- 6 Public review status/outlook for I.I final phases
- 7 Other business
 - Final Roll - Call
 - Mike McIntosh
 - Gudge
- 8 Adjournment

Motion to adjourn and second.

10.4.05 10:59:42 AM